







Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.

Third Quarter 2018
Supplemental
Financial Information

September 30, 2018

Table of Contents

			PAGE NO
l.	Ov	erview	
	i.	About Host Hotels & Resorts	3
	ii.	Forward-Looking Statements	4
	iii.	Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics and Non-GAAP Financial Measures	4
II.	Co	rporate Financial Information	
	i.	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017	6
	ii.	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Quarter and Year-to-Date Ended September 30, 2018 and 2017	7
	iii.	Earnings per Common Share (unaudited) Quarter and Year-to-Date Ended September 30, 2018 and 2017	8
	iv.	Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre	9
	٧.	Reconciliation of Net Income to NAREIT and Adjusted Funds From Operations per Diluted Share	10
III.	Pro	perty Level Data	
	i.	Comparable Hotel Results	12
	ii.	Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$	15
	iii.	Top 40 Domestic Hotels by RevPAR for the Year Ended December 31, 2017	23
IV.	Ca	oitalization	
	i.	Comparative Capitalization	26
	ii.	Consolidated Debt Summary	27
	iii.	Debt Maturity as of September 30, 2018	28
	iv.	Reconciliation of GAAP Leverage Ratio to Credit Facility Leverage Ratio	29
	vi.	Reconciliation of GAAP Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio to Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio	30
	vii.	Reconciliation of GAAP Interest Coverage Ratio to EBITDA to Interest Coverage Ratio	31
	viii.	Ground Lease Summary	32
V.	201	8 Guidance	
	i.	2018 Outlook	34
	ii.	Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, EBITDAre, Adjusted EBITDAre and NAREIT and Adjusted Funds From Operations per Diluted Share for 2018 Forecasts	35
	iii.	Schedule of Comparable Hotel Results for 2018 Forecasts	36
VI.	No	tes to Supplemental Financial Information	
	i.	Forecasts	38
	ii.	Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics	38
	iii.	Non-GAAP Financial Measures	39

Overview

ABOUT HOST HOTELS & RESORTS

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. is an S&P 500 company and is the largest lodging real estate investment trust and one of the largest owners of luxury and upper-upscale hotels. The Company currently owns 88 properties in the United States and five properties internationally totaling approximately 52,000 rooms. The Company also holds non-controlling interests in seven domestic and international joint ventures. Guided by a disciplined approach to capital allocation and aggressive asset management, the Company partners with premium brands such as Marriott®, Ritz-Carlton®, Westin®, Sheraton®, W®, St. Regis®, Le Méridien®, The Luxury Collection®, Hyatt®, Fairmont®, Hilton®, Swissôtel®, ibis® and Novotel®, as well as independent brands in the operation of properties in over 50 major markets. For additional information, please visit the Company's website at www.hosthotels.com.

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., herein referred to as "we," the "Company" or "Host Inc.," is a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust ("REIT") that owns hotel properties. We conduct our operations as an umbrella partnership REIT through an operating partnership, Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. ("Host LP"), of which we are the sole general partner. When distinguishing between Host Inc. and Host LP, the primary difference is approximately 1% of the partnership interests in Host LP held by outside partners as of September 30, 2018, which is non-controlling interests in Host LP in our consolidated balance sheets and is included in net income attributable to non-controlling interests in our consolidated statements of operations. Readers are encouraged to find further detail regarding our organizational structure in our annual report on Form 10-K.

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. 6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500

Bethesda, MD 20817 Phone: 240-744-5484

Website: www.hosthotels.com

ANALYST COVERAGE

Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Shaun Kelley 646 855-1005

shaun.kelley@baml.com

Barclays Capital

Anthony Powell 212 526-8768

anthony.powell@barclays.com

BTIG

James Sullivan 212 738-6139 jsullivan@btig.com

Citi Investment Research

Smedes Rose 212 816-6243

smedes.rose@citi.com

Deutsche Banc Securities

Chris Woronka 212 250-9376

Chris.Woronka@db.com

Evercore ISI

Richard Hightower 212-752-0886 rhightower@evercoreisi.com

Goldman Sachs & Co.

Stephen Grambling 212 902-7832

Stephen.Grambling@gs.com

Green Street Advisors

Lukas Hartwich 949 640-8780

Ihartwich@greenstreetadvisors.com

Instinet LLC

Harry Curtis 212 310-5414

Harry.curtis@instinet.com

J.P. Morgan Securities

Joe Greff 212 622-0548

Joseph.greff@jpmorgan.com

Morgan Stanley & Co.

Thomas Allen 212 761-3356

Thomas.Allen@morganstanley.com

Raymond James & Associates

Bill Crow 727 567-2594

Bill.crow@ravmondiames.com

CONTACTS

James F. Risoleo, Chief Executive Officer Michael D. Bluhm, Chief Financial Officer

Bret D. S. McLeod, Senior Vice President, Treasurer, Corporate Finance & Investor Relations

Gee Lingberg, Vice President, Investor Relations

RBC Capital Markets

Wes Golladay 440 715-2650

Wes.Golladay@rbccm.com

Robert W. Baird

Mike Bellisario 414 298-6130

mbellisario@rwbaird.com

Stifel, Nicolaus & Co.

Simon Yarmak 443 224-1345

yarmaks@stifel.com

SunTrust Robert Humphrey

C. Patrick Scholes 212 319-3915

Patrick.scholes@suntrust.com

UBS Securities LLC

Robin Farley 212 713-2060

Robin.farley@ubs.com

Wells Fargo Securities LLC

Jeff Donnelly 617 603-4262

Jeff.donnelly@wellsfargo.com

Wolfe Research

Jared Shojaian

646-854-0722

jshojaian@wolferesearch.com

The Company is followed by the analysts listed above. Please note that any opinions, estimates or forecasts regarding the Company's performance made by these analysts are theirs alone and do not represent opinions, forecasts or predictions of the Company or its management. The Company does not by its reference above imply its endorsement of or concurrence with any of such analysts' information, conclusions or recommendations.

Overview

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This supplemental information contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities regulations. These forward-looking statements include forecast results and are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "should," "predict," "project," "will," "continue" and other similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions and forecasts of future results. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results to differ materially from those anticipated at the time the forward-looking statements are made. These risks include, but are not limited to: changes in national and local economic and business conditions and other factors such as natural disasters, pandemics and weather that will affect occupancy rates at our hotels and the demand for hotel products and services; the impact of geopolitical developments outside the U.S. on lodging demand; volatility in global financial and credit markets; operating risks associated with the hotel business; risks and limitations in our operating flexibility associated with the level of our indebtedness and our ability to meet covenants in our debt agreements; risks associated with our relationships with property managers and joint venture partners; our ability to maintain our properties in a first-class manner, including meeting capital expenditure requirements; the effects of hotel renovations on our hotel occupancy and financial results; our ability to compete effectively in areas such as access, location, quality of accommodations and room rate structures; risks associated with our ability to complete acquisitions and dispositions and develop new properties and the risks that acquisitions and new developments may not perform in accordance with our expectations; our ability to continue to satisfy complex rules in order for us to remain a REIT for federal income tax purposes; risks associated with our ability to effectuate our dividend policy, including factors such as operating results and the economic outlook influencing our board's decision whether to pay further dividends at levels previously disclosed or to use available cash to make special dividends; and other risks and uncertainties associated with our business described in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC. Although the Company believes the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, it can give no assurance that the expectations will be attained or that any deviation will not be material. All information in this supplemental presentation is as of November 1, 2018, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to conform the statement to actual results or changes in the Company's expectations.

COMPARABLE HOTEL OPERATING STATISTICS AND NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

To facilitate a quarter-to-quarter comparison of our operations, we present certain operating statistics (i.e., RevPAR, average daily rate and average occupancy) and operating results (revenues, expenses, hotel EBITDA and associated margins) for the periods included in this presentation on a comparable hotel basis. See the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for the details on how we determine our comparable hotel set.

Included in this supplemental information are certain "non-GAAP financial measures," which are measures of our historical or future financial performance that are not calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP (U.S. generally accepted accounting principles), within the meaning of applicable SEC rules. They are as follows: (i) FFO and FFO per diluted share (both NAREIT and Adjusted), (ii) EBITDA (for both the Company and hotel level), (iii) EBITDA and (iv) Comparable Hotel Property Level Operating Results (and the related margins). Also included are reconciliations to the most directly comparable GAAP measures. See the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for definitions of these measures, why we believe these measures are useful and limitations on their use.

Also included in this supplemental information is our leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios, calculated in accordance with our credit facility, along with our EBITDA to interest coverage ratio, calculated in accordance with our senior notes indenture covenants. Included with these ratios are reconciliations calculated in accordance with GAAP. See the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for information on how these supplemental measures are calculated, why we believe they are useful and limitations on their use.







Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited, in millions, except shares and per share amounts)

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
ASSETS		
Property and equipment, net	\$9,775	\$9,692
Assets held for sale	274	250
Due from managers	141	79
Advances to and investments in affiliates	320	327
Furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund	205	195
Other	171	237
Cash and cash equivalents	1,269	913
Total assets	\$12,155	\$11,693
LIABILITIES, NON-CONTROLLING	INTERESTS AND EQUITY	
Debt		
Senior notes	\$2,781	\$2,778
Credit facility, including term loans of \$997 million and \$996 million, respectively	1,292	1,170
Other debt	6	6
Total debt	4,079	3,954
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	265	283
Other	246	287
Total liabilities	4,590	4,524
Non-controlling interests - Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	170	167
Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$.01, 1,050 million shares authorized, 740 million shares and 739.1 million shares issued and		
outstanding, respectively	7	7
Additional paid-in capital	8,108	8,097
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(65)	(60)
Deficit	(728)	(1,071)
Total equity of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. stockholders	7,322	6,973
Non-controlling interests—other consolidated partnerships	<u>73</u>	29
Total equity	7,395	7,002
Total liabilities, non-controlling interests and equity	<u>\$12,155</u>	\$11,693

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(unaudited, in millions, except per share amounts)

	Quarter ended Sept	ember 30,	Year-to-date ended Se	ptember 30,
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Revenues				
Rooms	\$874	\$860	\$2,691	\$2,643
Food and beverage	337	314	1,199	1,152
Other	88	80	273	248
Total revenues	1,299	1,254	4,163	4,043
Expenses				
Rooms	234	227	696	676
Food and beverage	254	242	822	794
Other departmental and support expenses	321	309	972	952
Management fees	56	53	183	178
Other property-level expenses	90	97	287	294
Depreciation and amortization	412	176	779	534
Corporate and other expenses	24	24	82	79
Gain on insurance and business interruption settlements	<u> </u>	(1)	<u> </u>	(6)
Total operating costs and expenses	1,391	1,127	3,821	3,501
Operating profit (loss)	(92)	127	342	542
Interest income	3	2	8	4
Interest expense	(45)	(43)	(134)	(125)
Gain on sale of assets	547	59	667	105
Gain (loss) on foreign currency transactions and derivatives	1	(2)	_	(4)
Equity in earnings of affiliates	6	4	25	19
Income before income taxes	420	147	908	541
Provision for income taxes	(42)	(42)	(63)	(63)
Net income	378	105	845	478
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(56)	(1)	(61)	(6)
Net income attributable to Host Inc.	\$322	<u>\$104</u>	<u>\$784</u>	\$472
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$.43	\$.14	\$1.06	\$.64

Earnings per Common Share

(unaudited, in millions, except per share amounts)

	Quarter ended Se	eptember 30,	Year-to-date ende	ed September 30,
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Net income	\$378	\$105	\$845	\$478
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(56)	(1)	(61)	(6)
Net income attributable to Host Inc.	\$322	\$104	\$784	\$472
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	739.9	738.8	739.6	738.5
Assuming distribution of common shares granted under the				
comprehensive stock plans, less shares assumed				
purchased at market	.6	.2	.6	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding (1)	740.5	739.0	740.2	738.7
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$.43	\$.14	\$1.06	\$.64

⁽¹⁾ Dilutive securities may include shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, preferred operating partnership units ("OP Units") held by minority partners and other non-controlling interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interests to common OP Units. No effect is shown for any securities that were anti-dilutive for the period.

Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre (1)

(unaudited, in millions)

	Quarter ended	September 30,	Year-to-date end	ended September 30,
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Net income (2)	\$378	\$105	\$845	\$478
Interest expense	45	43	134	125
Depreciation and amortization	173	176	519	534
Income taxes	42	42	63	63
EBITDA (2)	638	366	1,561	1,200
Gain on dispositions (3)	(546)	(58)	(665)	(101)
Non-cash impairment expense	239	<u> </u>	260	_
Equity investment adjustments:				
Equity in earnings of Euro JV ⁽⁵⁾	(3)	(4)	(11)	(9)
Equity in earnings of affiliates other than Euro JV	(3)	_	(14)	(10)
Pro rata EBITDAre of Euro JV (5)	13	11	36	31
Pro rata EBITDAre of equity investments other than Euro JV	6	5	23	24
EBITDAre (2)(6)	344	320	1,190	1,135
Adjustments to EBITDA <i>re</i> :				
Acquisition costs (4)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	1
Gain on property insurance settlement	<u></u> _	(1)		(1)
Adjusted EBITDAre (2)(6)	<u>\$344</u>	\$319	\$1,190	\$1,135

⁽¹⁾ See the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for discussion of these non-GAAP measures.

⁽²⁾ Net Income, EBITDA, EBITDA, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO include a gain of \$1 million for each of the year-to-date periods ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, for the sale of the portion of land attributable to individual units sold by the Maui timeshare joint venture.

⁽³⁾ Reflects the sale of the New York Marriott Marquis Retail in the third quarter of 2018 and four hotels in each of 2018 and 2017.

⁽⁴⁾ Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted Accounting Standards Update No. 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business. As a result, the Hyatt portfolio acquisition was considered an asset acquisition and the related \$17 million of acquisition costs were capitalized.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents our share of earnings and pro rata EBITDAre from our European Joint Venture ("Euro JV") in which we hold an approximate one-third non-controlling interest.

⁽⁶⁾ Effective December 31, 2017, we present EBITDA*re*, reported in accordance with NAREIT guidelines, and Adjusted EBITDA*re* as supplemental measures of our performance. Prior year results have been updated to conform with the current year presentation. Under the new presentation, all of the EBITDA of consolidated partnerships is included, including the non-controlling partners' share, which has increased the previously reported second quarter and year-to-date 2017 Adjusted EBITDA by \$2 million, respectively. See the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for more information on this change.

Host Hotels & Resorts

Reconciliation of Net Income to NAREIT and Adjusted Funds From Operations per Diluted Share⁽¹⁾

(unaudited, in millions, except per share amounts)

	Quarter ended Sept	tember 30,	Year-to-date ended S	Year-to-date ended September 30,		
	2018	2017	2018	2017		
Net income (2)	\$378	\$105	\$845	\$478		
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(56)	(1)	(61)	(6)		
Net income attributable to Host Inc.	322	104	784	472		
Adjustments:						
Gain on dispositions (3)	(546)	(58)	(665)	(101)		
Tax on dispositions	29	22	29	22		
Gain on property insurance settlement	_	(1)	_	(1)		
Depreciation and amortization	171	175	515	532		
Non-cash impairment expense	239	_	260	_		
Equity investment adjustments:						
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(6)	(4)	(25)	(19)		
Pro rata FFO of equity investments	12	11	44	39		
Consolidated partnership adjustments:						
FFO adjustment for non-controlling partnerships	53	(1)	52	(2)		
FFO adjustments for non-controlling interests of Host L.P.	<u> </u>	(1)	(2)	(6)		
NAREIT FFO (2)	275	247	992	936		
Adjustments to NAREIT FFO:						
Acquisition costs (4)	_	_	_	1		
Loss on debt extinguishment	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	1		
Adjusted FFO (2)	<u>\$275</u>	\$247	\$992	\$938		
For calculation on a per share basis ⁽⁵⁾ :						
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding - EPS, NAREIT FFO and	740.5	700.0	740.0	700 7		
Adjusted FFO	740.5	739.0	740.2	738.7		
NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share	<u>\$.37</u>	\$.33	<u>\$1.34</u>	\$1.27		

⁽¹⁻⁴⁾Refer to the corresponding footnote on the Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre.

10

⁽⁵⁾ Earnings per diluted share and NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share are adjusted for the effects of dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, preferred OP units held by non-controlling partners and other non-controlling interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interests to common OP units. No effect is shown for securities if they are anti-dilutive.







Comparable Hotel Results (1)

	Quarter ended S	September 30,	Year-to-date ende	Year-to-date ended September 30,		
	2018	2017	2018	2017		
Number of hotels	85	85	85	85		
Number of rooms	47,455	47,455	47,455	47,455		
Change in comparable hotel RevPAR (2)						
Constant US\$	1.6%	_	1.9%	_		
Nominal US\$	1.4%	_	1.8%	<u> </u>		
Operating profit (loss) margin (3)	(7.1)%	10.1%	8.2%	13.4%		
Comparable hotel EBITDA margin (3)	27.4%	26.9%	29.05%	28.4%		
Food and beverage profit margin (3)	24.6%	22.9%	31.4%	31.1%		
Comparable hotel food and beverage profit margin (3)	27.0%	25.6%	32.5%	32.2%		
Net income	\$378	\$105	\$845	\$478		
Depreciation and amortization	412	176	779	534		
Interest expense	45	43	134	125		
Provision for income taxes	42	42	63	63		
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense	(533)	(39)	(618)	(45)		
Non-comparable hotel results, net (4)	(35)	(31)	(174)	(174)		
Comparable hotel EBITDA	\$309	\$296	\$1,029	\$981		

Comparable Hotel Results (1) (continued)

	Q	uarter ended Se	ptember 30, 201	8	Q	uarter ended Se	ptember 30, 201	7
		Adjust	ments		<u> </u>	Adjust	ments	
	GAAP Results	Non- comparable hotel results, net (4)	Depreciation and corporate level items	Comparable Hotel Results	GAAP Results	Non- comparable hotel results, net (4)	Depreciation and corporate level items	Comparable Hotel Results
Revenues								
Room	\$874	\$(103)	\$ <i>—</i>	\$771	\$860	\$(100)	\$ <i>—</i>	\$760
Food and beverage	337	(45)	_	292	314	(36)	_	278
Other	88	(23)		65	80	(20)		60
Total revenues	1,299	(171)		1,128	1,254	(156)		1,098
Expenses								
Room	234	(32)	_	202	227	(30)	_	197
Food and beverage	254	(41)	_	213	242	(35)	_	207
Other	467	(63)	_	404	459	(61)	_	398
Depreciation and amortization	412	_	(412)	_	176	_	(176)	_
Corporate and other expenses	24	_	(24)	_	24	_	(24)	_
Gain on insurance and business interruption settlements					(1)	1		
Total expenses	1,391	(136)	(436)	819	1,127	(125)	(200)	802
Operating Profit - Comparable Hotel EBITDA	\$(92)	\$(35)	\$436	\$309	\$127	\$(31)	\$200	\$296

Comparable Hotel Results (1) (continued)

	Yea	r-to-date ended	September 30, 2	2018	Year	r-to-date ended	September 30, 2	2017
		Adjust	ments			Adjust	ments	
	GAAP Results	Non- comparable hotel results, net (4)	Depreciation and corporate level items	Comparable Hotel Results	GAAP Results	Non- comparable hotel results, net (4)	Depreciation and corporate level items	Comparable Hotel Results
Revenues								
Room	\$2,691	\$(364)	\$—	\$2,327	\$2,643	\$(358)	\$—	\$2,285
Food and beverage	1,199	(185)	_	1,014	1,152	(166)	_	986
Other	273	(74)		199	248	(68)		180
Total revenues	4,163	(623)	_	3,540	4,043	(592)	_	3,451
Expenses								
Room	696	(101)	_	595	676	(97)	_	579
Food and beverage	822	(138)	_	684	794	(125)	_	669
Other	1,442	(210)	_	1,232	1,424	(202)	_	1,222
Depreciation and amortization	779	`	(779)		534) <u>´</u>	(534)	
Corporate and other expenses	82	_	(82)	_	79	_	(79)	_
Gain on insurance and business interruption settlements		_			(6)	6		
Total expenses	3,821	(449)	(861)	2,511	3,501	(418)	(613)	2,470
Operating Profit - Comparable Hotel EBITDA	\$342	\$(174)	\$861	\$1,029	\$542	\$(174)	\$613	\$981

⁽¹⁾ See the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for a discussion of non-GAAP measures and the calculation of comparable hotel results.

⁽²⁾ RevPAR is the product of the average daily room rate charged and the average daily occupancy achieved.

⁽³⁾ Profit (loss) margins are calculated by dividing the applicable operating profit (loss) by the related revenue amount. GAAP profit (loss) margins are calculated using amounts presented in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Comparable hotel margins are calculated using amounts presented in the above tables.

⁽⁴⁾ Non-comparable hotel results, net, includes the following items: (i) the results of operations of our non-comparable hotels and sold hotels, which operations are included in our condensed consolidated statements of operations as continuing operations. (ii) gains on insurance settlements and business interruption proceeds, and (iii) the results of our office spaces and other non-hotel income.

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$

(unaudited, in millions, except hotel statistics and per room basis)

				Quarter	ended September 30, 20	018			
Location	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentage	RevPAR	Total Revenues	Total Revenues per Available Room (2)	Hotel Net Income	Hotel EBITDA (1)
Maui/Oahu	3	1,682	\$344.07	89.9%	\$309.41	\$72.3	\$467.06	\$14.0	\$23.0
Jacksonville	1	446	360.43	77.7	280.14	24.8	604.87	6.2	8.4
New York	4	5,033	281.58	90.4	254.59	160.1	345.68	5.2	23.3
Seattle	2	1,315	280.39	92.6	259.59	38.6	318.83	11.0	14.9
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	205.95	83.7	172.41	71.3	239.43	7.3	17.3
Boston	4	3,185	249.19	91.1	227.10	85.5	291.81	20.9	29.8
San Diego	4	4,341	239.77	85.0	203.73	135.1	338.42	24.6	45.2
Los Angeles	3	1,421	224.65	88.7	199.17	36.2	276.61	5.1	8.5
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	235.07	87.2	205.07	58.4	269.79	15.4	22.1
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	170.75	59.2	101.03	8.5	156.79	(2.2)	(0.5)
Philadelphia	2	810	204.34	85.9	175.60	21.7	291.60	3.0	6.2
Chicago	6	2,392	218.19	87.8	191.60	53.8	244.47	11.1	18.4
Phoenix	4	1,518	147.56	63.7	94.01	27.4	196.41	(3.1)	2.4
Orange County	4	1,429	199.42	82.8	165.11	32.0	243.28	8.3	11.4
Atlanta	5	1,936	182.19	78.8	143.65	37.3	209.39	7.2	11.9
New Orleans	1	1,333	138.93	73.9	102.70	18.8	153.27	1.8	4.4
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	178.58	75.5	134.78	34.3	194.06	3.3	8.2
San Antonio	2	1,513	168.21	74.3	125.04	25.9	186.15	3.0	5.9
Orlando	1	2,004	150.91	64.1	96.80	44.0	238.77	5.9	11.8
Denver	3	1,340	175.61	85.4	150.02	26.1	211.79	4.9	9.3
Miami	2	843	119.78	73.0	87.49	9.4	121.11	(0.4)	1.2
Houston	4	1,716	170.82	67.1	114.70	25.2	159.57	0.6	5.7
Other	8	3,596	159.15	76.1	121.05	59.9	181.00	7.9	14.5
Domestic	80	45,956	218.40	81.7	178.48	1,106.6	261.74	161.0	303.3
						·			
International	5	1,499	165.21	70.9	117.20	21.7	157.39	3.5	6.1
All Locations - Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$216.93	81.4%	\$176.55	\$1,128.3	\$258.45	\$164.5	\$309.4
Non-comparable hotels	8	4,664	_	_	_	170.7	_	8.4	34.8
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense						_		205.1	293.7
Total	93	52.119	_	_	_	\$1,299.0	_	\$378.0	\$637.9

⁽¹⁾ Certain items from our statement of operations are not allocated to individual properties, including interest on our senior notes, corporate and other expenses, and the provision for income taxes. These items are reflected below in "gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense". Refer to the table below for reconciliation of net income to EBITDA by location.

⁽²⁾ Total Revenue per Available Room ("Total RevPAR") is a summary measure of hotel results calculated by dividing the sum of room, food and beverage and other ancillary service revenue by room nights available to 15 guests for the period. It includes ancillary revenues not included within RevPAR.

⁽³⁾ CBD refers to the central business district.

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$ Reconciliation of Hotel Net Income to Hotel EBITDA

			Quar	ter ended September 30, 201	8		
Location	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Hotel Net Income	Plus: Depreciation	Plus: Interest Expense	Plus: Income Tax	Equals: Hotel EBITDA
Maui/Oahu	3	1,682	\$14.0	\$9.0	\$—	\$—	\$23.
lacksonville	1	446	6.2	2.2			8
New York	4	5,033	5.2	18.1	_	_	23
Seattle	2	1,315	11.0	3.9	_	_	14
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	7.3	10.0	_	_	17.
Boston	4	3,185	20.9	8.9	_	_	29
San Diego	4	4,341	24.6	20.6	_	_	45
Los Angeles	3	1,421	5.1	3.4	_	_	8.
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	15.4	6.7	_	_	22.
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	(2.2)	1.7	_	_	(0.5
Philadelphia	2	810	3.0	3.2	_	_	6.
Chicago	6	2,392	11.1	7.3	_	_	18.
Phoenix	4	1,518	(3.1)	5.5	_	_	2.
Orange County	4	1,429	8.3	3.1	_	_	11.
Atlanta	5	1,936	7.2	4.7	_	_	11.
New Orleans	1	1,333	1.8	2.6	_	_	4.
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	3.3	4.9	_	_	8.
San Antonio	2	1,513	3.0	2.9	_	_	5.
Orlando	1	2,004	5.9	5.9	_	_	11.
Denver	3	1,340	4.9	4.4	_	_	9.
Miami	2	843	(0.4)	1.6	_	_	1.
Houston	4	1,716	0.6	5.1	_	_	5.
Other	8	3,596	7.9	6.6	_	_	14.
Domestic	80	45,956	161.0	142.3	_	_	303.
		-,					
International	5	1,499	3.5	2.6	_	_	6.
All Locations - Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$164.5	\$144.9	\$—	\$	\$309.
Non-comparable hotels	8	4,664	8.4	26.4	_	_	34
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/ expense		,,,,					
'			205.1	1.2	45.1	42.3	293
Total	93	52,119	\$378.0	\$172.5	\$45.1	\$42.3	\$637

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$

(unaudited, in millions, except hotel statistics and per room basis)

					ded September 30,	2017			
	No. of	No. of	Average	Average Occupancy		7	Γotal Revenues per		
Location	Properties	Rooms	Room Rate	Percentage	RevPAR	Total Revenues		Hotel Net Income	Hotel EBITDA (1)
Maui/Oahu	3	1,682	\$325.44	92.4%	\$300.75	\$70.0	\$452.30	\$14.9	\$24.1
Jacksonville	1	446	347.34	64.5	224.07	20.0	488.25	3.5	5.7
New York	4	5,033	282.83	92.6	261.91	157.4	339.96	3.5	23.3
Seattle	2	1,315	267.84	93.6	250.75	37.5	309.74	10.9	14.7
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	216.94	86.9	188.63	76.2	255.99	9.4	20.0
Boston	4	3,185	244.72	88.5	216.68	82.9	283.06	18.8	27.7
San Diego	4	4,341	233.72	86.4	201.92	130.5	326.76	21.3	42.1
Los Angeles	3	1,421	230.75	93.5	215.73	38.4	295.15	7.6	11.0
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	221.52	86.1	190.71	54.2	250.52	11.2	18.5
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	168.26	62.1	104.45	8.4	153.51	(1.9)	(0.3)
Philadelphia	2	810	188.80	84.2	158.99	19.8	265.98	1.9	5.5
Chicago	6	2,392	204.47	88.5	180.94	53.6	243.69	12.5	19.7
Phoenix	4	1,518	142.34	65.7	93.47	27.9	199.56	(2.7)	2.8
Orange County	4	1,429	196.64	82.1	161.35	31.1	236.26	7.2	10.4
Atlanta	5	1,936	189.32	75.9	143.69	37.1	207.92	5.1	10.3
New Orleans	1	1,333	135.25	71.0	96.02	16.9	137.48	0.7	3.5
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	173.28	77.1	133.68	35.3	199.78	4.1	8.9
San Antonio	2	1,513	165.71	66.9	110.88	23.3	167.23	1.3	4.6
Orlando	1	2,004	148.77	63.7	94.82	38.7	209.95	1.6	7.5
Denver	3	1,340	167.43	87.3	146.09	25.1	203.67	4.5	9.0
Miami	2	843	121.88	65.5	79.87	8.8	113.54	(1.1)	0.6
Houston	4	1,716	168.11	66.3	111.49	24.2	153.43	0.2	5.7
Other	8	3,596	160.43	75.2	120.61	59.4	179.62		14.6
Domestic	80	45,956	215.42	81.7	176.05	1,076.7	254.70	141.7	289.9
		-,				,			
International	5	1,499	168.75	68.3	115.31	21.3	154.06	3.0	6.0
All Locations - Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$214.18	81.3%	\$174.13	\$1,098.0	\$251.52		\$295.9
Non-comparable hotels	8	4,664	Ψ211.10	01.070	ψ17 1.10	156.0	Ψ201.02		31.3
Gain on sale of	O .	4,004		-	-	130.0	_	0.5	31.3
property and corporate level income/								(40.0)	20.7
expense		50.412				<u> </u>		(46.0)	38.7
Total	93	52,119				\$1,254.0		\$105.2	\$365.9

⁽¹⁾ Certain items from our statement of operations are not allocated to individual properties, including interest on our senior notes, corporate and other expenses, and the provision for income taxes. These items are reflected below in "gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense". Refer to the table below for reconciliation of net income to EBITDA by location.

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$ Reconciliation of Hotel Net Income to Hotel EBITDA

	Quarter ended September 30, 2017										
Location	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Hotel Net Income	Plus: Depreciation	Plus: Interest Expense	Plus: Income Tax	Equals: Hotel EBITDA				
Maui/Oahu	3	1,682		\$9.2		\$—					
Jacksonville	1	446	3.5	2.2	<u> </u>	· <u> </u>	5.7				
New York	4	5,033		19.8	_	_					
Seattle	2	1,315	10.9	3.8	_	_	14.7				
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238		10.6	_	_	20.0				
Boston	4	3,185	18.8	8.9	_	_	27.7				
San Diego	4	4,341		20.8	_	_	42.1				
Los Angeles	3	1,421	7.6	3.4	_	_	11.0				
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	11.2	7.3	_	_	18.5				
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593		1.6	_	_	/·				
Philadelphia	2	810		3.6	_	_					
Chicago	6	2,392	12.5	7.2	_	_					
Phoenix	4	1,518	(2.7)	5.5	_	_	2.8				
Orange County	4	1,429		3.2	_	_	10.4				
Atlanta	5	1,936	5.1	5.2	_	_	10.3				
New Orleans	1	1,333	0.7	2.8	_	_	3.5				
Northern Virginia	5	1,919		4.8	_	_					
San Antonio	2	1,513	1.3	3.3	_	_	4.6				
Orlando	1	2,004	1.6	5.9	_	_	7.5				
Denver	3	1,340	4.5	4.5	_	_	9.0				
Miami	2	843	(1.1)	1.7	_	_	0.6				
Houston	4	1,716		5.5	_	_					
Other	8	3,596	7.2	7.4	_	_					
Domestic	80	45,956	141.7	148.2		_	289.9				
International	5	1,499	3.0	3.0	_	_	6.0				
All Locations -		1,100	0.0	0.0			6.0				
Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$144.7	\$151.2	\$—	\$—	\$295.9				
Non-comparable		,									
hotels	8	4,664	6.5	23.6	1.2	_	31.3				
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/											
expense			(46.0)	1.0	41.7	42.0	38.7				
Total	93	52,119		\$175.8		\$42.0					

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$

(unaudited, in millions, except hotel statistics and per room basis)

Year-to-date	ended Se	ntember	30.	2018
i cai-lo-uale	cilucu oc	DIGITIDGE	JU.	2010

_	Year-to-date ended September 30, 2018								
Location	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentage	RevPAR	Total Revenues	Total Revenues per Available Room (2)	Hotel Net Income	Hotel EBITDA (1)
Maui/Oahu	3	1,682	\$360.97	91.0%	\$328.41	\$228.6	\$497.81		\$80.7
Jacksonville	1	446	373.17	77.9	290.68	77.5	636.50	20.4	27.0
New York	4	5,033	279.83	86.6	242.31	475.3	345.92	15.8	72.6
Seattle	2	1,315	248.28	85.5	212.25	99.3	276.50	20.2	31.9
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	248.62	81.8	203.28	252.1	285.16	46.3	76.5
Boston	4	3,185	235.72	83.7	197.34	230.7	265.35	41.6	68.3
San Diego	4	4,341	234.70	83.8	196.79	401.6	338.84	70.0	131.6
Los Angeles	3	1,421	216.97	89.5	194.24	106.5	274.62	15.4	25.6
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	230.22	84.2	193.86	170.6	265.58	39.9	60.3
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	250.18	72.9	182.26	48.9	302.03	8.4	13.4
Philadelphia	2	810	207.10	86.2	178.43	65.2	295.01	9.5	19.3
Chicago	6	2,392	204.60	79.7	163.14	140.4	215.02	20.3	42.1
Phoenix	4	1,518	212.76	75.5	160.71	130.1	314.00	26.4	43.1
Orange County	4	1,429	193.34	80.2	155.07	91.0	233.16	20.5	29.9
Atlanta	5	1,936	185.87	79.2	147.22	119.3	225.75	24.2	39.6
New Orleans	1	1,333	178.86	80.6	144.23	75.2	206.59	17.0	24.9
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	186.89	76.9	143.67	114.6	218.79	16.2	30.7
San Antonio	2	1,513	186.50	74.5	138.94	86.1	208.37	14.3	22.9
Orlando	1	2,004	185.03	73.5	136.06	170.4	311.50	39.0	56.4
Denver	3	1,340	167.17	78.1	130.63	68.8	188.14	9.0	22.3
Miami	2	843	159.30	80.7	128.63	41.2	178.90	8.2	13.4
Houston	4	1,716	176.15	72.8	128.23	88.1	188.05	9.9	25.5
Other	8	3,596	169.63	75.4	127.94	194.0	197.61	33.3	53.6
Domestic	80	45,956	224.35	81.1	181.95	3,475.5	277.02	578.9	1,011.6
International	5	1,499	161.22	66.5	107.26	64.7	158.21	8.7	17.0
All Locations - Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$222.71	80.6%	\$179.59	\$3,540.2	\$273.26	\$587.6	\$1,028.6
Non-comparable hotels	8	4,664	_	_	_	623.0	_	99.4	174.0
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense						_		158.0	358.4
Total	93	52.119	_	_	_	\$4,163.2	_	\$845.0	\$1,561.0

⁽¹⁾ Certain items from our statement of operations are not allocated to individual properties, including interest on our senior notes, corporate and other expenses, and the provision for income taxes. These items are reflected below in "gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense". Refer to the table below for reconciliation of net income to EBITDA by location.

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$ Reconciliation of Hotel Net Income to Hotel EBITDA

•	•	Year-to-date ended September 30, 2018									
Location	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Hotel Net Income	Plus: Depreciation	Plus: Interest Expense	Plus: Income Tax	Equals: Hotel EBITDA				
Maui/Oahu	Properties 3	1,682	\$53.1	\$27.6		\$—	\$80.7				
Jacksonville	1	446	20.4	φ27.6 6.6	·	•	φου. <i>τ</i> 27.0				
New York	4	5,033	15.8	56.8	_	-	72.6				
		,			_	_					
Seattle	2	1,315	20.2	11.7	_	_	31.9				
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	46.3	30.2	_	_	76.5				
Boston	4	3,185	41.6	26.7		_	68.3				
San Diego	4	4,341	70.0	61.6	_	_	131.6				
Los Angeles	3	1,421	15.4	10.2		_	25.6				
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	39.9	20.4	_	_	60.3				
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	8.4	5.0			13.4				
Philadelphia	2	810	9.5	9.8	_	_	19.3				
Chicago	6	2,392	20.3	21.8	_	_	42.1				
Phoenix	4	1,518	26.4	16.7	_	_	43.1				
Orange County	4	1,429	20.5	9.4	_	_	29.9				
Atlanta	5	1,936	24.2	15.4	_	_	39.6				
New Orleans	1	1,333	17.0	7.9	_	_	24.9				
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	16.2	14.5	_	_	30.7				
San Antonio	2	1,513	14.3	8.6	_	_	22.9				
Orlando	1	2,004	39.0	17.4	_	<u> </u>	56.4				
Denver	3	1,340	9.0	13.3	_	_	22.3				
Miami	2	843	8.2	5.2	_	_	13.4				
Houston	4	1,716	9.9	15.6	_	_	25.5				
Other	8	3,596	33.3	20.3	_	_	53.6				
Domestic	80	45,956	578.9	432.7	_	_	1,011.6				
International	5	1,499	8.7	8.3			17.0				
All Locations -			^		•	•	0. 000 0				
Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$587.6	\$441.0	\$—	\$—	\$1,028.6				
Non-comparable											
hotels	8	4,664	99.4	74.6			174.0				
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/											
expense			158.0	3.0	134.0	63.4	358.4				
Total	93	52,119	\$845.0	\$518.6	\$134.0	\$63.4	\$1,561.0				

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$

(unaudited, in millions, except hotel statistics and per room basis)

		Year-to-date ended September 30, 2017											
				Average									
L d	No. of	No. of	Average	Occupancy	D. DAD		Total Revenues per	11.4.1 N.4.1	11. () EDITO ((1)				
Location Maui/Oahu	Properties	Rooms	Room Rate	Percentage	RevPAR \$308.79	Total Revenues	Available Room	Hotel Net Income	Hotel EBITDA (1)				
	3	1,682	\$339.86	90.9%		\$215.4	\$469.07		\$76.1				
Jacksonville	1	446	359.82	73.9	265.89	71.4	586.85		23.8				
New York	4	5,033	273.51	88.0	240.73	456.8	332.43	` ,	56.3				
Seattle	2	1,315	242.23	86.8	210.24	98.9	275.43		33.2				
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	259.86	84.5	219.55	270.4	305.85		87.1				
Boston	4	3,185	237.07	82.5	195.54	231.4	266.10		70.2				
San Diego	4	4,341	233.28	84.7	197.49	393.8	332.32		129.7				
Los Angeles	3	1,421	222.05	90.0	199.84	109.4	283.53		28.3				
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	221.22	79.7	176.28	155.6	242.20		50.7				
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	237.39	73.7	175.01	48.9	302.18		13.3				
Philadelphia	2	810	197.10	82.2	162.06	59.3	268.13		16.1				
Chicago	6	2,392	197.01	79.6	156.82	138.0	211.34		44.1				
Phoenix	4	1,518	208.06	74.1	154.14	124.0	299.24	23.0	39.5				
Orange County	4	1,429	192.63	80.2	154.50	92.1	236.13	20.9	30.5				
Atlanta	5	1,936	192.65	78.1	150.46	122.2	230.85	21.8	37.4				
New Orleans	1	1,333	174.77	77.0	134.55	70.7	194.31	14.2	22.8				
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	184.85	76.0	140.46	115.0	219.46	17.0	31.5				
San Antonio	2	1,513	182.03	73.4	133.68	83.2	201.46	11.1	21.8				
Orlando	1	2,004	178.01	71.4	127.19	155.5	284.28	29.2	46.7				
Denver	3	1,340	165.67	77.4	128.22	66.9	182.77	7.6	21.2				
Miami	2	843	159.33	78.2	124.66	39.9	173.41	6.1	11.3				
Houston	4	1,716	179.40	71.8	128.87	86.8	185.24	8.9	25.6				
Other	8	3,596	168.38	73.9	124.43	187.6	191.07		50.6				
Domestic	80	45,956	222.11	80.6	178.94	3,393.2	270.48	517.5	967.8				
		,				,							
International	5	1,499	161.23	60.2	97.14	58.0	141.71	3.9	13.1				
All Locations -		,											
Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$220.66	79.9%	\$176.35	\$3,451.2	\$266.41	\$521.4	\$980.9				
Non-comparable		·											
hotels	8	4,664	_	_	_	592.0	_	97.1	174.0				
Gain on sale of													
property and													
corporate level													
income/													
expense								(140.5)	44.9				
Total	93	52,119	_	_	_	\$4,043.2	_	\$478.0	\$1,199.8				

⁽¹⁾ Certain items from our statement of operations are not allocated to individual properties, including interest on our senior notes, corporate and other expenses, and the provision for income taxes. These items are reflected below in "gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense". Refer to the table below for reconciliation of net income to EBITDA by location.

Comparable Hotel Results by Location in Nominal US\$ Reconciliation of Hotel Net Income to Hotel EBITDA

		Year-to-date ended September 30, 2017									
I control	No. of	No. of	H-d-IN-d-I	DI - Di	Bl I do	DI	E. J. H. J. EDITO				
Location	Properties	Rooms	Hotel Net Income	Plus: Depreciation	Plus: Interest Expense	Plus: Income Tax	Equals: Hotel EBITDA				
Maui/Oahu	3	1,682	\$47.6	\$28.5	•	\$—	\$76.1				
Jacksonville	1	446	17.3	6.5		_					
New York	4	5,033	(6.4)	62.7		_					
Seattle	2	1,315	21.4	11.8			00.2				
Washington, D.C. (CBD)	5	3,238	55.4	31.7	_	_	V				
Boston	4	3,185	43.3	26.9			. 0.2				
San Diego	4	4,341	67.1	62.6		_					
Los Angeles	3	1,421	18.3	10.0			28.3				
San Francisco/San Jose	5	2,353	28.4	22.3	-	_	50.7				
Florida Gulf Coast	2	593	8.6	4.7			1010				
Philadelphia	2	810	5.6	10.5		_	16.1				
Chicago	6	2,392	22.6	21.5		_					
Phoenix	4	1,518	23.0	16.5		_					
Orange County	4	1,429	20.9	9.6		_	00.0				
Atlanta	5	1,936	21.8	15.6	_	_	37.4				
New Orleans	1	1,333	14.2	8.6	_	_	22.8				
Northern Virginia	5	1,919	17.0	14.5	_	_	31.5				
San Antonio	2	1,513	11.1	10.7	_	_	21.8				
Orlando	1	2,004	29.2	17.5	_	<u> </u>	46.7				
Denver	3	1,340	7.6	13.6	_	_	21.2				
Miami	2	843	6.1	5.2	_	_	11.3				
Houston	4	1,716	8.9	16.7	_	_	25.6				
Other	8	3,596	28.5	22.1	_	_	50.6				
Domestic	80	45,956	517.5	450.3		_	967.8				
International	5	1,499	3.9	9.2	_	_	13.1				
All Locations -		1,100	0.0	0.2			10.1				
Nominal US\$	85	47,455	\$521.4	\$459.5	\$—	\$—	\$980.9				
Non-comparable	33	,	ψ02	\$.00.0	Ţ.	Ť	\$10000				
hotels	8	4,664	97.1	72.0	4.9	_	174.0				
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/											
expense			(140.5)	2.7	120.2	62.5	44.9				
Total	93	52,119	\$478.0	\$534.2	\$125.1	\$62.5					

Top 40 Domestic Hotels by RevPAR For the Year ended December 31, 2017

	Hotel	Location	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentage	RevPAR	Total Revenues	Total Revenues per Available Room	Hotel Net Income (Loss)	Hotel EBITDA
1	The Fairmont Kea Lani Maui	Maui/Oahu	450	\$563.73	86.6%	\$488.26	\$115.5	\$703.36	\$24.3	
2	The Ritz-Carlton, Naples	Florida Gulf Coast	450	550.94	67.4%	371.13	126.1	767.96	26.3	
	W New York - Union Square (3)	New York	270	387.41	88.5%	342.94	38.8	394.05	0.7	
1	The Ritz-Carlton, Marina Del Rey	Los Angeles	304	357.64	83.6%	298.92	52.1	469.40	7.9	
5	New York Marriott Marguis	New York	1,966	325.01	90.0%	292.62	346.3	482.61	42.7	
6	Hyatt Regency Maui Resort & Spa	Maui/Oahu	806	301.39	92.5%	278.70	142.2	483.25	33.5	
7	W Hollywood (2)	Los Angeles	305	315.24	84.4%	266.15	43.2	472.63	5.8	
8	San Francisco Marriott Marquis	San Francisco/San Jose	1,500	278.41	91.1%	253.73	204.6	373.71	36.0	
9	The Westin New York Grand Central (4)	New York	774	285.97	87.4%	250.02	87.0	307.93	(1.8)	
10	The Ritz-Carlton, Amelia Island	Jacksonville	446	349.70	71.0%	248.28	91.6	562.55	21.1	
11	JW Marriott Washington DC	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	777	285.24	86.2%	246.01	96.5	340.33	23.1	
12	W New York (3)	New York	697	280.01	86.3%	241.55	76.7	301.66	(6.2)	
13	Sheraton New York Hotel Times Square	New York	1,780	256.35	89.2%	228.57	193.6	297.92	(13.0)	
14	New York Marriott Downtown	New York	513	264.27	85.1%	224.96	51.3	273.74	7.6	
15	Marina Del Rey Marriott	Los Angeles	370	247.54	89.3%	221.17	42.2	312.51	9.9	
16	San Francisco Marriott Fisherman's Wharf	San Francisco/San Jose	285	265.99	79.8%	212.35	26.4	253.66	2.1	
7	Axiom Hotel	San Francisco/San Jose	152	246.01	86.1%	211.85	14.6	262.66	2.6	
18	Grand Hyatt Washington	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	897	255.42	82.2%	210.04	98.8	301.88	16.3	
9	Coronado Island Marriott Resort & Spa	San Diego	300	251.25	83.2%	209.06	37.5	342.49	6.0	
0	Boston Marriott Copley Place	Boston	1,144	245.75	84.9%	208.70	122.6	293.61	23.9	
21	The Don CeSar (2)	Florida Gulf Coast	347	283.58	73.5%	208.46	48.1	434.48	10.7	
22	Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina	San Diego	1,360	249.79	82.9%	207.15	175.2	352.97	24.8	
23	The Westin Georgetown, Washington DC	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	267	245.10	83.8%	205.29	25.1	257.75	4.5	
24	The Westin Chicago River North	Chicago	429	250.98	81.6%	204.85	46.4	296.32	8.3	
25	W Seattle	Seattle	424	248.04	82.3%	204.11	40.0	258.23	7.0	
26	Washington Marriott at Metro Center	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	459	246.81	81.9%	202.05	44.3	264.36	9.8	
27	The Ritz-Carlton Golf Resort, Naples	Florida Gulf Coast	295	316.00	62.5%	197.57	39.3	364.79	5.2	
28	Manchester Grand Hyatt San Diego	San Diego	1,628	236.93	82.8%	196.20	197.3	331.95	39.0	
29	The Ritz-Carlton, Tysons Corner	Northern Virginia	398	263.20	74.5%	196.06	51.9	357.53	3.4	
30	The Phoenician, A Luxury Collection Resort	Phoenix	645	372.02	51.9%	193.14	98.3	417.49	(0.8)	
31	St. Regis Houston	Houston	232	301.61	63.9%	192.80	24.5	289.71	1.9	
32	Embassy Suites Chicago Downtown Magnificent Mile	Chicago	455	215.04	88.7%	190.66	35.1	211.10	4.9	
33	The Westin Seattle	Seattle	891	225.78	84.3%	190.37	84.9	261.02	15.2	
34	Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	838	240.45	78.2%	187.91	83.2	272.17	12.3	
35	Sheraton Boston Hotel	Boston	1,220	233.03	80.3%	187.03	110.4	247.92	13.0	
36	Hyatt Regency Cambridge	Boston	470	221.14	82.0%	181.33	42.9	249.84	13.3	
37	The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa	Phoenix	732	235.00	76.6%	179.98	111.2	416.22	23.8	
8	Hyatt Place Waikiki Beach	Maui/Oahu	426	193.99	91.5%	177.54	29.0	186.26	5.4	
39	Santa Clara Marriott	San Francisco/San Jose	759	241.26	73.2%	176.66	69.2	249.80	21.2	
40	The Logan	Philadelphia	391	224.43	78.1%	175.22	48.4	339.22	3.2	
	Total Top 40		26,852	\$275.09	82.8%	\$227.89	\$3,412.3	\$349.43	\$494.9	
	Remaining 54 hotels		25,708	175.18	74.9%	131.27	1,913.2	203.89	293.8	
	Gain on sale of property, sold property operations and corporate level income/ expense						61.4		(217.7)	

⁽¹⁾ Certain items from our statement of operations are not allocated to individual properties, including interest on our senior notes, corporate and other expenses, and the benefit (provision) for income taxes. These items are reflected below in "gain on sale of property, sold property operations and corporate level income/expense." Refer to the table below for reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA by property.

²⁾ Property was acquired in 2017. Results represent our ownership period in 2017.

⁽³⁾ Hotel was sold subsequent to December 31, 2017.


⁽⁴⁾ Hotel was classified as held-for-sale as of September 30, 2018.

Top 40 Domestic Hotels by RevPAR Reconciliation of Hotel Net Income to Hotel EBITDA

	Hotel	Location	No. of Rooms	Hotel Net Income (Loss)	Plus: Depreciation	Plus: Interest Expense	Plus: Income Tax	Equals: Hotel EBITDA
1	The Fairmont Kea Lani Maui	Maui/Oahu	450	\$24.3	\$16.2	\$-	\$-	\$40.
2	The Ritz-Carlton, Naples	Florida Gulf Coast	450	26.3	13.5			39.8
3	W New York - Union Square	New York	270	0.7	5.7			6.4
4	The Ritz-Carlton, Marina Del Rey	Los Angeles	304	7.9	4.6			12.
5	New York Marriott Marquis	New York	1,966	42.7	35.0			77.
6	Hyatt Regency Maui Resort & Spa	Maui/Oahu	806	33.5	16.3	-		49.8
7	W Hollywood	Los Angeles	305	5.8	6.0			11.8
8	San Francisco Marriott Marquis	San Francisco/San Jose	1,500	36.0	18.6			54.
9	The Westin New York Grand Central	New York	774	(1.8)	14.0			12.:
10	The Ritz-Carlton, Amelia Island	Jacksonville	446	21.1	8.7			29.8
11	JW Marriott Washington DC	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	777	23.1	9.0			32.
12	W New York	New York	697	(6.2)	9.6			3.4
13	Sheraton New York Hotel Times Square	New York	1,780	(13.0)	31.1			18.
14	New York Marriott Downtown	New York	513	7.6	5.8			13.4
15	Marina Del Rey Marriott	Los Angeles	370	9.9	3.2			13.
16	San Francisco Marriott Fisherman's Wharf	San Francisco/San Jose	285	2.1	3.6			5.
17	Axiom Hotel	San Francisco/San Jose	152	2.6	4.4			7.
18	Grand Hyatt Washington	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	897	16.3	14.9			31.
19	Coronado Island Marriott Resort & Spa	San Diego	300	6.0	6.2			12
20	Boston Marriott Copley Place	Boston	1,144	23.9	11.9			35.
21	The Don CeSar	Florida Gulf Coast	347	10.7	5.1			15.
22	Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina	San Diego	1,360	24.8	32.8			57.
23	The Westin Georgetown, Washington DC	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	267	4.5	3.9			8.4
24	The Westin Chicago River North	Chicago	429	8.3	6.6	-		14.9
25	W Seattle	Seattle	424	7.0	6.0			13.0
26	Washington Marriott at Metro Center	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	459	9.8	3.3			13.
27	The Ritz-Carlton Golf Resort, Naples	Florida Gulf Coast	295	5.2	5.0			10.
28	Manchester Grand Hyatt San Diego	San Diego	1,628	39.0	30.4			69.
29	The Ritz-Carlton, Tysons Corner	Northern Virginia	398	3.4	7.3			10.
30	The Phoenician, A Luxury Collection Resort	Phoenix	645	(0.8)	22.5			21.
31	St. Regis Houston	Houston	232	1.9	3.3			5.
32	Embassy Suites Chicago Downtown Magnificent Mile	Chicago	455	4.9	5.8			10.
33	The Westin Seattle	Seattle	891	15.2	9.6			24.
34	Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill	Washington, D.C. (CBD)	838	12.3	10.7			23.
35	Sheraton Boston Hotel	Boston	1,220	13.0	15.4			28.
36	Hyatt Regency Cambridge	Boston	470	13.3	4.1			17
37	The Westin Kierland Resort & Spa	Phoenix	732	23.8	11.0			34.
38	Hyatt Place Waikiki Beach	Maui/Oahu	426	5.4	5.3	_		10.
39	Santa Clara Marriott	San Francisco/San Jose	759	21.2	3.3			24
40	The Logan	Philadelphia	391	3.2	10.4			13.
	Total Top 40	,	26,852	\$494.9	\$440.1			\$935
	Remaining 54 hotels		25,708	293.8	258.6	4.2	-	556
	Gain on sale of property, sold property operations and corporate level income/ expense		23,700	(217.7)	9.1	163.1	79.5	34
	Tariff and the state of the sta			(217.7)	9.1	103.1	79.5	









25

Comparative Capitalization

(in millions, except security pricing and per share amounts)

As of September 30,	As of	As of	As of	A = = f
2018	June 30, 2018	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	As of September 30, 2017
740.0	739.8	739.5	739.1	738.
748.1	748.0	747.8	747.4	747.
.02	.02	.02	.02	.0
\$21.10	\$21.07	\$18.64	\$19.85	\$18.4
21.94	22.25	21.30	20.58	18.9
20.10	18.24	17.98	18.20	17.3
\$15,785	\$15,760	\$13,939	\$14,836	\$13,81
4,079	4,228	4,266	3,954	3,96
(1,269)	(646)	(323)	(913)	(78
18,595	19,342	17,882	17,877	16,99
456	458	477	472	41
\$19,051	\$19,800	\$18,359	\$18,349	\$17,40
Quarter ended	Quarter ended	Quarter ended	Quarter ended	Quarter ended
September 30, 2018	June 30, 2018	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	September 30, 2017
\$.20	\$.20	\$.20	\$.25	\$.2
	740.0 748.1 .02 \$21.10 21.94 20.10 \$15,785 4,079 (1,269) 18,595 456 \$19,051 Quarter ended September 30, 2018	740.0 739.8 748.1 748.0 .02 .02 \$21.10 \$21.07 21.94 22.25 20.10 18.24 \$15,785 \$15,760 4,079 4,228 (1,269) (646) 18,595 19,342 456 458 \$19,051 \$19,800 Quarter ended September 30, 2018 Quarter ended June 30, 2018	740.0 739.8 739.5 748.1 748.0 747.8 .02 .02 .02 \$21.10 \$21.07 \$18.64 21.94 22.25 21.30 20.10 18.24 17.98 \$15,785 \$15,760 \$13,939 4,079 4,228 4,266 (1,269) (646) (323) 18,595 19,342 17,882 456 458 477 \$19,051 \$19,800 \$18,359 Quarter ended September 30, June 30, March 31, 2018 2018 2018	740.0 739.8 739.5 739.1 748.1 748.0 747.8 747.4 .02 .02 .02 .02 \$21.10 \$21.07 \$18.64 \$19.85 21.94 22.25 21.30 20.58 20.10 18.24 17.98 18.20 \$15,785 \$15,760 \$13,939 \$14,836 4,079 4,228 4,266 3,954 (1,269) (646) (323) (913) 18,595 19,342 17,882 17,877 456 458 477 472 \$19,051 \$19,800 \$18,359 \$18,349 Quarter ended Quarter ended Quarter ended Quarter ended September 30, June 30, March 31, December 31, 2018 2018 2018 2017

⁽¹⁾ Each OP Unit is redeemable for cash or, at our option, for 1.021494 common shares of Host Inc. At September 30, 2018, June 30, 2018, March 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and September 30, 2017, there were 7.9 million, 8.0 million, 8.2 million, 8.2 million common OP Units, respectively, held by non-controlling interests.

⁽²⁾ Share prices are the closing price as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

⁽³⁾ Market value of common equity is calculated as the number of common shares outstanding including assumption of conversion of OP units multiplied the closing share price on that day.

Consolidated Debt Summary


(in millions)				
Debt				
Senior debt	Rate	Maturity date	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Series Z	6%	10/2021	\$298	\$298
Series B	5 1/4%	3/2022	348	348
Series C	4 3/4%	3/2023	447	447
Series D	3¾%	10/2023	398	398
Series E	4%	6/2025	497	496
Series F	4 ½%	2/2026	397	396
Series G	31/8%	4/2024	396	395
2017 Credit facility term loan	3.3%	5/2021	498	498
2015 Credit facility term loan	3.3%	9/2020	499	498
Credit facility revolver (1)	1.4%	5/2021	295	174
			4,073	3,948
Other debt				
Other debt (non-recourse)	8.8%	2/2024	6	6
Total debt(2)(3)			\$4,079	\$3,954
Percentage of fixed rate debt			68%	70%
Weighted average interest rate			4.1%	4.0%
Weighted average debt maturity			4.3 years	5.1 years
Credit Facility				
Total capacity			\$1,000	
Available capacity			702	
Assets encumbered by mortgage debt			_	

⁽¹⁾ The interest rate shown is the weighted average rate of the outstanding credit facility borrowings at September 30, 2018.

⁽²⁾ In accordance with GAAP, total debt includes the debt of entities that we consolidate, but of which we do not own 100%, and excludes the debt of entities that we do not consolidate, but of which we have a non-controlling ownership interest and record our investment therein under the equity method of accounting. As of September 30, 2018, our share of debt in unconsolidated investments is \$456 million and none of our debt is attributable to non-controlling interests.

⁽³⁾ Total debt as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 includes net discounts and deferred financing costs of \$26 million and \$30 million, respectively.

Consolidated Debt Maturity as of September 30, 2018



⁽¹⁾ The term loan and revolver under our credit facility that are due in 2021 have extension options that would extend the maturity of both instruments to 2022, subject to meeting certain conditions, including payment of a fee.

Reconciliation of GAAP Leverage Ratio to Credit Facility Leverage Ratio

(unaudited, in millions, except ratios)

The following table presents the calculation of Host's leverage ratio using GAAP measures:

	GAAP Leverage Ratio
	September 30, 2018
Debt	\$4,079
Net income - trailing twelve months	938
GAAP Leverage Ratio	4.3x

The following table presents the calculation of Host's leverage ratio as used in the financial covenants of the credit facility:

	Leverage Ratio per Credit Facility
	September 30, 2018
Net debt (1)	\$3,022
Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA - trailing twelve months (2)	1,546
Leverage Ratio	2.0x

(1) The following presents the reconciliation of debt to net debt per our credit facility definition:

	September 30, 2018
Debt	\$4,079
Deferred financing cost	22
Less: Unrestricted cash over \$100 million	(1,079)
Net debt per credit facility definition	\$3,022

(2) The following presents the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA, EBITDA*re*, Adjusted EBITDA*re* and EBITDA per our credit facility definition in determining leverage ratio:

	Trailing twelve months
	September 30, 2018
Net income	\$938
Interest expense	176
Depreciation and amortization	693
Income taxes	
EBITDA	1,887
Gain on dispositions	(664)
Non-cash impairment expense	303
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(36)
Pro rata EBITDAre of equity investments	75
EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre	1,565
Pro forma EBITDA - Acquisitions	34
Pro forma EBITDA - Dispositions	(41)
Restricted stock expense and other non-cash items	12
Non-cash partnership adjustments	(24)
Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA	\$1,546

Reconciliation of GAAP Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio to Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio

(unaudited, in millions, except ratios)

The following tables present the calculation of our fixed charge coverage ratio using GAAP measures and as used in the financial covenants of the credit facility:

	GAAP Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio		Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio
	September 30, 2018		September 30, 2018
Net income - trailing twelve months	\$938	Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$1,272
Interest Expense - trailing twelve months	176	Fixed Charges ⁽²⁾	196
GAAP Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio	5.3x	Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio	6.5x

(1) The following reconciles Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA to Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio EBITDA. See Reconciliation of GAAP Leverage Ratio to Credit Facility Leverage Ratio for calculation and reconciliation of Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA.

	I railing twelve months September 30, 2018
Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA	\$1,546
Less: 5% of Hotel Property Gross Revenue	(274)
Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio EBITDA	\$1,272

(2) The following table reconciles GAAP interest expense to interest expense per our credit facility definition to fixed charges:

	Trailing twelve months September 30, 2018
GAAP Interest expense	\$176
Deferred financing cost amortization	(6)
Capitalized interest	2
Accretion expense	(4)
Pro forma interest adjustments	(6)
Adjusted Credit Facility interest expense	162
Cash taxes on ordinary income	34
Fixed Charges	<u>\$196</u>

Condit Facility Fixed

Reconciliation of GAAP Interest Coverage Ratio to EBITDA to Interest Coverage Ratio

(unaudited, in millions, except ratios)

The following tables present the calculation of our interest coverage ratio using GAAP measures and as used in the senior notes indenture covenants:

	GAAP Interest Coverage Ratio
	Trailing twelve months
	September 30, 2018
Net income	\$938
Interest expense	176
GAAP Interest Coverage Ratio	5.3x
	EBITDA to Interest Coverage Ratio
	Trailing twelve months
	September 30, 2018
Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA (1)	\$1,546
Non-controlling interest adjustment	2
Adjusted Senior Notes EBITDA	\$1,548
Adjusted Credit Facility interest expense (2)	\$162
EBITDA to Interest Coverage Ratio	9.6x

⁽¹⁾ See Reconciliation of GAAP Leverage Ratio to Credit Facility Leverage Ratio for the calculation of Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA and reconciliation to net income.

⁽²⁾ See Reconciliation of GAAP Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio to Credit Facility Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio for the calculation of Adjusted Credit Facility interest expense and reconciliation to GAAP interest expense. This same measure is used for our senior notes.

Ground Lease Summary as of December 31, 2017

		As of December 31, 2017			
	Hotel	No. of rooms	Minimum rent	Current expiration	Expiration after all potential options ⁽¹⁾
1	Atlanta Marriott Midtown Suites	254	714,236	1/3/2025	1/3/2105
2	Boston Marriott Copley Place	1,144	N/A ⁽²⁾	12/13/2077	12/13/2077
3	Coronado Island Marriott Resort & Spa	300	1,378,850	10/31/2062	10/31/2078
4	Denver Marriott West	305	160,000	12/28/2018	12/28/2058
5	Houston Airport	573	1,560,000	10/31/2053	10/31/2053
6	Houston Marriott at Texas Medical Center	395	160,000	12/28/2019	12/28/2059
7	Manchester Grand Hyatt San Diego	1,628	6,600,000	5/31/2067	5/31/2067
8	Marina del Rey Marriott	370	872,612	3/31/2043	3/31/2043
9	Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina	1,360	8,102,192	11/30/2061	11/30/2061
10	Newark Airport Marriott	591	2,476,119	12/31/2055	12/31/2055
11	Philadelphia Airport Marriott	419	1,187,308	6/29/2045	6/29/2045
12	San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter	1,001	700,000	12/31/2033	12/31/2063
13	San Antonio Marriott Riverwalk (5)	512	50,000	4/28/2033	4/28/2053
14	San Francisco Marriott Marquis	1,500	1,500,000	8/25/2046	8/25/2076
15	San Ramon Marriott	368	482,144	5/29/2034	5/29/2064
16	Santa Clara Marriott	759	90,932	11/30/2028	11/30/2058
17	Sheraton San Diego Hotel & Marina	1,053	2,029,000	10/31/2078	10/31/2078
18	Tampa Airport Marriott	298	1,033,005	12/31/2033	12/31/2033
19	The Ritz-Carlton, Marina del Rey	304	1,453,104	7/29/2067	7/29/2067
20	The Ritz-Carlton, Tysons Corner	398	992,722	6/30/2112	6/30/2112
21	The Westin Cincinnati	456	100,000	6/30/2045	6/30/2075(3)
22	The Westin Los Angeles Airport	740	1,225,050	1/31/2054	1/31/2074 ⁽⁴⁾
23	The Westin South Coast Plaza	390	178,160	9/30/2025	9/30/2025
24	Toronto Marriott Eaton Centre	461	404,891	9/20/2082	9/20/2082
25	W Hollywood	305	366,579	3/28/2106	3/28/2106
26	Washington Dulles Airport	368	874,481	9/30/2027	9/30/2027

⁽¹⁾ Exercise of Host's option to extend is subject to certain conditions, including the existence of no defaults and subject to any applicable rent escalation or rent re-negotiation provisions.

⁽²⁾ All rental payments have been previously paid and no further rental payments are required for the remainder of the lease term.

⁽³⁾ No renewal term in the event the Lessor determines to discontinue use of building as a hotel.

⁽⁴⁾ A condition of renewal is that the hotel's occupancy compares favorably to similar hotels for the preceding three years.

⁽⁵⁾ Host acquired the land associated with this ground lease subsequent to December 31, 2017.







The Company anticipates that its 2018 operating results as compared to the prior year will change in the following range:

	Previous Full Year 2018 Guidance	Current Full Year 2018 Guidance	Change in Full Year 2018 Guidance to the Mid-Point
Total comparable hotel RevPAR - Constant US\$ (1)	1.75% to 2.5%	1.9% to 2.1%	(12.5 bps)
Total revenues under GAAP	2.2% to 2.9%	2.4% to 2.6%	(5 bps)
Operating profit margin under GAAP	80 bps to 140 bps	(320 bps) to (300 bps)	(420 bps)
Comparable hotel EBITDA margins (2)	25 bps to 75 bps	50 bps to 60 bps	5 bps

⁽¹⁾ Forecast comparable hotel results include 85 hotels that are assumed will be classified as comparable as of December 31, 2018. See the 2018 Forecast Schedules for a listing of hotels excluded from the full year 2018 comparable hotel set.

Based upon the above parameters, the Company estimates its 2018 guidance as follows:

			Change in Full Year 2018 Guidance to
	Previous Full Year 2018 Guidance	Current Full Year 2018 Guidance	the Mid-Point
Net income (in millions)	\$662 to \$698	\$971 to \$981	\$296
Adjusted EBITDAre (in millions)	\$1,525 to \$1,565	\$1,545 to \$1,555	\$5
Diluted earnings per common share	\$.88 to \$.93	\$1.23 to \$1.24	\$.33
NAREIT FFO per diluted share	\$1.71 to \$1.76	\$1.74 to \$1.76	\$.015
Adjusted FFO per diluted share	\$1.71 to \$1.76	\$1.74 to \$1.76	\$.015

See the 2018 Forecast Schedules and the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information for other assumptions used in the forecasts and items that may affect forecast results.

⁽²⁾ At the 2.0% midpoint of the RevPAR guidance, the improvement in comparable hotel EBITDA margin is13 basis points higher compared to the previous guidance.

Adjusted FFO per diluted share

Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, EBITDA*re*, Adjusted EBITDA*re* and NAREIT and Adjusted Funds From Operations per Diluted Share for 2018 Forecasts⁽¹⁾

(unaudited, in millions, except per share amounts)	Full Year	r 2018
	Low-end of range	High-end of range
Net income	\$971	\$981
Interest expense	179	179
Depreciation and amortization	688	688
Income taxes	66	66
EBITDA	1,904	1,914
Gain on dispositions	(665)	(665)
Non-cash impairment loss	260	260
Equity investment adjustments:		
Equity in earnings of Euro JV	(13)	(13)
Equity in earnings of affiliates other than Euro JV	(14)	(14)
Pro rata EBITDAre of Euro JV	45	45
Pro rata EBITDAre of equity investments other than Euro JV	28_	28
EBITDAre	1,545	1,555
Adjusted EBITDAre	\$1,545	\$1,555
	Full Year	r 2018
	Low-end	High-end
	of range	of range
Net income	\$971	\$981
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(63)	(63)
Net income attributable to Host Inc.	908	918
Adjustments:		
Gain on dispositions	(665)	(665)
Tax on dispositions	29	29
Depreciation and amortization	684	684
Non-cash impairment loss	260	260
Equity investment adjustments:		
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(27)	(27)
Pro rata FFO of equity investments	53	53
Consolidated partnership adjustments:		
FFO adjustment for non-controlling partnerships	52	52
FFO adjustment for non-controlling interests of Host LP	(4)	(4)
NAREIT FFO	1,290	1,300
Adjusted FFO	<u>\$1,290</u>	\$1,300
Weighted average diluted shares - EPS, NAREIT and Adjusted FFO	740.3	740.3
Diluted earnings per common share	\$1.23	\$1.24
NAREIT FFO per diluted share	\$1.74	\$1.76
Adjusted FFO and districted obeys	Ψ1.74 Φ4.74	\$1.70 \$4.70

- (1) The forecasts are based on the below assumptions:
 - Total comparable hotel RevPAR in constant US\$ will increase 1.9% to 2.1% for the low and high end of the forecast range, which excludes the effect of changes in foreign currency. However, the effect of estimated changes in foreign currency has been reflected in the forecast of net income, EBITDA, earnings per diluted share and Adjusted FFO per diluted share.
 - Comparable hotel EBITDA margins will increase 50 basis points to 60 basis points for the low and high ends of the forecasted RevPAR range, respectively.
 - We expect to spend approximately \$195 million to \$220 million on ROI capital expenditures and approximately \$280 million to \$300 million on renewal and replacement capital expenditures.
 - The above forecast assumes the sale of the Company's interest in the European joint venture. The transaction is subject to customary and other closing conditions which may not be satisfied and there can be no assurances that we will be able to complete the transaction at the price assumed in the forecast..

For a discussion of additional items that may affect forecasted results, see the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information.

\$1.74

Schedule of Comparable Hotel Results for 2018 Forecasts⁽¹⁾

Operating profit margin (2) Comparable hotel EBITDA margin (3)	Full Year	2018
	Low-end of range	High-end of range
Comparable hotel EBITDA margin (3)	9.3%	9.5%
	28.7%	28.8%
Net income	\$971	\$981
Depreciation and amortization	948	948
Interest expense	179	179
Provision for income taxes	66	66
Gain on sale of property and corporate level income/expense	(589)	(589)
Non-comparable hotel results, net (4)	(227)	(229)
Comparable hotel EBITDA	\$1,348	\$1,356

	Low-end of range			
		Adjustments		
	GAAP Results	Non- comparable hotel results, net ⁽⁴⁾	Depreciation and corporate level items	Comparable Hotel Results
Revenues				
Rooms	\$3,543	\$(466)	\$ —	\$3,077
Food and beverage	1,611	(248)	_	1,363
Other	360	(96)		264
Total revenues	5,514	(810)		4,704
Expenses				
Hotel expenses	3,939	(583)	_	3,356
Depreciation	948	_	(948)	_
Corporate and other expenses	113		(113)	
Total expenses	5,000	(583)	(1,061)	3,356
Operating Profit - Comparable Hotel EBITDA	\$514	\$(227)	\$1,061	\$1,348

		High-end of range		
		Adjustr		
	GAAP Results	Non- comparable hotel results, net ⁽⁴⁾	Depreciation and corporate level items	Comparable Hotel Results
Revenues				
Rooms	\$3,550	\$(467)	\$ —	\$3,083
Food and beverage	1,615	(249)	_	1,366
Other	360	(96)		264
Total revenues	5,525	(812)		4,713
Expenses				
Hotel expenses	3,940	(583)	_	3,357
Depreciation and amortization	948	_	(948)	_
Corporate and other expenses	113		(113)	
Total expenses	5,001	(583)	(1,061)	3,357
Operating Profit - Comparable Hotel EBITDA	\$524	\$(229)	\$1,061	\$1,356

- (1) Forecast comparable hotel results include 85 hotels (of our 93 hotels owned at September 30, 2018) that we have assumed will be classified as comparable as of December 31, 2018. See "Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics" in the Notes to Supplemental Financial Information. No assurances can be made as to the hotels that will be in the comparable hotel set for 2018. Also, see the notes to the "Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, EBITDAre, Adjusted EBITDAre and NAREIT and Adjusted Funds From Operations per Diluted Share for 2018 Forecasts" for other forecast assumptions and further discussion of transactions affecting our comparable hotel set.
- (2) Operating profit margin under GAAP is calculated as the operating profit divided by the forecast total revenues per the condensed consolidated statements of operations.
- (3) Comparable hotel EBITDA margin is calculated as the comparable hotel EBITDA divided by the comparable hotel sales per the tables above.
- (4) Non-comparable hotel results, net, includes the following items: (i) the results of operations of our non-comparable hotels and sold hotels, which operations are included in our condensed consolidated statements of operations as continuing operations, (ii) gains on insurance settlements and business interruption proceeds, and (iii) the results of our office spaces other non-hotel income. The following hotels are considered non-comparable for full-year forecast:

Acquisitions:

- The Don CeSar and Beach House Suites complex (acquired in February 2017)
- W Hollywood (acquired in March 2017)
- Andaz Maui at Wailea Resort (acquired in March 2018)
- Grand Hyatt San Francisco (acquired in March 2018)
- Hyatt Regency Coconut Point Resort and Spa (acquired in March 2018)

Renovations:

- The Phoenician (business disruption beginning in the second quarter of 2016)
- The Ritz-Carlton, Naples (business disruption beginning in the second quarter of 2018)
- San Francisco Marriott Marquis (business disruption beginning in the third quarter of 2018)

Dispositions or properties under contract (includes forecast or actual results from January 1, 2018 through the anticipated or actual sale date):

- Key Bridge Marriott (sold January 9, 2018)
- W New York (sold May 9, 2018)
- W New York Union Square (sold September 6, 2018)
- JW Marriott Hotel Mexico City (sold September 27, 2018)









FORECASTS

Our forecast of earnings per diluted share, NAREIT and Adjusted FFO per diluted share, EBITDA, EBITDAre, Adjusted EBITDAre and comparable hotel results are forward-looking statements and are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual results and performance to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forecasts. Although we believe the expectations reflected in the forecasts are based upon reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that the expectations will be attained or that the results will not be materially different. Risks that may affect these assumptions and forecasts include the following: potential changes in overall economic outlook make it inherently difficult to forecast the level of RevPAR and margin growth; the amount and timing of acquisitions and dispositions of hotel properties is an estimate that can substantially affect financial results, including such items as net income, depreciation and gains on dispositions; the level of capital expenditures may change significantly, which will directly affect the level of depreciation expense and net income; the amount and timing of debt payments may change significantly based on market conditions, which will directly affect the level of interest expense and net income; the amount and timing of transactions involving shares of our common stock may change based on market conditions; and other risks and uncertainties associated with our business described herein and in our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

COMPARABLE HOTEL OPERATING STATISTICS

To facilitate a quarter-to-quarter comparison of our operations, we present certain operating statistics (i.e., RevPAR, average daily rate and average occupancy) and operating results (revenues, expenses, hotel EBITDA and associated margins) for the periods included in this presentation on a comparable hotel basis.

Because these statistics and operating results relate only to our hotel properties, they exclude results for our non-hotel properties and other real estate investments. We define our comparable hotels as properties:

- (i) that are owned or leased by us and the operations of which are included in our consolidated results for the entirety of the reporting periods being compared; and
- (ii) that have not sustained substantial property damage or business interruption, or undergone large-scale capital projects (as further defined below) during the reporting periods being compared.

The hotel business is capital-intensive and renovations are a regular part of the business. Generally, hotels under renovation remain comparable hotels. A large scale capital project that would cause a hotel to be excluded from our comparable hotel set is an extensive renovation of several core aspects of the hotel, such as rooms, meeting space, lobby, bars, restaurants and other public spaces. Both quantitative and qualitative factors are taken into consideration in determining if the renovation would cause a hotel to be removed from the comparable hotel set, including unusual or exceptional circumstances such as: a reduction or increase in room count, rebranding, a significant alteration of the business operations, or the closing of the hotel during the renovation.

We do not include an acquired hotel in our comparable hotel set until the operating results for that hotel have been included in our consolidated results for one full calendar year. For example, we acquired The Don CeSar in February 2017. The hotel will not be included in our comparable hotels until January 1, 2019. Hotels that we sell are excluded from the comparable hotel set once the transaction has closed. Similarly, hotels are excluded from our comparable hotel set from the date that they sustain substantial property damage or business interruption or commence a large-scale capital project. In each case, these hotels are returned to the comparable hotel set when the operations of the hotel have been included in our consolidated results for one full calendar year after completion of the property damage or cessation of the business interruption, or the completion of large-scale capital projects, as applicable.

COMPARABLE HOTEL OPERATING STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

Of the 93 hotels that we owned on September 30, 2018, 85 have been classified as comparable hotels. The operating results of the following hotels that we owned as of September 30, 2018 are excluded from comparable hotel results for these periods:

- The Phoenician (acquired in June 2015 and, beginning in the second quarter of 2016, business disruption due to extensive renovations, including all guestrooms and suites, a redesign of the lobby and public areas, renovation of pools, recreation areas and a restaurant and a re-configured spa and fitness center);
- The Don CeSar and Beach House Suites complex (acquired in February 2017);
- W Hollywood (acquired in March 2017);
- Andaz Maui at Wailea Resort (acquired in March 2018);
- Grand Hyatt San Francisco (acquired in March 2018);
- Hyatt Regency Coconut Point Resort and Spa (acquired in March 2018);
- The Ritz-Carlton, Naples, removed in the second quarter of 2018 (business interruption due to extensive renovations including restoration of the façade that requires closure of the hotel for over two months, coordinated with renovation and expansion of restaurant areas and renovation to the spa and ballrooms); and
- San Francisco Marriott Marquis, removed in the third quarter of 2018 (business interruption due to renovations of guestrooms, ballrooms, meeting space, and extensive renovations of the main lobby).

The operating results of eight hotels disposed of in 2018 and 2017 are not included in comparable hotel results for the periods presented herein.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

Included in this supplemental information are certain "non-GAAP financial measures," which are measures of our historical or future financial performance that are not calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, within the meaning of applicable SEC rules. They are as follows: (i) FFO and FFO per diluted share (both NAREIT and Adjusted), (ii) EBITDA, (iii) EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to Interest Coverage Ratio. The following discussion defines these measures and presents why we believe they are useful supplemental measures of our performance.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES (CONTINUED)

NAREIT FFO AND NAREIT FFO PER DILUTED SHARE

We present NAREIT FFO and NAREIT FFO per diluted share as non-GAAP measures of our performance in addition to our earnings per share (calculated in accordance with GAAP). We calculate NAREIT FFO per diluted share as our NAREIT FFO (defined as set forth below) for a given operating period, as adjusted for the effect of dilutive securities, divided by the number of fully diluted shares outstanding during such period, in accordance with NAREIT guidelines. NAREIT defines FFO as net income (calculated in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains and losses from sales of real estate, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, real estate-related depreciation, amortization and impairments and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect our pro rata share of the FFO of those entities on the same basis.

We believe that NAREIT FFO per diluted share is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance and that the presentation of NAREIT FFO per diluted share, when combined with the primary GAAP presentation of earnings per share, provides beneficial information to investors. By excluding the effect of real estate depreciation, amortization, impairments and gains and losses from sales of depreciable real estate, all of which are based on historical cost accounting and which may be of lesser significance in evaluating current performance, we believe that such measures can facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and with other REITs, even though NAREIT FFO per diluted share does not represent an amount that accrues directly to holders of our common stock. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. As noted by NAREIT in its April 2002 "White Paper on Funds From Operations," since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. For these reasons, NAREIT adopted the FFO metric in order to promote an industry-wide measure of REIT operating performance.

Adjusted FFO per Diluted Share

We also present Adjusted FFO per diluted share when evaluating our performance because management believes that the exclusion of certain additional items described below provides useful supplemental information to investors regarding our ongoing operating performance. Management historically has made the adjustments detailed below in evaluating our performance, in our annual budget process and for our compensation programs. We believe that the presentation of Adjusted FFO per diluted share, when combined with both the primary GAAP presentation of earnings per share and FFO per diluted share as defined by NAREIT, provides useful supplemental information that is beneficial to an investor's understanding of our operating performance. We adjust NAREIT FFO per diluted share for the following items, which may occur in any period, and refer to this measure as Adjusted FFO per diluted share:

- Gains and Losses on the Extinguishment of Debt We exclude the effect of finance charges and premiums associated with the extinguishment of debt, including the acceleration of the write-off of deferred financing costs associated with the original issuance of the debt being redeemed or retired and incremental interest expense incurred during the refinancing period. We also exclude the gains on debt repurchases and the original issuance costs associated with the retirement of preferred stock. We believe that these items are not reflective of our ongoing finance costs.
- Acquisition Costs Under GAAP, costs associated with completed property acquisitions that are considered business combinations are expensed in the year incurred. We
 exclude the effect of these costs because we believe they are not reflective of the ongoing performance of the Company.
- Litigation Gains and Losses We exclude the effect of gains or losses associated with litigation recorded under GAAP that we consider outside the ordinary course of business. We believe that including these items is not consistent with our ongoing operating performance.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES (CONTINUED)

In unusual circumstances, we may also adjust NAREIT FFO for gains or losses that management believes are not representative of the Company's current operating performance. For example, in 2017, as a result of the reduction of corporate income tax rates from 35% to 21% caused by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, we remeasured our domestic deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2017 and recorded a one-time adjustment to reduce the deferred tax assets and increase the provision for income taxes by approximately \$11 million. Additionally, similar corporate income tax rate reductions affected our European Joint Venture, causing the remeasurement of the net deferred tax assets and liabilities in France and Belgium, resulting in a net tax benefit to us of \$5 million. We do not consider these adjustments to be reflective of our on-going operating performance and therefore excluded these items from Adjusted FFO.

EBITDA

Earnings before Interest Expense, Income Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") is a commonly used measure of performance in many industries. Management believes EBITDA provides useful information to investors regarding our results of operations because it helps us and our investors evaluate the ongoing operating performance of our properties after removing the impact of the Company's capital structure (primarily interest expense) and its asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization). Management also believes the use of EBITDA facilitates comparisons between us and other lodging REITs, hotel owners who are not REITs and other capital-intensive companies. Management uses EBITDA to evaluate property-level results and as one measure in determining the value of acquisitions and dispositions and, like FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share, is widely used by management in the annual budget process and for our compensation programs.

EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre

We present EBITDA*re* in accordance with NAREIT guidelines, as defined in its September 2017 white paper "Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization for Real Estate," to provide an additional performance measure to facilitate the evaluation and comparison of the Company's results with other REITs. NAREIT defines EBITDA*re* as net income (calculated in accordance with GAAP) excluding interest expense, income tax, depreciation and amortization, gains or losses on disposition of depreciated property (including gains or losses on change of control), impairment write-downs of depreciated property and of investments in unconsolidated affiliates caused by a decrease in value of depreciated property in the affiliate, and adjustments to reflect the entity's pro rata share of EBITDA*re* of unconsolidated affiliates.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES (CONTINUED)

We make additional adjustments to EBITDA*re* when evaluating our performance because we believe that the exclusion of certain additional items described below provides useful supplemental information to investors regarding our ongoing operating performance. We believe that the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA*re*, when combined with the primary GAAP presentation of net income, is beneficial to an investor's understanding of our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA*re* also is similar to the measure used to calculate certain credit ratios for our credit facility and senior notes. We adjust EBITDA*re* for the following items, which may occur in any period, and refer to this measure as Adjusted EBITDA*re*:

- Property Insurance Gains We exclude the effect of property insurance gains reflected in our consolidated statements of operations because we believe that including them in Adjusted EBITDA*re* is not consistent with reflecting the ongoing performance of our assets. In addition, property insurance gains could be less important to investors given that the depreciated asset book value written off in connection with the calculation of the property insurance gain often does not reflect the market value of real estate assets.
- Acquisition Costs Under GAAP, costs associated with completed property acquisitions that are considered business combinations are expensed in the year incurred. We
 exclude the effect of these costs because we believe they are not reflective of the ongoing performance of the Company.
- Litigation Gains and Losses We exclude the effect of gains or losses associated with litigation recorded under GAAP that we consider outside the ordinary course of business. We believe that including these items is not consistent with our ongoing operating performance.

In unusual circumstances, we also may adjust EBITDA re for gains or losses that management believes are not representative of the Company's current operating performance. The last such adjustment was a 2013 exclusion of a gain from an eminent domain claim.

In the past, we presented Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure of our performance. That metric is calculated in a similar manner as Adjusted EBITDA presented here, with the exception of the adjustment for non-controlling partners' pro rata share of Adjusted EBITDA, which totaled \$2 million and \$7 million for the third quarter and year-to-date of 2017, respectively. The rationale for including 100% of EBITDA for consolidated affiliates with non-controlling interests is that the full amount of any debt of these affiliates is reported in our consolidated balance sheet and therefore metrics using total debt to EBITDA for provide a better understanding of the Company's leverage. This is also consistent with NAREIT's definition of EBITDA for.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES (CONTINUED)

Limitations on the Use of NAREIT FFO per Diluted Share, Adjusted FFO per Diluted Share, EBITDA, EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre

We calculate NAREIT FFO per diluted share in accordance with standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to measures calculated by other companies who do not use the NAREIT definition of FFO or do not calculate FFO per diluted share in accordance with NAREIT guidance. In addition, although FFO per diluted share is a useful measure when comparing our results to other REITs, it may not be helpful to investors when comparing us to non-REITs. We also calculate Adjusted FFO per diluted share, which is not in accordance with NAREIT guidance and may not be comparable to measures calculated by other companies. This information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating profit, cash from operations or any other operating performance measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. Cash expenditures for various long-term assets (such as renewal and replacement capital expenditures), interest expense (for EBITDA, EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre purposes only) and other items have been and will be made and are not reflected in the EBITDA, EBITDAre, Adjusted EBITDAre, NAREIT FFO per diluted share and Adjusted FFO per diluted share presentations. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of our operating performance. Our consolidated statement of operations and cash flows include interest expense, capital expenditures, and other excluded items, all of which should be considered when evaluating our performance, as well as the usefulness of our non-GAAP financial measures. Additionally, NAREIT FFO per diluted share, Adjusted FFO per diluted share, EBITDAre and Adjusted EBITDAre should not be considered as a measure of our liquidity or indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. In addition, NAREIT FFO per diluted share and Adjusted FFO per diluted share do not measure, and should not be used as a meas

Similarly, EBITDA*re*, Adjusted EBITDA*re*, NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share include adjustments for the pro rata share of our equity investments and NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share include adjustments for the pro rata share of non-controlling partners in consolidated partnerships. Our equity investments consist of interests ranging from 11% to 67% in seven domestic and international partnerships that own a total of 21 properties and a vacation ownership development. Due to the voting rights of the outside owners, we do not control and, therefore, do not consolidate these entities. The non-controlling partners in consolidated partnerships primarily consist of the approximate 1% interest in Host LP held by outside partners and a 15% interest held by outside partners in a partnership owning one hotel for which we do control the entity and, therefore, consolidate its operations. These pro rata results for NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share, EBITDA*re* and Adjusted EBITDA*re* were calculated as set forth in the definitions above. Readers should be cautioned that the pro rata results presented in these measures for consolidated partnerships (for NAREIT FFO and Adjusted FFO per diluted share) and equity investments may not accurately depict the legal and economic implications of our investments in these entities.

Comparable Hotel Property Level Operating Results

We present certain operating results for our hotels, such as hotel revenues, expenses, food and beverage profit, and EBITDA (and the related margins), on a comparable hotel, or "same store," basis as supplemental information for investors. Our comparable hotel results present operating results for hotels owned during the entirety of the periods being compared without giving effect to any acquisitions or dispositions, significant property damage or large scale capital improvements incurred during these periods. We present comparable hotel EBITDA to help us and our investors evaluate the ongoing operating performance of our comparable properties after removing the impact of the Company's capital structure (primarily interest expense), and its asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization). Corporate-level costs and expenses are also removed to arrive at property-level results. We believe these property-level results provide investors with supplemental information into the ongoing operating performance of our comparable hotels. Comparable hotel results are presented both by location and for the Company's comparable properties in the aggregate. We eliminate depreciation and amortization because, even though depreciation and amortization are property-level expenses, these non-cash expenses, which are based on historical cost accounting for real estate assets, implicitly assume that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. As noted earlier, because real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many real estate industry investors have considered presentation of historical cost accounting for operating results to be insufficient by themselves.

Host Hotels & Resorts

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES (CONTINUED)

As a result of the elimination of corporate-level costs and expenses and depreciation and amortization, the comparable hotel operating results we present do not represent our total revenues, expenses, operating profit or net income and should not be used to evaluate our performance as a whole. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of our operating performance. Our consolidated statements of operations include such amounts, all of which should be considered by investors when evaluating our performance.

We present these hotel operating results on a comparable hotel basis because we believe that doing so provides investors and management with useful information for evaluating the period-to-period performance of our hotels and facilitates comparisons with other hotel REITs and hotel owners. In particular, these measures assist management and investors in distinguishing whether increases or decreases in revenues and/or expenses are due to growth or decline of operations at comparable hotels (which represent the vast majority of our portfolio) or from other factors, such as the effect of acquisitions or dispositions. While management believes that presentation of comparable hotel results is a "same store" supplemental measure that provides useful information in evaluating our ongoing performance, this measure is not used to allocate resources or to assess the operating performance of each of these hotels, as these decisions are based on data for individual hotels and are not based on comparable hotel results. For these reasons, we believe that comparable hotel operating results, when combined with the presentation of GAAP operating profit, revenues and expenses, provide useful information to investors and management.

Credit Facility Leverage and Fixed Charge Coverage Ratios and Senior Notes EBITDA to Interest Coverage Ratio

Host's credit facility and senior notes indenture contain certain financial covenants, including allowable leverage, fixed charge coverage and EBITDA to interest coverage ratios, which are determined using EBITDA as calculated under the terms of our credit facility ("Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA") and senior notes indenture ("Adjusted Senior Notes EBITDA"). The leverage ratio is defined as net debt plus preferred equity to Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA. The fixed charge coverage ratio is defined as Adjusted Credit Facility EBITDA divided by fixed charges, which include interest expense, required debt amortization payments, cash taxes and preferred stock payments. The EBITDA to interest coverage ratio is defined as Adjusted Senior Notes EBITDA to interest expense as defined by our senior notes indenture. These calculations are based on pro forma results for the prior four fiscal quarters, giving effect to transactions such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. Under the terms of the credit facility and senior notes indenture, interest expense excludes items such as the gains and losses on the extinguishment of debt, deferred financing charges related to the senior notes or the credit facility, amortization of debt premiums or discounts that were recorded at issuance of a loan to establish its fair value and non-cash interest expense, all of which are included in interest expense on our consolidated statement of operations.

Additionally, total debt used in the calculation of our leverage ratio is based on a "net debt" concept, under which cash and cash equivalents in excess of \$100 million are deducted from our total debt balance. In this presentation we have presented our credit facility leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios and senior notes EBITDA to interest coverage ratio, which are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Management believes these financial ratios provide useful information to investors regarding our ability to access the capital markets and in particular debt financing.

Limitations on Credit Facility and Senior Notes Credit Ratios

These metrics are useful in evaluating the Company's compliance with the covenants contained in its credit facility and senior notes indentures. However, because of the various adjustments taken to the ratio components as a result of negotiations with the Company's lenders and noteholders they should not be considered as an alternative to the same ratios determined in accordance with GAAP. For instance, interest expense as calculated under the credit facility and senior notes indenture excludes the items noted above such as deferred financing charges and amortization of debt premiums or discounts, all of which are included in interest expense on our consolidated statement of operations. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of performance. In addition, because the credit facility and indenture ratio components are also based on pro forma results for the prior four fiscal quarters, giving effect to transactions such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings as if they occurred at the beginning of the period, they are not reflective of actual performance over the same period calculated in accordance with GAAP.