SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of Earliest Event Reported) September 8, 2006

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

001-14625 (Commission File Number) 53-0085950 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, MD 20817 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code (240) 744-1000

(Former Name or Former Address, if changed since last report.)

| | |
|---|--|
| ck the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following isions (see General Instruction A.2. below): | |
| Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425) | |
| Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12) | |
| Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b)) | |
| Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c)) | |

Item 8.01—Other Events

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. deems the following information to be of importance to its securityholders.

On September 8, 2006, Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. sold the Detroit Marriott Livonia for approximately \$21 million. Additionally, on August 21, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive binding agreement to sell the Mountain Shadows Resort and Golf Club for \$42 million. Accordingly, this property has been classified as an "asset held-for-sale" as of September 8, 2006. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," the Company reported the results of these hotels as discontinued operations in its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 8, 2006. The Company had previously revised the financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 10, 2006 to reflect the operations of three properties that were sold during the first two quarters of 2006 as discontinued operations. Those financial statements, which were filed on Form 8-K on June 16, 2006, have been updated herein to reflect the operations of the Detroit Marriott Livonia, which was sold on September 8, 2006 and the Mountain Shadows Resort and Golf Club, which was classified as held for sale on September 8, 2006 as discontinued operations. Additionally, the current notes to the financial statements and management discussion and analysis of financial condition have also been revised to provide additional disclosure regarding subsequent acquisitions and financings, including the acquisition of 28 properties from Starwood Hotel & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., the issuance of \$800 million 63/4% Series P senior notes, and other transactions. The following information (which is attached as exhibits hereto and incorporated by reference herein), which was originally presented in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, has been revised to reflect the above transactions, as appropriate:

- Selected Financial Data for the five years ended December 31, 2005;
- Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") for the three fiscal years ended December 31, 2005;
- · Revised Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the three fiscal years ended December 31, 2005; and
- Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2005.

Investors are cautioned that the MD&A presented herein has been revised to reflect the effect on the Company's MD&A for the transactions noted above and other events subsequent to March 10, 2006, the filing date of our Form 10-K. The MD&A presented herein has no other changes to the MD&A previously presented in the Company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Therefore, it does not purport to update the MD&A included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for any information, uncertainties, transactions, risks, events or trends occurring, or known to management, other than these transactions. Investors should read the information contained in this current report together with the other information contained in the Company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 10, 2006, the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 8, 2006 filed with the SEC on October 16, 2006, and other information filed with, or furnished to, the SEC after March 10, 2006.

ITEM 9.01. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS

(c) Exhibits

| Exhibit No. 23.1 | Consent of KPMG LLP |
|------------------|--|
| 99.1 | Selected Financial Data |
| 99.2 | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition |
| 99.3 | Consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the three years ended December 31, 2005 |
| 99.5 | Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2005 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.

By: /s/ LARRY K. HARVEY

Larry K. Harvey Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer

Date: October 16, 2006

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (Nos. 333-31352, 333-93157, 333-78091, 333-40854, 333-51946, 333-98207 and 333-13901) on Form S-3 and (Nos. 333-75055, 333-28683, 333-75057, 333-75059 and 033-66622) on Form S-8 of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. of our report dated March 3, 2006, except as to notes 1, 10, 12, 16, and 19, which are as of September 22, 2006, with respect to the consolidated balance sheets of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, and the related financial statement schedule of real estate and accumulated depreciation, which report appears in the current report on Form 8-K of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. dated October 16, 2006.

Our report refers to the adoption by Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 (R) *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* in 2004.

/s/ KPMG LLP

McLean, Virginia October 16, 2006

Selected Financial Data

The following table presents certain selected historical financial data which has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements for the five years ended December 31, 2005:

| | | | Fiscal year | | |
|---|---------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| | | (in millions, | except per sh | are amounts) | |
| Income Statement Data: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$3,796 | \$3,486 | \$3,140 | \$3,191 | \$3,223 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 127 | (80) | (252) | (91) | (12) |
| Income from discontinued operations(1) | 39 | 80 | 266 | 75 | 63 |
| Net income (loss) | 166 | _ | 14 | (16) | 51 |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | 135 | (41) | (21) | (51) | 19 |
| Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | .27 | (.36) | (1.02) | (.48) | (.17) |
| Income from discontinued operations | .11 | .24 | .95 | .29 | .25 |
| Net income (loss) | .38 | (.12) | (.07) | (.19) | .08 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | .41 | .05 | _ | _ | .78 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$8,245 | \$8,421 | \$8,592 | \$8,316 | \$8,338 |
| Debt(2) | 5,370 | 5,523 | 5,486 | 5,638 | 5,602 |
| Convertible Preferred Securities(2) | _ | _ | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Preferred stock | 241 | 337 | 339 | 339 | 339 |

- (1) Discontinued operations reflects the operations of properties classified as held for sale, the results of operations of properties sold and the gain or loss on those dispositions. Results in 2003 include the gain on disposition and business interruption proceeds of the New York Marriott World Trade Center hotel of approximately \$212 million.
- (2) We adopted Financial Interpretation No. 46 "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" (FIN 46) in 2003. Under FIN 46, our limited purpose trust subsidiary that was formed to issue trust-preferred securities (the "Convertible Preferred Securities") was accounted for on a consolidated basis as of December 31, 2003 since we were the primary beneficiary under FIN 46.

In December 2003, the FASB issued a revision to FIN 46, which we refer to as FIN 46R. Under FIN 46R, we were not the primary beneficiary and we were required to deconsolidate the accounts of the Convertible Preferred Securities Trust (the "Trust"). We adopted the provisions of FIN 46R on January 1, 2004. As a result, we recorded the \$492 million in debentures (Convertible Subordinated Debentures) issued by the Trust and eliminated the \$475 million of Convertible Preferred Securities that were previously classified in the mezzanine section of our consolidated balance sheet prior to January 1, 2004. The difference of \$17 million is our investment in the Trust, which is included in "Investments in affiliates" on our consolidated balance sheet. The related dividend payment of approximately \$32 million for 2004 and 2005 is required to be classified as interest expense effective January 1, 2004. We adopted FIN 46R prospectively and, therefore, did not restate prior periods. The adoption of FIN 46R had no effect on our net income (loss), earnings (loss) per diluted share or the financial covenants under our senior notes indentures. Between December 2005 and February 12, 2006, we converted \$473 million of our Convertible Preferred Securities (and the underlying Convertible Subordinated Debentures) into approximately 30.8 million common shares. On April 5, 2006, we redeemed the remaining \$2 million of outstanding Convertible Subordinated Debentures held by third parties for cash. Additionally, the \$17 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures was eliminated in conjunction with the redemption on April 5, 2006.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements about our business. These statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially because of factors discussed in "Forward Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" contained in our SEC filings.

Overview

Structure and Business

As of October 13, 2006, we own 128 luxury and upper-upscale hotel properties and we are the largest hotel REIT in the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust's composite index. A REIT is a legal entity that holds real estate interests and, through payments of dividends to stockholders, is permitted to reduce or avoid federal income taxes at the corporate level. Host operates as a self-managed and self-administered REIT and owns approximately 96% of the partnership interests of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. or Host LP.

Our hotels are operated under brand names that are among the most respected and widely recognized in the lodging industry—including Marriott[®], Ritz-Carlton[®], Hyatt[®], Sheraton[®], W[®], St. Regis[®], The Luxury Collection[®], Four Seasons[®], Fairmont[®], Hilton[®], Swissôtel[®] and Westin[®]. The majority of our properties are located in central business districts of major cities, near airports and in resort/conference destinations. The target profile for our portfolio includes luxury and upper-upscale full-service properties in urban and resort/conference destinations that benefit from significant barriers to entry by competitors. Though hotels meeting this target profile will still be subject to competitive pressures, we believe this will allow us to maintain room rate and occupancy premiums over our competitors. We also seek to maximize the value of our portfolio through aggressive asset management by assisting the managers of our hotels in maximizing property operations and by completing strategic capital improvements.

The majority of our customers fall into three broad groups: transient business, group business, and contract business, approximately 57%, 41% and 2%, respectively, of our business in 2005. Similar to the majority of the lodging industry, we further categorize business within these segments based on characteristics they have in common as follows:

Transient demand broadly represents individual business or leisure travelers and is divided into four key sub-categories: premium, corporate, special corporate and discount. Overall, business travelers make up approximately 80% of transient demand at our hotels, with leisure travelers making up the remainder. Therefore, our business will be more significantly affected by trends in business travel versus leisure demand:

- Premium: Sometimes referred to as "rack rate," typically consists of rooms booked close to arrival during high demand periods and is the
 highest rate category available. Room rates will fluctuate depending on anticipated demand levels (e.g. seasonality, weekday vs. weekend stays).
- Corporate: This is the benchmark rate which a hotel publishes and offers to the general public. It is typically the second highest category, and is for travelers that do not have access to negotiate or discount rates.
- Special Corporate: this is a negotiated rate offered to companies and organizations that provide significant levels of room night demand to the hotel. These rates are typically negotiated annually, at a discount to the anticipated corporate rate.

• Discount: This encompasses all discount programs, such as AAA and AARP discounts, government per diem, rooms booked through internet distribution and wholesale channels, frequent guest program redemptions, and promotional rates and packages offered by a hotel.

Group demand represents clusters of guestrooms booked together, usually with a minimum of 10 rooms. Examples include a company training session or a social event such as a family reunion. Group business is segmented into the following three key sub-categories:

- Association: group business related to national and regional association meetings and conventions.
- Corporate: group business related to corporate meetings (e.g., product launches, training programs, contract negotiations, and presentations).
- Other: group business predominately related to social, military, education, religious, fraternity and youth and amateur sports teams, otherwise known as SMERF business.

The final segment is contract demand, which refers to blocks of rooms sold to a specific company for an extended period of time at significantly discounted rates. Contract rates are usually utilized by hotels that are located in markets that are experiencing consistently low levels of demand. Airline crews are typical generators of contract demand for our hotels.

Our hotels are operated by third-party managers under long-term agreements under which they typically earn base and incentive management fees related to the revenues and profitability of each individual hotel. We provide operating funds, or working capital, which the managers use to operate the property, including purchasing inventory and paying wages, utilities, property taxes and other expenses. We generally receive a cash distribution, which reflects hotel-level sales less property-level operating expenses (excluding depreciation), from our hotel managers each four week or monthly accounting period, depending on the manager.

Hotel revenue is approximately 97% of our total revenue. The following table presents the components of our hotel revenue as a percentage of our total revenue:

| | % of 2005 Revenues |
|--|-----------------------|
| • Rooms revenue. Occupancy and average daily room rate are the major drivers of rooms revenue. The business mix of the hotel (group versus transient and premium versus discount business) is the key driver of room rates. | 60% |
| • Food and beverage revenue. Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel are the major drivers of food and beverage revenue (i.e., group business typically generates more food and beverage business through catering functions when compared to transient business, which may or may not utilize the hotel's restaurants). | 31% |
| • Other revenue. Occupancy, the nature of the property (i.e., resort, etc.) and its price point are the main drivers of other ancillary revenue, such as parking, golf course, spa, telephone, entertainment and other guest services. | 6% |

Hotel operating expenses are approximately 98% of our total operating costs and expenses. The following table presents the components of our hotel operating expenses as a percentage of our total operating costs and expenses:

% of 2005

| | | Operating Costs and Expenses |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| • | Rooms expense. These costs include housekeeping, reservation systems, room supplies, laundry services and front desk costs. Occupancy is the major driver of rooms expense. These costs can increase based on increases in salaries and wages, as well as the level of service and amenities that are provided. | 17% |
| • | Food and beverage expense. These expenses primarily include food, beverage and labor costs. Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel (i.e., catered functions generally are more profitable than outlet sales) are the major drivers of food and beverage expense, which correlates closely with food and beverage revenue. | 26% |
| • | Hotel departmental expense. These expenses include labor and other costs associated with the other ancillary revenues such as parking, golf courses, spas, telephones, entertainment and other guest services, as well as labor and other costs associated with administrative departments, sales and marketing, repairs and minor maintenance and utility costs. | 30% |
| • | Management fees. Base management fees are computed as a percentage of gross revenue as set forth in our management contracts. Incentive management fees generally are paid when operating profits exceed threshold levels established in our management agreements. | 5% |
| • | Other property-level expenses. These expenses consist primarily of real and personal property taxes, ground rent, equipment rent and property insurance. Many of these expenses are relatively inflexible and do not necessarily change in tandem with changes in revenues at our hotels. | 9% |
| • | Depreciation and amortization expense. This is a non-cash expense that is relatively inflexible and changes primarily based on the acquisition and disposition of hotel properties and the level of post-acquisition capital expenditures. | 11% |

The expense components listed above are based on those presented in our consolidated statement of operations. It is also worth noting that wage and benefit costs are spread among various line items, however, taken separately these costs represent approximately 50% of our total expenses, making wages and benefits the most significant component of our cost structure.

Key Performance Indicators

We have several key indicators that we use to evaluate the performance of our business. Revenue per available room, or RevPAR, is a commonly used measure within the hotel industry to evaluate hotel operations. RevPAR is defined as the product of the average daily room rate charged and the average daily occupancy achieved. RevPAR does not include revenues from food and beverage or parking, telephone, or other guest services generated by the property. Although RevPAR does not include these ancillary revenues, it is generally considered the leading indicator of core revenues for many hotels. We also use RevPAR to evaluate the results of individual hotels between periods and our comparable hotels. See "Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics" for further discussion of what we consider to be our comparable hotels.

RevPAR changes driven predominately by occupancy have different implications on overall revenue levels as well as incremental operating profit than do changes driven predominately by average room rate. For example, increases in occupancy at a hotel would lead to increases in rooms revenues and ancillary revenues, such as food and beverage, as well as additional incremental costs (including housekeeping services, utilities and room amenity costs). RevPAR increases due to higher room rates, however, would not result in these additional room-related costs. For this reason, while operating profit typically increases when occupancy rises, RevPAR increases due to higher room rates would have a greater impact on our profitability.

A related revenue measure for our hotels is the RevPAR penetration index. The RevPAR penetration index reflects each property's RevPAR in relation to the RevPAR for that property's competitive set. We use the measure as an indicator of a property's market share. For example, a RevPAR penetration index of 100 would indicate that a hotel's RevPAR is, on average, the same as its competitors. A RevPAR penetration index exceeding 100 would indicate that a hotel maintains a RevPAR premium in relation to its competitive set, while a RevPAR penetration index below 100 would be an indicator that a hotel is underperforming its competitive set. One critical component in this calculation is the determination of a hotel's competitive set. Factors that we consider include geographic proximity, as well as the level of service provided at the property. For example, a hotel located near a convention center might have a competitive set that includes other hotels located in close proximity to the convention center. Additionally, a luxury hotel might include other luxury or upper-upscale hotels in its competitive set but not economy hotels. Competitive set determinations are highly subjective, however, and our methodology for determining a hotel's competitive set may differ materially from those used by other owners and/or managers.

We assess profitability by measuring changes in our operating margin, which is operating profit as a percentage of total revenue. Another key profitability indicator we use is hotel adjusted operating profit which is a non-GAAP measure, and which is used to evaluate the profitability of our comparable hotels. Hotel adjusted operating profit measures property-level results before debt service and is a supplemental measure of individual property-level profitability. The comparable hotel adjusted operating profit that we discuss is an aggregation of the adjusted operating profit for each of our comparable hotels. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures—Comparable Hotel Operating Results" for further discussion. We also use, among other things, FFO per diluted share as a supplemental measure of company-wide profitability. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures—FFO per diluted share" for further discussion. Each of the non-GAAP measures should be considered by investors as supplemental measures to GAAP performance measures such as total revenues, operating profit and earnings per share.

Recent Events

Starwood Acquisition

On April 10, 2006, we acquired 25 domestic hotels and three foreign hotels from Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., or Starwood, through a series of transactions, including the merger of Starwood Hotels & Resorts, a Maryland real estate investment trust, or Starwood Trust, with and into a subsidiary of Host, the acquisition of the capital stock of Sheraton Holding Corporation and the acquisition of four domestic hotels in a purchase structured to allow Host's subsidiaries to complete like-kind exchange transactions for federal income tax purposes. These transactions were completed pursuant to the Master Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 14, 2005, and amended as of March 24, 2006, (the "Master Agreement") among Host, Host LP, Starwood, Starwood Trust and certain of their respective affiliates. A joint venture in Europe, in which we own a 32.1% general and limited partner interest, acquired four European hotels on May 3, 2006 and one European hotel on June 13, 2006. See below discussion of the European joint venture.

For the 28 hotels included in the initial closing, the total consideration paid by Host to Starwood and its shareholders included the issuance of \$2.27 billion of equity (133,529,412 shares of Host common stock) to Starwood stockholders, the assumption of \$77 million in debt and the cash payment of approximately \$748 million, which includes closing costs. An exchange price of Host common stock of \$16.97 per share was calculated based on guidance set forth in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 99-12, as the average of the closing prices of Host common stock during the range of trading days from two days before and after the November 14, 2005 announcement date. The amount of cash consideration paid under the Master Agreement is subject to adjustments for, among other things, the amount of working capital at the applicable closings and certain capital expenditures.

European Joint Venture

In conjunction with the Starwood acquisition, we entered into an Agreement of Limited Partnership, forming a joint venture in The Netherlands with Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP, the Dutch pension fund ("ABP"), and Jasmine Hotels Pte Ltd, a subsidiary of GIC Real Estate Pte Ltd ("GIC RE"), the real estate investment company of the Government of Singapore Investment Corporation Pte Ltd (GIC). The purpose of the joint venture is the acquisition and ownership of hotels located in Europe.

The initial aggregate size of the joint venture was approximately \$640 million, including total capital contributions of approximately \$227 million, of which a total of approximately \$72 million was contributed by us in the form of cash and through the contribution of the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers, which we acquired on April 10, 2006. Through newly-formed Dutch BVs (private companies with limited liability), Host LP is a limited partner in the joint venture (together with ABP and GIC RE, the "Limited Partners") and serves as the general partner for the joint venture. The percentage interest of the parties in the joint venture is 19.9% for ABP, 48% for GIC RE and 32.1% for Host LP (including its limited and general partner interests).

On May 3, 2006, the joint venture acquired from Starwood the following four hotels: the Sheraton Roma Hotel & Conference Center, Rome, Italy; The Westin Palace, Madrid, Spain; the Sheraton Skyline Hotel & Conference Centre, Hayes, United Kingdom and The Westin Palace, Milan, Italy. In addition, we contributed the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers, Warsaw, Poland, to the joint venture. The Westin Europa & Regina, Venice, Italy, was acquired by the joint venture on June 13, 2006.

On August 4, 2006, the joint venture purchased the Hotel Arts Barcelona for approximately €417 million, (\$537 million) including the assumption of approximately €277 million (\$357 million) of mortgage debt with an interest rate of approximately 5%. The 483-room Ritz-Carlton managed hotel is located in Barcelona, Spain. The joint venture agreement was amended to increase the overall investment commitment levels from the partners to accommodate this acquisition, and therefore, we contributed an additional €46 million (\$58 million) to the joint venture during July 2006.

The partners are finalizing an additional amendment to further expand the joint venture. Under the contemplated agreement, the partners would increase the aggregate size of the joint venture to approximately €533 million of equity (of which approximately €171 million would be contributed by Host) and, after giving effect to indebtedness the joint venture would be expected to incur, aggregate funds that the joint venture would have available for investment are expected to approximate €1.5 billion. In connection with the expanded joint venture, the partners would also agree that they would not make investments that are consistent with the joint venture's investment parameters for a period of two years (three years in the case of Host) or until at least 90% of the joint venture's committed capital is called or reserved for use prior to such date.

Pursuant to the agreements, distributions to partners will be made on a pro-rata basis (based on their limited partnership interests) until certain return thresholds are met. As those thresholds are met, our general partnership interest will receive an increasing percentage of the distributions. An affiliate of Host LP has entered into an asset management agreement with the joint venture to provide asset management services in return for a quarterly asset management fee. Host LP or its affiliates will be responsible for paying certain expenses related to asset management, including all salaries and employee benefits of employees and related overhead, including rent, utilities, office equipment, necessary administrative and clerical functions and other similar overhead expenses. The initial term of the joint venture is ten years subject to two one-year extensions with partner approval. Due to the ownership structure of the joint venture described above and the non-Host limited partners' rights to cause the dissolution and liquidation of the joint venture at any time, the joint venture is not consolidated in our financial statements.

The following table sets forth the location and number of rooms of hotels included in the Starwood Portfolio:

Hotels consolidated by Host

| | City | State | Country | Rooms |
|---|--------------|-------|----------------|--------|
| Sheraton New York Hotel & Towers | New York | NY | USA | 1,746 |
| Sheraton Boston Hotel | Boston | MA | USA | 1,216 |
| Sheraton San Diego Hotel & Marina | San Diego | CA | USA | 1,044 |
| The Westin Seattle | Seattle | WA | USA | 891 |
| The Westin Los Angeles Airport | Los Angeles | CA | USA | 740 |
| W New York | New York | NY | USA | 688 |
| The Westin Indianapolis | Indianapolis | IN | USA | 573 |
| Sheraton Indianapolis Hotels and Suites | Indianapolis | IN | USA | 560 |
| The Westin Mission Hills Resort & Spa | Rancho | | | |
| | Mirage | CA | USA | 512 |
| The Westin Cincinnati | Cincinnati | OH | USA | 456 |
| Sheraton Stamford Hotel | Stamford | CT | USA | 448 |
| The Westin Tabor Center | Denver | CO | USA | 430 |
| W Seattle | Seattle | WA | USA | 426 |
| The Westin South Coast Plaza | Costa Mesa | CA | USA | 390 |
| Sheraton Milwaukee Brookfield Hotel | Brookfield | WI | USA | 389 |
| Sheraton Santiago Hotel and Convention Center | Santiago | | Chile | 379 |
| Sheraton Braintree Hotel | Braintree | MA | USA | 374 |
| Sheraton Parsippany Hotel | Parsippany | NJ | USA | 370 |
| The Westin Waltham-Boston | Waltham | MA | USA | 346 |
| The Westin Grand, Washington, D.C. | Washington | DC | USA | 263 |
| Sheraton Suites Tampa Airport | Tampa | FL | USA | 259 |
| Sheraton Needham Hotel | Needham | MA | USA | 247 |
| St. Regis Hotel, Houston | Houston | TX | USA | 232 |
| Sheraton Tucson Hotel & Suites | Tucson | AZ | USA | 216 |
| Sheraton Providence Airport Hotel | Warwick | RI | USA | 206 |
| Capitol Hill Suites | Washington | DC | USA | 152 |
| San Cristobal Tower | Santiago | | Chile | 139 |
| Total—Consolidated Hotels | | | | 13,692 |
| Hotels owned by European Joint Venture | | | | |
| Sheraton Roma Hotel & Conference Center(1) | Rome | | Italy | 634 |
| The Westin Palace, Madrid (1) | Madrid | | Spain | 468 |
| Sheraton Skyline Hotel & Conference Centre(1) | Hayes | | United Kingdom | 350 |
| Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers(2) | Warsaw | | Poland | 350 |
| The Westin Palace, Milan(1) | Milan | | Italy | 228 |
| The Westin Europa & Regina(3) | Venice | | Italy | 185 |
| Total—European Joint Venture Hotels | | | - | 2,215 |
| Total | | | | 15,907 |
| 10111 | | | | 15,507 |

- (1) This property was acquired by the European joint venture on May 3, 2006.
- (2) This property was contributed to the European joint venture on May 2, 2006.
- (3) This property was acquired by the European joint venture on June 13, 2006.

Financing, Acquisition and Disposition Activity

On March 31, 2006, we sold the 495-room Swissôtel The Drake, New York, or the Drake, and nearby retail space, which were classified as held for sale at March 24, 2006, for a sales price of approximately \$440 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$235 million.

On April 4, 2006, we issued \$800 million of 63/4% Series P senior notes and received net proceeds of approximately \$787 million. The Series P senior notes mature on June 1, 2016 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior indebtedness. Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1, beginning on December 1, 2006. A portion of the proceeds from the offering was used to fund the Starwood acquisition. On May 15, 2006, we used a portion of the proceeds from our Series P senior notes offering to redeem approximately \$136 million of our remaining 77/8% Series B senior notes. We recorded a loss of approximately \$3 million related to this early extinguishment of debt, which includes the payment of the call premium and the acceleration of the original issue discounts and related deferred financing fees during the second quarter of 2006. In addition, on May 19, 2006, we used a portion of the proceeds from our Series P senior notes offering to redeem, at par, all 5,980,000 shares of our Class C cumulative redeemable preferred stock ("Class C preferred stock") for approximately \$151 million, including accrued dividends. The fair value of the Class C preferred stock (which is

equal to the redemption price) exceeds the carrying value of the preferred stock by approximately \$6 million. The \$6 million represents the original issuance costs. Accordingly, this amount will be reflected in the determination of net income available to common stockholders for the purpose of calculating our basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share. The remaining proceeds from the Series P senior notes offering will be used for general corporate purposes. In July 2006, the \$800 million 6 3/4% Series P senior notes were exchanged for \$800 million 6 3/4% Series Q senior notes. The terms of the Series Q senior notes are substantially identical to the terms of the Series P notes, except that the Series Q senior notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, freely transferable.

On April 5, 2006, we redeemed the remaining \$2 million of outstanding Convertible Subordinated Debentures held by third parties for cash.

On August 21, 2006, we entered into a definitive binding agreement to sell the Mountain Shadows Resort and Golf Club for \$42 million. Accordingly, this property has been classified as an "asset held-for-sale" on September 8, 2006.

On September 1, 2006, we purchased The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa in Scottsdale, Arizona for approximately \$393 million, which includes the assumption of \$135 million of mortgage debt with an interest rate of 5.08%. The 732-room resort includes a 27-hole golf course and a full-service spa.

On September 8, 2006, we completed the sale of the Detroit Marriott Livonia for proceeds of approximately \$21 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$5 million in the third quarter.

On September 15, 2006, we executed an agreement to sell The Ritz-Carlton, Atlanta for proceeds of approximately \$80 million. The sale closed on September 22, 2006 and we will recognize a gain of approximately \$26 million in the fourth quarter.

Outlook

For 2005, RevPAR for our comparable hotels increased 9.5% as compared to 2004. Improvements in RevPAR at our comparable hotels for 2005 were primarily driven by significant increases in average room rates and, to a lesser extent, by increases in occupancy. This is a result of a number of positive trends, such as strong United States GDP growth, low growth in the supply of new luxury and upper-upscale hotels and the strengthening in the group and transient segments of our business. As a result of these trends, we expect comparable hotel RevPAR to increase approximately 8% to 9% for the full year 2006 and an additional 6% to 8% for the full year 2007. For the 27 hotels acquired from Starwood that we consolidate, we expect RevPAR to be roughly comparable to our current portfolio in 2006.

We expect the supply growth of luxury and upper-upscale hotels to continue to be low for the next two to three years. Although always subject to uncertainty, supply growth is relatively easier to forecast than demand growth due to the long permit, approval and development lead-times associated with building new full-service hotels or expanding existing full-service hotels. Although the pipeline for new hotel supply has begun to accelerate from cyclical lows, the majority of new projects continue to be focused in the upscale and mid-scale segments and in locations outside of the top 25 Metropolitan Statistical Areas, or MSA. Supply growth has also been constrained by increasing construction costs. We believe, based on a review of forecast supply growth in the specific geographic markets where we have hotels (approximately 72% of our hotels are in the top 25 MSAs), that the near-term increase in the supply of hotel rooms that potentially are competitive with our hotels will be meaningfully lower than industry-wide growth.

The performance of our portfolio is also significantly affected by the results of our large hotels, including our convention hotels, the majority of which are located in major urban markets. Convention hotels have historically outperformed in the early stages of an industry downturn; however, they also lag the industry in performance in the early stages of recovery. This primarily is due to the longer booking lead-time for large group business and the need for transient demand in a market to recover to more substantial levels given a greater capacity of rooms. In 2005, we saw significant improvement in the operations of our convention hotels in certain markets, such as New York City and Washington D.C. Our large hotels situated in weaker markets continue to lag the portfolio, but we are beginning to see signs of improving market strength in several of these markets including Boston, Orlando and San Francisco.

We also are continuing our capital expenditure plan at many of our properties, which we believe will enhance their competitive market position and improve their operating performance. In the near term, some properties have experienced temporary business disruption as rooms, common areas and meeting spaces are renovated. We expect increasing demand to continue to improve operations as markets strengthen, which should positively affect margin and RevPAR growth.

Operating margins improved in 2005, as the average room rate increases at our hotels significantly exceeded the rate of inflation, a trend we expect to continue. Operating margins continue to be affected, however, by certain costs, primarily wages, benefits, utilities and sales and marketing, which increased at a rate greater than inflation, a trend that we also expect to continue in the near term. We expect utility costs to increase by approximately 10% in 2006, although these costs represent only approximately 3.6% of our revenues. Additionally, as a result of the large-scale devastation due to hurricanes in 2005, we expect insurance costs, which are approximately 1% of our total costs and expenses, to increase approximately 40% for the full year 2006.

In addition, several markets are in the process of, or just recently completed, the re-negotiation of union contracts in 2006, including New York, Hawaii, Chicago, Toronto, Boston, Los Angeles and San Francisco. For the cities where negotiations have been completed, we will experience some increase in labor costs, though the effect on 2006 is not expected to be material. While not all of our properties are subject to union contracts, such as the properties in Toronto, any increase in labor costs will generally affect our properties because of competitive pressure. Other potential effects of negotiations where discussions are continuing could include temporary disruptions in group bookings and/or hotel operations. However, we do not believe the outcome of these negotiations will have a material effect on our 2006 results of operations.

Operating margins are also affected by our food and beverage operations, which represented 31% of our 2005 revenues. During 2005, food and beverage revenue growth at our comparable hotels was 5.6%, with a food and beverage margin increase of 0.9 percentage points. As the economy continues to grow, we expect food and beverage revenue to continue to increase, which should result in further improvement in our operating margins.

We also expect to see improvements in RevPAR and operating margins as we continue our strategy of recycling assets. Over the past two years, we have acquired individual luxury and upper-upscale properties in urban and resort/convention destinations, where further large-scale lodging development typically is limited, and have disposed of individual assets primarily in suburban and secondary markets. The assets we have acquired have higher RevPAR, higher margins and, we believe, higher growth potential than those we have sold. Over time, our capital recycling efforts should contribute to improvements in overall RevPAR and margins, as well as an increase in the average per room replacement cost of our portfolio.

During 2005, the average RevPAR penetration index for our comparable hotels declined slightly as we continued to work with our managers to optimize the market positioning and business mix of our hotels. We believe that this decline in market share has occurred because:

- many of our hotels occupy the number one or number two positions in their competitive set and achieve meaningful RevPAR premiums. In several
 markets lower-ranked hotels have improved their competitive position (through renovation or other means) resulting in a narrowing of our RevPAR
 premium.
- our hotels generally have a higher percentage of their revenues generated by corporate group and corporate transient customers than many of our competitors and that business in the luxury and upper-upscale segment did not begin to significantly increase until the second half of 2004.
- we have a significant number of large hotels in our portfolio, including nine convention hotels with greater than 1,000 rooms, which require longer
 periods of time to achieve optimal group bookings and business mix.

As lodging demand continues to grow and, in particular, as corporate group and corporate transient business strengthens, we believe our RevPAR penetration index will improve.

While we believe the combination of improving demand trends and low supply trends in the lodging industry discussed here creates the opportunity for improvements in our business in 2006 and 2007, there can be no assurances that any increases in hotel revenues or earnings at our properties will continue for any number of reasons, including, but not limited to, slower than anticipated growth in the economy and changes in travel patterns.

Management's Priorities

Based on forecasted operating conditions, our key management priorities over the next several years include the following:

- the integration of the Starwood Portfolio into our asset management program;
- to work with our managers to increase revenues and minimize operating costs;
- to invest capital in our existing portfolio to maintain our assets and pursue repositioning/ROI opportunities. Potential investments at our hotels could include increasing the number of rooms, building a spa, fitness facility, convention or meeting space or upgrading the infrastructure, such as energy efficient heating and cooling systems;
- to explore opportunities to maximize the value of existing assets by converting all or part of a property's underutilized space to alternate uses such as timeshare or condominium units;
- · to acquire luxury and upper-upscale hotels in locations with high barriers to entry, including hotels in urban and resort/conference locations;
- · to use the proceeds from the sale of non-core hotels to acquire properties more closely aligned with our target profile or to repay debt; and
- to reduce our leverage, over time, to achieve an EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio of 3.0x or greater under our senior notes indenture and seek to maintain a balanced maturity schedule with an average maturity of no less than five years.

Consistent with these priorities, we completed the Starwood Transactions during the second quarter of 2006. We also raised net proceeds of approximately \$787 million from the issuance of our Series P senior notes, the proceeds of which were used to partially fund the Starwood Transactions and redeem the Class C cumulative redeemable preferred stock and the remaining Series B senior notes. Through October 16, 2006, we have sold seven properties for gross proceeds of approximately \$800 million.

In 2005, we acquired the Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C. for approximately \$274 million. We sold five non-core properties for approximately \$149 million and completed the sale of 85% of our interest in the CBM Joint Venture LLC, which owns 120 Courtyard by Marriott properties, for a sales price of approximately \$92 million. Additionally, we raised approximately \$639 million from the issuance of our Series N senior notes in 2005, which were substantially exchanged for our Series O senior notes with substantially identical terms. We used those funds, along with funds raised through asset dispositions, to acquire properties and to repay or refinance approximately \$469 million in senior notes, \$179 million in mortgage debt and \$100 million of 10% redeemable preferred stock, which improved our interest coverage ratio and overall leverage ratio. Similarly, we spent approximately \$107 million in 2005 on repositioning and ROI projects. We expect to spend an additional several hundred million on such investments over the next several years. By contrast, we had limited our expenditures on such development projects in 2002 and 2003 based on our assessment of the relatively weak operating environment and to preserve capital.

Effective January 1, 2006, we amended various management agreements with Marriott International and agreed, among other matters, to waive performance termination tests through the end of fiscal year 2009, to modify certain extension tests which condition the manager's ability to renew the management agreements, and to extend certain contracts for ten additional years. Pursuant to the amendments, Marriott International in turn agreed to make

a cash payment to us, to reduce an existing cap on the costs and expenses related to chain services that are provided on a centralized basis, as well as to establish a cap on certain other costs, to provide us with an incentive to increase our capital expenditures at the hotels through 2008, to waive certain deferred management fees, and to modify the incentive management fee on certain contracts. In addition, we agreed to use a portion of Marriott International's cash payment for brand reinvestment projects at various hotels in our portfolio.

We believe we successfully executed on a number of these management priorities in 2005 and 2006, taking advantage of the positive trends in the hotel industry noted above, as well as strong conditions in the U.S. capital markets. We also believe that the acquisition of the Starwood Portfolio is a very important step in furtherance of these priorities. There can be no assurances, however, that these trends will continue or that we will be able to continue to execute on all, or any, of these priorities over the next several years.

Results of Operations

The following table reflects certain line items from our audited statements of operations and other significant operating statistics (in millions, except operating statistics and percentages):

| | 2005 | 2004 | % Change 2004 to 2005 | 2003 | % Change 2003 to 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Total hotel sales | \$ 3,685 | \$ 3,379 | 9.1% | \$3,028 | 11.6% |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Property-level expenses(1) | 3,230 | 3,031 | 6.6 | 2,797 | 8.4 |
| Corporate and other expenses | 67 | 67 | _ | 60 | 11.7 |
| Gain on insurance settlement | (9) | (3) | N/M(3) | (3) | |
| Operating profit | 508 | 391 | 29.9 | 286 | 36.7 |
| Interest expense | 443 | 483 | (8.3) | 488 | (1.0) |
| Minority interest expense | 16 | 4 | N/M(3) | 5 | (20.0) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 127 | (80) | N/M(3) | (252) | 68.3 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 39 | 80 | (51.3) | 266 | (69.9) |
| Net income (loss) | 166 | _ | N/M(3) | 14 | N/M(3) |
| Comparable hotel operating statistics(2): | | | | | |
| Comparable hotel RevPAR | \$122.82 | \$112.21 | 9.5% | N/A | 7.3% |
| Comparable average room rate | \$166.80 | \$154.96 | 7.6% | N/A | 2.9% |
| Comparable average occupancy | 73.6% | 72.4% | 1.2pts. | N/A | 2.9pts. |

- (1) Amount represents operating costs and expenses per our statements of operations less corporate and other expenses and the gain on insurance settlement.
- (2) Comparable hotel operating statistics for 2005 and 2004 are based on 98 comparable hotels as of December 31, 2005. The percent change from 2003 to 2004 is based on 103 comparable hotels as of December 31, 2004. See "Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics" for further details.
- (3) N/M=Not Meaningful

2005 Compared to 2004

Hotel Sales Overview. Hotel sales increased \$306 million, or 9.1%, to approximately \$3.7 billion for 2005. Hotel sales include approximately \$152 million and \$59 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively, of sales from hotels acquired in 2005 and 2004. Sales for properties sold or classified as held-for-sale at September 8, 2006 have been reclassified as discontinued operations on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. See "Discontinued Operations" below.

We discuss operating results for our full-service hotels on a comparable basis. Comparable hotels are those properties that we have owned for the entirety of the reporting periods being compared. Comparable hotels do not include the results of properties acquired or sold, or that incurred significant property damage and business interruption or large scale capital improvements during these periods. As of December 31, 2005, 98 of our 107 full-service hotels have been classified as comparable hotels. The following discussion is of the sales results of our comparable hotels considering the mix of business (i.e. transient, group or contract), property type (i.e. urban, suburban, resort/conference or airport) and geographic region. See "Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics" for a complete description of our comparable hotels and further detail on these classifications. Comparable hotel sales increased 7.7% to approximately \$3.6 billion for 2005. The revenue growth reflects the increase in comparable RevPAR of 9.5%, as a result of an increase in average room rates of 7.6% and an increase in occupancy of 1.2 percentage points. Food and beverage revenues for our comparable hotels increased 5.6%, primarily due to an increase in catering and outlet revenues.

Hotel Sales by Customer Mix. Demand was strong in 2005, enabling our operators to significantly increase average daily room rates, particularly in the premium and corporate transient segments. For our comparable Marriott hotels, which represent 78% of our total comparable rooms, premium and corporate transient average daily rates increased 12.6% when compared to last year. Our overall transient average room rate for these hotels increased 10.2%. The gap between transient and group rate widened in 2005 indicating pricing power is strong. With increased levels of transient demand, we expect our managers to continue aggressive growth in room rates in 2006.

Total group room revenue for our comparable Marriott hotels increased 6.2% compared to last year, primarily due to an increase in average room rates of approximately 5.0%. Room rates for groups should continue to improve in 2006, as a lower percentage of group business would have been booked for those periods in 2004 or earlier when room rates were significantly lower than those our managers were able to charge. Currently, group booking pace has declined modestly for 2006, reflecting our managers' strategy of keeping more rooms available for the higher-rated transient segments. However, we are experiencing meaningful growth in the average daily rate of our definitive group business for 2006.

Comparable Hotel Sales by Property Type. For 2005, revenues increased significantly across all of our hotel property types, led by our airport hotels with a comparable hotel RevPAR increase of 10.1%, which reflected an average room rate increase of 8.2%. Our urban hotels performed well in 2005, with comparable hotel RevPAR growth of 9.9% to \$140.63. The significant increase in comparable hotel RevPAR at our urban properties was primarily driven by an increase in average room rate of 7.8%, while average occupancy improved by 1.4 percentage points. Our resort/conference hotels had comparable hotel RevPAR growth of 6.8% to \$153.82, with average room rate growth of 7.1%. These hotels include many of our Florida hotels, which experienced a decline in RevPAR in the fourth quarter due to Hurricane Wilma. Our suburban hotels experienced a comparable hotel RevPAR increase of 9.9%, which reflected an average room rate increase of 7.7%.

Comparable Hotel Sales by Geographic Region. For full year 2005, the majority of our geographic regions experienced strong growth in comparable hotel RevPAR with the DC Metro, Mountain, Mid-Atlantic and Pacific regions all experiencing double-digit growth rates.

Our DC Metro region had a comparable hotel RevPAR increase of 15.0%. The improvement was driven by consistent strong performance at all of our hotels in the region, which benefited from solid group and business transient demand. Overall, comparable hotel RevPAR increases for the region reflected an average room rate increase of 11.5% and an average occupancy increase of 2.4 percentage points.

Our Mountain region experienced a comparable hotel RevPAR increase of 14.9%, led by a 16.2% RevPAR increase at our three comparable hotels in the Denver market. We also experienced an 11.2% RevPAR growth at our hotels located in the Phoenix/Scottsdale area.

Comparable hotel RevPAR for our Mid-Atlantic region increased 12.1%. The increase was driven by the performance at our three New York City hotels with comparable hotel RevPAR growth of 17.0%, which was the strongest RevPAR growth in any of our major urban markets for the year. Strong group, transient and international demand has strengthened the performance in the New York City market.

Our Pacific region had a comparable hotel RevPAR increase of 10.2%, as we experienced strong RevPAR growth in the Los Angeles, Hawaii and San Diego markets.

Comparable hotel RevPAR in our Florida region grew by 5.9% as a result of comparable hotel RevPAR increases at our Tampa and Miami/Fort Lauderdale hotels of 6.2% and 11.0%, respectively. The region's fourth quarter results were significantly affected by business interruption due to Hurricane Wilma, which struck Southern Florida in October.

Our Atlanta region rebounded from a difficult third quarter (RevPAR decline of 3.7%) to post a full year RevPAR increase of 5.8%, after a strong 13.4% fourth quarter RevPAR increase. The rebound for the region is attributable to strong transient business demand, which was supplemented by the relocation of two city-wide events from New Orleans.

Comparable hotel RevPAR for our New England region increased 3.4%. Our hotels in the Boston market, which had underperformed during the first three quarters of 2005, had a strong fourth quarter with RevPAR growth of 9.3%, which resulted in an annual RevPAR growth of 5.0%. We expect operating growth at these properties to improve in 2006 based on expected increases in convention activity and an overall improvement in the Boston economy.

The North Central region of our portfolio experienced an increase in comparable hotel RevPAR of 6.8% as average room rates increased 6.9%. The region was led by our Chicago hotels, where RevPAR increased 7.9%.

Overall, comparable hotel results in our South Central region, which includes Texas and Louisiana, were not significantly affected by Hurricane Katrina. However, the operations of the New Orleans Marriott, which is considered a non-comparable hotel, have been, and will continue to be, affected by the large-scale devastation in New Orleans. RevPAR in the region grew by 9.3%, driven primarily by strong increases in occupancy and average room rate at our three properties in Houston, which benefited from business resulting from the evacuation of the Gulf Coast in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina.

Comparable hotel RevPAR for our international properties increased 9.0%. Our four Canadian properties, three of which are in Toronto, experienced an increase in comparable hotel RevPAR of 10.4%.

Rental Income. Our rental income represents lease income from our 71 leased limited-service hotels and three office property leases, as well as lease income from one full-service hotel. The \$5 million improvement in rental income primarily is from operations at the leased limited-service hotel properties which have continued to improve as a result of the stronger economy and the completion of a major renovation projects at most of these properties in 2004.

Property-level Operating Costs. Property-level operating costs and expenses increased 6.6% to approximately \$3.2 billion for 2005. Property-level operating costs and expenses exclude the costs for hotels we have sold and held for sale as of September 8, 2006, which are included in discontinued operations. Our operating costs and expenses, which are both fixed and variable, are affected by changes in occupancy, inflation and revenues, though the effect on specific costs will differ. For example, utility costs increased 15.8%, primarily due to increases in oil and gas prices, while the increase in management fees of 20.6% were a direct result of the growth in the revenues and profitability of our properties. We expect the number of hotels reaching these thresholds and the incentive management fees earned to increase in 2006. We expect operating costs to continue to increase in 2006 as a result of variable costs increasing with occupancy increases, and certain costs increasing at a rate above inflation, particularly utilities, wages and benefits and insurance.

During 2005, we incurred property damage to six properties located in New Orleans and various sites in Florida due to Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Wilma. Our insurance policy provides us with reimbursement for the replacement cost for the damage done to these assets. As a result, we have written off the approximate \$38 million book value of the damaged assets, which includes certain repair and clean-up costs. The write off of the assets has been completely offset by the establishment of an insurance receivable and, accordingly, there is no effect on the statement of operations. Further, to the extent that our insurance settlement proceeds are in excess of the

amounts written off, we will record a gain on insurance settlement in the period that all releases and contingencies are resolved. To date, we have received approximately \$3 million in insurance proceeds related to our property damage claim.

Corporate and Other Expenses. Corporate and other expenses, which totaled \$67 million in both 2005 and 2004, primarily consist of employee salaries and bonuses and other costs such as employee stock-based compensation expense, corporate insurance, audit fees, building rent and system costs.

Gain on Insurance Settlement. The gain on insurance settlement in 2005 relates to \$9 million of business interruption insurance proceeds received as a result of lost profit at our New Orleans Marriott following Hurricane Katrina in August 2005. We expect to recognize additional gains as a result of insurance proceeds for property damage in 2006, once all of our claims have been resolved. In 2004, the gain on insurance settlement represents \$3 million of business interruption proceeds that we received in connection with the loss of business at our Toronto hotels due to the outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS).

Interest Income. Interest income increased \$10 million, primarily due to increases in the interest rates earned on cash and restricted cash balances.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$40 million as a result of the decrease in our interest-bearing obligations from 2004 and 2005 debt repayments and refinancings, as well as a decline in the amount of prepayment penalties associated with debt repayments and refinancings. Specifically, interest expense includes \$30 million for 2005 and \$55 million for 2004 for the call premiums and the acceleration of deferred financing costs and original issue discounts associated with debt prepayments. These declines in interest expense were partially offset by increased interest rates for our variable rate debt.

Net Gains on Property Transactions. Net gains on property transactions increased \$63 million, primarily due to the pre-tax gain of \$69 million on the sale of 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture LLC.

Gain (Loss) on Foreign Currency and Derivative Contracts. The gain on foreign currency and derivative contracts primarily is due to the \$2 million increase in the fair value of the foreign currency exchange contracts on two of our Canadian hotels. These agreements were terminated in the fourth quarter of 2005. The \$6 million loss in 2004 is primarily due to the \$7 million decline in the fair value of the contracts.

Minority Interest Expense. As of December 31, 2005, we held approximately 95% of the partnership interests in Host LP. The increase in our minority interest expense for 2005 primarily is due to the increase in the net income of Host LP, as well as the net income of certain of our consolidated hotel partnerships that are partially owned by third parties.

Equity in Earnings (Losses) of Affiliates. Equity in losses of affiliates decreased by \$15 million due to the sale of 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture LLC during March 2005 which reduced our ownership percentage in the joint venture from 50% to 3.6%. Additionally, the joint venture, which had recorded net losses throughout 2004, has recorded net income since the sale in March 2005.

Benefit from (provision for) income taxes. The increase in the provision for income taxes primarily reflects the \$28 million tax expense from the sale of 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture LLC.

Discontinued Operations. Discontinued operations consist of the results of operations and the gain or loss on disposition of six hotels sold in 2006, one hotel classified as held for sale as of September 8, 2006, five hotels sold in 2005 and nine hotels sold in 2004. For 2005 and 2004, revenues for these properties were \$147 million and \$283 million, respectively, and income before taxes was \$20 million and \$28 million, respectively. We recognized a gain, net of tax, of \$19 million and \$52 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively, on the disposition of these hotels.

2004 Compared to 2003

Hotel Sales Overview. Hotel sales increased 11.6% to approximately \$3.4 billion for 2004. Hotel sales for 2004 include approximately \$59 million of sales for the three hotels acquired in 2004 and exclude sales for the properties we have sold or classified as held for sale at September 8, 2006 for all periods presented, which have been reclassified to discontinued operations. See "Discontinued Operations" below.

We discuss operating results for our full-service hotels on a comparable basis. As of December 31, 2004, 103 of our full-service hotels were classified as comparable hotels. Comparable hotel sales increased 6.4% to approximately \$3.4 billion. The growth in revenues reflects the increase in comparable RevPAR of 7.3% for 2004, as a result of a strong increase in occupancy of 2.9 percentage points, and an increase in average room rate of 2.9%. Food and beverage revenues for our comparable hotels increased 5.7%, primarily due to an increase in catering revenues and the overall increase in occupancy.

Hotel Sales by Customer Mix. Continuing a trend we noted in the first three quarters of 2004, the business mix of our portfolio showed a shift in transient room nights, from lower-rated discount business to higher-rated corporate and premium business.

For 2004, total transient room revenue for our comparable Marriott and Ritz-Carlton hotels was up 6.8% compared to last year, as premium and corporate occupancy increased to 29.3% of total transient demand, up from 25.8% last year, while our average transient room rate increased by 5.4%. This indicates that our hotel managers had greater success in reducing the number of rooms sold at discounted rates as a result of improving transient demand.

For 2004, total group room revenue for our comparable Marriott and Ritz-Carlton hotels was up 8.2% compared to last year, primarily due to an increase in occupancy of approximately 7.5%, while our average group room rate was up slightly, or 0.7%. This increase reflects the increased business travel and the steady growth in the economy. Additionally, our managers improved overall occupancy by accepting greater numbers of advance room reservations for groups, which resulted in fewer rooms available for transient business.

Comparable Hotel Sales by Property Type. For full year 2004, revenues increased consistently across all of our hotel property types. Comparable hotel RevPAR increased 6.8%, 6.4%, 7.0% and 12.0% for urban, suburban, resort/conference and airport properties, respectively. The largest increases were for our airport hotels, which reflected a significant increase in business travel in 2004 compared with the significantly depressed levels of 2002 and 2003.

Comparable Hotel Sales by Geographic Region. During 2004, we experienced RevPAR gains in most regions. Full year 2004 comparable hotel RevPAR in our New England region improved 11.0% over the prior year. The region benefited from the Democratic National Convention during the third quarter and was led by the Hyatt Regency Boston, which was converted from the Swissôtel brand in late 2003, where RevPAR improved by 25.6% for the year.

Comparable hotel RevPAR increased 9.2% for our DC Metro region due primarily to a 5.2% increase in average room rates in 2004. Growth was slowed during the year by rooms renovations at four of our hotels in the region.

For our Atlanta region, comparable hotel RevPAR grew by 6.0%. The improvement was led by The Grand Hyatt, Atlanta, The Four Seasons, Atlanta and The Ritz-Carlton, Atlanta, where RevPAR increased 9.7%, 10.9% and 9.9%, respectively.

Our Pacific region, which had lagged behind the portfolio as a whole during 2002 and 2003, continued to improve as comparable hotel RevPAR increased 8.0%, with significant increases in occupancy. The primary reason this region had been underperforming over the past three years was the decline in travel related to the area's technology companies, particularly in the San Francisco Bay area. The improvement in the Pacific region in 2004 reflects an increase in comparable hotel RevPAR at our San Francisco market hotels of 14.5%. The results for the Pacific region also reflect a 6.5% increase in comparable hotel RevPAR at our properties in the Los Angeles market.

Comparable hotel RevPAR in our Mid-Atlantic region improved 10.7% over the prior year. Our New York City properties benefited from the Republican National Convention in the third quarter and strong demand in the fourth quarter of 2004.

For 2004, comparable hotel RevPAR in the Florida region improved 7.1% over 2003. During August and September, four hurricanes caused significant damage in Florida. Our 12 properties in the region and the New Orleans Marriott experienced varying levels of property damage and business interruption. During 2004, we recorded \$3 million of non-recoverable losses.

RevPAR in other regions was relatively unchanged from 2003. RevPAR declined 0.9% in our South Central region, while RevPAR in our North Central and Mountain regions experienced comparable RevPAR increases of 2.2% and 2.7%, respectively.

Comparable hotel RevPAR for our international properties increased 17.5% for 2004. Our four Canadian properties, three of which are in Toronto, experienced increases in RevPAR of 24.5%, as the region has recovered from the SARS related travel restrictions in 2003 and the effect of the favorable appreciation of the Canadian dollar compared to the U.S. dollar.

Rental Income. Our rental income represents lease income from our 71 leased limited-service hotels and three office property leases, as well as lease income from one full-service hotel. In 2003, operations at the leased limited-service hotel properties suffered because a significant portion of these properties underwent renovations to enable them to compete with newer hotels and the weak economic conditions in their markets. While several leased properties still were under renovation in 2004, the properties that underwent renovations in 2003 performed substantially better. This was the primary reason for the increase in total rental income of \$6 million to \$106 million during 2004.

Property-level Operating Costs. Property-level operating costs and expenses increased 8.4% to approximately \$3.0 billion. Property-level operating costs and expenses exclude the costs for hotels we have sold and held for sale, which are included in discontinued operations. Comparable hotel expenses increased 5.1% to approximately \$2.6 billion. The increase in operating costs and expenses is due to additional costs associated with an increase in occupancy at our hotels and an increase in wage, benefit, utility and sales and marketing costs. Operating costs and expenses also include base and incentive management fees, which are earned based on the operating performance of our individual hotels.

Corporate and Other Expenses. Corporate and other expenses primarily consist of employee salaries and bonuses and other costs such as employee stock-based compensation expense, corporate insurance, audit fees, building rent and system costs. During 2004, these expenses increased \$7 million, primarily due to an increase in stock compensation expense as a result of the significant appreciation in our stock price since December 31, 2003 and an increase in the number of shares that we may issue that are subject to performance criteria established by the Compensation Policy Committee of the Board of Directors.

Interest Expense. During 2004, interest expense decreased \$5 million. Interest expense for 2004 includes \$32 million of interest which was previously classified as dividends on Convertible Preferred Securities. Interest expense includes \$55 million and \$31 million of call premiums and accelerated deferred financing costs and original issue discounts that were associated with debt prepayments made in 2004 and 2003, respectively. After excluding these items, interest expense decreased approximately \$60 million due to the significant amount of debt repayments and refinancings that occurred in 2003 and 2004.

Net Gains on Property Transactions. Net gains on property transactions are due primarily to the recognition of deferred gains. In 1994, we sold a portfolio of Fairfield Inns by Marriott and received a note receivable in partial payment. Subsequently, we recorded a loss on the note due to a decline in the operations of the hotels. During 2004, the owner of the hotels filed for bankruptcy and several properties were sold. We recognized a previously deferred gain of approximately \$12 million based on the amount of the proceeds we received.

Loss on Foreign Currency and Derivative Contracts. During 2004, the loss on foreign currency and derivative contracts is primarily due to the approximate \$7 million loss from the foreign currency exchange contracts related to mortgage debt that was secured by three of our Canadian hotels for the majority of 2004, as the value of the U.S. dollar continued to decline in relation to the Canadian dollar. These contracts were deemed ineffective for hedge accounting purposes in 2003, which resulted in an \$18 million loss at that time.

Minority Interest Expense. Minority interest expense consists of our minority partners' share of the income or loss in consolidated hotel partnerships and the approximate 6% ownership in Host LP.

Equity in Losses of Affiliates. Equity in losses of affiliates consists of our portion of the earnings (losses) of two partnerships in which we own non-controlling interests. The decrease in the loss can be attributed to a decrease in the net loss of CBM Joint Venture LLC in 2004 and an increase in the income from our investment in Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P.

Discontinued Operations. Discontinued operations consist of the results of operations and the gain or loss on disposition of six hotels sold in 2006, one hotel classified as held-for-sale as of September 8, 2006, five hotels sold in 2005, nine hotels sold in 2004, eight hotels sold in 2003, and the gain on the disposition and business interruption proceeds for the New York Marriott World Trade Center hotel in 2003. For 2004 and 2003, revenues for these properties were \$283 million and \$583 million, respectively, and income before taxes for the same periods was \$28 million and \$204 million, respectively. We recognized a gain, net of tax, of \$52 million and \$65 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively, on the disposition of these hotels.

Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics

We present certain operating statistics (i.e., RevPAR, average daily rate and average occupancy) and operating results (revenues, expenses and adjusted operating profit) for the periods included in this report on a comparable hotel basis. We define our comparable hotels as full-service properties (i) that are owned or leased by us and the operations of which are included in our consolidated results, whether as continuing operations or discontinued operations for the entirety of the reporting periods being compared and (ii) that have not sustained substantial property damage or business interruption, or undergone large-scale capital projects during the reporting periods being compared. Of the 107 full-service hotels that we owned on December 31, 2005, 98 have been classified as comparable hotels. The operating results of the following nine hotels that we owned as of December 31, 2005 are excluded from comparable hotel results for these periods:

- Memphis Marriott (construction of a 200-room expansion started in 2003 and completed in 2004);
- Embassy Suites Chicago Downtown-Lakefront Hotel (acquired in April 2004);
- Fairmont Kea Lani Maui (acquired in July 2004);
- Newport Beach Marriott Hotel (major renovation started in July 2004);
- Mountain Shadows Resort and Golf Club (hotel closed pending sale, which is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2006);
- Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains (acquired in September 2004);
- Atlanta Marriott Marquis (major renovation started August 2005);
- · New Orleans Marriott (property damage and business interruption from Hurricane Katrina in August 2005); and
- Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C. (acquired in September 2005).

Additionally, the operating results of the fourteen hotels we disposed of in 2005 and 2004 also are not included in comparable hotel results for the periods presented herein. Moreover, because these statistics and operating results are for our full-service hotel properties, they exclude results for our non-hotel properties and leased limited-service hotels.

We evaluate the operating performance of our comparable hotels based on both geographic region and property type. These divisions are generally consistent with industry data provided by hospitality research firms such as Smith Travel Research.

Geographic regions consist of the following (only states in which we own hotels are listed):

- Pacific—California, Hawaii, Oregon and Washington;
- · Mountain—Arizona and Colorado;
- · North Central—Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri and Ohio;
- South Central—Louisiana, Tennessee and Texas;
- · New England—Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire;
- Mid-Atlantic—Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York;
- DC Metro—Maryland, Virginia and Washington, D.C.;
- Atlanta—Georgia and North Carolina;
- · Florida—Florida; and
- · International—Canada and Mexico.

Property types consist of the following:

- Urban—Hotels located in central business districts of major cities. This includes most of our large convention center properties, suburban markets or edge cities located outside the urban core in larger metropolitan areas;
- Suburban—Hotels located in office parks or smaller secondary markets;
- · Resort/conference—Hotels located in resort/conference destinations such as Florida, Hawaii and Southern California; and
- · Airport—Hotels located at or near airports.

The following tables set forth performance information for our comparable full-service hotels by geographic region and property type as of December 31, 2005 and 2004:

Comparable by Region

| | As of December | As of December 31, 2005 Year ended December 31, 2005 Year ended December 31, 200 | | | 2004 | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------|----------------------|--|----------|--------------------------------|
| | No. of Properties | No. of Rooms | Average Room Rate | Average Occupancy <u>Percentages</u> | RevPAR | Average Room Rate | Average Occupancy <u>Percentages</u> | RevPAR | Percent Change in RevPAR |
| Pacific | 20 | 11,035 | \$ 171.51 | 75.9% | \$130.22 | \$ 160.37 | 73.7% | \$118.19 | 10.2% |
| Florida | 11 | 7,027 | 173.99 | 71.6 | 124.51 | 164.70 | 71.4 | 117.60 | 5.9 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 10 | 6,720 | 209.71 | 79.2 | 166.06 | 189.17 | 78.3 | 148.19 | 12.1 |
| North Central | 13 | 4,923 | 132.47 | 67.8 | 89.78 | 123.93 | 67.8 | 84.06 | 6.8 |
| DC Metro | 11 | 4,661 | 181.76 | 77.2 | 140.27 | 163.01 | 74.8 | 121.96 | 15.0 |
| Atlanta | 11 | 3,968 | 159.13 | 69.0 | 109.83 | 151.79 | 68.4 | 103.82 | 5.8 |
| South Central | 6 | 3,526 | 134.96 | 76.3 | 102.94 | 125.73 | 74.9 | 94.19 | 9.3 |
| New England | 6 | 3,032 | 155.57 | 72.9 | 113.35 | 150.48 | 72.9 | 109.64 | 3.4 |
| Mountain | 5 | 1,940 | 112.93 | 62.6 | 70.72 | 106.70 | 57.7 | 61.54 | 14.9 |
| International | 5 | 1,953 | 134.18 | 72.2 | 96.83 | 122.86 | 72.3 | 88.87 | 9.0 |
| All Regions | 98 | 48,785 | 166.80 | 73.6 | 122.82 | 154.96 | 72.4 | 112.21 | 9.5 |

Comparable by Property Type

| | As of Decembe | er 31, 2005 | Year ended December 31, 2005 | | | Year e | Year ended December 31, 2004 | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | No. of Properties | No. of Rooms | Average Room Rate | Average Occupancy Percentages | RevPAR | Average Room Rate | Average Occupancy Percentages | RevPAR | Percent Change in RevPAR |
| Urban | 39 | 22,874 | \$ 183.26 | 76.7% | \$140.63 | \$ 170.00 | 75.3% | \$127.95 | 9.9% |
| Suburban | 33 | 12,195 | 133.96 | 67.9 | 90.93 | 124.44 | 66.5 | 82.71 | 9.9 |
| Airport | 16 | 7,328 | 122.41 | 75.9 | 92.89 | 113.12 | 74.6 | 84.37 | 10.1 |
| Resort/ Convention | 10 | 6,388 | 216.80 | 70.9 | 153.82 | 202.44 | 71.1 | 143.97 | 6.8 |
| All Types | 98 | 48,785 | 166.80 | 73.6 | 122.82 | 154.96 | 72.4 | 112.21 | 9.5 |

The following statistics are for all of our full-service properties for the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, including the results of operations for five hotels sold in 2005 and nine hotels sold in 2004 prior to their disposition.

All Full-Service Properties

| | Year | ended |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2005 | December 31, 2004 |
| Average Room Rate | \$ 167.64 | \$ 152.03 |
| Average Occupancy | 72.6% | 72.0% |
| RevPAR | \$ 121.66 | \$ 109.51 |

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Requirements

We use cash primarily for acquisitions, capital expenditures, debt payments and dividends to stockholders. As a REIT, we are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income. Funds used to make these dividends are provided from Host LP. We depend primarily on external sources of capital to finance future growth, including acquisitions.

Cash Balances. As of December 31, 2005, we had \$184 million of cash and cash equivalents, which was a decrease of \$163 million from December 31, 2004. The decrease primarily is attributable to the acquisition of the Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C. for a purchase price of approximately \$274 million of available cash, significant capital expenditures during the year, the redemption of our preferred stock and dividend payments. These cash outlays were partially offset by an increase in cash provided by operations and proceeds from hotel sales. As a result, we have now reduced our cash balances closer to the \$100 million to \$150 million level that we have historically maintained based on the flexibility and capacity provided by our credit facility and the continuing growth of the economy.

As of December 31, 2005, we also had \$109 million of cash that was restricted as a result of lender requirements, which was a decrease of \$45 million from December 31, 2004 (including reserves for debt service, real estate taxes, insurance, as well as cash collateral and excess cash flow deposits). On October 31, 2005, escrowed funds held in accordance with restrictive debt covenant requirements of approximately \$71 million were released to us as a result of meeting certain conditions. The remaining restricted cash balances do not have a significant effect on our liquidity.

Approximately \$85 million of mortgage debt has matured in 2006. Principal amortization of mortgage debt will total approximately \$57 million for the full year 2006. The maturity of \$88 million of mortgage debt secured by the JWDC Marriott Hotel was extended for one-year in August 2006. We believe we have sufficient cash, or availability under our line of credit to deal with our near-term maturities as well as any potential decline in the cash flow from our business.

On October 14, 2005, we borrowed approximately \$100 million of our available capacity under our credit facility to retire the remaining mortgage on our Canadian properties and for general corporate purposes, of which \$80 million was repaid during the fourth quarter with the release of the restricted cash discussed above and available cash. The remaining balance was repaid in the first quarter of 2006.

Acquisitions. In August 2006, we acquired The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa. See "Recent Events." In 2005, we acquired the 834-room Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C. for a purchase price of approximately \$274 million. During 2005, we also purchased the ground lease associated with the Chicago Marriott Suites O'Hare for approximately \$5 million. In 2004, we acquired three properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$502 million, including the assumption of \$34 million in debt. During 2004, we also purchased a retail building adjacent to one of our hotels and the land under the JW Marriott Hotel at Lenox in Atlanta, which we previously leased, for a combined total of approximately \$30 million.

We remain interested in pursuing single asset and portfolio acquisitions, both domestically and abroad. We believe that there are and will continue to be opportunities in the near term and over the next several years to acquire assets that are consistent with our target profile of luxury and upper-upscale properties in urban and resort/convention destinations where further large scale development is limited.

We may acquire properties through various structures, including transactions involving portfolios, single assets, joint ventures (including through our joint venture with ABP and GIC RE) and acquisitions of all or substantially all of the securities or assets of other REITs or similar real estate entities. We anticipate that our acquisitions will be financed through a combination of methods, including proceeds from equity offerings of Host, issuance of OP units by Host LP, advances under our credit facility, our available cash and the incurrence or assumption of indebtedness. We may, from time to time, be in the process of identifying, analyzing and negotiating possible acquisition transactions and we expect to continue to do so in the future. We cannot be certain as to the size or timing of acquisition opportunities or of our ability to obtain additional acquisition financing, if needed. Additionally, the number of potential acquirers for individual hotel properties has increased due to the improvement of both the capital markets and the lodging industry and, as a result, the cost of acquiring properties has increased. We can provide no assurance that we will continue to be able to find acquisition targets that provide a suitable return on investment.

Debt Repayments and Refinancings. Reducing future interest payments and leverage remains a key management priority. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we had a net reduction in total debt of approximately \$153 million as a result of repayments, redemptions and amortization of principal. This reduction in debt does not

reflect the conversion or redemption of the Convertible Subordinated Debentures, which further reduced our debt balance by approximately \$385 million early in 2006. Additionally, we refinanced approximately \$609 million of our debt in 2005 and \$830 million of our debt in 2004. The combined effect of the transactions during 2005 and 2004 lowered our average interest rate by approximately 50 basis points since 2003 to 7.2% as of December 31, 2005 and we have a weighted-average maturity of 6.4 years.

We may continue to redeem or refinance senior notes and mortgage debt from time to time to take advantage of favorable market conditions. We may purchase senior notes for cash through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, a tender offer or, in some cases, through the early redemption of such securities pursuant to their terms. Repurchases of debt, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. Any refinancing or retirement before the maturity date would affect earnings and FFO per diluted share, as defined below, as a result of the payment of any applicable call premiums and the acceleration of previously deferred financing costs. Specifically, interest expense includes \$30 million for 2005 and \$55 million for 2004 for the call premiums and the acceleration of deferred financing costs and original issue discounts associated with debt prepayments.

Capital Expenditures. For 2005, our renewal and replacement capital expenditures were approximately \$242 million. Our renewal and replacement capital expenditures generally are funded by the furniture, fixture and equipment funds established at certain of our hotels (typically funded with approximately 5% of property revenues) and by our available cash.

For 2005, we spent approximately \$107 million on repositioning/ROI projects. We completed the renovation and repositioning of the Newport Beach Marriott Hotel in December 2005 at a cost of approximately \$60 million, which includes the addition of a spa and 20 new luxury suites, redesigned guest rooms, a new restaurant concept and updated meeting space. We also recently began work on a planned investment of approximately \$70 million for the development of an exhibit hall for the Marriott Orlando World Center Hotel. These projects have historically generated strong returns and, over the next several years, we expect to spend approximately \$300 million to \$500 million on such investments.

Sources and Uses of Cash

Our principal sources of cash are cash from operations, the sale of assets, borrowing under our credit facility and our ability to obtain additional financing through various capital markets. Our principal uses of cash are debt service, asset acquisitions, capital expenditures, operating costs, corporate and other expenses and distributions to equity holders.

Cash Provided by Operations. Our cash provided by operations for 2005 increased \$148 million to \$512 million from \$364 million for 2004 due primarily to the increase in operating profit in 2005.

Cash Used in Investing Activities. Cash used in investing activities for 2005 declined by \$76 million to \$429 million when compared to 2004. Activity for 2005 included the sale of five non-core hotels for net proceeds of approximately \$122 million and the sale of 85% of our interest in the CBM Joint Venture LLC for \$92 million. Additionally, we increased our capital expenditures by \$98 million to \$349 million in 2005 as part of our strategy to maximize the value of our existing portfolio. Investing activities in 2005 also include the acquisition of the Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill in Washington D.C. for approximately \$274 million.

Activity for 2004 primarily included the acquisition of three hotel properties and other assets for total cash expenditures of approximately \$503 million, the net proceeds of approximately \$246 million from the sale of nine non-core hotels, and capital expenditures at our properties of approximately \$251 million.

As of October 16, 2006, we have sold seven properties for gross proceeds of approximately \$800 million. The net proceeds from these dispositions have been, or will be, used to repay debt, fund acquisitions or repositioning/ROI projects or for general corporate purposes.

The following table summarizes significant investing activities that have been completed since the beginning of fiscal year 2004 (in millions):

| Transaction Date | | Description of Transaction | vestment) ale Price |
|---------------------|------|--|------------------------|
| Acquisitions | | | |
| August | 2006 | Purchase of The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa(3) | \$ (393) |
| July | 2006 | Investment in European joint venture(1) | (58) |
| May/June | 2006 | Investment in European joint venture(2) | (72) |
| April | 2006 | Purchase of 28 hotels from Starwood(4) | (3,070) |
| September | 2005 | Purchase of the 834-room Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C. | (274) |
| September | 2004 | Purchase of the 270-room Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains(5) | (58) |
| July | 2004 | Purchase of the 450-suite Fairmont Kea Lani | (355) |
| May | 2004 | Purchase of the 455-room Embassy Suites Lakefront, Chicago | (89) |
| | | Total acquisitions | \$ (4,369) |
| Dispositions | | | |
| September | 2006 | Sale of The Ritz-Carlton, Atlanta | \$ 80 |
| September | 2006 | Sale of Detroit Marriott Livonia | 21 |
| March | 2006 | Sale of Swissôtel The Drake, New York | 440 |
| February | 2006 | Sale of Marriott at Research Triangle Park | 28 |
| February | 2006 | Sale of Chicago Marriott Suites Deerfield | 27 |
| January | 2006 | Sale of Albany Marriott | 58 |
| January | 2006 | Sale of Fort Lauderdale Marina Marriott | 146 |
| October | 2005 | Sale of Charlotte Marriott Executive Park | 21 |
| March | 2005 | Sale of 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture LLC | 92 |
| January | 2005 | Sale of Torrance Marriott | 62 |
| January | 2005 | Sale of Hartford Marriott at Farmington, Tampa Westshore Marriott and Albuquerque Marriott(6) | 66 |
| December | 2004 | Sale of the Bethesda Marriott | 45 |
| December | 2004 | Sale of the Salt Lake City Marriott | 50 |
| May | 2004 | Sale of the Dallas/Fort Worth Airport Marriott | 59 |
| January | 2004 | Sale of the Mexico City Airport Marriott | 30 |
| January | 2004 | Sale of the Atlanta Northwest Marriott, Detroit Romulus Marriott and the Detroit Southfield Marriott, Atlanta Marriott | |
| | | Norcross and the Fullerton Marriott | 70 |
| | | Total dispositions | \$ 1,295 |

⁽¹⁾ During the third quarter of 2006, we invested an additional \$58 million, or €46 million, in the European joint venture. The proceeds were used by the European joint venture to fund a portion of the acquisition of the Hotel Arts Barcelona.

⁽²⁾ Investment price includes the contribution of the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers valued at \$59 million on May 2, 2006, which was acquired from Starwood on April 10, 2006, and cash to the joint venture.

⁽³⁾ Investment price includes assumption of \$135 million of mortgage debt.

⁽⁴⁾ Investment price includes the assumption of \$77 million of mortgage debt and the issuance of \$2.27 billion of Host common stock (representing approximately 133.5 million shares of Host common stock) and excludes transaction expenses.

⁽⁵⁾ Investment price includes the assumption of \$34 million of mortgage debt.

⁽⁶⁾ Sale price includes the assumption by the buyer of \$20 million of mortgage debt.

Cash Used in Financing Activities. Cash used in financing activities, net, was \$246 million and \$276 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively. During 2005, cash provided by financing activities included the issuance of debt securities for approximately \$639 million, net of financing costs, while cash used in financing activities primarily consisted of debt prepayments of approximately \$631 million and the redemption of \$100 million of preferred stock. See the table below for additional information. In connection with the redemptions of senior notes and prepayment of mortgage debt in 2005, we were required to pay premiums totaling approximately \$27 million in exchange for the right to retire this debt in advance of its maturity.

During 2005, our common dividend payments increased \$83 million to \$102 million when compared to 2004 due to the improvements in operations that resulted in an increase in taxable income. At the same time, dividends on our preferred stock declined by \$7 million when compared to 2004 to \$30 million due to the redemption of \$100 million of our 10% Class B preferred stock in May 2005.

During 2004, approximately \$1.2 billion of cash was provided by financing activities through the issuance of equity and debt securities, while cash used in financing activities primarily consisted of debt prepayments of approximately \$1.2 billion. See the table below for additional information. In connection with the redemptions of senior notes in 2004, we were required to pay premiums totaling approximately \$40 million in exchange for the right to retire this debt in advance of its maturity. On August 3, 2004, we redeemed all 4.16 million shares of our 10% Class A preferred stock for approximately \$104 million with the proceeds from the issuance of 4 million shares of the 8 7/8% Class E preferred shares and available cash. The table below summarizes other significant debt (net of deferred financing costs) and equity transactions (not including the conversions of our Convertible Subordinated Debentures in 2005 and 2006 into 30.8 million common shares or the approximately 133.5 million shares of Host common stock issued in the Starwood acquisition, as these are non-cash transactions) since January 2004 (in millions):

| Transaction Date | | Description of Transaction | saction ount |
|---------------------|------|--|-----------------|
| Debt | | | |
| August | 2006 | Assumption of mortgage debt on The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa | \$ 135 |
| June | 2006 | Repayment of 8.39% mortgage on the Boston Marriott Copley Place | (84) |
| May | 2006 | Redemption of the remaining 7 7/8% Series B senior notes | (136) |
| April | 2006 | Assumption of mortgage debt from Starwood | 77 |
| April | 2006 | Redemption of outstanding Convertible Preferred Securities | (2) |
| March | 2006 | Proceeds from the issuance of 6 ³ / ₄ % Series P senior notes(1) | 787 |
| January | 2006 | Proceeds from the issuance of 5.195% Canadian mortgage loan | 116 |
| January | 2006 | Repayment of the Credit Facility | (20) |
| November | 2005 | Repayment of the Credit Facility | (80) |
| October | 2005 | Draw on the Credit Facility | 100 |
| October | 2005 | Prepayment of the 6.7% Canadian mortgage loan(2) | (19) |
| May | 2005 | Prepayment of the 9% mortgage debt on two Ritz-Carlton hotels | (140) |
| April | 2005 | Discharge of the remaining 8 ³ /8% Series E senior notes | (20) |
| April | 2005 | Partial redemption of 77/8% Series B senior notes | (169) |
| March | 2005 | Partial redemption of 8 3/8% Series E senior notes | (280) |
| March | 2005 | Proceeds from the issuance of 6 ³ /8% Series N senior notes | 639 |
| January | 2005 | 8.35% mortgage on the Hartford Marriott at Farmington assumed by buyer | (20) |
| December | 2004 | Partial prepayment of the 5.19% Canadian mortgage loan(2) | (34) |
| September | 2004 | Assumed 6.08% mortgage on the Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains hotel | 34 |
| September | 2004 | Partial redemption of 7 7/8% Series B senior notes | (336) |
| August | 2004 | Proceeds from the issuance of 7% Series L senior notes | 345 |
| May | 2004 | Partial redemption of 7 7/8% Series B senior notes | (65) |
| April | 2004 | Partial redemption of 7 7/8% Series B senior notes | (494) |
| March | 2004 | Proceeds from the issuance of 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures due 2024 | 484 |
| January | 2004 | Payment of the 12.68% mortgage on the Mexico Airport Marriott | (11) |
| January | 2004 | Prepayment of the 8.58% mortgage on the Hanover Marriott | (27) |
| January | 2004 | Redemption of the remaining 8.45% Series C senior notes | (218) |
| January | 2004 | Partial prepayment of the 9% mortgage on two Ritz-Carlton hotels | (44) |
| 2005/2004 | | Principal amortization | (119) |
| | | Net debt transactions | \$ 399 |
| Equity | | | |
| May | 2006 | Redemption of 5.98 million shares of 10% Class C preferred stock | \$ (151) |
| May | 2005 | Redemption of 4 million shares of 10% Class B preferred stock | (101) |
| August | 2004 | Redemption of 4.16 million shares of 10% Class A preferred stock | (104) |
| May/June | 2004 | Proceeds from the issuance of approximately 4 million shares of 8 ⁷ /8% Class E preferred stock | 98 |
| June | 2004 | Proceeds from the issuance of 25 million common stock | 301 |
| | | Net equity transactions | \$ 43 |

⁽¹⁾ The Series P senior notes were exchanged for Series Q senior notes in July 2006.

⁽²⁾ The Canadian mortgage had floating interest rate based on LIBOR plus 275 basis points. The interest rates shown reflect the rate as of the date of the transactions.

Financial Condition

General

As of December 31, 2005, our total debt was \$5.4 billion with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 7.2% and a weighted average maturity of 6.4 years. Additionally, approximately 85% of our debt has a fixed rate of interest.

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, our debt was comprised of:

| | December 31, 2005 | | D | December 31, 2004 | |
|--|----------------------|-------|----|----------------------|--|
| Series B senior notes, with a rate of $7^{7/8}$ % due August 2008(1) | \$ | 136 | \$ | 304 | |
| Series E senior notes, with a rate of 8 3/8% due February 2006 | | _ | | 300 | |
| Series G senior notes, with a rate of $9^{1/4}$ % due October 2007(2) | | 236 | | 243 | |
| Series I senior notes, with a rate of $9^{1/2}\%$ due January 2007(3) | | 451 | | 468 | |
| Series K senior notes, with a rate of $7\frac{1}{8}$ % due November 2013 | | 725 | | 725 | |
| Series M senior notes, with a rate of 7% due August 2012 | | 346 | | 346 | |
| Series O senior notes, with a rate of 6 3/8% due March 2015 | | 650 | | _ | |
| Exchangeable Senior Debentures, with a rate of 3.25% due April 2024 | | 493 | | 491 | |
| Senior notes, with an average rate of 9.7%, maturing through May 2012 | | 13 | | 13 | |
| Total senior notes | | 3,050 | _ | 2,890 | |
| Credit facility | | 20 | | | |
| Mortgage debt (non-recourse) secured by \$3.1 billion of real estate assets, with an average interest rate of 7.8% and 7.7% at | | | | | |
| December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, maturing through February 2023(4) | | 1,823 | | 2,043 | |
| Convertible Subordinated Debentures, with a rate of 6 ³ / ₄ % due December 2026(5) | | 387 | | 492 | |
| Other | | 90 | | 98 | |
| Total debt | \$ | 5,370 | \$ | 5,523 | |

- (1) We redeemed all remaining Series B senior notes on May 15, 2006.
- (2) Includes the fair value of interest rate swap agreements of \$(6) million and \$1 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
- (3) Includes the fair value of an interest rate swap agreement of \$1 million and \$18 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
- 4) Excludes \$20 million of mortgage debt related to the Hartford Marriott Farmington, that was reclassified as liabilities associated with assets held for sale at December 31, 2004. The hotel was sold on January 6, 2005.
- (5) As of February 10, 2006, \$368 million of this debt had been converted into approximately 24.0 million of common shares. We redeemed the remaining \$2 million of outstanding Convertible Preferred Securities held by third parties for cash on April 5, 2006. Additionally, the \$17 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures not held by third parties was eliminated in conjunction with the redemption on April 5, 2006.

Senior Notes

General. The following summary is a description of the material provisions of the indentures governing our various senior notes issues by the operating partnership, which we refer to collectively as the senior notes indenture. Under the terms of our senior notes indenture, our senior notes are equal in right of payment with all of the operating partnership's unsubordinated indebtedness and senior to all subordinated obligations of the operating partnership. The notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture are guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and currently are secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture, as well as our credit facility, certain other senior debt, and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements with lenders that are parties to the credit facility. We pay interest on each series of our outstanding senior notes semi-annually in arrears at the respective annual rates indicated on the table above.

Restrictive Covenants. Under the terms of the senior notes indenture, our ability to incur indebtedness and pay dividends is subject to restrictions and the satisfaction of various conditions, including the achievement of an EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0x by the operating partnership. This ratio is calculated in accordance with our senior notes indenture and excludes from interest expense items such as interest on our Convertible Subordinated Debentures, call premiums and deferred financing charges that are included in interest expense on our consolidated statement of operations. Additionally, the calculation is based on our pro forma results for the four prior fiscal quarters giving effect to the transactions, such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings, as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. Other covenants limiting our ability to incur indebtedness and pay dividends include maintaining total indebtedness (excluding our Convertible Subordinated Debentures) of less than 65% of adjusted total assets (using undepreciated real estate values) and secured indebtedness of less than 45% of adjusted total assets. So long as we maintain the required level of interest coverage and satisfy these and other conditions in the senior notes indenture, we may pay preferred or common dividends and incur additional debt under the senior notes indenture, including debt incurred in connection with an acquisition. Our senior notes indenture also imposes restrictions on customary matters, such as limitations on capital expenditures, acquisitions, investments, transactions with affiliates and the incurrence of liens.

Exchangeable Senior Debentures. On March 16, 2004, we issued \$500 million of 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures and received proceeds of \$484 million, net of underwriting fees and expenses and an original issue discount. These debentures were issued under our senior notes indenture, and are the only series of senior notes that are exchangeable into common stock. The Exchangeable Senior Debentures mature on April 15, 2024 and are equal in right of payment with all of our unsubordinated debt. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year. We can redeem for cash all, or part of, the Exchangeable Senior Debentures at any time subsequent to April 19, 2009 upon 30 days notice at the applicable redemption price as set forth in the indenture. Holders have the right to require us to repurchase the Exchangeable Senior Debentures on April 15, 2010, April 15, 2014 and April 15, 2019 at the issue price. The Exchangeable Senior Debentures currently are exchangeable into shares of common stock at a rate of 56.1319 shares for each \$1,000 of principal amount of the debentures, or a total of approximately 28 million shares, which is equivalent to an exchange price of \$17.82 per share of common stock. The exchange rate may be adjusted under certain circumstances, including the payment of common dividends. Holders may exchange their Exchangeable Senior Debentures prior to maturity under certain conditions, including at any time at which the closing sale price of the common stock is more than 120% of the exchange price per share, for at least 20 of 30 trading days. The Exchangeable Senior Debentures and the common stock issuable upon exchange of the debentures have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold except to qualified institutional buyers, as defined. We have a shelf registration statement that currently is effective with respect to the resale of the common stock issuable upon exchange of the debentures.

As of September 25, 2006, holders of the debentures may exchange their debentures for Host common stock as the closing price for Host common stock exceeded 120% of the exchange price for 20 out of the 30 trading days during the period ending on September 25, 2006 (the first day of the current exchange period). The debentures will remain exchangeable until January 16, 2007 (the last day of the current exchange period). The debentures will remain exchangeable after January 16, 2007, if the trading price of Host common stock continues to exceed 120% of the exchange price for 20 out of the 30 trading days during the period ending on January 17, 2007, or if other conditions for exchange are satisfied.

Credit Facility

General. On September 10, 2004, we entered into an amended and restated credit facility. The credit facility replaced our prior credit facility and provides aggregate revolving loan commitments in the amount of \$575 million. The credit facility also includes sub-commitments for the issuance of letters of credit in an aggregate amount of \$10 million and loans to certain of our Canadian subsidiaries in Canadian Dollars in an aggregate amount of \$150 million. The credit facility has an initial scheduled maturity in September 2008. We have an option to extend the maturity for an additional year if certain conditions are met at the time of the initial scheduled maturity. We also have the option to increase the amount of the credit facility by up to \$100 million to the extent that any one or more lenders, whether or not currently party to the credit facility, commits to be a lender for such amount. Currently, we have no amounts outstanding under our credit facility.

As with the prior facility, the debt under the amended credit facility is guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and currently is secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit our credit facility as well as the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture, certain other senior debt, and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements with lenders that are parties to the credit facility. As with the prior facility, the pledges are permitted to be released in the event that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x for two consecutive fiscal quarters.

Dual Tranche Structure. Unlike our prior facility, the revolving loan commitment under the amended credit facility is divided into two separate tranches: (1) a Revolving Facility A tranche of \$385 million and (2) a Revolving Facility B tranche of \$190 million. Subject to compliance with the facility's financial covenants, amounts available for borrowing under Revolving Facility A vary depending on our leverage ratio, with \$385 million being available when our leverage ratio is less than 6.5x, \$300 million being available when our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 6.75x but is less than 7.0x, and no amounts being available when our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 7.0x. By contrast, the entire amount of Revolving Facility B is available for borrowing at any time that our unsecured interest coverage ratio equals or exceeds 1.5x and our leverage ratio does not exceed levels ranging from 7.5x to 7.0x. Specifically, prior to the end of our third quarter of 2007, we are permitted to make borrowings and maintain amounts outstanding under Revolving Facility B so long as our leverage ratio is not in excess of 7.5x; the maximum leverage ratio applicable to Revolving Facility B is then reduced to 7.25x from the end of the third quarter of 2007 until the day prior to end of our third quarter of 2008, and is reduced to 7.0x thereafter.

Financial Covenants. We are subject to different financial covenants depending on whether amounts are borrowed under Revolving Facility A or Revolving Facility B, and we are permitted to convert amounts borrowed under either tranche into amounts borrowed under the other tranche. While the financial covenants applicable under Revolving Facility A are generally comparable to those contained in our prior facility (including covenants for leverage, fixed charge coverage and unsecured interest coverage), the financial covenants applicable to Revolving Facility B are limited to leverage and unsecured interest coverage, and are set at less restrictive levels than the corresponding covenants applicable to Revolving Facility A. As a result of this structure, we have gained flexibility to make and maintain borrowings in circumstances where adverse changes to our financial condition could have prohibited the maintenance of borrowings under the prior facility. The financial covenants for the Revolving Facility A and Revolving Facility B do not apply when there are no borrowings under the respective tranche. Hence, so long as there are no amounts outstanding we are not in default of the credit facility if we do not satisfy the financial covenants and we do not lose the potential to draw under the amended credit facility in the future if we were ever to come back into compliance with the financial covenants. We are in compliance with all our covenants as of December 31, 2005.

The following table summarizes the financial tests contained in the credit facility through 2007:

| | | Facility A—Financial Covenant Levels | | | | |
|------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | Minimum unsecured | Minimum unsecured | | | | |
| Year | interest coverage ratio | Maximum leverage ratio | coverage ratio | | | |
| 2005 | 1.50 | 7.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| 2006 | 1.50 | 6.75 | 1.00 | | | |
| 2007 | 1.55 | 6.50 | 1.05 | | | |

| | Facility B- | Facility B—Financial Covenant Levels | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Minimum unsecured | | | |
| Quarter | interest coverage ratio | Maximum leverage ratio | | |
| First Quarter 2005 to Second Quarter 2007 | 1.50 | 7.50 | | |
| Third Quarter 2007 to Fourth Quarter 2007 | 1.50 | 7.25 | | |

Interest and Fees. We pay interest on borrowings under the Revolving Facility A at floating interest rates plus a margin (which, in the case of LIBOR-based borrowings, ranges from 2.00% to 3.00%) that is set with reference to our leverage ratio. Borrowings under Revolving Facility B are subject to a margin that is 0.5% higher than the corresponding margin applicable to Revolving Facility A borrowings and .75% higher when our leverage ratio is greater than 7.0x. As with the prior facility, to the extent that amounts under the amended credit facility remain unused, we pay a quarterly commitment fee on the unused portion of the loan commitment.

Other Covenants. Our amended credit facility imposes restrictions on customary matters that were also restricted in our prior facility, such as limitations on capital expenditures, acquisitions, investments, the incurrence of debt and the payment of dividends. While such restrictions are generally similar to those contained in our prior facility, we have modified certain covenants to become less restrictive at any time that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x. In particular, at any time that our leverage ratio is below 6.0x, we will not be subject to limitations on capital expenditures, and the limitations on acquisitions, investments and dividends will be replaced by the generally less restrictive corresponding covenants in our senior notes indenture.

Mortgage Debt

General. As of December 31, 2005, we had 23 assets that were secured by mortgage debt. Substantially all of our mortgage debt is recourse solely to specific assets except in instances of fraud, misapplication of funds and other customary recourse provisions. As of December 31, 2005, secured debt represented approximately 34% of our total debt and our aggregate secured debt had an average interest rate of 7.8% and an average maturity of 4.2 years. Over time, we expect to reduce the amount of our secured debt as a percentage of our total debt. We may refinance secured debt with other financing alternatives, such as senior notes, although there can be no assurances that we will achieve this objective.

As a result of the decline in operations of our properties in 2002 and 2003, restrictive covenants on eight of our hotel properties secured by a \$548 million mortgage loan, which we refer to as the CMBS Loan, were triggered. These hotel properties are the New York Marriott Marquis Times Square, the Hyatt Regency San Francisco Airport, the Hyatt Regency Cambridge, Overlooking Boston, the Hyatt Regency Reston, the Hyatt Regency Boston, the Swissôtel The Drake, New York, the Westin Buckhead Atlanta, and the Swissôtel Chicago, which we refer to as the CMBS Portfolio. The CMBS Loan contains a provision that requires the mortgage servicer to retain certain excess cash flow from the CMBS Portfolio after payment of debt service if net cash flow after payment of taxes, insurance, ground rent and reserves for furniture, fixtures and equipment for the trailing twelve months declines below \$96 million. This provision was triggered beginning in the third quarter of 2002 and remained in effect until the CMBS Portfolio generated the necessary minimum cash flow for two consecutive quarters, at which point, the cash that had been escrowed will be returned to us. As of the end of the third quarter 2005, operating cash flow from these properties for the past two quarters met the levels required to release the escrowed funds under the CMBS loan and on October 31, 2005 escrowed funds in the amount of approximately \$71 million were released to us. Additionally, in conjunction with the sale of The Drake on March 31, 2006, it was removed as collateral securing the CMBS loan and the Hyatt Regency Washington was substituted as collateral.

On October 17, 2005, we retired the remaining mortgage secured by two of our Canadian properties with the prepayment of approximately \$19 million. During January 2006, we issued mortgage debt in the amount of \$135 million Canadian Dollars (\$116 million US Dollars based on the exchange rate on the issuance date) with a fixed interest rate of 5.195%, which is secured by four of our Canadian properties and matures on March 1, 2011. On January 13, 2006, a portion of the proceeds were used to repay the \$20 million outstanding balance on our credit facility.

The following table summarizes our outstanding debt and scheduled amortization and maturities related to mortgage and other debt as of December 31, 2005 (in millions):

| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Thereafter |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|------------|
| Mortgage Debt | | | | | | | |
| CMBS Loan, 7.54%, due 8/1/2009 (1) | \$ 548 | \$ 24 | \$ 26 | \$ 28 | \$470 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Orlando Marriott World Center, 7.48%, due 1/1/2008 | 218 | 4 | 4 | 210 | _ | _ | _ |
| San Diego Marriott, 8.45%, due 7/1/2009 | 183 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 174 | _ | _ |
| Host Hotel Properties II, 8.22%, due 10/11/2017 (2)(3) | 174 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 135 |
| Atlanta Marriott Marquis, 7.4%, due 2/11/2023 (4) | 141 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 119 |
| Desert Springs Marriott Resort and Spa, 7.8%, due 12/11/2022 (4) | 88 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 73 |
| Harbor Beach Marriott, 8.58%, due 3/1/2007 | 90 | 2 | 88 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Boston Marriott Copley Place, 8.39%, due 6/1/2006 (5) | 85 | 85 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| JW Marriott Washington, D.C., 6.5%, due 9/15/2006 (6) | 88 | 88 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Philadelphia Marriott Convention Center, 8.49%, due 4/1/2009 | 79 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 73 | _ | _ |
| Other mortgage debt (7) | 129 | 5 | 37 | 38 | 21 | 2 | 26 |
| Total mortgage debt | 1,823 | 229 | 175 | 295 | 753 | 18 | 353 |
| Other Debt | | | | | | | |
| Philadelphia Marriott Airport industrial revenue bonds, 7 ³ / ₄ %, due 12/1/2017 | 40 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 40 |
| Capital leases and other (8) | 70 | _ | _ | 20 | _ | _ | 50 |
| Total other debt | 110 | | | 20 | | | 90 |
| Total mortgage and other debt | \$1,933 | \$229 | \$175 | \$315 | \$753 | \$ 18 | \$ 443 |

⁽¹⁾ This mortgage debt is secured by eight hotel properties and has certain restrictive covenants. In conjunction with the sale of The Drake, the Hyatt Regency Washington was substituted as collateral for the loan.

⁽²⁾ This mortgage debt is secured by first mortgages on three hotels, as well as a pledge of our limited partnership interest in the Santa Clara Partnership.

⁽³⁾ Beginning in 2007, the interest rate on this loan increases a minimum of 200 basis points and all excess cash (as defined in the loan agreement) generated by the partnership is applied to principal; however, the loan can be repaid without a premium or penalty on that date. The amortization presented in this table is the minimum principal payment considering the increase in interest rate, but does not include additional principal payments based on excess cash flow.

⁽⁴⁾ Beginning in 2010, the interest rate on these loans increases a minimum of 200 basis points and all excess cash (as defined in the loan agreement) generated by the partnerships that own these two properties is applied to principal; however, the loans can be repaid without a premium or penalty on that date. The amortization presented is the minimum principal payment considering the increase in interest rate, but does not include additional principal payments based on excess cash flow.

⁽⁵⁾ This mortgage debt was repaid on June 1, 2006.

- (6) This floating rate mortgage is based on LIBOR plus 2.10%. The rate shown is at December 31, 2005. Also, this mortgage has an interest rate cap derivative with a maximum rate of 8.1%. During August 2006, we exercised the second of three one-year extension options under the loan agreement. Certain requirements must be met in order to exercise the third one-year option.
- (7) Other mortgage debt consists of individual mortgage debt amounts that are less than \$40 million, have an average interest rate of 8.0% at December 31, 2005 and mature through 2017.
- (8) Capital leases and other consist of \$20 million outstanding under our credit facility, which was repaid in the first quarter of 2006, three loans with an average interest rate of 7.36% that mature through 2016, as well as capital leases with varying interest rates and maturity dates.

Credit Ratings

As of September 8, 2006, we have \$3.7 billion of senior notes outstanding and \$100 million of our preferred stock that are rated by Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's. Standard & Poor's rating on our senior debt is BB- and the rating on our preferred stock is B-. Moody's rating on our senior notes debt is Ba2 and our preferred stock is B1. If our operations or our credit ratios were to decline, the ratings on our securities could be reduced. If we were unable to subsequently improve our credit ratings, our cost to issue additional senior notes, either in connection with a refinancing or otherwise, or to issue additional preferred stock would likely increase.

Host Dividend Policy

Host is required to distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of its annual taxable income to qualify as a REIT, including taxable income recognized for tax purposes but with regard to which we do not receive corresponding cash. Funds used by Host to pay dividends on its common and preferred stock are provided through distributions from Host LP. For every share of common and preferred stock of Host, Host LP has issued to Host a corresponding common OP unit and preferred OP unit. As of September 8, 2006, Host is the owner of substantially all of the preferred OP units and approximately 96% of the common OP units. The remaining 4% of the common OP units are held by various third-party limited partners.

As a result of the minority position in Host LP common OP units, these holders share, on a pro rata basis, in amounts being distributed by Host LP. When Host pays a common or preferred dividend, Host LP pays an equivalent per unit distribution on all common or corresponding preferred OP units. For example, if Host paid a \$1 per share dividend on its common stock, it would be based on payment of a \$1 per unit distribution by Host LP to Host as well as other common OP unit holders. For this reason, investors also should take into account the 4% minority position in Host LP, and the requirement that they share pro rata in distributions from Host LP, when analyzing dividend payments by Host to its stockholders.

Host's current policy on common dividends is generally to distribute at least 100% of its annual taxable income, unless otherwise contractually restricted. Host currently intends to continue paying dividends on its preferred stock, regardless of the amount of taxable income, unless similarly contractually restricted. The amount of any dividends will be determined by Host's Board of Directors.

The following table sets forth dividends declared on our common stock during 2006, 2005 and 2004.

| Declaration Date | Payment Date | Amount |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| September 15, 2006 | October 16, 2006(1) | \$ 0.20/share |
| June 15, 2006 | July 17, 2006 | \$ 0.17/share |
| March 21, 2006 | April 17, 2006 | \$ 0.14/share |
| December 15, 2005 | January 17, 2006 | \$ 0.12/share |
| September 19, 2005 | October 17, 2005 | \$ 0.11/share |
| June 17, 2005 | July 15, 2005 | \$ 0.10/share |
| March 21, 2005 | April 15, 2005 | \$ 0.08/share |
| September 8, 2004 | December 20, 2004 | \$ 0.05/share |

⁽¹⁾ Announced payout date.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are party to various transactions, agreements or other contractual arrangements with unconsolidated entities (which we refer to as "off-balance sheet arrangements") under which we have certain contingent liabilities and guarantees. As of December 31, 2005, we are party to the following material off-balance sheet arrangements:

Tax Sharing Arrangements. Under tax sharing agreements with former affiliated companies (such as Marriott International, Host Marriott Services Corporation and Barceló Crestline Corporation), we are obligated to pay certain taxes (federal, state, local and foreign, including any related interest and penalties) relating to periods in which the companies were affiliated with us. For example, a taxing authority could adjust an item deducted by a former affiliate during the period that this former affiliate was owned by us. This adjustment could produce a material tax liability that we may be obligated to pay under the tax sharing agreement. Additionally, under the partnership agreement between Host and Host LP, Host LP is obligated to pay certain taxes (federal, state, local and foreign, including any related interest and penalties) incurred by Host, as well as any liabilities the IRS may successfully assert against Host. We do not expect any amounts paid under the tax sharing arrangements to be material.

Tax Indemnification Agreements. For reasons relating to tax considerations of the former and current owners of five hotels, we have agreed to restrictions on selling the hotels, or repaying or refinancing the mortgage debt for varying periods depending on the hotel. These agreements require that we indemnify the owners for their tax consequences resulting from our selling the hotel or refinancing the mortgage debt during the period under the agreement. We also have agreed not to sell more than 50% of the original allocated value attributable to a portfolio of 11 additional hotels, or to take other actions that would result in the recognition and allocation of gain to the former owners of such hotels for income tax purposes. Because the timing of these potential transactions is within our control, we believe that the likelihood of any material indemnification to be remote and therefore not material to our financial statements. On average, these restrictions will generally expire, or cease to be significant, in 2009.

Guarantees. We have certain guarantees, which consist of commitments we have made to third parties for leases or debt, that are not on our books due to various dispositions, spin-offs and contractual arrangements, but that we have agreed to pay in the event of certain circumstances including default by an unrelated party. We consider the likelihood of any material payments under these guarantees to be remote. The largest guarantees (by dollar amount) are listed below:

- We remain contingently liable for rental payments on certain divested non-lodging properties. These primarily represent divested restaurants that were sold subject to our guarantee of the future rental payments. The aggregate amount of these future rental payments is approximately \$27 million as of December 31, 2005.
- In 1997, we owned Leisure Park Venture Limited Partnership, which owns and operates a senior living facility. We no longer have an ownership interest in the partnership, but we remain obligated under a guarantee of interest and principal with regard to \$14.7 million of municipal bonds issued by the New Jersey Economic Development Authority through their maturity in 2027. However, to the extent we are required to make any payments under the guarantee, we have been indemnified by Barceló Crestline Corporation, who, in turn, is indemnified by the current owner of the facility.
- In connection with the sale of three hotels in the fourth quarter of 2004 and January 2005, we remain contingently liable for the amounts due under the respective ground leases. The future minimum lease payments are approximately \$20 million through the full term of the leases, including renewal options. We believe that any liability related to these ground leases is remote, and in each case, we have been indemnified by the purchaser of the hotel.

Information on other guarantees and other off-balance sheet arrangements may be found in Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements.

Contractual Obligations

The table below summarizes our obligations for principal and estimated interest payments on our debt, future minimum lease payments on our operating and capital leases and projected capital expenditures, each as of December 31, 2005 (in millions):

| | Payments due by period | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--|
| | | Less than | | | More than | |
| | Total | 1 year | 1 to 3 years | 3 to 5 years | 5 years | |
| Long-term debt obligations(1) | \$7,339 | \$ 615 | \$ 1,922 | \$ 1,694 | \$ 3,108 | |
| Capital lease obligations | 4 | 2 | 2 | | | |
| Operating lease obligations(2) | 1,547 | 112 | 215 | 202 | 1,018 | |
| Purchase obligations(3) | 318 | 318 | _ | _ | _ | |
| Deferred management fees(4) | 39 | _ | _ | _ | 39 | |
| Total | \$9,247 | \$ 1,047 | \$ 2,139 | \$ 1,896 | \$ 4,165 | |

- (1) The amounts shown include amortization of principal, debt maturities and estimated interest payments. Interest payments have been included in the long-term debt obligations based on the weighted average interest rate for both fixed and variable debt. For variable rate debt, we have used the applicable percentage interest rate as of December 31, 2005.
- Future minimum lease payments have not been reduced by aggregate minimum sublease rentals from restaurants and the HPT subleases of \$16 million and \$481 million, respectively, payable to us under non-cancelable subleases.
- Our only purchase obligations consist of commitments for capital expenditures at our hotels. Under our contracts, we have the ability to defer some of these expenditures into later years and some of the current year amount reflects prior year contracts that were deferred or not completed. See "Capital Expenditures."
- (4) Under terms of our management agreements, we have deferred payment of management fees to our hotel managers for some of our properties that have not achieved the required income thresholds for payment of owner's priority to us. The timing of the payments, if any, is based on future operations, the termination of the management agreement or the sale of the hotel and is therefore not determinable.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While we do not believe the reported amounts would be materially different, application of these policies involves the exercise of judgment and the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. We evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to the impairment of long-lived assets, on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. All of our significant accounting policies are disclosed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements. The following represent certain critical accounting policies that require us to exercise our business judgment or make significant estimates:

• Impairment testing. We are required by GAAP to record an impairment charge when we believe that one or more of our hotels has been impaired, whereby, future undiscounted cash flows for the hotel would be less than the net book value of the hotel. For impaired assets, we record an impairment charge when a property's fair value is less than its net book value. We test for impairment in several situations, including when current or projected cash flows are less than historical cash flows, when it becomes more likely than

not that a hotel will be sold before the end of its previously estimated useful life, as well as whenever an asset is classified as "held for sale" or events or changes in circumstances indicate that a hotel's net book value may not be recoverable. In the evaluation of the impairment of our hotels, we make many assumptions and estimates, including:

- projected cash flows
- holding period
- expected useful life
- future capital expenditures
- fair values, including consideration of capitalization rates, discount rates and comparable selling prices.

Changes in these estimates, assumptions, future changes in economic conditions, or property-level results could require us to record additional impairment charges, which would be reflected in operations in the future.

- Classification of Assets as "Held for Sale." Our policy for the classification of a hotel as held-for-sale is intended to ensure that the sale of the asset is probable, will be completed within one year and that actions required to complete the sale are unlikely to change or that the planned sale will be withdrawn. This policy is consistent with our experience with real estate transactions under which the timing and final terms of a sale are frequently not known until purchase agreements are executed, the buyer has a significant deposit at risk and no financing contingencies exist which could prevent the transaction from being completed in a timely manner. Specifically, we classify properties that we are actively marketing as held for sale when all of the following conditions are met:
 - our Board of Directors has approved the sale (to the extent the dollar magnitude of the sale requires Board approval);
 - a binding agreement to purchase the property has been signed;
 - the buyer has committed a significant amount of non-refundable cash; and
 - no significant financing contingencies exist which could cause the transaction not to be completed in a timely manner.

To the extent a property is classified as held for sale and its fair value less selling costs is lower than the net book value of the property, we will record an impairment loss. See the discussion above concerning the use of estimates and judgments in determining fair values for impairment tests.

- Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful life of our assets and amortization expense for leasehold improvements is the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related assets. The lives of the assets are based on a number of assumptions including cost and timing of capital expenditures to maintain and refurbish the assets, as well as specific market and economic conditions. While management believes its estimates are reasonable, a change in the estimated lives could affect depreciation expense and net income (loss) or the gain or loss on the sale of any of our hotels.
- Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets. We have approximately \$100 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$19 million, in consolidated deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2005. The objective of financial accounting and reporting standards for income taxes is to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of

events that have been recognized in a company's financial statements or tax returns. We have considered various factors, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies in determining a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets, and we believe that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize the \$100 million in deferred tax assets in the future. When a determination is made that all, or a portion, of the deferred tax assets may not be realized, an increase in income tax expense would be recorded in that period.

- Valuation of Derivative Contracts. We had three interest rate swap agreements outstanding as of December 31, 2005. Our interest rate swap agreements with a fair market value of approximately \$(5) million as of December 31, 2005 have been designated as fair value hedges, as described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. While we intend to continue to meet the conditions for hedge accounting, if a particular interest rate swap does not qualify as highly effective, any change in the fair value of the derivative used as a hedge would be reflected in current earnings. Should any change in management strategy, or any other circumstance, cause an existing highly-effective hedge to become ineffective, the accumulated loss or gain in the value of the derivative instrument since its inception may be reclassified from the stockholders' equity section of the balance sheet to current net income (loss). We also have two interest rate cap agreements that are fair valued each quarter and the increase or decrease in fair value is recorded in net income (loss). We estimate the fair value of all of these instruments through the use of third party valuations, which utilize the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future cash receipts and the discounted expected cash payments. The variable cash flow streams are based on an expectation of future interest and exchange rates derived from observed market interest and exchange rate curves. The values of these instruments will change over time as cash receipts and payments are made and as market conditions change. Any event that impacts the level of actual and expected future interest or exchange rates will impact our valuations. The fair value of our derivatives is likely to fluctuate materially from year to year based on changing levels of interest and exchange rates and shortening terms to maturity.
- Stock Compensation. FASB SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment ("FAS 123R"), which was effective January 1, 2006, requires that the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The statement requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award—the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the requisite service. Employee share purchase plans will not result in recognition of compensation cost if certain conditions are met. We have recognized these costs for all share-based payment awards granted after January 1, 2002.
- Consolidation Policies. Judgment is required with respect to the consolidation of partnership and joint venture entities in the evaluation of control, including assessment of the importance of rights and privileges of the partners based on voting rights, as well as financial interests that are not controllable through voting interests. Currently, we have investments in entities that own hotel properties and other investments which we record using the equity method of accounting. These entities are considered to be voting interest entities. The debt on these investments is non-recourse to the company and the effect of their operations on our results of operations is not material. While we do not believe we are required to consolidate any of our current partnerships or joint ventures, if we were required to do so, then all of the results of operations and the assets and liabilities would be included in our financial statements.

Application of New Accounting Standards

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 ("FIN 47"), which clarified the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" as used in FASB Statement No. 143. A conditional asset retirement obligation refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset

retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. As a result of the issuance of this statement, we will recognize the fair value of the liability for any conditional asset retirement obligations when incurred, which is generally upon acquisition, construction, or development and (or) through the normal operation of the asset, if sufficient information exists to reasonably estimate the fair value of the obligation. The adoption of this interpretation did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation Number 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, *an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in a tax return. The Company must determine whether it is "more-likely-than-not" that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Once it is determined that a position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the position is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. FIN 48 applies to all tax positions related to income taxes subject to FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. We will adopt the provisions of this statement beginning in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings on January 1, 2007. We do not anticipate that the adoption of this statement will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Reporting Periods

Reporting Periods for Consolidated Statement of Operations

The results we report are based on results of our hotels reported to us by our hotel managers. Our hotel managers use different reporting periods. Marriott International, the manager of the majority of our properties, uses a year ending on the Friday closest to December 31 and reports twelve weeks of operations for the first three quarters and sixteen or seventeen weeks for the fourth quarter of the year for its Marriott-managed hotels. In contrast, other managers of our hotels, such as Hyatt, report results on a monthly basis. Host, as a REIT, is required by tax laws to report results on a calendar year. As a result, we elected to adopt the reporting periods used by Marriott International modified so that our fiscal year always ends on December 31 to comply with REIT rules. Our first three quarters of operations end on the same day as Marriott International but our fourth quarter ends on December 31 and our full year results, as reported in our statement of operations, always includes the same number of days as our calendar year.

Two consequences of the reporting cycle we have adopted are: (1) quarterly start dates will usually differ between years, except for the first quarter which always commences on January 1, and (2) our first and fourth quarters of operations and year-to-date operations may not include the same number of days as reflected in prior years. For example, set forth below are the quarterly start and end dates for 2006, 2005 and 2004. Note that the second and third quarters of each year both reflect twelve weeks of operations. In contrast, the first and fourth quarters reflect differing days of operations.

| | 2006 | 2006 | | 2005 | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| | | No. of | | No. of | | No. of |
| | Start-End Dates | Days | Start-End Dates | Days | Start-End Dates | Days |
| First Quarter | January 1—March 24 | 83 | January 1—March 25 | 84 | January 1—March 26 | 86 |
| Second Quarter | March 25—June 16 | 84 | March 26—June 17 | 84 | March 27—June 18 | 84 |
| Third Quarter | June 17—September 8 | 84 | June 18—September 9 | 84 | June 19—September 10 | 84 |
| Fourth Quarter | September 9—December 31 | 114 | September 10—December 31 | 113 | September 11—December 31 | 112 |

⁽¹⁾ Reflects an additional day in February for the leap year.

While the reporting calendar we adopted is more closely aligned with the reporting calendar used by Marriott International, another consequence of our calendar is we are unable to report the month of operations that ends after our fiscal quarter-end until the following quarter because our hotel managers using a monthly reporting period do not make mid-month results available to us. Hence, the month of operation that ends after our fiscal quarter-end is

included in our quarterly results of operations in the following quarter for those hotel managers (covering approximately one-fourth of our full-service hotels). As a result, our quarterly results of operations include results from hotel managers reporting results on a monthly basis as follows: first quarter (January, February), second quarter (March to May), third quarter (June to August) and fourth quarter (September to December). While this does not affect full year results, it does affect the reporting of quarterly results.

Reporting Periods for Hotel Operating Statistics and Comparable Hotel Results

In contrast to the reporting periods for our consolidated statement of operations, our hotel operating statistics (i.e., RevPAR, average daily rate and average occupancy) and our comparable hotel results are always reported based on the reporting cycle used by Marriott International for our Marriott-managed hotels. This facilitates year-to-year comparisons, as each reporting period will be comprised of the same number of days of operations as in the prior year (except in the case of fourth quarters comprised of seventeen weeks (such as fiscal year 2002) versus sixteen weeks). This means, however, that the reporting periods we use for hotel operating statistics and our comparable hotel results may differ slightly from the reporting periods used for our statements of operations for the first and fourth quarters and the full year. Set forth below are the quarterly start and end dates for 2006, 2005 and 2004 that are used for our hotel operating statistics and comparable hotel results reported herein. Results from hotel managers reporting on a monthly basis are included in our operating statistics and comparable hotel results consistent with their reporting in our consolidated statement of operations.

Hotel Result Reporting Periods for Operating Statistics and Comparable Hotel Results—for Marriott Managed Properties

| | 2006 | 2006 | | 2005 | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Start-End Dates | No. of Days | Start-End Dates | No. of Days | Start-End Dates | No. of Days |
| First Quarter | December 31—March 24 | 84 | January 1—March 25 | 84 | January 3—March 26 | 84 |
| Second Quarter | March 25—June 16 | 84 | March 26—June 17 | 84 | March 27—June 18 | 84 |
| Third Quarter | June 17—September 8 | 84 | June 18—September 9 | 84 | June 19—September 10 | 84 |
| Fourth Quarter | September 9—December 29 | 112 | September 10—December 30 | 112 | September 11—December 31 | 112 |

⁽¹⁾ Reflects an additional day in February for the leap year.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use certain "non-GAAP financial measures," which are measures of our historical financial performance that are not calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, within the meaning of applicable SEC rules. They are as follows: (i) Funds From Operations (FFO) per diluted share, and (ii) Comparable Hotel Operating Results. The following discussion defines these terms and presents why we believe they are useful measures of our performance.

FFO Per Diluted Share

We present FFO per diluted share as a non-GAAP measure of our performance in addition to our earnings per share (calculated in accordance with GAAP). We calculate FFO per diluted share for a given operating period as our FFO (defined as set forth below) for such period divided by the number of fully diluted shares outstanding during such period. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as net income (calculated in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains (or losses) from sales of real estate, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, real estate-related depreciation and amortization and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is presented on a per share basis after making adjustments for the effects of dilutive securities, including the payment of preferred stock dividends, in accordance with NAREIT guidelines.

We believe that FFO per diluted share is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance and that presentation of FFO per diluted share, when combined with the primary GAAP presentation of earnings per share, provides beneficial information to investors. By excluding the effect of real estate depreciation, amortization and

gains and losses from sales of real estate, all of which are based on historical cost accounting and which may be of lesser significance in evaluating current performance, we believe that such measure can facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between other REITs, even though FFO per diluted share does not represent an amount that accrues directly to holders of our common stock. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. As noted by NAREIT in its April 2002 "White Paper on Funds From Operations," since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. For these reasons, NAREIT adopted the definition of FFO in order to promote an industry-wide measure of REIT operating performance.

We calculate FFO per diluted share, in accordance with standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to measures calculated by other companies who do not use the NAREIT definition of FFO or calculate FFO per diluted share in accordance with NAREIT guidance. In addition, although FFO per diluted share is a useful measure when comparing our results to other REITs, it may not be helpful to investors when comparing us to non-REITs. This information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating profit, cash from operations, or any other operating performance measure prescribed by GAAP. Cash expenditures for various long-term assets (such as renewal and replacement capital expenditures) and other items have been and will be incurred and are not reflected in the FFO per diluted share presentations. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of our operating performance. Our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows include depreciation, capital expenditures and other excluded items, all of which should be considered when evaluating our performance, as well as the usefulness of our non-GAAP financial measures. Additionally, FFO per diluted share should not be considered as a measure of our liquidity or indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. In addition, FFO per diluted share does not measure, and should not be used as a measure of, amounts that accrue directly to our stockholders' benefit.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of net income (loss) available to common shareholders per share to FFO per diluted share (in millions, except per share amounts):

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Stockholders to Funds From Operations per Diluted Share

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------|
| | | 2005 | | | 2004 | |
| | Income (Loss) | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income (Loss) | Shares | Per Share Amount |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 135 | 353.0 | \$.38 | \$ (41) | 337.2 | \$ (.12) |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | |
| Gain on dispositions, net | (60) | _ | (.17) | (52) | _ | (.16) |
| Amortization of deferred gains | (8) | _ | (.02) | (11) | _ | (.03) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 371 | _ | 1.05 | 364 | _ | 1.08 |
| Partnership adjustments | 10 | _ | .03 | 21 | _ | .06 |
| FFO of minority partners of Host LP(a) | (24) | _ | (.07) | (18) | _ | (.05) |
| Adjustments for dilutive securities: | | | | | | |
| Assuming distribution of common shares granted under the comprehensive stock plan less | | | | | | |
| shares assumed purchased at average market price | _ | 2.5 | (.01) | _ | 3.0 | (.01) |
| Assuming conversion of Exchangeable Senior Debentures | 19 | 28.1 | (.04) | 15 | 21.7 | _ |
| Assuming conversion of Convertible Subordinated Debentures | 32 | 30.9 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| FFO per diluted share(b)(c) | \$ 475 | 414.5 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 278 | 361.9 | \$.77 |

⁽a) Represents FFO attributable to the minority interests in Host LP.

- (b) FFO per diluted share in accordance with NAREIT is adjusted for the effects of dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, those preferred OP units held by minority partners, convertible debt securities and other minority interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interest to common OP units. No effect is shown for securities if they are anti-dilutive.
- (c) FFO per diluted share and earnings (loss) per diluted share for certain periods presented were significantly affected by certain transactions, the effect of which is shown in the table below (in millions, except per share amounts):

| | | Year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|----|-------------------------|---------|----|--------|---------|--|
| | · | 2005 | | | 2004 | | |
| | | Income | | | Income | | |
| | () | Loss) | FFO | (I | Loss) | FFO | |
| Senior notes redemptions and debt prepayments(1) | \$ | (34) | \$ (34) | \$ | (59) | \$ (59) | |
| Preferred stock redemptions(2) | | (4) | (4) | | (6) | (6) | |
| Gain on CBM Joint Venture LLC sale(3) | | 41 | _ | | _ | _ | |
| Gain on hotel dispositions | | 19 | | | 52 | _ | |
| Minority interest benefit (expense)(4) | | (1) | 2 | | 1 | 4 | |
| Total | \$ | 21 | \$ (36) | \$ | (12) | \$ (61) | |
| Per diluted share | \$ | .06 | \$(.08) | \$ | (.04) | \$(.17) | |
| | | | | | | | |

- (1) Represents call premiums and the acceleration of original issue discounts and deferred financing costs, as well as incremental interest during the call or prepayment notice period included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. We recognized these costs in conjunction with the prepayment or refinancing of senior notes and mortgages during certain periods presented.
- (2) Represents the original issuance costs for preferred stock, which were required to be charged against net income (loss) available to common stockholders in conjunction with the redemption of the Class B preferred stock in the second quarter of 2005 and the redemption of the Class A preferred stock in the third quarter of 2004. The adjustment in 2004 also includes the incremental dividends from the date of issuance of the Class E preferred stock to the date of redemption of the Class A preferred stock. For further detail, see Note 5 to the condensed consolidated statements.
- (3) Represents the gain, net of tax, on the sale of 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture.
- (4) Represents the portion of the significant transactions attributable to minority partners in Host LP.

Comparable Hotel Operating Results

We present certain operating results for our full-service hotels, such as hotel revenues, expenses, and adjusted operating profit, on a comparable hotel, or "same store" basis as supplemental information for investors. Our comparable hotel operating results present operating results for full-service hotels owned during the entirety of the periods being compared without giving effect to any acquisitions or dispositions, significant property damage or large scale capital improvements incurred during these periods. We present these comparable hotel operating results by eliminating corporate-level costs and expenses related to our capital structure, as well as depreciation and amortization. We eliminate corporate-level costs and expenses to arrive at property-level results because we believe property-level results provide investors with more specific insight into the ongoing operating performance of our hotels. We eliminate depreciation and amortization, because even though depreciation and amortization are property-level expenses, these non-cash expenses, which are based on historical cost accounting for real estate assets, implicitly assume that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. As noted earlier, because real estate values historically have risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves.

As a result of the elimination of corporate-level costs and expenses and depreciation and amortization, the comparable hotel operating results we present do not represent our total revenues, expenses or operating profit and these comparable hotel operating results should not be used to evaluate our performance as a whole. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of our operating performance. Our consolidated statements of operations include such amounts, all of which should be considered by investors when evaluating our performance.

We present these hotel operating results on a comparable hotel basis because we believe that doing so provides investors and management with useful information for evaluating the period-to-period performance of our hotels and facilitates comparisons with other hotel REITs and hotel owners. In particular, these measures assist management and investors in distinguishing whether increases or decreases in revenues and/or expenses are due to growth or decline of operations at comparable hotels (which represent the vast majority of our portfolio) or from other factors, such as the effect of acquisitions or dispositions. While management believes that presentation of comparable hotel results is a "same store" supplemental measure that provides useful information in evaluating our ongoing performance, this measure is not used to allocate resources or assess the operating performance of these hotels, as these decisions are based on data for individual hotels and are not based on comparable portfolio hotel results. For these reasons, we believe that comparable hotel operating results, when combined with the presentation of GAAP operating profit, revenues and expenses, provide useful information to investors and management.

The following table presents certain operating results and statistics for our comparable hotels for the periods presented herein:

Comparable Hotel Results (in millions, except hotel statistics)

| | Year ended De | ecember 31, |
|---|---------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Number of hotels | 98 | 98 |
| Number of rooms | 48,785 | 48,785 |
| Percent change in Comparable Hotel RevPAR | 9.5% | _ |
| Comparable hotel sales | | |
| Room | \$ 2,182 | \$ 1,998 |
| Food and beverage | 1,143 | 1,082 |
| Other | 239 | 230 |
| Comparable hotel sales(a) | 3,564 | 3,310 |
| Comparable hotel expenses | | |
| Room | 531 | 500 |
| Food and beverage | 846 | 811 |
| Other | 149 | 145 |
| Management fees, ground rent and other costs | 1,171 | 1,105 |
| Comparable hotel expenses(b) | 2,697 | 2,561 |
| Comparable hotel adjusted operating profit | 867 | 749 |
| Non-comparable hotel results, net(c) | 85 | 71 |
| Comparable hotels classified as held for sale or sold during 2006 | (33) | (29) |
| Office buildings and limited service properties, net(d) | 5 | 2 |
| Other income | _ | 1 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (358) | (339) |
| Corporate and other expenses | (67) | (67) |
| Gain on insurance settlement | 9 | 3 |
| Operating profit per the consolidated statements of operations | \$ 508 | \$ 391 |

⁽a) The reconciliation of total revenues per the consolidated statements of operations to the comparable hotel sales is as follows (in millions):

| | Year ended December | |
|--|---------------------|----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenues per the consolidated statements of operations | \$ 3,796 | \$ 3,486 |
| Revenues of hotels classified as held-for-sale or sold during 2006 | 137 | 140 |
| Non-comparable hotel sales | (327) | (271) |
| Hotel sales for the property for which we record rental income | 49 | 47 |
| Rental income for office buildings and limited service hotels | (84) | (80) |
| Other income | _ | (1) |
| Adjustment for hotel sales for comparable hotels to reflect Marriott's fiscal year for Marriott-managed hotels | (7) | (11) |
| Comparable hotel sales | \$ 3,564 | \$ 3,310 |

(b) The reconciliation of operating costs per the consolidated statements of operations to the comparable hotel expenses is as follows (in millions):

| | Year ended D | |
|---|-----------------|-------------|
| Or service a service of an arrange and a service of a service. | 2005 c 2 200 | <u>2004</u> |
| Operating costs and expenses per the consolidated statements of operations | \$ 3,288 | \$ 3,095 |
| Operating costs of hotels classified as held-for-sale or sold during 2006 | 104 | 111 |
| Non-comparable hotel expenses | (244) | (201) |
| Hotel expenses for the property for which we record rental income | 49 | 47 |
| Rent expense for office buildings and limited service hotels | (79) | (78) |
| Adjustment for hotel expenses for comparable hotels to reflect Marriott's fiscal year for Marriott-managed hotels | (5) | (10) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (358) | (339) |
| Corporate and other expenses | (67) | (67) |
| Gain on insurance settlement | 9 | 3 |
| Comparable hotel expenses | \$ 2,697 | \$ 2,561 |

⁽c) Non-comparable hotel results, net, includes the following items: (i) the results of operations of our non-comparable hotels whose operations are included in our consolidated statements of operations as continuing operations and (ii) the difference between the number of days of operations reflected in the comparable hotel results and the number of days of operations reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.

⁽d) Represents rental income less rental expense for limited service properties and office buildings.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule of real estate and accumulated depreciation. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

The Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 (R) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities in 2004.

/s/ KPMG LLP

McLean, Virginia
March 3, 2006, except as to notes 1, 10, 12, 16 and 19,
which are as of September 22, 2006

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2005 and 2004 (in millions, except per share amounts)

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------|---------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Property and equipment, net | \$7,434 | \$7,298 |
| Assets held for sale | 73 | 113 |
| Due from managers | 41 | 51 |
| Investments in affiliates | 41 | 69 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 63 | 70 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund | 143 | 151 |
| Other | 157 | 168 |
| Restricted cash | 109 | 154 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 184 | 347 |
| Total assets | \$8,245 | \$8,421 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Debt | | |
| Senior notes, including \$493 million and \$491 million, net of discount, of Exchangeable Senior Debentures, respectively | \$3,050 | \$2,890 |
| Mortgage debt | 1,823 | 2,043 |
| Convertible Subordinated Debentures | 387 | 492 |
| Other | 110 | 98 |
| Total debt | 5,370 | 5,523 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 165 | 113 |
| Liabilities associated with assets held for sale | _ | 26 |
| Other | 148 | 156 |
| Total liabilities | 5,683 | 5,818 |
| Interest of minority partners of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. | 119 | 122 |
| Interest of minority partners of other consolidated partnerships | 26 | 86 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Cumulative redeemable preferred stock (liquidation preference \$250 million and \$350 million, respectively), 50 million shares | | |
| authorized; 10.0 million and 14.0 million shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 241 | 337 |
| Common stock, par value \$.01, 750 million shares authorized; 361.0 million shares and 351.4 million shares issued and outstanding, | | |
| respectively | 4 | 3 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 3,080 | 2,953 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 15 | 13 |
| Deficit | (923) | (911) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,417 | 2,395 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$8,245 | \$8,421 |

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 (in millions, except per common share amounts)

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| REVENUES | #D 256 | ¢2.051 | ¢1.017 |
| Rooms | \$2,276 | \$2,051 | \$1,817 |
| Food and beverage Other | 1,165 244 | 1,101 227 | 1,003 208 |
| | | | |
| Total hotel sales Rental income | 3,685 | 3,379 | 3,028 |
| Other income | 111 | 106 | 100 12 |
| | 2.700 | 1 2 400 | |
| Total revenues | 3,796 | 3,486 | 3,140 |
| EXPENSES | | | |
| Rooms | 548 | 508 | 456 |
| Food and beverage | 863 | 825 | 755 |
| Hotel departmental expenses | 1,008 | 938 | 845 |
| Management fees | 167 | 138 | 125 |
| Other property-level expenses | 286 | 283 | 284 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 358 | 339 | 332 |
| Corporate and other expenses | 67 | 67 | 60 |
| Gain on insurance settlement | (9) | (3) | (3) |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 3,288 | 3,095 | 2,854 |
| OPERATING PROFIT | 508 | 391 | 286 |
| Interest income | 21 | 11 | 11 |
| Interest expense | (443) | (483) | (488) |
| Net gains on property transactions | 80 | 17 | 5 |
| Gain (loss) on foreign currency and derivative contracts | 2 | (6) | (19) |
| Minority interest expense | (16) | (4) | (5) |
| Equity in losses of affiliates | (1) | (16) | (22) |
| Dividends on Convertible Preferred Securities | | | (32) |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 151 | (90) | (264) |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | (24) | 10 | 12 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | 127 | (80) | (252) |
| Income from discontinued operations. | 39 | 80 | 266 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | 166 | _ | 14 |
| Less: Dividends on preferred stock | (27) | (37) | (35) |
| Issuance costs of redeemed preferred stock | (4) | (4) | _ |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ 135 | \$ (41) | \$ (21) |
| BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$.27 | \$ (.36) | \$ (1.02) |
| Discontinued operations | .11 | .24 | .95 |
| BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE | \$.38 | \$ (.12) | \$ (.07) |

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 (in millions)

| Shares Outst | tanding | | Preferred | Common | Additional Paid-in | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Compr | ehensive |
|--------------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Preferred | Common | | Stock | Stock | Capital | (Deficit) | Income (Loss) | | e (Loss) |
| 14.1 | 264.8 | Balance, December 31, 2002 | \$ 339 | \$ 3 | \$ 2,100 | \$ (830) | \$ (2) | | |
| _ | _ | Net income | _ | _ | _ | 14 | _ | \$ | 14 |
| _ | _ | Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | |
| | | Foreign currency translation adjustment | _ | _ | _ | _ | 34 | | 34 |
| _ | _ | Foreign currency forward contracts | _ | _ | _ | _ | (23) | | (23) |
| _ | _ | Realized loss on foreign currency forward | | | | | | | |
| | | contracts | | _ | _ | | 18 | | 18 |
| _ | _ | Unrealized gain on HM Services common stock | | | | | | | |
| | | to net income | _ | _ | _ | _ | 1 | | 1 |
| _ | _ | Comprehensive income | | | | | | \$ | 44 |
| _ | 1.4 | Common stock issued for the comprehensive | | | | | | | |
| | | stock and employee stock purchase plans | _ | _ | 9 | _ | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Dividends on preferred stock | _ | _ | _ | (35) | _ | | |
| | 4.2 | Redemptions of limited partner interests for | | | | | | | |
| | | common stock | _ | _ | 7 | _ | _ | | |
| _ | 51.0 | Issuance of common stock | _ | _ | 501 | _ | _ | | |
| 14.1 | 321.4 | Balance, December 31, 2003 | 339 | 3 | 2,617 | (851) | 28 | | |
| _ | _ | Net loss | _ | _ | _ | | _ | \$ | _ |
| _ | _ | Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | |
| | | Foreign currency translation adjustment | _ | _ | _ | _ | (15) | | (15) |
| _ | _ | Foreign currency forward contracts | _ | _ | _ | _ | 1 | | 1 |
| _ | _ | Unrealized loss on HM Services common stock | | | | | | | |
| | | to net income | | _ | _ | _ | (1) | | (1) |
| _ | _ | Comprehensive loss | | | | | | \$ | (15) |
| | 2.4 | Common stock issued for the comprehensive | | | | | | _ | |
| | 2.4 | stock and employee stock purchase plans | _ | _ | 21 | _ | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Dividends on common stock | _ | | | (19) | <u>_</u> | | |
| _ | <u></u> | Dividends on preferred stock | _ | _ | _ | (37) | <u>_</u> | | |
| _ | 2.6 | Redemptions of limited partner interests for | | | | (37) | | | |
| | 2.0 | common stock | _ | | 14 | _ | _ | | |
| 4.0 | <u>—</u> | Issuance of Class E Preferred Stock | 98 | | _ | | <u> </u> | | |
| (4.1) | _ | Redemption of Class A Preferred Stock | (100) | _ | _ | (4) | _ | | |
| — (··· ±) | 25.0 | Issuance of common stock | — (100 <i>)</i> | _ | 301 | — (.) — | _ | | |
| 14.0 | 351.4 | Balance, December 31, 2004 | 337 | 3 | 2,953 | (911) | \$ 13 | _ | |
| | | Net income | | | 2,555 | 166 | ψ 15 — | \$ | 166 |
| _ | | Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | 100 | | Ψ | 100 |
| | | Foreign currency translation adjustment | _ | _ | _ | _ | 3 | | 3 |
| _ | _ | Unrealized loss on HM Services common stock | | | | | 3 | | 3 |
| | | to net income | _ | _ | _ | | (1) | | (1) |
| | | Comprehensive income | | | | | (1) | \$ | 168 |
| | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 100 |
| _ | 1.7 | Common stock issued for the comprehensive | | | 40 | | | | |
| | | stock and employee stock purchase plans | _ | _ | 18 | (4.45) | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Dividends on common stock | | _ | _ | (147) | - | | |
| _ | | Dividends on preferred stock | _ | _ | <u>—</u> | (27) | _ | | |
| _ | 1.1 | Redemptions of limited partner interests for | | | _ | | | | |
| (4.0) | | common stock | (00) | _ | 7 | | - | | |
| (4.0) | — 6 0 | Redemption of Class B Preferred Stock | (96) | | 100 | (4) | _ | | |
| | 6.8 | Issuance of common stock | <u> </u> | 1 | 102 | <u>—</u> | <u> </u> | | |
| 10.0 | 361.0 | Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ 241 | \$ 4 | \$ 3,080 | \$ (923) | <u>\$ 15</u> | | |

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 (in millions)

| ODED ATING A CTUUTIES | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|--|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES Not income (loss) | \$ 166 | \$ — | \$ 14 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 100 | 5 — | \$ 14 |
| Adjustments to reconcile to cash provided by operations: Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Gain on dispositions | (19) | (52) | (65) |
| Depreciation | 15 | 27 | 46 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 358 | 339 | 332 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 14 | 16 | 17 |
| Income taxes | 17 | (20) | (30) |
| Net gains on property transactions | (75) | (5) | (5) |
| (Gain) loss on foreign currency and derivative contracts | (2) | 6 | 19 |
| Equity in losses of affiliates | 1 | 16 | 22 |
| Minority interest expense | 16 | 4 | 5 |
| Change in due from managers | 8 | (15) | 17 |
| Change in accrued interest payable | 7 | 9 | (10) |
| Changes in other assets | 1 | 20 | 22 |
| Changes in other liabilities | 5 | 19 | (13) |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 512 | 364 | 371 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from sales of assets, net | 122 | 246 | 184 |
| Proceeds from the sale of interest in CBM Joint Venture, LLC, net of expenses | 90 | _ | |
| Disposition of World Trade Center hotel | | _ | 185 |
| Acquisitions | (284) | (503) | (324) |
| Distributions from equity investments | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| Capital expenditures: | | J | 3 |
| Renewals and replacements | (242) | (207) | (197) |
| Repositionings and other investments | (107) | (44) | (20) |
| Change in furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund | 7 | (3) | 22 |
| Note receivable collections | | 47 | |
| Other | (17) | (47) | _ |
| Cash used in investing activities | (429) | (505) | (147) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | (423) | (303) | (147) |
| | (12) | (16) | (16) |
| Financing costs Issuances of debt | (12) 650 | (16) 837 | (16) 813 |
| Draw on credit facility, net of repayments | 20 | 657 — | - 013 |
| Debt prepayments | (631) | (1,230) | (1,007) |
| Prepayment of Canadian currency forward contracts | (18) | (1,230) | (7) |
| Scheduled principal repayments | (58) | (61) | (52) |
| Issuances of common stock | (30) | 301 | 501 |
| Issuances of cumulative redeemable preferred stock, net | | 98 | 501 |
| Redemption of cumulative redeemable preferred stock | (100) | (104) | _ |
| Dividends on common stock | (102) | (19) | _ |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (30) | (37) | (35) |
| Distributions to minority interests | (10) | (7) | (6) |
| Change in restricted cash | 45 | (38) | (12) |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | | |
| | (246) | (276) | 179 |
| INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (163) | (417) | 403 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year | 347 | 764 | 361 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year | <u>\$ 184</u> | \$ 347 | \$ 764 |
| | | | |

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

tears Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 (in millions)

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

During 2005, 2004 and 2003, we issued 9.6 million, 30.0 million and 56.6 million shares of common stock, respectively. Of the shares of common stock issued during 2005, 6.8 million common shares were issued upon the conversion of 2.1 million of our Convertible Subordinated Debentures. Additionally, of the shares of common stock issued, approximately 1.1 million, 2.6 million and 4.2 million shares for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were issued upon the conversion of operating partnership units, or OP units, of Host Marriott, L.P. held by minority partners valued at \$19 million, \$35 million and \$41 million, respectively.

On January 3, 2005, we transferred \$47 million of preferred units of Vornado Realty Trust, which we had purchased on December 30, 2004, in redemption of a minority partner's interest in a consolidated partnership.

On January 6, 2005, we sold the Hartford Marriott at Farmington for a purchase price of approximately \$25 million, including the assumption of approximately \$20 million of mortgage debt by the buyer.

On September 22, 2004, we acquired the Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains, for a purchase price of approximately \$58 million, including the assumption of approximately \$34 million in mortgage debt.

During June 2003, we acquired the remaining general partner interest and the preferred equity interest held by outside partners in the JW Marriott in Washington, D.C. for approximately \$3 million. We also became the sole limited partner after the partnership foreclosed on a note receivable from the other limited partner. As a result, we began consolidating the partnership and recorded \$95 million of mortgage debt secured by the hotel and property and equipment of approximately \$131 million.

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

On April 17, 2006, the Company changed its name from Host Marriott Corporation to Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., or Host, a Maryland corporation, operating through an umbrella partnership structure. Host is primarily the owner of hotel properties. We operate as a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, with our operations conducted solely through an operating partnership, Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. (formerly known as Host Marriott, L.P.), or Host LP, or the operating partnership, and its subsidiaries. We are the sole general partner of Host LP and as of this date, own approximately 96% of the partnership interests, which are referred to as OP units.

As of December 31, 2005, we owned, or had controlling interests in, 107 luxury and upper-upscale, full-service hotel lodging properties located throughout the United States, Toronto and Calgary, Canada and Mexico City, Mexico operated primarily under the Marriott[®], Ritz-Carlton[®], Hyatt[®], Fairmont[®], Four Seasons[®], Hilton[®] and Westin[®] brand names. Of these properties, 89 are managed or franchised by Marriott International, Inc. and its subsidiaries, or Marriott International.

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries and controlled affiliates. We consolidate entities (in the absence of other factors determining control) when we own over 50% of the voting shares of another company or, in the case of partnership investments, when we own a majority of the general partnership interest. The control factors we consider include the ability of minority stockholders or other partners to participate in or block management decisions. Additionally, if we determine that we are an owner in a variable interest entity within the meaning of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, revision to Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" and that our variable interest will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses if they occur, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns if they occur, or both, then we will consolidate the entity. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders as adjusted for potentially dilutive securities, by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus other potentially dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, those preferred OP units held by minority partners, other minority interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interests to common OP units, the Convertible Subordinated Debentures and the Exchangeable Senior Debentures. No effect is shown for any securities that are anti-dilutive.

| | | | | Year er | ded Decen | nber 31, | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------|--------|------------------------|--|
| | | 2005 | | | 2004 | | | 2003 | | |
| | Income | Shares | Per Share <u>Amount</u> (i | <u>Income</u> in millions, e | Shares kcept per s | Per Share <u>Amount</u> hare amounts | Income s) | Shares | Per Share Amount | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 166 | 353.0 | \$.47 | \$ — | 337.3 | \$ — | \$ 14 | 281.0 | \$.05 | |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (27) | _ | (80.) | (37) | | (.11) | (35) | _ | (.12) | |
| Issuance costs of redeemed preferred stock (1) | (4) | _ | (.01) | (4) | _ | (.01) | _ | _ | _ | |
| Basic earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | 135 | 353.0 | .38 | (41) | 337.3 | (.12) | (21) | 281.0 | (.07) | |
| Assuming distribution of common shares granted under the comprehensive stock plan, less shares assumed purchased at | | | | | | | | | | |
| average market price | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 135 | 355.5 | \$.38 | \$ (41) | 337.3 | \$ (.12) | \$ (21) | 281.0 | \$ (.07) | |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the original issuance costs associated with the Class B preferred stock in 2005 and the Class A preferred stock in 2004.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. For newly developed properties, cost includes interest and real estate taxes incurred during development and construction. Replacements and improvements and capital leases are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally 40 years for buildings and three to ten years for furniture and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the useful lives of the related assets.

We capitalize certain inventory (such as china, glass, silver, linen) at the time of a hotel opening, or when significant inventory is purchased (in conjunction with a major rooms renovation or when the number of rooms or meeting space at a hotel is expanded). These amounts are then fully amortized over the estimated useful life of three years. Subsequent replacement purchases are expensed when opened and placed in service. Food and beverage inventory items are recorded at the lower of FIFO cost or market and expensed as utilized.

We maintain a furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund for renewal and replacement capital expenditures at certain hotels, which is generally funded with approximately 5% of property revenues.

We assess impairment of our real estate properties based on whether it is probable that estimated undiscounted future cash flows from each individual property are less than its net book value. If a property is impaired, a loss is recorded for the difference between the fair value and net book value of the hotel.

We will classify a hotel as held for sale when the sale of the asset is probable, will be completed within one year and that actions to complete the sale are unlikely to change or that the sale will be withdrawn. Accordingly, we classify assets as held-for-sale when our Board of Directors has approved the sale, a binding agreement to purchase the property has been signed under which the buyer has committed a significant amount of nonrefundable cash and no significant financing contingencies exist which could prevent the transaction from being completed in a timely manner. If these criteria are met, we will record an impairment loss if the fair value less costs to sell is lower than the carrying amount of the hotel and will cease incurring depreciation. We will classify the loss, together with the related operating results, including interest expense on debt assumed by the buyer or that is required to be repaid as a result of the sale, as discontinued operations on our consolidated statement of operations and classify the assets and related liabilities as held for sale on the balance sheet. Gains on sales of properties are recognized at the time of sale or deferred and recognized as income in subsequent periods as conditions requiring deferral are satisfied or expire without further cost to us.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity of 90 days or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes reserves for debt service, real estate taxes, insurance, furniture and fixtures, as well as cash collateral and excess cash flow deposits due to mortgage debt agreement restrictions and provisions. For purposes of the statement of cash flows, management believes that because these amounts are the direct result of restrictions under our loan agreements, it is appropriate to link the changes in restricted cash with the obligation to repay the debt in cash from financing activities.

Minority Interest

The percentage of the operating partnership owned by third parties is presented as interest of minority partners of Host LP in the consolidated balance sheets and was \$119 million and \$122 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We adjust the interest of the minority partners of Host LP each period to maintain a proportional relationship between the book value of equity associated with our common stockholders relative to that of the unitholders of Host LP since Host LP units may be exchanged into common stock on a one-for-one basis. Net income is allocated to the minority partners of Host LP based on their weighted average ownership percentage during the period.

Third party partnership interests in consolidated investments of the operating partnership that have finite lives are included in interest of minority partners of other consolidated partnerships in the consolidated balance sheets and totaled \$26 million and \$83 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Third party partnership interests in consolidated investments that have infinite lives totaled \$3 million as of December 31, 2004. As of December 31, 2005, none of our partnerships have infinite lives as defined in SFAS 150.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes." Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rates is recognized in earnings in the period when the new rate is enacted.

We have elected to be treated as a REIT under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and, as such, are not subject to federal income tax, provided we distribute all of our taxable income annually to our stockholders and comply with certain other requirements. In addition to paying federal and state taxes on any retained income, we are subject to taxes on "built-in-gains" on sales of certain assets. Additionally, our taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and foreign income tax. The consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the tax provision related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiaries, state taxes paid by Host and the operating partnership and foreign taxes paid by the operating partnership, as well as each of their respective subsidiaries.

Deferred Charges

Financing costs related to long-term debt are deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the debt.

Foreign Currency Translation

As of December 31, 2005, our foreign operations consist of four properties located in Canada and one property located in Mexico. The operations of these properties are maintained in the local currency and then translated to U.S. dollars using the average exchange rates for the period. The assets and liabilities of the properties are translated to U.S. dollars using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. The resulting translation adjustments are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Revenues

Our consolidated results of operations reflect revenues and expenses of our hotels. Revenues are recognized when the services are provided.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the balance sheet are as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2005</u> | 2004 |
|--|-------------|------|
| Unrealized gain on HM Services common stock | \$ 4 | \$ 5 |
| Foreign currency translation | 11 | 8 |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | \$15 | \$13 |

Derivative Instruments

We have interest rate swaps and interest rate caps which are considered derivative instruments. If the requirements for hedge accounting are met, amounts paid or received under these agreements are recognized over the life of the agreements as adjustments to interest expense, and the fair value of the derivatives is recorded on the accompanying balance sheet, with offsetting adjustments or charges recorded to the underlying debt. Otherwise the instruments are marked to market, and the gains and losses from the changes in the market value of the contracts are recorded in loss on foreign currency and derivative contracts. Upon early termination of an interest rate swap, gains or losses are deferred and amortized as adjustments to interest expense of the related debt over the remaining period covered by the terminated swap.

We are also subject to exposure from fluctuations in foreign currencies relating to our properties located in Canada and in Mexico City. We have purchased currency forward contracts related to the Canadian properties, which are considered derivative instruments. Gains and losses on contracts that meet the requirements for hedge accounting are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with offsetting changes recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. During 2003, these contracts no longer met the requirements for hedge accounting and have thereafter been marked to market each period and included in loss on foreign currency and derivative contracts in the accompanying statement of operations. See Note 4 for further discussion of these contracts.

Business Combinations

We account for business combinations under the purchase method of accounting. As a result, all assets and liabilities of an acquired entity will be measured at fair value.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents. We maintain cash and cash equivalents with various high credit-quality financial institutions. We perform periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of these financial institutions and limit the amount of credit exposure with any one institution.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2005, we maintained two stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 8. Prior to 2002, we accounted for those plans in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," or SFAS 123, and applied it prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2002. Awards under our employee

stock option plan generally vest over four years. Therefore, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation included in the determination of net income or loss for 2005, 2004 and 2003 is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to these awards since the original effective date of SFAS 123. The adoption of SFAS 123 did not change the calculation of stock-based employee compensation costs for shares granted under our deferred stock and restricted stock plans. The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and earnings (loss) per share if the fair value based method had been applied to all of our outstanding and unvested awards in each period.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|--|
| | 2 | 2005 | | 2004 | | 2003 | |
| | | (in mil | llions, exce _l | pt per shar | e amounts | ounts) | |
| Net income (loss), as reported | \$ | 166 | \$ | _ | \$ | 14 | |
| Add: Total stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income (loss), net of related tax effects | | 22 | | 24 | | 16 | |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all | | | | | | | |
| awards, net of related tax effects | | (22) | | (25) | | (16) | |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | | 166 | | (1) | | 14 | |
| Dividends on preferred stock | | (27) | | (37) | | (35) | |
| Issuance costs of redeemed preferred stock (1) | | (4) | | (4) | | | |
| Pro forma net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ | 135 | \$ | (42) | \$ | (21) | |
| Earnings (loss) per share | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted—as reported | \$ | .38 | \$ | (.12) | \$ | (.07) | |
| Basic and diluted—pro forma | \$ | .38 | \$ | (.12) | \$ | (.07) | |

Represents the original issuance costs associated with the Class B preferred stock in 2005 and the Class A preferred stock in 2004.

Application of New Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment ("FAS 123R"), which requires that the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The statement requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award—the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the requisite service. Employee share purchase plans will not result in recognition of compensation cost if certain conditions are met; those conditions are much the same as the related conditions in FAS 123. We adopted the fair value provisions of FAS 123 in 2002 and, therefore, have recognized the costs associated with all share-based payment awards granted after January 1, 2002. The provisions of FAS 123R are effective as of January 1, 2006. The adoption of this standard in 2006 will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 ("FIN 47"), which clarified the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" as used in FASB Statement No. 143. A conditional asset retirement obligation refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. As a result of the issuance of this statement, we will recognize the fair value of the liability for the conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred, which is generally upon acquisition, construction, or development and (or) through the normal operation of the asset, if sufficient information exists to reasonably estimate the fair value of the obligation. The adoption of this interpretation did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation Number 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, *an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in a tax return. The Company must determine whether it is "more-likely-than-not" that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Once it is determined that a position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the position is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. FIN 48 applies to all tax positions related to income taxes subject to FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. We will adopt the provisions of this statement beginning in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings on January 1, 2007. We do not anticipate that the adoption of this statement will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

2. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following as of December 31:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|----------|
| | (in mil | llions) |
| Land and land improvements | \$ 864 | \$ 826 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 8,163 | 7,922 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,176 | 1,115 |
| Construction in progress | 179 | 85 |
| | 10,382 | 9,948 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (2,948) | (2,650) |
| | \$ 7,434 | \$ 7,298 |

3. Investments in Affiliates

We own investments in voting interest entities which we do not consolidate and, accordingly, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The debt of these affiliates is non-recourse to, and not guaranteed by, us. Investments in affiliates consists of the following:

| | | | As | of December 31, 2005 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|--|
| | Ownership Interests | Our stment | Debt | Assets |
| | | (in mill | ions) | |
| Host Marriott Financial Trust | 100% | \$ 17 | \$ 370 | \$387 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures |
| CBM Joint Venture L.P. | 3.6% | 7 | 841 | 120 Courtyard hotels |
| Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P. | 49% | 17 | _ | 36-hole golf club |
| Other | 1% | | | Three full-service hotels |
| Total | | \$ 41 | \$1,211 | |

| | | As of December 31, 2004 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Ownership Interests | _ | Our stment | Debt | Assets | | |
| | Interests | IIIVC | (in mill | | 73503 | | |
| Host Marriott Financial Trust | 100% | \$ | 17 | \$ 475 | \$492 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures | | |
| CBM Joint Venture LLC | 50% | | 33 | 898 | 120 Courtyard hotels | | |
| Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P. | 49% | | 19 | _ | 36-hole golf club | | |
| Other | 1% | | | | Three full-service hotels | | |
| Total | | \$ | 69 | \$1,373 | | | |

Due to the implementation of FIN 46R on January 1, 2004, we were required to deconsolidate the accounts of the Host Marriott Financial Trust (the "Trust"), which was created solely to issue 11 million shares of 6 ³/4% convertible quarterly income preferred securities (the "Convertible Preferred Securities"). This resulted in our recognizing the \$492 million of 6 ³/4% convertible subordinated debentures due December 2026 (the "Convertible Subordinated Debentures") issued by the Trust as debt, eliminating the \$475 million of Convertible Preferred Securities previously classified in the mezzanine section of our balance sheet and recognizing, as an equity investment, the \$17 million invested in the Trust. Additionally, we have classified the related payments as interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations. During 2005, the holders of 2.1 million of Convertible Preferred Securities, with a liquidation price of \$105 million, exercised their right to convert and, as a result, we issued 6.8 million shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2005, \$387 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures were outstanding. For further information on the Trust and the Convertible Preferred Securities, see Note 4.

During March 2005, we sold 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture LLC for a sales price of approximately \$92 million and recorded a gain on the sale, net of taxes, of approximately \$41 million. In conjunction with the sale of our interest, CBM Joint Venture LLC was recapitalized and converted into a limited partnership, CBM Joint Venture LP ("CBM Joint Venture"), with Marriott International and Sarofim Realty Advisors. Post-recapitalization, we own a 3.6% limited partner interest in CBM Joint Venture. We have the right to cause the partnership to redeem our remaining interest, under certain conditions, between December 2007 and December 2009. Thereafter, the partnership has the right to redeem our remaining interest. None of CBM Joint Venture's debt is recourse to, or guaranteed by, us or any of our subsidiaries.

Each of CBM Joint Venture's 120 hotels is operated by Marriott International pursuant to long-term management agreements. We received cash distributions of approximately \$1 million during 2005 and did not receive any distributions from this investment during 2004 and 2003.

We have a 49% limited partner interest in Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P., which owns the golf club surrounding The Ritz-Carlton, Naples Golf Resort. Cash distributions from this investment were approximately \$1 million, \$6 million and \$1 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

We own minority interests in three partnerships that directly or indirectly own three hotels. The total carrying value of these partnerships is less than \$500,000, and we do not have any guarantees or commitments in relation to these partnerships and all of the debt is non-recourse to us. On December 30, 2004, we sold our 49% interest in Duna Szalloda Rt., a partnership that owns the Budapest Marriott hotel, for approximately \$1 million.

Combined summarized balance sheet information as of December 31 for our affiliates follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|---------|-------------------|
| | (in m | illions) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$1,270 | \$1,049 |
| Convertible Subordinated Debentures due from Host Marriott Corporation | 387 | 492 |
| Other assets | 131 | 77 |
| Total assets | \$1,788 | \$1,618 \$ 898 |
| Debt | \$ 841 | \$ 898 |
| Other liabilities | 31 | 106 |
| Convertible Preferred Securities | 370 | 475 |
| Equity | 546 | 139 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,788 | \$1,618 |
| | | |

Combined summarized operating results for our affiliates for the years ended December 31 follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 (in millions) | 2003 |
|---|--------|-----------------------|---------|
| Total revenues | \$ 482 | \$ 441 | \$ 427 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Expenses | (348) | (325) | (318) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (46) | (57) | (58) |
| Operating profit | 88 | 59 | 51 |
| Interest income | 33 | 33 | _ |
| Interest expense | (60) | (92) | (94) |
| Dividends on Convertible Preferred Securities | (31) | (32) | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 30 | \$ (32) | \$ (43) |

4. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

| | Decem | iber 31, |
|--|---------|----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| | , | illions) |
| Series B senior notes, with a rate of 7 ⁷ /8% due August 2008 | \$ 136 | \$ 304 |
| Series E senior notes, with a rate of $8^{3}/8\%$ due February 2006 | | 300 |
| Series G senior notes, with a rate of $9^{1/4}\%$ due October 2007 (1) | 236 | 243 |
| Series I senior notes, with a rate of $9^{1/2}$ % due January 2007 (2) | 451 | 468 |
| Series K senior notes, with a rate of $7\frac{1}{8}$ % due November 2013 | 725 | 725 |
| Series M senior notes, with a rate of 7% due August 2012 | 346 | 346 |
| Series O senior notes, with a rate of $6.3/8\%$ due March 2015 | 650 | _ |
| Exchangeable Senior Debentures with a rate of 3.25% due April 2024 | 493 | 491 |
| Senior notes, with an average rate of 9.7% maturing through May 2012 | 13 | 13 |
| Total senior notes | 3,050 | 2,890 |
| Mortgage debt (non-recourse) secured by \$3.1 billion of real estate assets, with an average interest rate of 7.8% and 7.7% at | | |
| December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, maturing through February 2023 (3) | 1,823 | 2,043 |
| Credit facility | 20 | _ |
| Convertible Subordinated Debentures, with a rate of 6 ³ / ₄ % due December 2026 | 387 | 492 |
| Other | 90 | 98 |
| Total debt | \$5,370 | \$5,523 |
| | | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the fair value of interest rate swap agreements of \$(6) million and \$1 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

- (2) Includes the fair value of an interest rate swap agreement of \$1 million and \$18 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
- (3) Excludes \$20 million of mortgage debt related to the Hartford Marriott Farmington, that was reclassified as liabilities associated with assets held for sale at December 31, 2004. The hotel was sold on January 6, 2005.

Senior Notes

General. Under the terms of our senior notes indenture, our senior notes are equal in right of payment with all of the operating partnership's unsubordinated indebtedness and senior to all subordinated obligations of the operating partnership. The face amount of our outstanding senior notes as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$3.1 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively. The outstanding senior notes balance as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 includes discounts of approximately \$11 million and \$14 million, respectively, and fair value adjustments for interest rate swap agreements of approximately \$(5) million and \$19 million, respectively, that are discussed in further detail below. The notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture are guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and are currently secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture, as well as our credit facility, certain other senior debt, and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements with lenders that are parties to the credit facility. The Series K, Series M and Series O indenture contain certain provisions that allow for additional flexibility to incur debt, utilize asset sale proceeds, make certain investments and pay dividends on our preferred stock. However, these provisions will only go into effect once all pre-Series K senior notes are repaid or the pre-Series K indenture has been amended to allow for these same provisions. We pay interest on each series of our outstanding senior notes semi-annually in arrears of the respective annual rates indicated on the table above.

Restrictive Covenants. Under the terms of the senior notes indenture, our ability to incur indebtedness and pay dividends is subject to restrictions and the satisfaction of various conditions, including the achievement of an EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0x by the operating partnership. This ratio is calculated in accordance with our senior notes indenture and excludes from interest expense items such as interest on our Convertible Subordinated Debentures, call premiums and deferred financing charges that are included in interest expense on our consolidated statement of operations. In addition, the calculation is based on our pro forma results for the four prior fiscal quarters giving effect to the transactions, such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings, as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. Other covenants limiting our ability to incur indebtedness and pay dividends include maintaining total indebtedness (excluding our Convertible Subordinated Debentures) of less than 65% of adjusted total assets (using undepreciated real estate values) and secured indebtedness of less than 45% of adjusted total assets). So long as we maintain the required level of interest coverage and satisfy these and other conditions in the senior notes indenture, we may pay preferred or common dividends and incur additional debt under the senior notes indenture, including debt incurred in connection with an acquisition. Our senior notes indenture also imposes restrictions on customary matters, such as limitations on capital expenditures, acquisitions, investments, transactions with affiliates and incurrence of liens. As of December 31, 2005, we are in compliance with our senior notes covenants.

Issuances. On March 10, 2005, we issued \$650 million of 6 3/8% Series N senior notes due in 2015 and received net proceeds of approximately \$639 million. The senior notes mature on March 15, 2015 and are equal in right of payment with all of our senior indebtedness and senior to all of our subordinated obligations. We will pay interest on the notes semi-annually in cash in arrears at the rate of 6 3/8% per year payable on March 15 and September 15. The senior notes are guaranteed by certain of our subsidiaries, comprising all of our subsidiaries that have also guaranteed our credit facility and other indebtedness. As security for the notes, we have pledged the common equity interests of those of our direct and indirect subsidiaries which also secure, on an equal and ratable basis, our credit facility and approximately \$2.4 billion of our other outstanding existing senior notes. On July 19, 2005, the Series N senior notes were exchanged for \$650 million of 6 3/8% Series O senior notes. The terms of the Series O senior notes are substantially identical in all material aspects, except that the Series O senior notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, freely transferable by the holders.

On August 4, 2004, we issued \$350 million of 7% Series L senior notes and received net proceeds of \$345 million after discounts, underwriting fees and expenses. The Series L senior notes mature on August 15, 2012 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior indebtedness. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on February 15 and August 15 of each year. On September 2, 2004, we used the net proceeds from the issuance of the Series L senior notes and available cash to redeem \$336 million of our 7.78% Series B senior notes, which is discussed below. In January 2005, the Series L senior notes were exchanged for \$350 million of 7.78% Series M senior notes. The terms of the Series M senior notes are substantially identical to the terms of the Series L senior notes, except that the Series M senior notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, freely transferable by the holders.

In February 2004, the \$725 million $7^{1/8}$ % Series J senior notes were exchanged for \$725 million of $7^{1/8}$ % Series K senior notes. The terms of the Series K senior notes are substantially identical to the terms of the Series J notes, except that the Series K senior notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, freely transferable by the holders.

Repayments, In 2005, we used the net proceeds from the Series O senior notes for the following senior note repayments:

- on March 17, 2005, approximately \$291 million was used to purchase \$280 million of our 8 3/8% Series E senior notes;
- on April 11, 2005, approximately \$174 million was used to redeem \$169 million of 7 7/8% Series B senior notes and to pay prepayment premiums; and
- on April 22, 2005, approximately \$21 million was used to discharge the remaining \$20 million of 8 3/8% Series E senior notes.

We recorded a loss of \$30 million on the early extinguishment of debt in 2005, which includes the payment of call premiums and the acceleration of related deferred financing fees.

During 2004, we redeemed a total of \$895 million of our Series B senior notes and \$218 million of our Series C senior notes, both of which were scheduled to mature in 2008. The Series B senior note redemptions were funded through the proceeds from issuance of our Series L senior notes and the proceeds from issuance of the Exchangeable Senior Debentures (discussed below). The redemption of our Series C senior notes was funded by the proceeds from the insurance settlement for the New York Marriott World Trade Center hotel. The terms of our senior notes require the payment of a call premium to holders in exchange for the right to retire this debt in advance of its maturity date. We recorded a loss of approximately \$55 million on the early extinguishment of debt in 2004, which includes the payment of the call premium and the acceleration of related deferred financing fees.

Exchangeable Senior Debentures. On March 16, 2004, we issued \$500 million of 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures and received net proceeds of \$484 million, after discounts, underwriting fees and expenses. The Exchangeable Senior Debentures mature on April 15, 2024 and are equal in right of payment with all of our unsubordinated debt. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year. We can redeem for cash all, or part of, the Exchangeable Senior Debentures at any time subsequent to April 19, 2009 upon 30 days notice at the applicable redemption price as set forth in the indenture. Holders have the right to require us to repurchase the Exchangeable Senior Debentures on April 15, 2010, April 15, 2014 and April 15, 2019 at the issue price. The Exchangeable Senior Debentures are exchangeable into shares of our common stock at a rate of 56.1319 shares for each \$1,000 of principal amount of the debentures, or a total of approximately 28 million shares, which is equivalent to an exchange price of \$17.82 per share of our common stock. The exchange rate is adjusted for, among other things, the payment of dividends to our common stockholders. Holders may exchange their Exchangeable Senior Debentures prior to maturity under certain conditions, including at any time at which the closing sale price of our common stock is more than 120% of the exchange price per share, for at least 20 of 30 trading days. The Exchangeable Senior Debentures and the common stock issuable upon exchange of the debentures have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold except to qualified institutional buyers, as

Convertible Subordinated Debentures. As of December 31, 2005, Host Marriott Financial Trust, a wholly owned subsidiary, held approximately 7.4 million shares of 6 3/4% convertible quarterly income preferred securities, with a liquidation preference of \$50 per share (for a total liquidation amount of \$370 million). The Convertible Preferred Securities represent an undivided beneficial interest in the assets of the Trust. The payment of distributions by the Trust, payments on liquidation of the Trust, or the redemption of the Convertible Preferred Securities are guaranteed by us. This guarantee, when taken together with our obligations under the indenture pursuant to which the Convertible Subordinated Debentures were issued provides a full and unconditional guarantee of amounts due on the Convertible Preferred Securities. Proceeds from the issuance of the Convertible Preferred Securities were invested in the Convertible Subordinated Debentures issued by us. The Trust exists solely to issue the Convertible Preferred Securities and its own common securities (the "Common Securities") and invest the proceeds therefrom in the Convertible Subordinated Debentures, which is its sole asset. Separate financial statements of the Trust are not presented because of our guarantee described above; our management has concluded that such financial statements are not material to investors as the Trust is wholly owned and essentially has no independent operations.

Each of the Convertible Preferred Securities and the related Convertible Subordinated Debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into shares of our common stock at the rate of 3.2537 shares per Convertible Preferred Security for a total of approximately 24 million shares (equivalent to a conversion price of \$15.367 per share of our common stock). The Trust will only convert Convertible Subordinated Debentures pursuant to a notice of conversion by a holder of Convertible Preferred Securities.

Holders of the Convertible Preferred Securities are entitled to receive preferential cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate of 6 ³/4% payable quarterly in arrears. The distribution rate and the distribution and other payment dates for the Convertible Preferred Securities correspond to the interest rate and interest and other payment dates on the Convertible Subordinated Debentures. We may defer interest payments on the Convertible Subordinated Debentures for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters. If interest payments on the Convertible Subordinated Debentures are deferred so, too, are payments on the Convertible Preferred Securities. Under this circumstance, we will not be permitted to declare or pay any cash distributions with respect to our capital stock or debt securities that rank pari passu with or junior to the Convertible Subordinated Debentures.

Subject to certain restrictions, the Convertible Preferred Securities are redeemable at the Trust's option upon any redemption by us of the Convertible Subordinated Debentures after December 2, 1999. Upon repayment at maturity or as a result of the acceleration of the Convertible Subordinated Debentures upon the occurrence of a default, the Convertible Preferred Securities are subject to mandatory redemption.

In connection with Host's conversion to a REIT, the Host LP assumed primary liability for repayment of the Convertible Subordinated Debentures underlying the Convertible Preferred Securities. Upon conversion by a Convertible Preferred Securities holder, we will issue shares of our common stock, which will be delivered to such holder. Upon the issuance of such shares by us, the operating partnership will issue to us a number of OP units equal to the number of shares of our common stock issued in exchange for the Convertible Subordinated Debentures.

During 2005, the holders of 2.1 million Convertible Preferred Securities, with a liquidation value of \$105 million, exercised their right to convert and, as a result, we issued 6.8 million shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2005, \$387 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures were outstanding.

Amended and Restated Credit Facility. On September 10, 2004, we entered into an amended and restated credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citicorp North America Inc., Société Générale and Calyon New York Branch, as Co-Documentation Agents and certain other lenders. The Credit Facility amends our prior credit facility and provides aggregate revolving loan commitments in the amount of \$575 million with an option to increase the amount of the facility by up to \$100 million to the extent that any one or more lenders, whether or not currently party to the Credit Facility, commits to be a lender for such amount. The revolving loan commitment under the amended credit facility is divided into two separate tranches: (1) a Revolving Facility A tranche of \$385 million and (2) a Revolving Facility B tranche of \$190 million. Subject to compliance with the facility's financial covenants, amounts available for borrowing under Revolving Facility A vary depending on our leverage ratio, with \$385 million being available when our leverage ratio is less than 6.75x, \$150 million being available when our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 6.5x but is less than 7.0x, and no amounts being available when our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 7.0x.

By contrast, the entire amount of Revolving Facility B is available for borrowing at any time that our unsecured interest coverage ratio equals or exceeds 1.5x and our leverage ratio does not exceed levels ranging from 7.5x to 7.0x. The Credit Facility also includes sub-commitments for the issuance of letters of credit in an aggregate amount of \$10 million and loans to our Canadian subsidiaries in Canadian Dollars in an aggregate amount of \$150 million. The Credit Facility has an initial scheduled maturity in September 2008. We have an option to extend the maturity for an additional year if certain conditions are met at the time of the initial scheduled maturity. We pay interest on borrowings under the Revolving Facility A at floating interest rates plus a margin (which, in the case of LIBOR-based borrowings, ranges from 2.00% to 3.00%) that is set with reference to our leverage ratio. Borrowings under Revolving Facility B are subject to a margin that is 0.5% higher than the corresponding margin applicable to Revolving Facility A borrowings and .75% higher when our leverage ratio is greater than 7.0x. The rate will vary based on our leverage ratio. We are required to pay a quarterly commitment fee that will vary based on the amount of unused capacity under the Credit Facility. Currently, the commitment fee is .55% on an annual basis. As of December 31, 2005, we had approximately \$20 million outstanding under our Credit Facility.

Mortgage Debt

All of our mortgage debt is recourse solely to specific assets except for fraud, misapplication of funds and other customary recourse provisions. As of December 31, 2005, we have 23 assets that are secured by mortgage debt, with an average interest rate of 7.8%. Eight of these assets are secured by mortgage debt that contains restrictive covenants that require the mortgage servicer or lender to retain and hold in escrow the cash flow after debt service when it declines below specified operating levels. The impact of these covenants is discussed below.

Eight of our hotel properties secure a \$548 million mortgage loan that is the sole asset of a trust that issued commercial mortgage pass-through certificates, which we refer to as the CMBS Loan. These hotels securing the CMBS Loan are the New York Marriott Marquis Times Square, the Hyatt Regency San Francisco Airport, the Hyatt Regency Cambridge, Overlooking Boston, the Hyatt Regency Reston, the Hyatt Regency Boston, Swissôtel The Drake, New York, the Westin Buckhead Atlanta, and the Swissôtel Chicago, which we refer to as the CMBS Portfolio. The CMBS Loan contains a provision that requires the mortgage servicer to retain excess cash flow from the CMBS Portfolio after payment of debt service (approximately \$64 million) if net cash flow after payment of taxes, insurance, ground rent and reserves for furniture, fixtures and equipment for the trailing twelve months declines below \$96 million. This provision was triggered beginning in the third quarter of 2002 and remained in effect until the third quarter of 2005, when operating cash flow met the required thresholds. As a result, on October 31, 2005, approximately \$71 million of previously escrowed funds were released to us.

On October 17, 2005, we retired the remaining mortgage secured by two of our Canadian properties with the prepayment of approximately \$19 million. In addition to the prepayment of the mortgage debt secured by our Canadian properties, we prepaid \$140 million, with the net proceeds from the Series O senior notes, of mortgage debt secured by two of our properties and had \$20 million of mortgage debt assumed by the buyer in conjunction with a property disposition in 2005. During the first quarter of 2004, we prepaid \$82 million of mortgage debt secured by four of our properties. The prepayment of this debt was made with proceeds from the sale of assets.

In conjunction with the purchase of the Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains in September 2004, we assumed the outstanding mortgage debt of approximately \$34 million. The debt has a fixed rate of interest equal to 6.08% and matures in on December 1, 2008.

Derivative Instruments

Prior to the repayment in October 2005, the mortgage loan on our Canadian properties was denominated in U.S. dollars and the functional currency of the Canadian subsidiaries was the Canadian dollar. At the time of the origination of the loan, each of the subsidiaries entered into 60 separate currency forward contracts to buy U.S. dollars at a fixed price. These forward contracts hedged the currency exposure of converting Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars on a monthly basis to cover debt service payments, including the final balloon payment. These contracts were designated as cash flow hedges of the debt service and balloon payment and were recorded at fair value on the balance sheet with offsetting changes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. During 2003, we prepaid approximately \$39 million of the loan and terminated the foreign currency contracts equal to the prepayments for a payment of approximately \$8 million. As a result, substantially all of the forward currency

contracts were deemed ineffective for accounting purposes and we recorded a loss on the contracts of approximately \$18 million in 2003. Subsequent to the prepayment date, we recorded the increase or decrease in the fair value of the outstanding forward currency contracts in net income (loss) each period. In December 2004, we made an additional \$34 million prepayment of the loan. In 2005, we terminated the remaining foreign currency contracts for approximately \$18 million and prepaid the remaining outstanding balance of the loan for approximately \$19 million.

On August 21, 2003, we entered into two four-year interest rate swap agreements that mature October 2007, effectively converting our Series G senior notes to floating-rate debt. Under the swaps, we receive fixed-rate payments of 9.25% and we make floating-rate payments based on six-month LIBOR plus 590 basis points (10.1% at December 31, 2005) on a \$242 million notional amount, which is approximately equal to the current amount of outstanding Series G senior notes. We have designated the interest rate swaps as fair value hedges for both financial reporting and tax purposes and the amounts paid or received under the swap agreements will be recognized over the life of the agreements as an adjustment to interest expense. Changes in the fair value of the swaps and our Series G senior notes are reflected in the balance sheet as offsetting changes and have no income statement effect. The fair value of these interest rate swaps was \$(6) million and \$1 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

On December 20, 2001, we entered into a five-year interest rate swap agreement, which was effective on January 15, 2002 and matures in January 2007, effectively converting our Series I senior notes to floating rate debt. Under the swap, we receive fixed-rate payments of 9.5% and pay floating-rate payments based on one-month LIBOR plus 450 basis points (8.9% at December 31, 2005) on a \$450 million notional amount, which is equal to the current amount of outstanding Series I senior notes. We have designated the interest rate swap as a fair value hedge for both financial reporting and tax purposes and the amounts paid or received under the swap agreement will be recognized over the life of the agreement as an adjustment to interest expense. Changes in the fair value of the swap and the Series I senior notes are reflected in the balance sheet as offsetting changes and have no income statement effect. The fair value of this interest rate swap at December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$1 million and \$18 million, respectively.

In connection with the refinancing of the mortgage debt secured by the JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. in September 2003, we purchased an interest rate cap with a notional amount of \$88 million, which capped the floating interest rate at 8.1% for the first two years of the loan. Upon the expiration of the interest rate cap in September 2005, we purchased a similar interest rate cap that caps the floating interest rate of the loan at 8.1% through September 2006. The caps represent derivatives that are marked to market each period and the gains and losses from changes in the market values of the caps are recorded in gain (loss) on foreign currency and derivative contracts. The fair values of the interest rate caps were immaterial at December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Aggregate Debt Maturities

Aggregate debt maturities at December 31, 2005 are as follows (in millions):

| 2006 | \$ 228 |
|---|---------|
| 2007 | 873 |
| 2008 | 451 |
| 2009 | 754 |
| 2010 | 518 |
| Thereafter | 2,559 |
| | 5,383 |
| Fair value adjustment for interest rate swaps | (5) |
| Discount on senior notes | (11) |
| Capital lease obligations | 3 |
| | \$5,370 |

Interest

Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized, was \$423 million in 2005, \$453 million in 2004 and \$468 million in 2003. During 2005, 2004 and 2003, we capitalized \$5 million, \$3 million and \$2 million of interest expense related to qualifying property construction activities. We recorded losses, which have been included in

interest expense on our consolidated statement of operations, during 2005 and 2004, of approximately \$30 million and \$55 million, respectively, on the early extinguishment of debt, which includes prepayment premiums and the acceleration of the related discounts and deferred financing costs. Deferred financing costs, which are included in other assets, amounted to \$63 million and \$70 million, net of accumulated amortization, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs totaled \$14 million, \$16 million, and \$17 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Amortization of property and equipment under capital leases totaled \$3 million, \$2 million and \$3 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and is included in depreciation and amortization on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

5. Stockholders' Equity

Seven hundred fifty million shares of common stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share, are authorized, of which 361.0 million and 351.4 million were outstanding as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Fifty million shares of no par value preferred stock are authorized, with 10.0 million shares and 14.0 million shares outstanding as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Dividends. We are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to qualify as a REIT. However, our policy on common dividends is generally to distribute at least 100% of our taxable income, unless otherwise contractually restricted. For our preferred dividends, we will generally pay the quarterly dividend, regardless of the amount of taxable income, unless similarly contractually restricted. The amount of any dividends will be determined by Host's Board of Directors.

The table below presents the amount of common and preferred dividends declared as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|------|
| Common stock | \$.41 | \$.05 | \$ — |
| Class A preferred stock 10% (1) | _ | 1.38 | 2.50 |
| Class B preferred stock 10% (2) | .87 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Class C preferred stock 10% | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Class E preferred stock 8 7/8% | 2.22 | 1.37 | _ |

- (1) We redeemed all of the outstanding shares of the Class A preferred stock in August 2004.
- (2) We redeemed all of the outstanding shares of the Class B preferred stock in May 2005.

Common Stock and OP Units. During June 2004, we sold 25.0 million shares of our common stock at a price to the public of \$12.12 per share. The net proceeds from the sales were \$301 million after payment of the underwriting discount and offering expenses.

During 2005, the holders of 2.1 million Convertible Preferred Securities, with a liquidation value of \$105 million, exercised their right to convert and, as a result, we issued 6.8 million shares of our common stock.

Preferred Stock. We currently have two classes of publicly-traded preferred stock outstanding: 5,980,000 shares of 10% Class C preferred stock and 4,034,400 shares of 8 7/8% Class E preferred stock. Holders of both classes of the preferred stock are entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends at their respective rate per annum of the \$25.00 per share liquidation preference and are payable quarterly in arrears. On May 20, 2005, we redeemed, at par, all four million shares of our 10% Class B cumulative preferred stock or Class B preferred stock, for approximately \$101 million, including accrued dividends. The fair value of our Class B preferred stock (which is equal to the redemption price) exceeded the carrying value of the preferred stock by approximately \$4 million. The \$4 million represents the original issuance costs. Accordingly, this amount has been reflected in the determination of net income available to common stockholders for the purpose of calculating our basic and diluted earnings per share.

After March 27, 2006 and June 2, 2009, we have the option to redeem the Class C preferred stock and Class E preferred stock, respectively, for \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to the date of redemption. The

preferred stock classes rank senior to the common stock and the authorized Series A junior participating preferred stock (discussed below), and at parity with each other. The preferred stockholders generally have no voting rights. Accrued preferred dividends at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$6 million and \$8 million, respectively.

Stockholders Rights Plan. In 1998, the Board of Directors adopted a stockholder rights plan under which a dividend of one preferred stock purchase right was distributed for each outstanding share of our common stock. Each right when exercisable entitles the holder to buy 1/1,000th of a share of a Series A junior participating preferred stock of ours at an exercise price of \$55 per share, subject to adjustment. The rights are exercisable 10 days after a person or group acquired beneficial ownership of at least 20%, or began a tender or exchange offer for at least 20%, of our common stock. Shares owned by a person or group on November 3, 1998 and held continuously thereafter are exempt for purposes of determining beneficial ownership under the rights plan. The rights are non-voting and expire on November 22, 2008, unless exercised or previously redeemed by us for \$.005 each. If we were involved in a merger or certain other business combinations not approved by the Board of Directors, each right entitles its holder, other than the acquiring person or group, to purchase common stock of either our company or the acquiror having a value of twice the exercise price of the right.

6. Income Taxes

During 1998, we restructured ourselves to enable us to qualify for treatment as a REIT effective January 1, 1999, pursuant to the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In general, a corporation that elects REIT status and meets certain tax law requirements regarding the distribution of its taxable income to its stockholders as prescribed by applicable tax laws and complies with certain other requirements (relating primarily to the nature of its assets and the sources of its revenues) is generally not subject to federal and state income taxation on its operating income distributed to its shareholders. In addition to paying federal and state taxes on any retained income, we are subject to taxes on "built-in-gains" on sales of certain assets. Additionally, our taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and foreign income tax. The consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the tax provision related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiaries, state taxes paid by Host and the operating partnership and foreign taxes paid by the operating partnership as well as each of their respective subsidiaries.

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards based on enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

Total deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------|
| | (in | millions) |
| Deferred tax assets | \$119 | \$125 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | (19) | (14) |
| Subtotal | 100 | 111 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (95) | (80) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 5 | \$ 31 |

We have recorded a valuation allowance under SFAS 109 equal to 100% of our domestic capital loss carryforward and 50% of our foreign capital loss carryforward. In addition, we have recorded a valuation allowance equal to 100% of our Mexican net operating loss carryforward and approximately 30% of our Canadian net operating loss carryforwards. Any subsequent reduction in the valuation allowance related to a net operating loss or capital loss carryforward will be recorded as a reduction of income tax expense. The tax effect of each type of temporary difference and carryforward that gives rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities, net of valuation allowances, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------|-----------|
| | (in n | nillions) |
| Investment in hotel leases | \$ 17 | \$ 29 |
| Deferred gains | _ | 1 |
| Deferred incentive management fees | 12 | 10 |
| Accrued related party interest | 25 | 18 |
| Net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards | 43 | 44 |
| Alternative minimum tax credits | 3 | 2 |
| Safe harbor lease investments | (19) | (20) |
| Property and equipment depreciation | (7) | (4) |
| Investments in affiliates | (69) | (56) |
| Notes receivable and accrued interest | _ | 7 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 5 | \$ 31 |
| | | |

At December 31, 2005, we have aggregate domestic and international net operating and capital loss carryforwards of approximately \$162 million. We have deferred tax assets of approximately \$43 million, net of a valuation allowance of approximately \$24 million, relating to these net operating loss carryforwards. Our net operating loss carryforwards expire through 2024, and our capital loss carryforwards expire through 2010 for domestic purposes and have an unlimited life for international purposes.

The (provision) benefit for income taxes for continuing operations consists of:

| | 2005 | 2004 (in millions) | 2003 |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Current — Federal | \$— | \$ — | \$ — |
| — State | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| — Foreign | (5) | (7) | (4) |
| | (7) | (9) | (6) |
| Deferred — Federal | (18) | 16 | 16 |
| — State | (2) | 2 | 2 |
| — Foreign | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| | (17) | 19 | 19 |
| | \$ (24) | \$ 10 | \$ 13 |
| | | | |

The (provision) benefit for income taxes, including the amounts associated with discontinued operations, were \$(25) million, \$10 million and \$9 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

A reconciliation of the statutory federal tax (provision) benefit to our income tax (provision) benefit for continuing operations follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 (in millions) | 2003 |
|--|--------|-----------------------|-------|
| Statutory federal tax (provision) benefit | \$(57) | \$ 26 | \$ 83 |
| Nontaxable income (loss) of REIT | 35 | (12) | (69) |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | (2) | 1 | 2 |
| Tax contingencies | 5 | 1 | _ |
| Tax on foreign source income | (5) | (6) | (3) |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | \$(24) | \$ 10 | \$ 13 |

Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds received, was \$8 million, \$10 million and \$21 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

7. Leases

Hotel Leases. We lease our hotels (the "Leases") to a wholly owned subsidiary that qualifies as a taxable REIT subsidiary due to federal income tax restrictions on a REIT's ability to derive revenue directly from the operation of a hotel.

Hospitality Properties Trust Relationship. In a series of related transactions in 1995 and 1996, we sold and leased back 53 Courtyard by Marriott ("Courtyard") properties and 18 Residence Inn by Marriott ("Residence Inn") properties to Hospitality Properties Trust ("HPT"). These leases, which are accounted for as operating leases and are included in the table below, have initial terms expiring through 2012 for the Courtyard properties and 2010 for the Residence Inn properties, and are renewable at our option. Minimum rent payments are \$55 million annually for the Courtyard properties and \$19 million annually for the Residence Inn properties, and additional rent based upon sales levels are payable to HPT under the terms of the leases.

In 1998, we sublet the HPT properties (the "Subleases") to separate sublessee subsidiaries of Barceló Crestline Corporation (the "Sublessee"), subject to the terms of the applicable HPT lease. The term of each Sublease expires simultaneously with the expiration of the initial term of the HPT lease to which it relates and automatically renews for the corresponding renewal term under the HPT lease, unless either we or the sublessee elect not to renew the Sublease provided, however, that neither party can elect to terminate fewer than all of the Subleases in a particular pool of HPT properties (one for the Courtyard properties and one for the Residence Inn properties). Rent payable by the Sublessee under the Sublease consists of the minimum rent payable under the HPT lease and an additional percentage rent payable to us. The percentage rent payable by the Sublessee is generally sufficient to cover the additional rent due under the HPT lease, with any excess being retained by us. The rent payable under the Subleases is guaranteed by the Sublessee, up to a maximum amount of \$30 million, which is allocated between the two pools of HPT properties.

Other Lease Information. As of December 31, 2005, all or a portion of 34 of our hotels are subject to ground leases, generally with multiple renewal options, all of which are accounted for as operating leases. Certain of these leases contain provisions for the payment of contingent rentals based on a percentage of sales in excess of stipulated amounts. We also have leases on facilities used in our former restaurant business, some of which we subsequently subleased. These leases and subleases contain one or more renewal options, generally for five or 10-year periods. Our lease activities also include leases entered into by our hotels for various types of equipment, such as computer equipment, vehicles and telephone systems. The restaurant and equipment leases are accounted for as either operating or capital leases, depending on the characteristics of the particular lease arrangement. The amortization charge applicable to capitalized leases is included in depreciation expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The following table presents the future minimum annual rental commitments required under non-cancelable leases for which we are the lessee as of December 31, 2005. Minimum payments for the operating leases have not been reduced by aggregate minimum sublease rentals from restaurants and the Sublessee of \$16 million and \$481 million, respectively, payable to us under non-cancelable subleases.

| | Capital Leases | Operating Leases |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | (in millions) |
| 2006 | \$ 2 | \$ 112 |
| 2007 | 1 | 109 |
| 2008 | 1 | 106 |
| 2009 | | 102 |
| 2010 | - | 100 |
| Thereafter | | 1,018 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 4 | \$ 1,547 |
| Less: amount representing interest | (1) | , <u> </u> |
| Present value of minimum lease payments | \$ 3 | |
| | | |

We remain contingently liable on certain leases relating to divested non-lodging properties. Such contingent liabilities aggregated \$27 million at December 31, 2005. However, management considers the likelihood of any material funding related to these leases to be remote.

Rent expense consists of:

| | 2005 | 2004 (in millions) | 2003 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Minimum rentals on operating leases | \$119 | \$123 | \$127 |
| Additional rentals based on sales | 19 | 18 | 13 |
| Less: sublease rentals | (85) | (83) | (79) |
| | \$ 53 | \$ 58 | \$ 61 |

8. Employee Stock Plans

We maintain two stock-based compensation plans, the comprehensive stock plan (the "Comprehensive Plan"), whereby we may award to participating employees (i) options to purchase our common stock, (ii) deferred shares of our common stock and (iii) restricted shares of our common stock, and the employee stock purchase plan. At December 31, 2005, there were approximately 10.6 million shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance under the Comprehensive Plan.

Prior to 2002, these plans were accounted for according to the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Consequently, no compensation expense was recognized for stock options issued under the Comprehensive Plan or stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan. Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted the expense recognition provisions of SFAS 123 for employee stock options granted on or after January 1, 2002 only. Options granted in fiscal years prior to 2002 were fully vested as of December 31, 2005, therefore, no expense related to these awards will be recorded upon the implementation of SFAS 123 (revised). As a result of the change in accounting method, we record compensation expense for employee stock options based on the fair value of the options at the date of grant. We also record compensation expense for shares issued under our employee stock purchase plan. The implementation of SFAS 123 had no effect on the calculation of compensation expense for shares granted under deferred stock and restricted stock plans.

Employee Stock Options. Employee stock options may be granted to officers and key employees with an exercise price not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Non-qualified options generally expire up to 15 years after the date of grant. Most options vest ratably over each of the first four years following the date of the grant.

In connection with the Host Marriott Services ("HM Services") spin-off in 1995, outstanding options held by our current and former employees were redenominated in both our and HM Services stock and the exercise prices of the options were adjusted based on the relative trading prices of shares of the common stock of the two companies. Pursuant to the distribution agreement between us and HM Services, we originally had the right to receive up to 1.4 million shares of HM Services' common stock or an equivalent cash value subsequent to exercise of the options held by certain former and current employees of Marriott International. On August 27, 1999, Autogrill Acquisition Co., a wholly owned subsidiary of Autogrill SpA of Italy, acquired HM Services. Since HM Services is no longer publicly traded, all future payments to us will be made in cash, as HM Services has indicated that the receivable will not be settled in Autogrill SpA stock. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the receivable balance was approximately \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively, which is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

For purposes of the following disclosures required by SFAS 123, the fair value of each stock option granted has been estimated on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. There were no stock options granted for the periods presented. Compensation expense for the stock options is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The weighted average fair value per option granted during 2002 was \$1.41. We recorded compensation expense of \$244,000, \$280,000 and \$274,000 respectively, for 2005, 2004 and 2003, which represents the expense for stock options granted during 2002.

The following table is a summary of the status of our stock option plans that have been approved by our stockholders for the three years ended December 31, 2005. We do not have stock option plans that have not been approved by our stockholders.

| | 2005 | | 2004 | | | 2 | 2003 | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-------------------------|----|------------------------------|-------------------------|----|---------------------------------|
| | Shares (in millions) | Ave | ighted erage ise Price | Shares (in millions) | Av | ighted erage ise Price | Shares (in millions) | Av | eighted verage cise Price |
| Balance, at beginning of year | 2.6 | \$ | 6 | 4.5 | \$ | 6 | 5.4 | \$ | 6 |
| Granted | _ | | _ | | | _ | _ | | _ |
| Exercised | (1.1) | | 6 | (1.6) | | 7 | (.6) | | 6 |
| Forfeited/expired | (.1) | | 6 | (.3) | | 8 | (.3) | | 9 |
| Balance, at end of year | 1.4 | | 6 | 2.6 | | 6 | 4.5 | | 6 |
| Options exercisable at year-end | 1.2 | | | 2.0 | | | 3.2 | | |

The following table summarizes information about stock options at December 31, 2005:

| | | Options Outstanding | Options Exercisable | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Range of Exercise Prices | Shares <u>(in millions)</u> | Weighted Average Weighted Remaining Average Contractual Life Exercise Price | | Shares (in millions) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | |
| \$ 1-3 | .6 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | .6 | \$ 3 | |
| 4 - 6 | .1 | 3 | 6 | .1 | 6 | |
| 7 – 9 | .6 | 10 | 8 | .4 | 8 | |
| 10 – 12 | .1 | 10 | 11 | .1 | 11 | |
| 13 – 19 | _ | 7 | 18 | _ | 18 | |
| | 1.4 | | | 1.2 | | |

Deferred Stock. Deferred stock incentive plan shares granted to officers and key employees after 1990 generally vest over 10 years in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. Certain employees may elect to defer payments until termination or retirement. We accrue compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, less estimated forfeitures. In 2003, 45,000 shares were granted under this plan. No shares were granted under this plan in 2004 or 2005. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for deferred stock was not material for all periods presented. The weighted average fair value per share granted during 2003 was \$8.00. The implementation of SFAS No. 123 had no impact on the calculation of compensation expense for the deferred stock incentive plan.

Restricted Stock. From time to time, we award restricted stock shares under the Comprehensive Plan to officers and key executives to be distributed over the next three years in annual installments based on continued employment and the attainment of certain performance criteria. We recognize compensation expense over the restriction period equal to the fair market value of the shares issued, which is adjusted for fluctuation in the fair market value of our common stock. The number of shares issued is adjusted for forfeitures, and where appropriate, the level of attainment of performance criteria. In 2005, 2004 and 2003, approximately 25,000, 11,000 and 3,203,000 shares, respectively, were granted to certain key employees under these terms and conditions. Approximately 59,000 and 1,006,000 shares, respectively, were forfeited in 2003 and no shares were forfeited in 2004. We recorded compensation expense of approximately \$20 million, \$23 million, and \$15 million, respectively, in 2005, 2004 and 2003 related to these awards. The weighted average grant date fair value per share granted during each year was \$16.53 in 2005, \$12.50 in 2004 and \$8.82 in 2003. Under these awards, 1.1 million shares were outstanding at December 31, 2005.

In 2003, we also started a restricted stock program for our upper-middle management with 40% of the shares automatically vesting on the grant date, and the remaining 60% vesting over two years, subject to continued employment. We recognize compensation expense over the vesting period equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of issuance. The number of shares granted is adjusted for the level of attainment of performance criteria. During 2005, approximately 90,000 shares were granted under these terms and conditions that had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$16.25. Approximately 58,000 shares were issued and 5,000 shares were forfeited during 2005. We recorded approximately \$1.4 million of compensation expense related to these shares. Under this award, approximately 73,000 shares were outstanding at December 31, 2005.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under the terms of the employee stock purchase plan, eligible employees may purchase common stock through payroll deductions at 90% of the lower of market value at the beginning or end of the plan year, which runs from February 1 through January 31. We record compensation expense for the employee stock purchase plan based on the fair value of the employees' purchase rights, which is estimated using an option-pricing model with the following assumptions for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively: Risk-free interest rate of 4.3%, 2.9% and 1.3%, volatility of 34%, 34% and 36%, expected life of one year for all periods. We assume a dividend yield of 0% for these grants, as no dividends are accrued during the one year vesting period. For the 2005, 2004 and 2003 plan years, approximately 14,000, 16,000 and 21,000 shares, respectively, were issued. The weighted average fair value of those purchase rights granted in 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$4.27, \$3.02 and \$2.20, respectively. The compensation expense reflected in net income was not material for all periods presented.

Stock Appreciation Rights. In 1998, 568,408 stock appreciation rights ("SARs") were issued under the Comprehensive Plan to certain directors as a replacement for previously issued options that were cancelled during the year. The conversion to SARs was completed in order to comply with ownership limits applicable to us upon conversion to a REIT. The SARs were fully vested and the grant prices ranged from \$1.20 to \$2.71 and had a weighted average price of \$1.88 as of December 31, 2004. In 2005, 2004 and 2003, we recognized compensation expense for outstanding SARs as a result of fluctuations in the market price of our common stock of \$1.10 million, \$1.20 milli

9. Profit Sharing and Postemployment Benefit Plans

We contribute to defined contribution plans for the benefit of employees meeting certain eligibility requirements and electing participation in the plans. The discretionary amount to be matched by us is determined annually by the Board of Directors. We provide medical benefits to a limited number of retired employees meeting restrictive eligibility requirements. Payments for these items were not material for the three years ended December 31, 2005.

10. Discontinued Operations

Assets Held For Sale. During December 2005, we entered into definitive, binding agreements to sell two hotels, which were subsequently sold in January 2006. During December 2004, we entered into a definitive, binding agreement to sell four hotels, which were all sold in January 2005. We recorded impairment charges of approximately \$.7 million related to these hotels as of December 31, 2004. We reclassified the assets and liabilities

relating to these hotels as held for sale in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as detailed in the following table (in millions):

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------|
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 62 | \$ 111 |
| Other assets | 11 | 2 |
| Total assets | \$ 73 | \$113 |
| Other liabilities | | 26 |
| Total liabilities | \$—_ | \$ 26 |

Dispositions. We sold six hotels in 2006 (through September 8, 2006), including the Detroit Marriott Livonia, five hotels in 2005, nine hotels in 2004, and eight hotels in 2003, classified one hotel as held-for-sale as of September 8, 2006, the end of our third quarter, and abandoned one hotel in 2003. The following table summarizes the revenues, income before taxes, and the gain on dispositions, net of tax, of the hotels which have been reclassified to discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for the periods presented (in millions):

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Revenues (1) | \$147 | \$283 | \$583 |
| Income before taxes (1) | 20 | 28 | 204 |
| Gain on disposals, net of tax | 19 | 52 | 65 |

(1) Revenues and income before taxes in 2003 include business interruption proceeds of \$170 million related to the New York Marriott World Trade Center hotel settlement.

11. Gain on Insurance Settlement

Five of our properties sustained damage from hurricanes during 2005, with two, the New Orleans Marriott and the Fort Lauderdale Marina Marriott, having extensive damage which required us to temporarily close all or part of these hotels. The current range of estimates to repair the damage at all of the properties is approximately \$80 million to \$100 million, substantially all of which will be covered by insurance. Our insurance coverage for the properties entitles us to receive recoveries for damage to the hotels, as well as payments for business interruption. Gains resulting from insurance proceeds will not be recognized until all contingencies are resolved. As of December 31, 2005, we have recorded an insurance receivable of approximately \$35 million which reflects the book value of the property and equipment written off and repairs and clean-up costs incurred as a result of the hurricane damage which will be covered by insurance. During the fourth quarter of 2005, we received approximately \$9 million of business interruption proceeds related to the fourth quarter operations of the New Orleans Marriott for which all contingencies have been resolved. Accordingly, we have recorded the \$9 million as gain on insurance settlement on the statement of operations.

In 2004, the gain on insurance settlement includes \$3 million of business interruption proceeds that we received in connection with the loss of business at our Toronto hotels due to the outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS).

In 2003, we settled all outstanding issues related to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 with our insurer for the Marriott World Trade Center and Financial Center hotels and received net proceeds of approximately \$372 million. After payment of the existing mortgage, we recorded business interruption proceeds of \$14 million for the New York Marriott World Trade Center hotel and a gain on the settlement of approximately \$212 million in 2003. In accordance with SFAS 144, we have reclassified the gain on disposition and business interruption as discontinued operations. Additionally, the New York Financial Center hotel was damaged in the attacks and, as a result, we recorded business interruption proceeds of approximately \$3 million in 2003 as gain on insurance settlement on the statement of operations.

12. Acquisitions

Starwood Acquisition

On April 10, 2006, we acquired 25 domestic hotels and three foreign hotels from Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., or Starwood, through a series of transactions, including the merger of Starwood Hotels & Resorts, a Maryland real estate investment trust, or Starwood Trust, with and into a subsidiary of Host, the acquisition of the capital stock of Sheraton Holding Corporation and the acquisition of four domestic hotels in a purchase structured to allow Host's subsidiaries to complete like-kind exchange transactions for federal income tax purposes. These transactions were completed pursuant to the Master Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 14, 2005, and amended as of March 24, 2006, (the "Master Agreement") among Host, Host LP, Starwood, Starwood Trust and certain of their respective affiliates. A joint venture in Europe, in which we own a 32.1% general and limited partner interest, acquired four European hotels on May 3, 2006 and one European hotel on June 13, 2006. See our discussion of the European joint venture below.

For the 28 hotels included in the initial closing, the total consideration paid by Host to Starwood and its shareholders included the issuance of \$2.27 billion of equity (133,529,412 shares of Host common stock) to Starwood stockholders, the assumption of \$77 million in debt and the cash payment of approximately \$748 million, which includes closing costs. An exchange price of Host common stock of \$16.97 per share was calculated based on guidance set forth in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 99-12, as the average of the closing prices of Host common stock during the range of trading days from two days before and after the November 14, 2005 announcement date. The amount of cash consideration paid under the Master Agreement is subject to adjustments for, among other things, the amount of working capital at the applicable closings, the amount of assumed indebtedness, and certain capital expenditures.

European Joint Venture

In conjunction with the Starwood acquisition, we entered into an Agreement of Limited Partnership, forming a joint venture in The Netherlands with Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP, the Dutch pension fund ("ABP"), and Jasmine Hotels Pte Ltd, a subsidiary of GIC Real Estate Pte Ltd ("GIC RE"), the real estate investment company of the Government of Singapore Investment Corporation Pte Ltd (GIC). The initial purpose of the joint venture is the acquisition and ownership of hotels located in Europe.

The initial aggregate size of the joint venture was approximately \$640 million, including total capital contributions of approximately \$227 million, of which approximately \$71 million was contributed by us in the form of cash and through the contribution of the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers, which we acquired on April 10, 2006. Through newly-formed Dutch BVs (private companies with limited liability), Host LP will be a limited partner in the joint venture (together with ABP and GIC RE, the "Limited Partners") and serves as the general partner for the joint venture. The percentage interest of the parties in the joint venture is 19.9% for ABP, 48% for GIC RE and 32.1% for Host LP (including its limited and general partner interests).

On May 3, 2006, the joint venture acquired from Starwood the following four hotels: the Sheraton Roma Hotel & Conference Center, Rome, Italy; The Westin Palace, Madrid, Spain, a Luxury Collection Hotel; the Sheraton Skyline Hotel & Conference Centre, Hayes, United Kingdom and The Westin Palace, Milan, Italy, a Luxury Collection Hotel. In addition, we contributed the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers, Warsaw, Poland, to the joint venture. The Westin Europa & Regina, Venice, Italy, was acquired by the joint venture on June 13, 2006.

Pursuant to the agreements, distributions to partners will be made on a pro-rata basis (based on their limited partnership interests) until certain return thresholds are met. As those thresholds are met, our general partnership interest will receive an increasing percentage of the distributions. An affiliate of Host LP has entered into an asset management agreement with the joint venture to provide asset management services in return for a quarterly asset management fee. Host LP or its affiliates will be responsible for paying certain expenses related to asset management, including all salaries and employee benefits of employees and related overhead, including rent, utilities, office equipment, necessary administrative and clerical functions and other similar overhead expenses. The initial term of the joint venture is ten years subject to two one-year extensions with partner approval. Due to the ownership structure of the joint venture described above and the non-Host limited partners' rights to cause the dissolution and liquidation of the joint venture at any time, the joint venture is not consolidated in our financial statements.

Other Acquisitions

On September 30, 2005, we acquired the 834-room Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C. for a purchase price of approximately \$274 million.

On December 30, 2004, we received approximately \$47 million in payment of a note receivable from a minority partner in a consolidated subsidiary that owns two hotels. At the request of the minority partner, the partnership purchased preferred units of Vornado Realty Trust (the "Vornado Preferred Units), which we held as of December 31, 2004. As the Vornado Preferred Units are not publicly traded, we have recorded them in other assets at their cost basis in our consolidated balance sheet. On January 3, 2005, these securities were transferred to the minority partner, in redemption of his partnership interest, and we also paid approximately \$14 million to a second partner for the remaining minority interests in the partnership. No gain or loss was recognized on this transaction.

On September 22, 2004, we acquired the 270-suite Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains for a purchase price of approximately \$58 million, including the assumption of approximately \$34 million of mortgage debt on the hotel. On July 15, 2004, we acquired the 450-suite Fairmont Kea Lani Maui for approximately \$355 million. On April 27, 2004, we purchased the 455-suite Chicago Embassy Suites, Downtown-Lakefront for approximately \$89 million. During November 2003, we acquired the 806-room Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa for \$321 million.

No pro forma statements of operations have been provided for the acquisitions completed in 2004 and 2005 as the effect of the acquisitions is not significant.

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities and other financial instruments are shown below:

| | 200 |)5 | 200 |)4 |
|--|----------|--------------|----------|-------|
| | Carrying | Fair | Carrying | Fair |
| | Amount | <u>Value</u> | Amount | Value |
| | | (in mill | ions) | |
| Financial assets | | | | |
| Notes receivable | \$ 7 | \$ 7 | \$ 7 | \$ 7 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Senior notes (excluding fair value of swaps) | 2,562 | 2,621 | 2,380 | 2,517 |
| Exchangeable Senior Debentures | 493 | 582 | 491 | 578 |
| Mortgage debt and other, net of capital leases (1) | 1,930 | 2,068 | 2,130 | 2,197 |
| Convertible Subordinated Debentures | 387 | 473 | 492 | 563 |

(1) Mortgage debt and other, net of capital leases at December 31, 2004, excluded \$20 million of mortgage debt, related to the Hartford Marriott Farmington, that was classified as held for sale at December 31, 2004. The hotel was sold and the mortgage debt was assumed by the buyer on January 6, 2005.

Notes receivable and other financial assets are valued based on the expected future cash flows discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Valuations for secured debt are determined based on the expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Senior notes and the Convertible Subordinated Debentures are valued based on quoted market prices. The fair values of financial instruments not included in this table are estimated to be equal to their carrying amounts.

14. Relationship with Marriott International

We have entered into various agreements with Marriott International, including the management of the majority of our hotels, as well as franchised properties; financing for joint ventures or partnerships including the acquisition in 1996 of two full-service properties (one of which was sold on January 30, 2004) in Mexico City, Mexico and the 2000 acquisition of CBM Joint Venture LLC (see Note 3) and certain limited administrative services.

In 2005, 2004 and 2003, we paid Marriott International \$148 million, \$129 million and \$136 million, respectively, in hotel management fees and \$1 million, \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively, in franchise fees. Included in the management fees paid are amounts paid to The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, LLC (Ritz-Carlton), Courtyard Management Corporation and Residence Inn Management Corporation.

15. Hotel Management Agreements

Our hotels are subject to management agreements under which various operators, including Marriott International, Ritz-Carlton, Hyatt, Swissôtel, Hilton, Four Seasons, Fairmont and Westin, operate our hotels for the payment of a management fee. The agreements generally provide for both base and incentive management fees based on hotel sales and operating profit, respectively. As part of the management agreements, the manager furnishes the hotels with certain chain services which are generally provided on a central or regional basis to all hotels in the manager's hotel system. Chain services include central training, advertising and promotion, national reservation systems, computerized payroll and accounting services, and such additional services as needed which may be more efficiently performed on a centralized basis. Costs and expenses incurred in providing such services are allocated among the hotels managed, owned or leased by the manager on a fair and equitable basis. In addition, our managers will generally have a guest rewards program which will be charged to all of the hotels that participate in the program.

We are obligated to provide the manager with sufficient funds, generally 5% of revenue generated at the hotel, to cover the cost of (a) certain non-routine repairs and maintenance to the hotels which are normally capitalized; and (b) replacements and renewals to the hotels' furniture, fixtures and equipment. Under certain circumstances, we will be required to establish escrow accounts for such purposes under terms outlined in the agreements.

Marriott International

Of our hotels, 74 are subject to management agreements under which Marriott International or one of their subsidiaries manages the hotels, generally for an initial term of 15 to 20 years with renewal terms at the option of Marriott International of up to an additional 16 to 30 years. The agreements generally provide for payment of base management fees that generally are three percent of sales and incentive management fees generally equal to 20% to 50% of operating profit (as defined in the agreements) over a priority return (as defined) to us, with total incentive management fees not to exceed 20% of cumulative operating profit, or 20% of current year operating profit. In the event of early termination of the agreements, Marriott International will receive additional fees based on the unexpired term and expected future base and incentive management fees. We have the option to terminate certain management agreements if specified performance or extension thresholds are not satisfied. A single agreement may be canceled under certain conditions, although such cancellation will not trigger the cancellation of any other agreement. Certain consolidated partnerships with a total of eight properties operate under a single agreement, cancellation of which would affect all the properties in these partnerships.

Additionally, we have agreed with Marriott International that a pool of hotels currently subject to existing management agreements may be sold unencumbered by a Marriott management agreement without the payment of termination fees, subject to certain restrictions. The remaining pool includes 26 hotels. Seventy-one percent of this pool (as measured by EBITDA) may be sold free and clear of their existing management agreements without the payment of a termination fee, provided the hotels maintain the Marriott brand affiliation through a franchise agreement. Additionally, a percentage of these hotels may also be sold free and clear of their existing brand affiliation without a termination fee.

We have a franchise agreement with Marriott International for one hotel. Pursuant to the franchise agreement, we generally pay a franchise fee based on a percentage of room sales and food and beverage sales, as well as certain other fees for advertising and reservations. Franchise fees for room sales are approximately six percent of sales, while fees for food and beverage sales are approximately three percent of sales. The franchise agreement has a term of 30 years.

Ritz-Carlton

We hold management agreements with Ritz-Carlton, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marriott International, to manage ten of our hotels. These agreements have an initial term of 15 to 25 years with renewal terms at the option of Ritz-Carlton of up to an additional 10 to 40 years. Base management fees vary from two to five percent of sales and incentive management fees, if any, are generally equal to 20% of available cash flow or operating profit, as defined in the agreements.

Other Managers

We also hold management agreements with hotel management companies such as Hilton, Four Seasons, Fairmont and Westin for 18 of our hotels. These agreements generally provide for an initial term of 10 to 20 years with renewal terms at the option of either party or, in some cases, the hotel management company of up to an additional one to 15 years. The agreements generally provide for payment of base management fees equal to one to four percent of sales. Seventeen of the eighteen agreements also provide for incentive management fees generally equal to 10 to 30 percent of available cash flow, operating profit, or net operating income, as defined in the agreements.

16. Geographic and Business Segment Information

We consider each one of our full-service hotels to be an operating segment, none of which meets the threshold for a reportable segment. We also allocate resources and assess operating performance based on individual hotels. All of our non-full-service hotel activities (primarily our limited-service leased hotels and office buildings) are immaterial, and thus, we report one business segment: hotel ownership. Our foreign operations consist of four properties located in Canada and one property located in Mexico. There were no intercompany sales between us and the foreign properties. The following table presents revenues and long-lived assets for each of the geographical areas in which we operate (in millions):

| | 2 | 005 | 2 | 004 | 2003 | |
|---------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | | Long-lived | Long-lived | | · · | Long-lived |
| | Revenues | Assets | Revenues | Assets | Revenues | Assets |
| United States | \$ 3,678 | \$ 7,286 | \$ 3,375 | \$ 7,148 | \$ 3,024 | \$ 6,907 |
| Canada | 94 | 110 | 87 | 111 | 70 | 107 |
| Mexico | 24 | 38 | 24 | 39 | 46 | 71 |
| Total | \$ 3,796 | \$ 7,434 | \$ 3,486 | \$ 7,298 | \$ 3,140 | \$ 7,085 |

17. Guarantees

We have certain guarantees which consist of commitments we have made to third parties for leases or debt that are not on our books due to various dispositions, spin-offs and contractual arrangements, but that we have agreed to pay in the event of certain circumstances including default by an unrelated party. We consider the likelihood of any material payments under these guarantees to be remote. The guarantees are listed below:

- We remain contingently liable for rental payments on certain divested non-lodging properties. These primarily represent divested restaurants that were sold subject to our guarantee of the future rental payments. The aggregate amount of these future rental payments is approximately \$27 million as of December 31, 2005.
- In 1997, we owned Leisure Park Venture Limited Partnership, which owns and operates a senior living facility. We spun-off the partnership as part of
 Barceló Crestline Corporation, formerly Crestline Capital Corporation, in the REIT conversion, but we remain obligated under a guarantee of interest
 and principal with regard to \$14.7 million of municipal bonds issued by the New Jersey Economic Development Authority through their maturity in
 2027. However, to the extent we are required to make any payments under the guarantee, we have been indemnified by Barceló Crestline Corporation,
 who, in turn, is indemnified by the current owner of the facility.

In connection with the sale of three hotels in the fourth quarter of 2004 and January 2005, we remain contingently liable for the amounts due under the
respective ground leases. The future minimum lease payments are approximately \$20 million through the full term of the leases, including renewal
options. We believe that any liability related to these ground leases is remote, and in each case, we have been indemnified by the purchaser of the
hotel.

18. Mandatorily Redeemable Non-controlling Interests of All Entities

We consolidate four majority-owned partnerships, the Philadelphia Market Street HMC Host Limited Partnership; the Pacific Gateway, Ltd; the Lauderdale Beach Association; and the Marriott Mexico City Partnership G.P., all of which have finite lives ranging from 77 to 100 years that terminate between 2061 and 2097.

As of December 31, 2005, the minority interest holders in two of the partnerships have settlement alternatives in which they could be issued 199,597 and 1,860,000 OP units, respectively, based on their ownership percentages as stipulated in their partnership agreements. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the OP units issuable were valued at \$39 million and \$29 million, respectively. Two of these partnerships do not have any settlement alternatives. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the fair values of the minority interests in these partnerships were approximately \$121 million and \$127 million, respectively.

19. Subsequent Events

Starwood Acquisition

On April 10, 2006, we acquired 25 domestic hotels and three foreign hotels from Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., or Starwood, through a series of transactions, including the merger of Starwood Hotels & Resorts, a Maryland real estate investment trust, or Starwood Trust, with and into a subsidiary of Host, the acquisition of the capital stock of Sheraton Holding Corporation and the acquisition of four domestic hotels in a purchase structured to allow Host's subsidiaries to complete like-kind exchange transactions for federal income tax purposes. These transactions were completed pursuant to the Master Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 14, 2005, and amended as of March 24, 2006, (the "Master Agreement") among Host, Host LP, Starwood, Starwood Trust and certain of their respective affiliates. A joint venture in Europe, in which we own a 32.1% general and limited partner interest, acquired four European hotels on May 3, 2006 and one European hotel on June 13, 2006. For additional information, see Note 12.

Other Subsequent Events

On January 11, 2006, we announced our intention to exercise our option to cause the conversion rights of the remaining Convertible Preferred Securities to expire effective February 10, 2006. Between January 1, 2006 and February 10, 2006, \$368 million of our Convertible Subordinated Debentures and corresponding Convertible Preferred Securities were converted into 24 million common shares. We redeemed the remaining \$2 million of outstanding Convertible Preferred Securities for cash during the second quarter of 2006. Additionally, the \$17 million of Convertible Subordinated Debentures not held by third parties were eliminated in conjunction with the second quarter 2006 redemption.

During January 2006, we issued mortgage debt in the amount of \$135 million Canadian Dollars (\$116 million US Dollars based on the exchange rate on the date of issuance) with a fixed interest rate of 5.195%, which is secured by four of our Canadian properties and matures on March 1, 2011.

During January 2006, we sold two hotels classified as held for sale at December 31, 2005, for total proceeds of approximately \$204 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$132 million.

During February 2006, we sold two hotels for total proceeds of approximately \$55 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$18 million.

On March 31, 2006, we sold the 495-room Drake and nearby retail space, which were classified as held for sale at March 24, 2006, for a sales price of approximately \$440 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$235 million.

On April 4, 2006, we issued \$800 million of 6³/4% Series P senior notes and received net proceeds of approximately \$787 million. The Series P senior notes mature on June 1, 2016 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior indebtedness. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 1 and December 1, beginning on December 1, 2006. A portion of the proceeds from the offering was used for the Starwood acquisition.

On May 15, 2006, we redeemed approximately \$136 million of $7^{7/8}$ % Series B senior notes with proceeds from the Series P senior notes offering. We also recorded a loss of approximately \$3 million related to this early extinguishment of debt, which includes the payment of the call premium and the acceleration of the original issue discounts and related deferred financing fees.

On May 19, 2006, with proceeds from our Series P senior notes offering, we redeemed, at par, all 5,980,000 shares of our Class C cumulative redeemable preferred stock ("Class C preferred stock") for approximately \$151 million, including accrued dividends. The fair value of the Class C preferred stock (which is equal to the redemption price) exceeds the carrying value of the preferred stock by approximately \$6 million. The \$6 million represents the original issuance costs, which will be reflected in the determination of net income available to common stockholders for the purpose of calculating our basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share. The remaining proceeds from the Series P senior notes offering will be used for general corporate purposes.

On June 1, 2006, we repaid the \$84 million mortgage debt on the Boston Marriott Copley Place.

On August 4, 2006, the European joint venture purchased the Hotel Arts Barcelona for approximately €417 million (\$537 million), including the assumption of approximately €277 million (\$357 million) of mortgage debt with an interest rate of approximately 5%. The 483-room Ritz-Carlton managed hotel is located in Barcelona, Spain. The joint venture agreement was amended to increase the overall investment commitment levels from the partners to accommodate this acquisition, and therefore, we contributed an additional €46 million (\$58 million) to the joint venture during July 2006.

On August 21, 2006, we entered into a definitive binding agreement to sell the Mountain Shadows Resort and Golf Club for \$42 million. Accordingly, this property has been classified as an "asset held-for-sale" on September 8, 2006.

On September 1, 2006, we purchased the 732-room The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa in Scottsdale, Arizona for approximately \$393 million, which includes the assumption of \$135 million of mortgage debt with an interest rate of approximately 5.08%.

On September 8, 2006, we sold the Detroit Marriott Livonia for a sales price of approximately \$21 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$5 million.

On September 15, 2006, we executed an agreement to sell The Ritz-Carlton, Atlanta for proceeds of approximately \$80 million. The sale closed on September 22, 2006 and we will recognize a gain of approximately \$26 million in the fourth quarter.

As of September 25, 2006 holders of the Exchangeable Senior Debentures may exchange their debentures for Host common stock as the closing price for Host common stock exceeded 120% of the exchange price for 20 out of the 30 trading days during the period ending on September 25, 2006 (the first day of the current exchange period). The debentures will remain exchangeable until January 16, 2007 (the last day of the current exchange period). The debentures will remain exchangeable after January 16, 2007, if the trading price of Host common stock continues to exceed 120% of the exchange price for 20 out of the 30 trading days during the period ending on January 17, 2007, or if other conditions for exchange are satisfied.

20. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

Net income (loss) available to common stockholders

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:

Continuing operations

Net income (loss)

Discontinued operations

| | 2005 | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Fiscal Year |
| | Quarter | | , except per sha | | Ital |
| Revenues | \$ 788 | \$ 955 | \$ 812 | \$1,241 | \$3,796 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (10) | 86 | (8) | 59 | 127 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 16 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 39 |
| Net income (loss) | 6 | 91 | (5) | 74 | 166 |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | (2) | 80 | (11) | 68 | 135 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | (.05) | .22 | (.04) | .15 | .27 |
| Discontinued operations | .04 | .01 | .01 | .04 | .11 |
| Net income (loss) | (.01) | .23 | (.03) | .19 | .38 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | (.05) | .21 | (.04) | .15 | .27 |
| Discontinued operations | .04 | .01 | .01 | .04 | .11 |
| Net income (loss) | (.01) | .22 | (.03) | .19 | .38 |
| | | | | | |
| | First | C1 | 2004 Third | E | Fiscal |
| | Quarter | Second Ouarter | Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Year |
| | | (in millions, o | except per shar | | |
| Revenues | \$ 741 | \$ 861 | \$ 754 | \$1,130 | \$3,486 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (41) | (10) | (46) | 17 | (80) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 10 | 27 | (1) | 44 | 80 |
| Net income (loss) | (31) | 17 | (47) | 61 | _ |

The sum of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share for the four quarters in all years presented differs from the annual earnings per common share due to the required method of computing the weighted average number of shares in the respective periods.

(40)

(.15)

.03

(.12)

7

(.06)

.08

.02

(60)

(.17)

(.17)

52

.03

.12

.15

(41)

(.36)

.24

(.12)

| | | Ir | nitial Costs | Subsequent | Gross Amount at December 31, 2005 | | | 31, 2005 | Date of | | |
|--|------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Description(1) | Debt | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Costs Capitalized | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Completion of Construction | Date Acquired | Depreciation Life |
| Full-service hotels: | Deut | Lanu | improvements | Capitanzcu | Lanu | mprovements | Total | Depreciation | Construction | Acquireu | Life |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Amelia Island, | \$ | \$ 25 | \$ 116 | \$ 11 | \$ 25 | \$ 127 | \$152 | \$ 24 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Florida | • | | | | • | | 4 | | | | |
| Four Seasons, Atlanta, Georgia | 34 | 5 | 48 | 14 | 6 | 61 | 67 | 11 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Grand Hyatt, Atlanta, Georgia | _ | 8 | 88 | 13 | 8 | 101 | 109 | 20 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Atlanta Marquis, Georgia | 141 | 12 | 184 | 42 | 16 | 222 | 238 | 40 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Atlanta Midtown Suites, Georgia | _ | _ | 26 | 3 | _ | 29 | 29 | 7 | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Westin Buckhead, Georgia | 31 | 5 | 84 | 18 | 5 | 102 | 107 | 19 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Miami Biscayne Bay, Florida | _ | _ | 32 | (3) | _ | 29 | 29 | 9 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Boston Marriott Copley Place, | 85 | _ | 202 | 13 | _ | 215 | 215 | 22 | _ | 2002 | 40 |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boston/Newton, Massachusetts | | 3 | 31 | 12 | 3 | 43 | 46 | 27 | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Hyatt, Boston, Massachusetts | 31 | 15 | 69 | 25 | 17 | 92 | 109 | 16 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Hyatt Regency, Burlingame, California | 63 | 16 | 119 | 31 | 20 | 146 | 166 | 27 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Calgary, Canada | | 5 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 26 | 31 | 8 | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Hyatt Regency, Cambridge, | 45 | 18 | 84 | 10 | 18 | 94 | 112 | 17 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chicago/Downtown Courtyard, Illinois | _ | 7 | 27 | 3 | 7 | 30 | 37 | 10 | _ | 1992 | 40 |
| Chicago Embassy Suites, Illinois | _ | _ | 85 | 1 | _ | 86 | 86 | 3 | _ | 2004 | 40 |
| Chicago O'Hare, Illinois | _ | 4 | 26 | 34 | 4 | 60 | 64 | 28 | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Chicago O'Hare Suites, Illinois | _ | _ | 36 | 4 | _ | 40 | 40 | 7 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Chicago/Deerfield Suites, Illinois | _ | 4 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 24 | 8 | _ | 1990 | 40 |
| Swissôtel, Chicago, Illinois | 53 | 29 | 132 | 7 | 29 | 139 | 168 | 27 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Coronado Island Resort, California | — | — | 53 | 5 | _ | 58 | 58 | 13 | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Costa Mesa Suites, California | _ | 3 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 24 | 5 | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Dallas Quorum, Texas | | — | 27 | 6 | — | 33 | 33 | 11 | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Dayton, Ohio | _ | 2 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 32 | 34 | 6 | | 1998 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Dearborn, Michigan | _ | 8 | 51 | 3 | 8 | 54 | 62 | 11 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Denver Tech Center, Colorado | | 6 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 39 | 45 | 11 | | 1994 | 40 |
| Desert Springs Resort and Spa, California | 88 | 14 | 143 | 68 | 14 | 211 | 225 | 43 | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Fairview Park, Virginia | | 9 | 39 | 2 | 9 | 41 | 50 | 8 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Gaithersburg/Washingtonian Center, | _ | 7 | 22 | 2 | 7 | 24 | 31 | 7 | _ | 1993 | 40 |
| Maryland | | , | | _ | , | | 51 | , | | 1555 | 10 |
| Hanover, New Jersey | _ | 4 | 30 | 10 | 4 | 40 | 44 | 11 | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Harbor Beach Resort, Florida | 90 | _ | 62 | 48 | _ | 110 | 110 | 30 | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Houston Airport, Texas | | _ | 10 | 8 | _ | 18 | 18 | 11 | _ | 1984 | 40 |
| Houston Medical Center | _ | _ | 22 | 2 | _ | 24 | 24 | 6 | _ | 1998 | 40 |

(in millions)

| | | Iı | nitial Costs | Subsequent Gross Amount at December 31, 2005 | | | | | Date of | | | |
|--|-------------|------|--------------|--|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | | | Buildings & | Costs | | Buildings & | | Accumulated | Completion of | Date | Depreciation | |
| Description(1) | <u>Debt</u> | Land | Improvements | Capitalized | Land | Improvements | Total | Depreciation | Construction | Acquired | Life | |
| JW Marriott Hotel at Lenox, Georgia | _ | | 21 | 28 | 16 | 33 | 49 | 14 | _ | 1990 | 40 | |
| JW Marriott Houston, Texas | _ | 4 | 26 | 14 | 8 | 36 | 44 | 12 | _ | 1994 | 40 | |
| JWDC, Washington, D.C. | 88 | 26 | 99 | 6 | 26 | 105 | 131 | 16 | _ | 2003 | 40 | |
| Kansas City Airport, | 00 | 20 | 33 | U | 20 | 103 | 131 | 10 | | 2003 | 40 | |
| Missouri | | | 8 | 20 | _ | 28 | 28 | 21 | | 1993 | 40 | |
| Fairmont Kea Lani, Hawaii | | 55 | 294 | 20 | 55 | 296 | 351 | 11 | _ | 2003 | 40 | |
| Key Bridge, Virginia | | | 38 | 11 | | 49 | 49 | 29 | _ | 1997 | 40 | |
| Manhattan Beach, | | | 30 | 11 | <u> </u> | 43 | 43 | 29 | | 1337 | 40 | |
| California | | | 37 | 7 | _ | 44 | 44 | 12 | _ | 1997 | 40 | |
| Marina Beach, California | | _ | 13 | 19 | _ | 32 | 32 | 8 | | 1995 | 40 | |
| Maui Hyatt, Hawaii | _ | 92 | 212 | 3 | 92 | 215 | 307 | 12 | _ | 2003 | 40 | |
| Memphis, Tennessee | | | 16 | 29 | | 45 | 45 | 10 | _ | 1998 | 40 | |
| Mexico/Polanco, Mexico | 6 | 11 | 35 | 3 | 11 | 38 | 49 | 14 | _ | 1996 | 40 | |
| Marriott McDowell | U | 11 | 55 | 3 | 11 | 30 | 43 | 14 | _ | 1330 | 40 | |
| Mountains, Arizona | 34 | 8 | 48 | | 8 | 48 | 56 | 1 | | 2004 | 40 | |
| Miami Airport, Florida | | O | 7 | 45 | O | 52 | 52 | 34 | | 1972 | 40 | |
| Minneapolis City Center, | <u>—</u> | _ | / | 45 | _ | 32 | 32 | 54 | _ | 13/2 | 40 | |
| Minnesota | | | 27 | 16 | | 43 | 43 | 26 | | 1986 | 40 | |
| Minneapolis Southwest, | | _ | 27 | 10 | _ | 43 | 43 | 20 | _ | 1900 | 40 | |
| Minnesota | _ | 5 | 23 | 3 | 5 | 26 | 31 | 5 | _ | 1998 | 40 | |
| Mountain Shadows Resort, | _ | 3 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 31 | 3 | _ | 1990 | 40 | |
| Arizona | | 7 | 24 | (2) | c | າາ | 28 | 7 | | 1998 | 40 | |
| | — 85 | 16 | 24 96 | (3) 40 | 6 16 | 22 136 | 152 | 35 | | 1996 | 40 | |
| New Orleans, Louisiana | 00 | 10 | 90 | 40 | 10 | 130 | 152 | 33 | _ | 1990 | 40 | |
| New York Financial Center, | | 10 | 70 | 10 | 10 | 02 | 111 | 2.4 | | 1007 | 40 | |
| New York | | 19 | 79 | 13 | 19 | 92 | 111 | 24 | | 1997 | 40 | |
| New York Marquis, New | 222 | | 552 | 103 | | GEE | GEE | 205 | | 1006 | 40 | |
| York | 223 | _ | 552 | 103 | _ | 655 | 655 | 285 | _ | 1986 | 40 | |
| Newark Airport, New | | | 20 | 2.4 | | F.4 | Г.4 | 22 | | 1004 | 40 | |
| Jersey | | | 30 | 24 | | 54 | 54 70 | 32 39 | | 1984 | 40 | |
| Newport Beach, California | _ | 11 | 13 | 52 | 11 | 65 | 76 | 39 | _ | 1975 | 40 | |
| Orlando Marriott World | 210 | 10 | 150 | 105 | 20 | 240 | 200 | 70 | | 1007 | 40 | |
| Center, Florida | 218 | 18 | 156 | 195 | 29 | 340 | 369 | 73 | | 1997 | 40 | |
| Pentagon City Residence | | C | 20 | 2 | C | 22 | 20 | 0 | | 1000 | 40 | |
| Inn, Virginia | _ | 6 | 29 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 38 | 8 | _ | 1996 | 40 | |
| Philadelphia Airport, | | | 42 | | 1 | 45 | 46 | 11 | | 1005 | 40 | |
| Pennsylvania | _ | _ | 42 | 4 | 1 | 45 | 46 | 11 | | 1995 | 40 | |
| Philadelphia Convention | 100 | 2 | 1.40 | 20 | _ | 161 | 1.00 | 4.4 | | 1005 | 40 | |
| Center, Pennsylvania | 100 | 3 | 143 | 20 | 5 | 161 | 166 | 44 | _ | 1995 | 40 | |
| Four Seasons, Philadelphia, | | 200 | 60 | 10 | 20 | 70 | 00 | 1.4 | | 1000 | 40 | |
| Pennsylvania | | 26 | 60 | 12 | 26 | 72 | 98 | 14 | | 1998 | 40 | |
| Portland, Oregon | _ | 6 | 40 | 9 | 6 | 49 | 55 | 15 | _ | 1994 | 40 | |
| Hyatt Regency, Reston, | 44 | 4.4 | 70 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 00 | 404 | 10 | | 4000 | 40 | |
| Virginia | 41 | 11 | 78 | 12 | 11 | 90 | 101 | 16 | | 1998 | 40 | |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Phoenix, | | 10 | 60 | 2 | 10 | 65 | | 4.4 | | 4000 | 40 | |
| Arizona | _ | 10 | 63 | 2 | 10 | 65 | 75 | 14 | _ | 1998 | 40 | |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Tysons | | | 20 | _ | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20 | | 1000 | 40 | |
| Corner, Virginia | _ | _ | 89 | 7 | _ | 96 | 96 | 20 | _ | 1998 | 40 | |
| The Ritz-Carlton, San | | 24 | 400 | _ | 24 | 420 | 4.64 | 25 | | 4000 | 40 | |
| Francisco, California | _ | 31 | 123 | 7 | 31 | 130 | 161 | 25 | _ | 1998 | 40 | |
| San Antonio Rivercenter, | | | | | | | 40- | | | 1000 | | |
| Texas | 70 | | 86 | 46 | _ | 132 | 132 | 31 | | 1996 | 40 | |
| San Antonio Riverwalk, | | | .= | | | | | | | 400- | | |
| Texas | _ | _ | 45 | 4 | _ | 49 | 49 | 13 | _ | 1995 | 40 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | In | nitial Costs Buildings & | Subsequent Costs | | Gross Amount at Buildings & | December 3 | Accumulated | Date of Completion of | Date | Depreciation |
|--|---------|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Description(1) | Debt | Land | Improvements | Capitalized | Land | <u>Improvements</u> | Total | Depreciation | Construction | Acquired | Life |
| San Diego Hotel and Marina, | 400 | | 202 | 100 | | 200 | 200 | 7. | | 1000 | 40 |
| California | 183 | _ | 203 | 106 | _ | 309 | 309 | 74 | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| San Diego Mission Valley, | | | 22 | _ | | 25 | 24 | | | 1000 | 40 |
| California | | 4 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 27 | 31 | 6 | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| San Francisco Airport, California | _ | 11 | 48 | 16 | 12 | 63 | 75 | 20 | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| San Francisco Fisherman's Wharf, | | | 20 | | 6 | 20 | 2.4 | 4.4 | | 100.4 | 40 |
| California | | 6 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 28 | 34 | 11 | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| San Francisco Moscone Center, | | | 270 | 25 | | 242 | 242 | 111 | | 1000 | 40 |
| California | 10 | _ | 278 | 35 | _ | 313 | 313 | 111 | _ | 1989 | 40 |
| San Ramon, California | 19 | | 22 | 10 | _ | 32 | 32 | 8 | _ | 1996 | 40 40 |
| Santa Clara, California | 34 | _ | 39 | (5) | _ | 34 | 34 | 14 | _ | 1989 | 40 |
| Seattle SeaTac Airport, | | 4 | 40 | (5) | 4 | 4.4 | 40 | 12 | | 1000 | 40 |
| Washington Tampa Waterside, Florida | _ | 4 | 49 | (5) 98 | 4 | 44 87 | 48 98 | 13 13 | 2000 | 1998 | 40 40 |
| Swissôtel, The Drake, New York | 61 | 28 | 130 | 43 | 34 | 167 | 201 | 32 | 2000 | 1998 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Atlanta, | 01 | 20 | 130 | 43 | 34 | 107 | 201 | 32 | <u>—</u> | 1330 | 40 |
| Georgia | | 13 | 42 | 9 | 13 | 51 | 64 | 15 | | 1996 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Buckhead, | | 13 | 42 | 9 | 13 | 51 | 04 | 13 | | 1330 | 40 |
| Georgia | _ | 14 | 80 | 25 | 16 | 103 | 119 | 26 | | 1996 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Marina del Rey, | | 14 | 00 | 23 | 10 | 105 | 113 | 20 | | 1330 | 40 |
| California | _ | _ | 52 | 9 | _ | 61 | 61 | 16 | | 1997 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Naples, Florida | _ | 19 | 127 | 60 | 19 | 187 | 206 | 49 | | 1996 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Naples Golf | | 15 | 127 | 00 | 15 | 107 | 200 | 15 | | 1550 | 10 |
| Lodge, Florida | _ | 6 | _ | 64 | 6 | 64 | 70 | 6 | 2002 | _ | 40 |
| Toronto Airport, Canada | _ | 5 | 24 | 3 | 5 | 27 | 32 | 8 | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Toronto Eaton Center, Canada | _ | _ | 27 | 3 | _ | 30 | 30 | 8 | _ | 1995 | 40 |
| Toronto Delta Meadowvale, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Canada | _ | 4 | 20 | 9 | 4 | 29 | 33 | 11 | | 1996 | 40 |
| Dulles Airport, Washington | _ | _ | 3 | 26 | _ | 29 | 29 | 24 | _ | 1970 | 40 |
| Hyatt Regency, Washington, D.C. | _ | 40 | 230 | _ | 40 | 230 | 270 | 1 | _ | 2005 | 40 |
| Washington Dulles Suites, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Washington | _ | 3 | 24 | 4 | 3 | 28 | 31 | 7 | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Washington Metro Center, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Washington D.C. | | 20 | 24 | 7 | 20 | 31 | 51 | 10 | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Westfields, Virginia | _ | 7 | 32 | 5 | 7 | 37 | 44 | 11 | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Sub total full-service hotels: | 1,823 | 758 | 6,108 | 1,709 | 822 | 7,753 | 8,575 | 1,928 | | | |
| Sub total—other full-service | | | | | | | | | | | |
| properties less than 5% of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| total: | _ | 59 | 293 | 93 | 42 | 403 | 445 | 169 | | various | 40 |
| Total full-service properties: | 1,823 | 817 | 6,401 | 1,802 | 864 | 8,156 | 9,020 | 2,097 | | | |
| Other properties, each less than | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5% of total | _ | _ | 6 | 1 | _ | 7 | 7 | 6 | | various | various |
| Total properties | 1,823 | 817 | 6,407 | 1,803 | 864 | 8,163 | 9,027 | 2,103 | | | |
| Held for sale properties | _ | 12 | 60 | 1 | 12 | 61 | 73 | 18 | | 1998 | 40 |
| | \$1,823 | | \$ 6,467 | \$ 1,804 | \$876 | \$ 8,224 | \$9,100 | \$ 2,121 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Each hotel is operated as a Marriott-brand hotel unless otherwise indicated by its name.

Notes:

(A) The change in total cost of properties for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is as follows:

| Balance at December 31, 2002 | \$ 8,135 |
|--|----------|
| Additions: | |
| Acquisitions | 448 |
| Capital expenditures and transfers from construction-in-progress | 94 |
| Deductions: | |
| Dispositions and other | (195) |
| Assets held for sale | (88) |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | 8,394 |
| Additions: | |
| Acquisitions | 525 |
| Capital expenditures and transfers from construction-in-progress | 137 |
| Deductions: | |
| Dispositions and other | (181) |
| Assets held for sale | (127) |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 8,748 |
| Additions: | |
| Acquisitions | 276 |
| Capital expenditures and transfers from construction-in-progress | 146 |
| Deductions: | |
| Dispositions and other | (70) |
| Assets held for sale | (73) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | \$ 9,027 |

(B) The change in accumulated depreciation and amortization of real estate assets for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is as follows:

| Balance at December 31, 2002 | \$ 1,501 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Depreciation and amortization | 257 |
| Dispositions and other | (41) |
| Depreciation on assets held for sale | (20) |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | 1,697 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 256 |
| Dispositions and other | (60) |
| Depreciation on assets held for sale | (23) |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 1,870 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 270 |
| Dispositions and other | (19) |
| Depreciation on assets held for sale | (18) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | \$ 2,103 |
| | |

- (C) The aggregate cost of properties for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$6,343 million at December 31, 2005.
- (D) The total cost of properties excludes construction-in-progress properties.