SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of Earliest Event Reported) December 10, 2003

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

001-14625 (Commission File Number) 53-0085950

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, MD 20817 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code (240) 744-1000 (Former Name or Former Address, if changed since last report.)

1

FORM 8-K

ITEM 5. OTHER EVENTS

Host Marriott Corporation has reflected the adoption of two new accounting standards, SFAS 144 and SFAS 145 (discussed below), in its interim financial statements on Form 10-Q for 2003. These accounting standards also require that the effect of adoption be applied retroactively to previously filed financial statements. Accordingly, the Company has adjusted the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, partners' capital and comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2002 to reflect the new standards.

The accompanying financial statements have been restated to report the application of SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." SFAS 145 requires the reclassification of extraordinary items in our statements of operations for all periods presented in comparative financial statements. These extraordinary items were reclassified to interest expense as those charges related to the write-off of deferred financing fees from the retirement of debt. The statements of operations have also been adjusted to reflect the application of SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS 144 requires the reclassification of the respective operations and any related gains and losses for dispositions that have occurred since January 1, 2002 from continuing operations to discontinued operations. The Company's results have been adjusted to reflect the disposition of five hotels from January 1, 2002 through September 12, 2003.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS

(c) Exhibits

Exhibit No.

23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP

Host Marriott Corporation and subsidiaries consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 and for the three years ended December 31, 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION

By: /s/ Larry K. Harvey

Larry K. Harvey Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller

Date: December 10, 2003

Independent Auditors' Consent

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Host Marriott Corporation:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (Nos. 333-31352, 333-93157, 333-78091, 333-61722, 333-40854, 333-51946 and 333-82444) on Form S-3 and (Nos. 333-75055, 333-28683, 333-75057, 333-75059 and 033-66622) on Form S-8 of Host Marriott Corporation of our report dated February 24, 2003, except as to notes 1, 4, 5, 8, 12, 18 and 19, which are as of December 2, 2003, with respect to the consolidated balance sheets of Host Marriott Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2002 and the related financial statement schedule, which report appears in the current report on Form 8-K of Host Marriott Corporation dated December 10, 2003.

Our report refers to the adoption by Host Marriott Corporation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets and No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections.

KPMG LLP McLean, Virginia December 10, 2003

Host Marriott Corporation

| | rage |
|---|------|
| Independent Auditor's Report | 2 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 | 6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation | S-1 |

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Host Marriott Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Host Marriott Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2002. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule of real estate and accumulated depreciation. These consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Host Marriott Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in note 1, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13 and Technical Corrections.

KPMG LLP

McLean, Virginia February 24, 2003, except as to notes 1, 4, 5, 8, 12, 18 and 19,

which are as of December 2, 2003.

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2002 and 2001 (in millions)

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|----------|----------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 7,031 | \$6,999 |
| Notes and other receivables | 53 | 54 |
| Due from managers | 82 | 141 |
| Investments in affiliates | 133 | 142 |
| Other assets | 523 | 536 |
| Restricted cash | 133 | 114 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 361 | 352 |
| | | |
| | \$8,316 | \$8,338 |
| | \$ 0,510 | Φ 0,550 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Debt | | |
| Senior notes | \$3,247 | \$3,235 |
| Mortgage debt | 2,289 | 2,261 |
| Other | 102 | 106 |
| OtilCi | 102 | 100 |
| | 5,638 | 5,602 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 3,038 | 121 |
| Other liabilities | 252 | 321 |
| Onici naomines | 232 | 321 |
| Total liabilities | 6,008 | 6,044 |
| Total naomites | 0,008 | 0,044 |
| Minority interest | 223 | 210 |
| Minority interest | 223 | 210 |
| Company-obligated mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred securities of a subsidiary whose sole assets are convertible subordinated debentures due 2026 ("Convertible Preferred Securities") | 475 | 475 |
| Shareholders' equity | 4/3 | 4/3 |
| Cumulative redeemable preferred stock (liquidation preference \$354 million), 50 million shares authorized; 14.1 million | | |
| shares issued and outstanding | 339 | 339 |
| Common stock, par value \$.01, 750 million shares authorized; 263.7 million shares and 261.4 million shares issued and | 339 | 339 |
| outstanding, respectively | 3 | 3 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,100 | 2,051 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2) | (5) |
| Accumulated deficit Accumulated deficit | (830) | (779) |
| Accumulated deficit | (830) | (119) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,610 | 1,609 |
| iotal shareholders equity | 1,010 | 1,009 |
| | \$ 8,316 | \$8,338 |
| | \$ 6,310 | \$ 0,338 |

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 (in millions, except per common share amounts)

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|---|----------|-------------|--------|
| REVENUES | | | |
| Rooms | \$2,134 | \$ 2,195 | \$ — |
| Food and beverage | 1,126 | 1,102 | Ψ |
| Other | 265 | 280 | _ |
| | | | |
| Total hotel sales | 3,525 | 3,577 | _ |
| Rental income | 101 | 136 | 1,382 |
| Other income | _ | 2 | 9 |
| | | | |
| Total revenues | 3,626 | 3,715 | 1,391 |
| OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | |
| Rooms | 525 | 534 | |
| Food and beverage | 833 | 831 | _ |
| Hotel departmental expenses | 937 | 931 | _ |
| Management fees | 160 | 176 | |
| Other property-level expenses | 297 | 293 | 274 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 366 | 361 | 325 |
| Corporate expenses | 30 | 32 | 42 |
| Other expenses | 19 | 19 | 24 |
| Lease repurchase expense | | 5 | 207 |
| Lease reparenase expense | | | |
| OPERATING PROFIT | 459 | 533 | 519 |
| Minority interest expense | (7) | (23) | (72) |
| Interest income | 20 | 36 | 40 |
| Interest expense | (466) | (460) | (436) |
| Net gains on property transactions | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates | (9) | 3 | 27 |
| Dividends on Convertible Preferred Securities | (32) | (32) | (32) |
| | | | |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (30) | 63 | 52 |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | (6) | (9) | 98 |
| INCOME (LOSS) EDOM CONTINUING ODED ATIONS | (26) | 5.1 | 150 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (36) | 54 | 150 |
| income (1088) from discontinued operations | | (3) | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | (16) | 51 | 156 |
| Less: Dividends on preferred stock | (35) | (32) | (20) |
| Add: Gain on repurchase of Convertible Preferred Securities | | — | 5 |
| | | | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$ (51) | \$ 19 | \$ 141 |
| | | | |
| BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (.27) | \$.09 | \$.61 |
| Discontinued operations | .08 | (.01) | .03 |
| | | | |
| BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE | \$ (.19) | \$.08 | \$.64 |
| | | | |
| DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (.27) | \$.09 | \$.60 |
| Discontinued operations | .08 | (.01) | .03 |
| | | | |
| DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE | \$ (.19) | \$.08 | \$.63 |
| | | | |

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 (in millions)

| Shares Outs Preferred | Common | | Preferred Comm Stock Stock | | | Pai | itional id-in pital | umulated Deficit | Comp | mulated other rehensive ne (Loss) | Ín | orehensive acome Loss) |
|-----------------------|----------|---|-------------------------------|----|---|-----|---------------------------|---------------------|------|--|----|------------------------------|
| 8.1 | 219.7 | Balance, December 31, 1999 | \$ 196 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1,844 | \$ (539) | \$ | 2 | | |
| _ | _ | Net income | _ | | _ | | <u></u> | 156 | | _ | \$ | 156 |
| _ | _ | Other comprehensive income (loss): Foreign currency translation | | | | | | _ | | (2) | | (2) |
| | | Unrealized gain on HM Services | | | _ | | _ | | | (2) | | (2) |
| | | common stock to net income | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | (1) | | (1) |
| _ | _ | Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$ | 153 |
| _ | 3.3 | Common stock issued for the comprehensive stock and employee stock purchase plans | _ | | _ | | 13 | _ | | _ | | |
| _ | 0.7 | Redemptions of limited partnership interests for common stock | _ | | _ | | 4 | _ | | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Dividends on common stock | _ | | _ | | _ | (202) | | _ | | |
| _ | | Dividends on preferred stock Redemptions of limited partnership | _ | | _ | | _ | (15) | | _ | | |
| | | interests for cash | _ | | _ | | (1) | _ | | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Repurchases of Convertible Preferred | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | (4.9) | Securities Repurchases of common stock | _ | | _ | | (40) | _ | | _ | | |
| | (1.5) | reparenases of common stock | | | | | (10) | | | | | |
| 8.1 | 218.8 | Balance, December 31, 2000 | 196 | | 2 | | 1,824 | (600) | | (1) | | |
| _ | _ | Net income | _ | | _ | | _ | 51 | | | \$ | 51 |
| _ | _ | Other comprehensive income (loss): Foreign currency translation adjustment | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | (3) | | (3) |
| _ | _ | Unrealized gain on HM Services common stock to net income | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | (1) | | (1) |
| _ | _ | Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$ | 47 |
| _ | .5 | Common stock issued for the comprehensive stock and employee stock purchase plans | _ | | _ | | 5 | _ | | _ | | |
| 6.0 | _ | Issuance of preferred stock | 143 | | _ | | _ | _ | | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Dividends on common stock | _ | | _ | | _ | (198) | | _ | | |
| _ | <u> </u> | Dividends on preferred stock Redemptions of limited partner interests | _ | | _ | | _ | (32) | | _ | | |
| | | for common stock | | | 1 | | 222 | _ | | _ | | |
| 14.1 | 261.4 | Balance, December 31, 2001 | 339 | | 3 | | 2,051 | (779) | | (5) | | |
| _ | _ | Net income (loss) | _ | | _ | | _ | (16) | | _ | \$ | (16) |
| _ | _ | Other comprehensive income (loss): Foreign currency translation adjustment | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | 4 | | 4 |
| _ | _ | Unrealized gain on HM Services common stock to net income | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | (1) | | (1) |
| _ | _ | Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$ | (13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | .5 | Common stock issued for the comprehensive stock and employee stock purchase plans | _ | | _ | | 8 | _ | | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Dividends on preferred stock | | | _ | | _ | (35) | | _ | | |
| _ | 1.8 | Redemptions of limited partner interests for common stock | _ | | _ | | 13 | _ | | _ | | |
| _ | _ | Issuance of OP Units for limited partner interests | | | | | 28 | _ | | _ | | |
| 14.1 | 263.7 | Balance, December 31, 2002 | \$ 339 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 2,100 | \$ (830) | \$ | (2) | | |

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 (in millions)

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|--|--------------|----------|--------------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (16) | \$ 51 | \$ 156 |
| Adjustments to reconcile to cash provided by operations: | \$ (10) | \$ 51 | \$ 150 |
| Non-cash effect of discontinued operations | (7) | 16 | 8 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (7) 366 | 361 | 325 |
| Income taxes | 4 | | |
| | | (15) | (128) |
| Net gains on property transactions Equity in (earnings) losses of affiliates | (5) | (6) | (6) |
| Purchase of leases | 9 | | (27) |
| | | (208) | |
| Minority interest | 7 | 23 | 72 |
| Return of working capital from Marriott International | 50 | | _ |
| Changes in other assets | 41 | 79 | 2 |
| Changes in other liabilities | (60) | <u> </u> | 159 |
| Cash provided by operations | 389 | 298 | 561 |
| | | | |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from sales of assets | _ | 60 | |
| Acquisitions | (117) | (63) | (40) |
| Capital expenditures: | | | |
| Renewals and replacements | (146) | (206) | (230) |
| Development | (11) | (56) | (108) |
| Other investments | (23) | (24) | (41) |
| Return of escrow funds from Marriott International | 75 | _ | _ |
| Notes receivable collections, net | _ | 10 | 6 |
| Affiliate notes receivable issuances and collections, net | _ | _ | (39) |
| Other | <u> </u> | _ | 4 |
| | | | |
| Cash used in investing activities | (222) | (279) | (448) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Issuances of debt | <u> </u> | 980 | 556 |
| Financing costs | (8) | (12) | (16) |
| Debt prepayments | (13) | (703) | (278) |
| Scheduled principal repayments | (63) | (55) | (39) |
| Issuances of common stock | (03) | 3 | 4 |
| Issuances of cumulative redeemable preferred stock, net | 1 | 143 | _ |
| Dividends on common stock | | (256) | (190) |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (35) | (28) | (190) |
| Distributions to minority interests | (18) | (59) | (78) |
| Redemption of outside operating partnership interests for cash | (18) | (39) | (3) |
| Repurchases of common stock | | | |
| Repurchases of Convertible Preferred Securities | | _ | (44) (15) |
| Purchase of interest rate cap | | | (13) |
| - | (3) | | |
| Restricted cash | (19) | 7 | 45 |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (158) | 20 | (77) |
| INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 9 | 39 | 36 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year | 352 | 313 | 277 |
| | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year | \$ 361 | \$ 352 | \$ 313 |

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 (in millions)

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

During 2002, 2001 and 2000, we issued 2.3 million, 42.6 million and 4.0 million shares of common stock, respectively. Of the shares issued, approximately 1.8 million, 42.1 million and .7 million shares of common stock were issued for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, upon the conversion of operating partnership units, or OP Units, of Host Marriott, L.P. held by minority partners, which reduced the minority interest liability by \$13 million, \$223 million, and \$4 million, respectively.

Of the 2.3 million shares of common stock issued during 2002, 1.1 million shares were issued to acquire minority interests in the partnership owning the San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina. This transaction resulted in an increase of \$10.5 million to property and equipment and equity to reflect the fair value of the interests acquired. During April 2002 (in a separate transaction), our ownership percentage in the San Diego partnership increased to 90% when the minority partners in the San Diego partnership exchanged their interests for approximately 6.9 million OP Units. The transaction resulted in an increase of \$56.1 million in property and equipment and a corresponding increase in minority interest liability to reflect the fair value of the interests acquired.

During January 2002, we transferred the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion to the mortgage lender. We recorded the difference between the debt extinguished, the deferred incentive management fees forgiven and the fair value of the assets surrendered of \$22 million, net of tax expense of \$9 million as discontinued operations.

On June 14, 2002, we acquired the Boston Marriott Copley Place in Boston, Massachusetts for a purchase price of \$214 million, including the assumption of \$97 million in mortgage debt.

1. Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Host Marriott Corporation, a Maryland corporation, operating through an umbrella partnership structure, is primarily the owner of hotel properties. We operate as a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, with our operations conducted solely through an operating partnership, Host Marriott, L.P., or Host LP, or the operating partnership, and its subsidiaries. We are the sole general partner of the operating partnership and as of December 31, 2002, own approximately 90% of the partnership interests in the operating partnership, which are referred to as OP Units.

The Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999 or the REIT Modernization Act amended the tax laws to permit REITs, effective January 1, 2001, to lease hotels to a subsidiary that qualifies as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Prior to the REIT Modernization Act, we leased substantially all of our hotels to subsidiaries of Crestline Capital Corporation, or Crestline, and certain other lessees as further discussed at Note 9. However, with the inception of the REIT Modernization Act, a wholly owned subsidiary of Host LP, HMT Lessee LLC or the TRS, which has elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes, acquired certain subsidiaries owning the leasehold interests with respect to 120 of our full-service hotels from Crestline and Wyndham International, Inc. and affiliates, or Wyndham. As a result of the acquisitions, our operating results reflect property-level revenues and expenses rather than rental income from lessees with respect to those 120 full-service properties from the effective dates of the acquisitions.

As of December 31, 2002, we owned, or had controlling interests in, 123 upper-upscale and luxury, full-service hotel lodging properties generally located throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico operated primarily under the Marriott, Ritz-Carlton, Four Seasons, Hilton, Hyatt and Swissôtel brand names. Of these properties, 110 are managed or franchised by Marriott International, Inc. and its subsidiaries or Marriott International.

Basis of Presentation

On December 15, 1998, shareholders of Host Marriott Corporation, a Delaware corporation and our predecessor, approved a plan to reorganize its business operations as a REIT through the spin-off of its senior living business and the contribution of its hotels and certain other assets and liabilities to a newly formed Delaware limited partnership, Host LP. The contribution of hotels and certain assets and liabilities to the operating partnership in exchange for OP Units was accounted for at their historical basis. We refer to this reorganization as the "REIT conversion." In these consolidated financial statements, "We" or "Host Marriott" refers to Host Marriott Corporation and our consolidated subsidiaries, both before and after the REIT conversion.

Principles of Consolidation

We consolidate entities (in the absence of other factors determining control) when we own over 50% of the voting shares of another company or, in the case of partnership investments, when we own a majority of the general partnership interest. The control factors we consider include the ability of minority shareholders or other partners to participate in or block management decisions. Additionally, if we determine that we are an owner in a variable interest entity within the meaning of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" and that our variable interest will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses if they occur, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns if they occur, or both, then we will consolidate the entity. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenues

Our consolidated results of operations for 2001 and 2002 primarily reflect revenues and expenses of our hotels. Our 2000 revenues primarily represent the rental income from leased hotels. For our hotels leased to third parties, rental income is recorded when due and is the greater of base rent or percentage rent, as defined. Percentage rent received pursuant to the leases, but not recognized as revenue until all contingencies have been met, is deferred, and included on the balance sheet in other liabilities. For fiscal year 2000, all our hotels were leased to third parties and all deferred income was earned.

Accounting for the Impact of the September 11, 2001 Terrorist Acts

We were entitled to receive business interruption insurance as a result of the discontinuation of operations of the World Trade Center Marriott and the New York Marriott Financial Center, both of which were affected by the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. Income resulting from business interruption insurance was not recognized until the amounts paid to us were non-refundable. We also have property insurance for these hotels and we received insurance proceeds sufficient to cover all or a substantial portion of the costs at both hotels. The net book value of the World Trade Center Marriott has been written off and a corresponding receivable recorded for the property insurance proceeds due under the terms of the insurance contract.

From September 11, 2001 to December 31, 2002, we received \$35 million in business interruption insurance with respect to the two hotels. These proceeds were offset by \$12 million of operating expenses for the same period, primarily representing net operating losses at the Marriott Financial Center, ground lease payments at the World Trade Center Marriott and severance and other payroll costs. As a result of the resolution of certain contingencies related to a portion of the insurance recoveries, we recognized \$17 million of income associated with business interruption insurance on these two properties during 2002. The Marriott Financial Center was reopened on January 7, 2002. We have received approximately \$6 million in insurance proceeds for property damage relating to the two hotels from September 11, 2001 to December 31, 2002.

On December 2, 2003, we announced the settlement of the outstanding matters relating to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 affecting the New York World Trade Center Marriott and New York Marriott Financial Center hotels with the hotels' insurer and hotels' manager as well as the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. As a result, we received net insurance proceeds of approximately \$370 million. A portion of the proceeds was used to repay the \$65 million debt incurred upon acquisition of the World Trade Center Marriott hotel.

Under our agreement with the Port Authority, we surrendered the site where the hotel was located and terminated a ground lease that would have run until 2094. As a result, we are no longer obligated to rebuild at the site, but received a right of first offer through 2023 with respect to hotel development on the World Trade Center site.

As a result of this settlement, we will record in discontinued operations a one-time gain of approximately \$210 million, as well as approximately \$17 million of 2003 net business interruption proceeds both of which will be reflected in net income in our 2003 financial statements. The \$210 million gain is comprised of approximately \$155 million in post-2003 business interruption proceeds and approximately \$55 million from the disposition of the World Trade Center hotel

Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders as adjusted for potentially dilutive securities, by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus other potentially dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include shares granted under comprehensive stock plans,

those common and preferred OP Units held by minority partners, other minority interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interests to common OP Units and the Convertible Preferred Securities. No effect is shown for any securities that are anti-dilutive.

Fiscal Year Ended December 31.

| | 2002 | | | | 2001 | | 2000 | | | |
|--|---------------|--------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------|--|
| | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | |
| | | | | (in million | s, except per sl | nare amounts) | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (16) | 263.0 | \$ (.06) | \$ 51 | 248.1 | \$.21 | \$ 156 | 220.8 | \$.71 | |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (35) | _ | (.13) | (32) | _ | (.13) | (20) | _ | (.09) | |
| Gain on repurchase of Convertible Preferred Securities | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 5 | _ | .02 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings (loss) available to | | | | | | | | | | |
| common shareholders per share | (51) | 263.0 | (.19) | 19 | 248.1 | .08 | 141 | 220.8 | .64 | |
| Assuming distribution of common shares granted under the comprehensive stock plan, less shares assumed purchased at average market price | | | | | 5.1 | | | 4.2 | (01) | |
| | _ | _ | | _ | 3.1 | _ | <u> </u> | 4.2 | (.01) | |
| Assuming conversion of preferred OP Units | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.6 | _ | |
| | - | | | | | | - | | | |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share | \$ (51) | 263.0 | \$ (.19) | \$ 19 | 253.2 | \$.08 | \$ 141 | 225.6 | \$.63 | |
| | . (0-) | | . (>) | | | | | | | |

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. For newly developed properties, cost includes interest, ground rent and real estate taxes incurred during development and construction. Replacements and improvements and capital leases are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally 40 years for buildings and three to ten years for furniture and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the useful lives of the related assets.

Gains on sales of properties are recognized at the time of sale or deferred to the extent required by GAAP. Deferred gains are recognized as income in subsequent periods as conditions requiring deferral are satisfied or expire without further cost to us.

We assess impairment of our real estate properties based on whether it is probable that estimated undiscounted future cash flows from each individual property are less than its net book value. If a property is impaired, a loss is recorded for the difference between the fair value and net book value of the hotel.

We will classify a hotel as held for sale in the period in which we have made the decision to dispose of the hotel, a binding agreement to purchase the property has been signed under which the buyer has committed a significant amount of nonrefundable cash and no significant financing contingencies exist which could cause the transaction not to be completed in a timely manner. If this criteria is met, we will record an impairment loss if the fair value less costs to sell is lower than the carrying amount of the hotel and will cease incurring depreciation. We will classify the loss, together with operating results, as discontinued operations on our statement of operations and classify the assets and related liabilities as held-for-sale on the balance sheet.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity of 90 days or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes reserves for debt service, real estate taxes, insurance, furniture and fixtures, as well as cash collateral and excess cash flow deposits due to lender restrictions and management agreement provisions.

Minority Interest

The percentage of the operating partnership owned by third parties, which was 10% as of December 31, 2002 and 8% as of December 31, 2001, is presented as minority interest in the consolidated balance sheets and was \$131 million and \$102 million as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Minority interest also includes third party partnership interests in consolidated investments of the operating partnership of \$92 million and \$108 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Deferred Charges

Financing costs related to long-term debt are deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the debt.

Foreign Currency Translation

Our foreign operations consist of four properties located in Canada and two properties located in Mexico. The operations of these properties are maintained in the local currency and then translated to U.S. dollars using the average exchange rates for the period. The assets and liabilities of the properties are translated to U.S. dollars using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. The resulting translation adjustments are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the balance sheet are as follows (in millions):

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|--------|--------|
| | | |
| Unrealized gain on HM Services common stock | \$ 5 | \$ 6 |
| Foreign currency translation | (7) | (11) |
| | | |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (2) | \$ (5) |
| | | |

Derivative Instruments

We have an interest rate swap and two interest rate caps which are considered derivative instruments. If the requirements for hedge accounting are met, amounts paid or received under these agreements are recognized over the life of the agreements as adjustments to interest expense, and the fair value of the derivatives is recorded on the accompanying balance sheet, with offsetting adjustments or charges recorded to the underlying debt. Otherwise the instruments are marked to market, and the gains and losses from the changes in the market value of the contracts are recorded in other income. Upon early termination of an interest rate swap or cap, gains or losses are deferred and amortized as adjustments to interest expense of the related debt over the remaining period covered by the terminated swap or cap.

We are also subject to exposure from fluctuations in foreign currencies relating to the four properties operated in Canada and two properties in Mexico. We have purchased currency forward contracts related to the Canadian properties, which are considered derivative instruments. Gains and losses on contracts that meet the requirements for hedge accounting are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with offsetting changes recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. Contracts that do not meet these requirements are marked to market and included in other income each period.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents. We maintain cash and cash equivalents with various high credit-quality financial institutions. We perform periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of these financial institutions and limit the amount of credit exposure with any one institution.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2002, we maintained two stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 10. Prior to 2002, we accounted for those plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost relating to the employee stock option plan is reflected in 2001 and 2000 net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. In addition, no stock-based employee compensation cost relating to the employee stock purchase plan is reflected in 2001 and 2000 net income as the plan was considered non-compensatory under APB 25. Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2002. Awards under our employee stock option plan generally vest over four years. Therefore, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation included in the determination of net income for 2002 is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to these awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123. The adoption of SFAS No. 123 did not change the calculation of stock-based employee compensation costs for shares granted under our deferred stock and restricted stock plans. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method had been applied to all of our outstanding and unvested awards in each period.

| | Year | (in millions, except per share amounts) (16) \$ 51 \$ 5 7 | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | | |
| | (in | | | | |
| Net income (loss), as reported | \$ (16) | \$ 51 | \$ 156 | | |
| Add: Deferred stock and restricted stock compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects | 5 | 7 | 11 | | |
| Deducted: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of | | | | | |
| related tax effects | (6) | (8) | (12) | | |
| | | | | | |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | \$ (17) | \$ 50 | \$ 155 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per share | | | | | |
| Basic—as reported | \$ (.19) | \$.08 | \$.64 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Basic—pro forma | \$ (.20) | \$.07 | \$.63 | | |
| · | | | | | |
| Diluted—as reported | \$ (.19) | \$.08 | \$.63 | | |
| • | | | | | |
| Diluted—pro forma | \$ (.20) | \$.07 | \$.62 | | |
| r | + (.20) | - .07 | 02 | | |

Application of New Accounting Standards

The FASB recently issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity," or SFAS 150. This statement requires issuers to classify as liabilities (or assets in some circumstances) three classes of freestanding financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer. Previously, many such instruments had been classified as equity. A freestanding financial instrument is an instrument that is entered into separately and apart from any of the entity's other financial instruments or equity transactions, or that is entered into in conjunction with some other transaction and is legally detachable and separately exercisable, such as certain put and call options. These provisions are effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. On October 8, 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance with respect to SFAS 150 that issuers whose financial statements include consolidated ventures with finite lives should reflect any minority interests in such consolidated ventures on the issuer's financial statements as a liability on the issuer's financial statements presented at its fair value as of the applicable balance sheet date. Under SFAS 150, any fluctuation in the fair value of the minority interest from period to period would be recorded on the issuers financial statements as interest expense for the change in the fair value of the liability. As a result of applying SFAS 150 in accordance with this guidance from the FASB, in our third quarter 2003 Form 10-Q, we recorded a loss from a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$24 million. Additionally, we included in our liabilities as of September 12, 2003, minority interests with a fair value of \$112 million.

On November 7, 2003, the FASB issued a FASB Staff Position (FSP) 150-3 indefinitely deferring the application of a portion of SFAS 150 with respect to minority interests in consolidated ventures entered into prior to November 5, 2003, effectively reversing its guidance of October 8, 2003. In accordance with the FSP 150-3, in the fourth quarter of 2003 we will record a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle reversing the impact of our adoption of SFAS 150 with respect to consolidated ventures with finite lives.

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements." This interpretation requires an existing unconsolidated variable interest entity to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary if the entity does not effectively disperse risk among all parties involved or if other parties do not have significant capital to finance activities without subordinated financial support from the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party that absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of its expected residual returns, or both as a result of holding variable interests, which are the ownership, contractual, or other pecuniary interests in an entity. This

interpretation is effective immediately for variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003 and effective no later than December 31, 2003 for interests in variable interest entities that were acquired prior to February 1, 2003.

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others", an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. This interpretation outlines disclosure requirements in a guarantor's financial statements relating to any obligations under guarantees for which it may have potential risk or liability, as well as clarifies a guarantor's requirement to recognize a liability for the fair value, at the inception of the guarantee, of an obligation under that guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of this interpretation are effective for guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002 and the disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. As of September 12, 2003, we have not provided any guarantees that would require recognition as liabilities under this interpretation. We have disclosed guarantees in accordance with this interpretation in Note 18.

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." The standard requires the recognition of costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. This statement is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. We do not expect the statement to have a significant impact on our financial position or operating results.

In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." The provisions of this standard, which primarily relate to the rescission of Statement 4, eliminate the requirement that gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt be classified as extraordinary items unless it can be considered unusual in nature and infrequent in occurrence. These provisions are effective in fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002. We have implemented the provisions of SFAS No. 145 beginning in fiscal year 2003. We have reclassified gains or losses from debt extinguishments in all periods presented in these financial statements as a component of interest expense within income (loss) from continuing operations or discontinued operations as applicable.

In October 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" which replaces SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets" to determine when a long-lived asset should be classified as held for sale, among other things. Those criteria specify that the asset must be available for immediate sale in its present condition, subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets, and the sale of the asset must be probable, and its transfer expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. SFAS No. 144 requires that for dispositions after the statement's effective date, gains and losses from the dispositions of investment properties and the properties' historical operating results will be treated as discontinued operations, and therefore, be classified separately from income from continuing operations. Prior period operating results of disposed assets previously included in continuing operations must be reclassified as discontinued operations for all periods presented, although net income is not affected. As a result of the transfer of the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion to a lender in 2002, and the disposition of four hotels to date in 2003, we have restated the statement of operations for all periods presented to reflect the operations of these dispositions as discontinued operations. See Note 12.

2. Lease Repurchase

Effective January 1, 2001, we acquired from Crestline the entities owning the leasehold interests with respect to 116 full-service hotel properties owned by us for \$208 million in cash, including \$6 million of legal and professional fees and transfer taxes, effectively terminating the leases for financial reporting purposes. In connection therewith, during the fourth quarter of 2000 we recorded a non-recurring, pre-tax loss of \$207 million, net of a tax benefit of \$82 million, which we recognized as a deferred tax asset because, for income tax purposes, the acquisition is recognized as an asset that will be amortized over the next six years. The transaction was consummated effective January 1, 2001.

On June 16, 2001, we consummated another agreement with Crestline for the acquisition of their lease agreement with respect to San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina for \$4.5 million. We acquired the lease by purchasing the lessee entity, effectively terminating the lease for financial reporting purposes.

On June 28, 2001, we consummated an agreement to purchase substantially all the minority limited partnership interests held by Wyndham with respect to seven full-service hotels for \$60 million. As part of this acquisition, we acquired the leases from Wyndham with respect to the San Diego Marriott Mission Valley, the Minneapolis Marriott Southwest, and the Albany Marriott, effectively terminating the leases for financial reporting purposes. For purposes of purchase accounting, no amounts were attributed to the leases themselves, as the leases had no value. The entire purchase price was allocated to the minority limited partner interests purchased.

3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following as of December 31:

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|--|----------|----------|
| | (in mil | lions) |
| Land and land improvements | \$ 695 | \$ 696 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 7,440 | 7,039 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,020 | 944 |
| Construction in progress | 38 | 149 |
| | | |
| | 9,193 | 8,828 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (2,162) | (1,829) |
| | | |
| | \$ 7,031 | \$ 6,999 |
| | | |

Interest cost capitalized in connection with our development and construction activities totaled \$2 million in 2002, \$8 million in 2001 and \$8 million in 2000.

During 2001, we recorded impairment charges of \$13 million related to three properties to reduce their carrying value to estimated fair value. One of the hotels was sold in December 2001.

4. Investments in Affiliates

We own certain investments which we do not consolidate and, accordingly, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The debt of these affiliates is non-recourse to, and not guaranteed by, us. Investments in affiliates consist of the following as of December 31, 2002 and 2001:

As of December 31, 2002

| | Ownership Interests | our stment | | Debt | Assets |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|-------------------------------|
| | | (in mill | lions) | | |
| CBM Joint Venture LLC | 50% | \$ 76 | \$ | 911 | 120 Courtyard hotels |
| JWDC Limited Partnership | 55% | 37 | | 95 | JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. |
| Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P. | 49% | 20 | | _ | 36-hole golf course |
| | | | _ | | |
| Total | | \$ 133 | \$ | 1,006 | |

As of December 31, 2001

| | Ownership Interests | our stment | Debt | | | | Assets |
|--|------------------------|---------------|----------|-------|-------------------------------|--|--------|
| | | | illions) | | | | |
| CBM Joint Venture LLC | 50% | \$ 87 | \$ | 936 | 120 Courtyard hotels | | |
| JWDC Limited Partnership | 55% | 36 | | 95 | JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. | | |
| Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P | 49% | 18 | | _ | 36-hole golf course | | |
| Marriott Residence Inn Limited Partnership | 1% | 0.6 | | 93 | 15 Residence Inns | | |
| Marriott Residence Inn II Limited Partnership | 1% | 0.4 | | 132 | 23 Residence Inns | | |
| Mutual Benefit/Marriott Hotel Associates-I, L.P. | 1% | _ | | 30 | Richmond Marriott | | |
| | | | _ | | | | |
| Total | | \$ 142 | \$ | 1,286 | | | |

During December 2000, CBM Joint Venture LLC, a joint venture formed by Rockledge Hotel Properties, Inc. ("Rockledge") and Marriott International acquired the partnership interests in two partnerships that collectively own 120 limited service hotels for approximately \$372 million plus interest and legal fees, of which Rockledge paid approximately \$90 million. Previously, both partnerships were operated by Rockledge as sole general partner. The joint venture acquired the two partnerships by acquiring partnership units pursuant to a tender offer for such units followed by a merger of the two partnerships with and into subsidiaries of the joint venture. The joint venture financed the acquisition with mezzanine indebtedness with the face amount of \$200 million provided by Marriott International and with cash and other assets contributed by Rockledge and Marriott International, including Rockledge's existing general partner and limited partner interests in the partnerships. The joint venture has approximately \$911 million of debt, all of which is non-recourse to, and not guaranteed by, us. Each of the joint venture's 120 hotels is operated by Marriott International pursuant to long-term management agreements. Rockledge, currently a consolidated, wholly owned subsidiary of ours, owns a 50% non-controlling interest in the joint venture and records the investment using the equity method.

On May 16, 2000, we acquired a non-controlling interest in the JWDC Limited Partnership for \$40 million, which owns the JW Marriott Hotel, a 772-room hotel in Washington, D.C. Between January 15, 2003 and June 30, 2003, Host LP has the option to purchase the 44.4% limited partner interest of one of the partners for the fair value of the interest (approximately \$3 million). Host LP also has the option to purchase our co-general partner's

1% general partner interest for the lesser of \$375,000 or fair value of the interest in the second quarter of 2003.

As a result of the consolidation of Rockledge, effective March 24, 2001, our investments include a 49% interest in Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P., which owns the 36-hole golf course surrounding The Ritz-Carlton, Naples Golf Resort.

We are currently a .1% general partner of Camelback Inn Associates Limited Partnership, which owns the Camelback Inn Marriott Resort, Golf Club and Spa. We do not have any guarantees or commitments in relation to this partnership, and all of the debt for this partnership is non-recourse to us.

In March 2002, we sold our 1% general partnership interest in the Marriott Residence Inn Limited Partnership, which owns 15 Residence Inn hotels. Additionally, in August 2002, we sold our 1% general partnership interest in the Marriott Residence Inn II Limited Partnership, which owns 23 Residence Inn hotels. Our proceeds from the sale of these partnerships were not material.

In July 2002, we converted our 1% general partnership interest in the Mutual Benefit Marriott Hotel Associates-I Limited Partnership (a partnership which owned the Richmond Marriott hotel) to a 1% limited partnership interest in this partnership. Mutual Benefit Marriott Hotel Associates-I Limited Partnership is a 5% owner of a new partnership that owns the hotel.

We own minority interests in two partnerships. One owns the Budapest Marriott, and the other owns the Des Moines Marriott. We also own minority preferred shares in STSN, Inc., a technology service provider company. As a result of operating losses at these investments, we wrote the carrying value down to zero prior to January 1, 2000. Further, we have not received any distributions from these investments. We do not have any guarantees or commitments in relation to any of these interests.

Our pre-tax income from affiliates includes the following:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|--|--------|---------------|------|
| | | | |
| | | (in millions) | |
| Interest income from loans to affiliates | \$ | \$ 4 | \$10 |
| Equity in income (losses) | (9) | 3 | 27 |
| | | | |
| | \$ (9) | \$ 7 | \$37 |
| | | | |

Interest income in 2001 and 2000 relates to loans to Rockledge prior to the consolidation of Rockledge on March 24, 2001.

Combined summarized balance sheet information for our affiliates follows as of December 31:

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | (in m | nillions) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$1,185 | \$1,497 |
| Other assets | 138 | 199 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$1,323 | \$1,696 |
| | | |
| Debt | \$1,006 | \$1,286 |
| Other liabilities | 66 | 82 |
| Equity | 251 | 328 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,323 | \$1,696 |
| | | |

Combined summarized operating results for our affiliates follows for the years ended December 31:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|--|-------------|---------------|--------|
| | | (in millions) | |
| Hotel revenues | \$ 525 | \$ 653 | \$ 861 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Expenses | (472) | (557) | (725) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (71) | (90) | (108) |
| | | | |
| Income (loss) before extraordinary items | (18) | 6 | 28 |
| Extraordinary items | <u> </u> | _ | 68 |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (18) | \$ 6 | \$ 96 |
| | | | |

5. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|----------|-----------|
| | (in m | nillions) |
| Series A senior notes, with a rate of 77/8% due August 2005 | \$ 500 | \$ 500 |
| Series B senior notes, with a rate of 77/8% due August 2008 | 1,195 | 1,195 |
| Series C senior notes, with a rate of 8.45% due December 2008 | 499 | 499 |
| Series E senior notes, with a rate of 8 ³ /8% due February 2006 | 300 | 300 |
| Series G senior notes, with a rate of 9 1/4% due October 2007 | 250 | 250 |
| Series I senior notes, with a rate of 9 ½% due January 15, 2007 | 490 | 452 |
| Senior secured notes, with a rate of 9 ½ due May 2005 | — | 12 |
| Senior notes, with an average rate of 9 ³ / ₄ % maturing through 2012 | 13 | 27 |
| | | |
| Total senior notes | 3,247 | 3,235 |
| | | |
| Mortgage debt (non-recourse) secured by \$3.6 billion of real estate assets, with an average rate of 7.8% at December 31, 2002, | | |
| maturing through February 2023 | 2,289 | 2,261 |
| Credit facility, with a variable rate (5.5% at December 31, 2002) | _ | _ |
| Other notes, with an average rate of 7.36% at December 31, 2002, maturing through December 2017 | 89 | 90 |
| Capital lease obligations | 13 | 16 |
| | | |
| Total other | 102 | 106 |
| | | |
| Total debt | \$ 5,638 | \$5,602 |

Senior Notes

As of December 31, 2002, we have six series of senior notes outstanding all of which have been issued under the same indenture. The indenture contains certain financial covenants that, in the event of a default, would prohibit us from incurring additional indebtedness. These covenants include a consolidated coverage ratio of EBITDA-to-cash interest expense of 2.0 to 1.0. Failure to meet this covenant limits our ability to incur additional debt and make dividend payments except to the extent required to maintain our REIT status. As of December 31, 2002, we are not in compliance with the interest coverage ratio.

In December 2001, we issued \$450 million of 9 1/2% Series H senior notes due in 2007. The proceeds were used to repay the term loan and pay down the revolver portion of then-existing credit facility. On June 25, 2002,

the Series H senior notes were exchanged for \$450 million of 9 ½% Series I senior notes. The terms of the Series I senior notes are substantially identical to the terms of the Series H notes, except that the Series I senior notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, freely transferable. The December 31, 2002 and 2001 balance of the Series I senior notes includes an adjustment of \$40 million and \$2 million, respectively, for the fair market value of the related interest rate swap agreement as discussed below.

Credit Facility

Effective June 6, 2002, we entered into a new credit facility with an aggregate revolving loan commitment of \$400 million (\$300 million of which is available currently, with the balance becoming available to the extent that our leverage ratio meets a specified level). The credit facility has an initial three-year term with an option to extend for an additional year if certain conditions are met. Interest on borrowings under the credit facility are calculated based on a spread over LIBOR ranging from 2.50% to 3.75%. The rate will vary based on our leverage ratio. We are required to pay a quarterly commitment fee that will vary based on the amount of unused capacity under the credit facility. Currently, the commitment fee is .55% on an annual basis for available capacity and .10% on additional capacity. As of December 31, 2002, we have not drawn on the credit facility.

In addition to the customary affirmative and negative covenants and restrictions, the credit facility contains covenants that require us to maintain leverage ratios below specified levels as well as interest, fixed charges and unsecured interest coverage ratios above specified levels. We are currently in compliance with these covenants.

Under the terms of the senior notes indenture and the credit facility, our ability to incur indebtedness is subject to restrictions and the satisfaction of various conditions, including an EBITDA-to-cash interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0. Since the beginning of the third quarter of 2002, we have not met this interest coverage ratio incurrence test. As a result, our ability to incur indebtedness is limited to indebtedness specifically permitted under the credit facility and the senior notes indenture, such as borrowings under the credit facility and borrowings in connection with the refinancing of existing debt. Our failure to meet the interest coverage ratio also restricts our ability to pay dividends on our common and preferred equity, except to the extent necessary to maintain our status as a REIT and other distributions permitted under the senior notes indenture.

Mortgage Debt

All of our mortgage debt is recourse solely to specific assets except for fraud, misapplication of funds and other customary recourse provisions. We have thirty assets that are secured by mortgage debt. Twelve of these assets are secured by mortgage debt that contains restrictive covenants that require the mortgage servicer or lender to retain and hold in escrow the cash flow after debt service when it declines below specified operating levels. The impact of these covenants is discussed below

Eight of our hotel properties secure a \$609 million mortgage loan that is the sole asset of a trust that issued commercial mortgage pass-through certificates, which we refer to as the CMBS Loan. These hotels securing the CMBS Loan are the New York Marriott Marquis, the San Francisco Airport Hyatt Regency, the Cambridge Hyatt Regency, the Reston Hyatt Regency, and the Swissôtels in Boston, New York, Atlanta and Chicago, which we refer to as the CMBS Portfolio. The CMBS Loan contains a provision that requires the mortgage servicer to retain the excess cash from the CMBS Portfolio after payment of debt service if net cash flow after payment of taxes, insurance, ground rent and reserves for furniture, fixtures and equipment for the trailing twelve months declines below \$96 million. Annual debt service is approximately \$64 million. This provision was triggered beginning in the third quarter of 2002 and will remain in effect until the CMBS Portfolio generates the necessary minimum cash flow for two consecutive quarters, at which point, the cash which has been escrowed will be returned to us. As of December 31, 2002, approximately \$10 million of cash has been escrowed.

On July 12, 2002, we modified the terms of the mortgage debt secured by our four Canadian properties. Under the terms of this modification, we have agreed to escrow the excess cash flow from these hotels on a retroactive basis effective December 29, 2001. As of December 31, 2002, approximately \$7 million of cash had been escrowed. Because cash flow available for debt service for the twelve months ended March 28, 2003 was insufficient to achieve a specified debt-service coverage level, the \$7 million of escrowed excess cash flow was applied to the outstanding balance of this debt.

As a result of the \$7 million prepayment on the Canadian mortgage debt in April 2003, a portion of the foreign currency hedge on the debt became ineffective. Accordingly, a portion of the change in the fair market value of the forward contracts is recorded in net income (loss). In July 2003, we entered into an agreement with our lenders to modify certain covenants to this mortgage debt so that we would not be required to make additional prepayments at least through January 28, 2004. The terms of the modification required us to provide additional collateral of \$10 million in cash and pay an additional 25 basis points of interest per annum on the loan.

The CMBS Loan requires us to obtain insurance for the CMBS Portfolio from companies that maintain a minimum rating from the Standard & Poor's rating agency. During our annual insurance policy renewal, which was completed in May 2002, we procured separate terrorism insurance with carriers which did not satisfy the requirement of a minimum Standard & Poor's rating, although the insurance carriers on our all-risk portion of its coverage did have the required rating at the time we procured the coverage. Additionally, the mortgage servicer indicated that based on its interpretation of the CMBS Loan, we did not have sufficient terrorism coverage to cover the replacement value of the properties. We subsequently received a waiver from the mortgage servicer regarding the required rating covenant and the level of coverage covenants. However, the mortgage servicer has reserved its rights to revoke its waiver. Subsequent to the purchase of this insurance, the all-risk insurance carrier was downgraded by Standard & Poor's and no longer meets the rating requirement. We have notified the mortgage servicer of this downgrade and the mortgage servicer has also conditionally waived this covenant, but it continues to reserve its rights to revoke its waiver.

In addition to the CMBS Portfolio, six of our assets are secured by mortgage loans that require us to obtain insurance only from carriers that maintain a minimum rating from Standard & Poor's, A.M. Best, or other rating agencies. When we renewed our insurance policies on these assets, we secured all-risk property insurance coverage from an insurance carrier with a Standard & Poor's rating lower than required. We have notified our lenders and have received waivers regarding compliance with these covenants, although the lenders have reserved the right to enforce coverage and ratings requirements.

On September 16, 2002, we called the remaining \$12.6 million of 9 \(^{1}/2\)% senior secured notes due in May 2005 at approximately 101\% of par, making a payment of \$12.7 million and retired the notes. This transaction resulted in a minimal loss. Additionally, on June 14, 2002, in connection with our acquisition of the Boston Marriott Copley Place, we assumed \$97 million of mortgage debt. The mortgage bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.39\% and is due on June 1, 2006. Also, in January of 2002, we transferred the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion hotel to the mortgage lender. In the first quarter of 2002, we wrote off the remaining \$13 million of property and equipment, eliminated \$25 million of mortgage debt and recorded an extraordinary gain of \$6 million. See Note 12 for additional disclosure on the disposition.

In October 2001, we prepaid the remaining mortgage debt of \$16.5 million on the San Antonio Marriott Riverwalk which was scheduled to mature January 1, 2002. In August 2001, a Canadian subsidiary entered into a financing agreement pursuant to which it borrowed \$96.6 million due August 2006 at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 2.75%. The Calgary Marriott, Toronto Airport Marriott, Toronto Marriott Eaton Centre and Toronto Delta Meadowvale hotels serve as collateral. The proceeds from this financing were used to refinance existing indebtedness on these hotels as well as to repay the \$88 million mortgage note on The Ritz-Carlton, Amelia Island hotel. We recorded an extraordinary loss of \$1 million during 2001 related to this repayment.

Derivative Instruments

The mortgage loan on the Canadian properties is denominated in U.S. dollars and the functional currency of the Canadian subsidiaries is the Canadian dollar. The subsidiaries have entered into 60 separate currency forward

contracts to buy U.S. dollars at a fixed price. These forward contracts hedge the currency exposure of converting Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars on a monthly basis to cover debt service payments. These contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges of the principal payments and are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet with offsetting changes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. The fair value of the contracts on December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$3.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. We also purchased an interest rate cap for approximately \$0.4 million which caps the floating interest rate at 10.75% based on a notional amount (\$48.3 million). The cap represents a derivative that will be marked to market and the gains and losses from changes in the market value of the cap are to be recorded in other income or expense in the current period. The fair value of the interest rate cap was \$0.1 million at December 31, 2002.

On December 20, 2001, we entered into a 5-year interest rate swap agreement, which was effective on January 15, 2002 and matures in January 2007. Under the swap, we receive fixed-rate payments of 9.5% and pay floating-rate payments based on one-month LIBOR plus 4.5% on a \$450 million notional amount. We have designated the interest rate swap as a fair value hedge for both financial reporting and tax purposes and the amounts paid or received under the swap agreement will be recognized over the life of the agreement as an adjustment to interest expense. Changes in the fair value of the swap and the notes are reflected in the balance sheet as offsetting changes and have no income statement effect. The fair value of the interest rate swap at December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$40.3 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

On January 4, 2002, in a separate agreement with a different counterparty, we purchased for approximately \$3.5 million an interest rate cap with the same notional amount which caps the floating interest rate at 14%. The cap represents a derivative that will be marked to market and the gains and losses from changes in the market value of the cap are to be recorded in other income or expense in the current period. The fair value of the interest rate cap was \$0.8 million at December 31, 2002.

Aggregate Debt Maturities

Aggregate debt maturities at December 31, 2002 are (in millions):

| \$ 136 |
|---------|
| 82 |
| 560 |
| 739 |
| 906 |
| 3,208 |
| |
| 5,631 |
| (6) |
| 13 |
| |
| \$5,638 |
| |

Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized, was \$449 million in 2002, \$437 million in 2001 and \$417 million in 2000. Deferred financing costs, which are included in other assets, amounted to \$91 million and \$99 million, net of accumulated amortization, as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs totaled \$16 million, \$22 million, and \$15 million in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Amortization of capital leases totaled \$3 million, \$4 million and \$4 million in the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and is included in depreciation and amortization on the accompanying statements of operations.

6. Company-Obligated Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary Trust Whose Sole Assets are the Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due 2026

In December 1996, Host Marriott Financial Trust (the "Issuer"), a wholly owned subsidiary trust, issued 11 million shares of 6³/4% convertible quarterly income preferred securities (the "Convertible Preferred Securities"), with a liquidation preference of \$50 per share (for a total liquidation amount of \$550 million). The Convertible Preferred Securities represent an undivided beneficial interest in the assets of the Issuer. The payment of distributions out of moneys held by the Issuer and payments on liquidation of the Issuer or the redemption of the Convertible Preferred Securities are guaranteed by us to the extent the Issuer has funds available therefor. This guarantee, when taken together with our obligations under the indenture pursuant to which the Debentures (defined below) were issued, the Debentures, our obligations under the Trust Agreement and its obligations under the indenture to pay costs, expenses, debts and liabilities of the Issuer (other than with respect to the Convertible Preferred Securities) provides a full and unconditional guarantee of amounts due on the Convertible Preferred Securities. Proceeds from the issuance of the Convertible Preferred Securities were invested in 6³/4% Convertible Subordinated Debentures (the "Debentures") due December 2, 2026 issued by us. The Issuer exists solely to issue the Convertible Preferred Securities and its own common securities (the "Common Securities") and invest the proceeds therefrom in the Debentures, which is its sole asset. Separate financial statements of the Issuer are not presented because of our guarantee described above; our management has concluded that such financial statements are not material to investors as the Issuer is wholly owned and essentially has no independent operations.

Each of the Convertible Preferred Securities and the related debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into shares of our common stock at the rate of 3.2537 shares per Convertible Preferred Security (equivalent to a conversion price of \$15.367 per share of our common stock). The Issuer will only convert Debentures pursuant to a notice of conversion by a holder of Convertible Preferred Securities. During 2002, no shares were converted to common stock. During 2001, 400 shares were converted into common stock. The conversion ratio and price have been adjusted to reflect the impact of the distribution of shares of Crestline common stock and our common stock in connection with the REIT conversion.

Holders of the Convertible Preferred Securities are entitled to receive preferential cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate of 6 3/4% accruing from the original issue date, commencing March 1, 1997, and payable quarterly in arrears thereafter. The distribution rate and the distribution and other payment dates for the Convertible Preferred Securities will correspond to the interest rate and interest and other payment dates on the Debentures. We may defer interest payments on the Debentures for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters. If interest payments on the Debentures are deferred, so too are payments on the Convertible Preferred Securities. Under this circumstance, we will not be permitted to declare or pay any cash distributions with respect to our capital stock or debt securities that rank pari passu with or junior to the Debentures.

Subject to certain restrictions, the Convertible Preferred Securities are redeemable at the Issuer's option upon any redemption by us of the Debentures after December 2, 1999. Upon repayment at maturity or as a result of the acceleration of the Debentures upon the occurrence of a default, the Convertible Preferred Securities are subject to mandatory redemption.

In connection with consummation of the REIT conversion, the operating partnership assumed primary liability for repayment of the Debentures underlying the Convertible Preferred Securities. Upon conversion by a Convertible Preferred Securities holder, we will issue shares of our common stock, which will be delivered to such holder. Upon the issuance of such shares by us, the operating partnership will issue to us a number of OP Units equal to the number of shares of our common stock issued in exchange for the Debentures. There were no shares of Convertible Preferred Securities repurchased in 2002 and 2001.

7. Shareholders' Equity

Seven hundred fifty million shares of common stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share, are authorized, of which 263.7 million and 261.4 million were outstanding as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Fifty million shares of no par value preferred stock are authorized, with 14.1 million shares outstanding as of December 31, 2002 and 2001.

Dividend. Our policy on paying dividends is generally to distribute the minimum amount of taxable income necessary to maintain REIT status. In 2002, we were under the 2.0 to 1.0 EBITDA-to-cash interest coverage ratio required under the senior notes indenture. As a result, dividends on both common and preferred shares were restricted to the minimum amount of distributions required to maintain our REIT status. Required distributions for 2002 were satisfied in part by payment of dividends on the preferred shares in 2002. We believe that the remaining 2002 distribution requirement should largely be satisfied by the payment of dividends expected to be declared on preferred shares in the first, second and third quarters of 2003. We may, however, also need to pay a nominal common dividend in 2003 to the extent necessary to satisfy any remaining 2002 distribution requirement. During 2001, the Board of Directors declared annual dividends of \$.78 per common share.

Common Stock and OP Units. During February 2002, we filed a shelf registration statement for 1.1 million shares of our common stock to be issued in exchange for partnership interests held by the minority partners in the partnership that owns the San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina. On March 15, 2002, the minority partners sold the 1.1 million common shares to an underwriter for resale on the open market. We did not receive any proceeds as a result of these transactions. Concurrent with the issuance of the common shares, the operating partnership issued to us an equivalent number of OP Units. Also, in April 2002, we acquired an additional interest in the partnership through the issuance of 6.9 million OP Units to certain minority partners in exchange for their partnership interests in the San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina.

In conjunction with the REIT conversion, the operating partnership issued approximately 73.5 million OP Units which are convertible into cash or shares of our common stock, at our option. On May 29, May 7 and February 7, 2001, Blackstone and affiliates converted 18.2 million, 10.0 million and 12.5 million OP Units, respectively, to common shares and immediately sold them to an underwriter for sale on the open market. We received no proceeds as a result of these transactions.

Preferred Stock. We currently have three classes of preferred stock outstanding. There are 4.2 million shares of 10% Class A preferred stock, which were sold in August 1999; 4.0 million shares of 10% Class B preferred stock, which were sold in November 1999; and 6.0 million shares of 10% Class C preferred stock, which were sold in March 2001. Holders of all classes of the preferred stock are entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends at a rate of 10% per annum of the \$25.00 per share liquidation preference and are payable quarterly in arrears. After August 3, 2004, April 29, 2005 and March 27, 2006 we have the option to redeem the Class A preferred stock, Class B preferred stock and Class C preferred stock, respectively, for \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to the date of redemption. The preferred stock classes rank senior to the common stock and the authorized Series A junior participating preferred stock (discussed below), and on a parity with each other. The preferred stockholders generally have no voting rights. Accrued preferred dividends at December 31, 2002 were \$8.8 million.

Repurchase Program. In September 1999, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase, from time to time on the open market and/or in privately negotiated transactions, of up to 22 million of the outstanding shares of our common stock, OP Units, or a corresponding amount of Convertible Preferred Securities, which are convertible into a like number of common shares. Such repurchases will be made at management's discretion,

subject to market conditions, and may be suspended at any time at our discretion. No repurchases were made in 2002 or 2001. As a result of purchases made in 1999 and 2000, we have remaining capacity to repurchase approximately 6 million shares of common stock. However, due to restrictions in our senior notes indenture, we are not currently able to make such repurchases.

Shareholders Rights Plan. In November 1998, the Board of Directors adopted a shareholder rights plan (as amended December 24, 1998) under which a dividend of one preferred stock purchase right was distributed for each outstanding share of our common stock. Each right when exercisable entitles the holder to buy 1/1,000th of a share of a Series A junior participating preferred stock of ours at an exercise price of \$55 per share, subject to adjustment. The rights are exercisable 10 days after a person or group acquired beneficial ownership of at least 20%, or began a tender or exchange offer for at least 20%, of our common stock. Shares owned by a person or group on November 3, 1998 and held continuously thereafter are exempt for purposes of determining beneficial ownership under the rights plan. The rights are non-voting and expire on November 22, 2008, unless exercised or previously redeemed by us for \$.005 each. If we were involved in a merger or certain other business combinations not approved by the Board of Directors, each right entitles its holder, other than the acquiring person or group, to purchase common stock of either our company or the acquiror having a value of twice the exercise price of the right.

8. Income Taxes

In December 1998, we restructured ourselves to enable us to qualify for treatment as a REIT effective January 1, 1999, pursuant to the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In general, a corporation that elects REIT status and meets certain tax law requirements regarding distribution of its taxable income to its shareholders as prescribed by applicable tax laws and complies with certain other requirements (relating primarily to the nature of its assets and the sources of its revenues) is not subject to Federal income taxation on its operating income to the extent it distributes at least 90% (95% for tax years prior to 2001) of its taxable income. Required distributions for 2002 were satisfied in part by the payment of dividends on the preferred shares in 2002. There were no common dividends paid in 2002. We believe the remaining 2002 distribution requirement will largely be satisfied by the payment of dividends expected to be declared on preferred shares in the first, second and third quarters of 2003. We may also need to pay a nominal common dividend in 2003 to satisfy any remaining 2002 distribution requirement. In 2001, we distributed 100% of our taxable income to our preferred and common shareholders. Dividends to common shareholders in 2001 totaled \$.78 per outstanding share, \$.49 of which was taxable as ordinary income, \$.04 was taxable as a capital gain and the remaining \$.25 was a return of capital. In addition to paying federal and state taxes on any retained income, we are subject to taxes on "built-in-gains" on sales of certain assets, if any.

Additionally, our consolidated taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to Federal and state income tax. The consolidated income tax provision includes, primarily, the tax provision related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiaries and international taxes at the operating partnership, as well as each of their respective subsidiaries.

In order to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, among other things, we were required to distribute all of our accumulated earnings and profits ("E&P") to our stockholders in one or more taxable dividends prior to December 31, 1999. To accomplish the requisite distributions of accumulated E&P, we made distributions consisting of approximately 20.4 million shares of Crestline common stock valued at \$297 million, \$73 million in cash, and approximately 11.5 million shares of our common stock valued at \$138 million.

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards based on

enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including tax planning strategies and other factors.

Total deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 were as follows:

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | | |
| | (in m | illions) |
| Deferred tax assets | \$ 73 | \$ 77 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (85) | (110) |
| | | |
| Net deferred income tax liability | \$(12) | \$ (33) |
| | | |

The tax effect of each type of temporary difference and carryforward that gives rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 were as follows:

| | 2002 | 2001 |
|--|-------------|--------|
| | | |
| | (in mil | lions) |
| Investment in hotel leases | \$ 57 | \$ 69 |
| Safe harbor lease investments | (21) | (21) |
| Property and equipment | (3) | (6) |
| Investments in affiliates | (61) | (60) |
| Deferred gains | 6 | (23) |
| Other | 6 | 6 |
| Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards | 4 | 2 |
| | | |
| Net deferred income tax liability | \$(12) | \$(33) |
| | | |

The (provision) benefit for income taxes consists of:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|-------------------|---------|---------------|-------|
| | | | |
| | | (in millions) | |
| Current —Federal | \$ (16) | \$ 10 | \$ 29 |
| —State | (5) | (3) | (2) |
| —Foreign | (6) | (4) | (6) |
| | | | |
| | (27) | 3 | 21 |
| | | | |
| Deferred —Federal | 19 | (9) | 66 |
| —State | 2 | (2) | 11 |
| —Foreign | _ | (1) | _ |
| | | | |
| | 21 | (12) | 77 |
| | | | |
| | \$ (6) | \$ (9) | \$ 98 |
| | | | |

On July 20, 2001, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit affirmed a lower court ruling that allowed us to carryback a 1991 specified liability loss to the tax years 1984 and 1985 resulting in a net income tax refund of \$16 million. We recorded the refund as a benefit to the provision in 2001. In addition, we settled with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") all other outstanding Federal income tax issues for the tax years through 1998. We made net payments to the IRS of approximately \$19 million in 2001 and \$14 million in 1999 related to these settlements.

A reconciliation of the statutory Federal tax expense to our income tax expense follows:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|--|----------|---------------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | (in millions) | |
| Statutory Federal tax | \$ 8 | \$(23) | \$(22) |
| Nontaxable income (loss) of REIT | (2) | 8 | 22 |
| Built-in-gain tax | 1 | _ | 1 |
| State income taxes, net of Federal tax benefit | (3) | (5) | (2) |
| Tax benefit from acquisition of leases | <u> </u> | _ | 82 |
| Tax contingencies | (4) | 16 | 23 |
| Tax on foreign source income | (6) | (5) | (6) |
| | | | |
| Income tax expense | \$ (6) | \$ (9) | \$ 98 |
| | | | |

Cash paid for income taxes, including IRS settlements, net of refunds received, was \$2 million, \$24 million and \$30 million in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

9. Leases

Hotel Leases. During 2000, we leased our hotels (the "Leases") to one or more third party lessees (the "Lessees"), primarily subsidiaries of Crestline, due to Federal income tax law restrictions on a REIT's ability to derive revenues directly from the operation of a hotel. Effective January 1, 2001, the REIT Modernization Act amended the tax laws to permit REITs to lease hotels to a subsidiary that qualifies as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Accordingly, the TRS acquired the Crestline lessee entities owning the leasehold interests with respect to 116 of our full-service hotels during January 2001 and acquired the lessee entities owning the leasehold interest with respect to four of our full-service hotels from Crestline (one lease) and Wyndham (three leases) during June of 2001. As a result, our revenues reflect hotel level sales instead of rental income.

We are responsible for paying all of the expenses of operating the applicable hotel(s), including all personnel costs, utility costs and general repair and maintenance of the hotel(s). We also are responsible for all fees payable to the applicable manager, including base and incentive management fees, chain services payments and franchise or system fees, with respect to periods covered by the term of the Leases. We also remain liable under each management agreement.

We are responsible for paying real estate taxes, personal property taxes (to the extent we own the personal property), casualty insurance on the structures, ground lease rent payments, required expenditures for furniture, fixtures and equipment (including maintaining the furniture, fixtures and equipment reserve, to the extent such is required by the applicable management agreement) and other capital expenditures.

Hospitality Properties Trust Relationship. In a series of related transactions in 1995 and 1996, we sold and leased back 53 Courtyard properties and 18 Residence Inns to Hospitality Properties Trust ("HPT"). These leases, which are accounted for as operating leases and are included in the table below, have initial terms expiring through 2012 for the Courtyard properties and 2010 for the Residence Inn properties, and are renewable at our option. Minimum rent payments are \$51 million annually for the Courtyard properties and \$18 million annually for the Residence Inn properties, and additional rent based upon sales levels are payable to HPT under the terms of the leases.

In connection with the REIT conversion, the operating partnership sublet the HPT hotels (the "Subleases") to separate sublessee subsidiaries of Crestline (the "Sublessee"), subject to the terms of the applicable HPT lease.

The term of each Sublease expires simultaneously with the expiration of the initial term of the HPT lease to which it relates and automatically renews for the corresponding renewal term under the HPT lease, unless either the HPT lessee (the "Sublessor"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the operating partnership, elects not to renew the HPT lease, or the Sublessee elects not to renew the Sublease at the expiration of the initial term provided, however, that neither party can elect to terminate fewer than all of the Subleases in a particular pool of HPT hotels (one for Courtyard hotels and one for Residence Inn hotels). Rent payable by Crestline under the Sublease consists of the minimum rent payable under the HPT lease and an additional percentage rent payable to us. The percentage rent payable by the Sublessor is sufficient to cover the additional rent due under the HPT lease, with any excess being retained by the Sublessor. The rent payable under the Subleases is guaranteed by Crestline, up to a maximum amount of \$30 million which amount is allocated between the two pools of HPT hotels.

Other Lease Information. A number of our hotels are subject to long-term ground leases, generally with multiple renewal options, all of which are accounted for as operating leases. Certain of these leases contain provisions for the payment of contingent rentals based on a percentage of sales in excess of stipulated amounts. We also have leases on facilities used in our former restaurant business, some of which we subsequently subleased. These leases and subleases contain one or more renewal options, generally for five or 10-year periods. Our lease activities also include leases entered into by our hotels for various types of equipment, such as computer equipment, vehicles and telephone systems. The restaurant and equipment leases are accounted for as either operating or capital leases, depending on the characteristics of the particular lease arrangement.

The following table presents the future minimum annual rental commitments required under non-cancelable leases for which we are the lessee as of December 31, 2002. Minimum payments for capital leases have not been reduced by aggregate minimum sublease rentals from restaurant subleases of \$3 million, payable to us under non-cancelable subleases. Minimum payments for the operating leases have not been reduced by aggregate minimum sublease rentals from restaurants and HPT subleases of \$36 million and \$657 million, respectively, payable to us under non-cancelable subleases.

| | Capital Leases | Operating Leases |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | (in millions) |
| 2003 | \$ 5 | \$ 110 |
| 2004 | 5 | 106 |
| 2005 | 5 | 102 |
| 2006 | 1 | 99 |
| 2007 | _ | 96 |
| Thereafter | _ | 1,517 |
| | | |
| Total minimum lease payments | 16 | \$ 2,030 |
| | | |
| Less amount representing interest | (3) | |
| | | |
| Present value of minimum lease payments | \$ 13 | |
| | | |

In conjunction with the refinancing of the mortgage of the New York Marriott Marquis in 1999, we also renegotiated the terms of the ground lease. The renegotiated ground lease provides for the payment of a percentage of the hotel sales (4% in 1999 and 5% thereafter) through 2007 and an equivalent of real estate taxes on the property from 2008 through 2017, which is to be used to amortize the then existing deferred ground rent obligation of \$116 million. We have the right to purchase the land under certain circumstances for approximately \$25 million of which \$4 million has already been paid. The balance of the deferred ground rent obligation was \$63 million and \$65 million at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

We remain contingently liable on certain leases relating to divested non-lodging properties. Such contingent liabilities aggregated \$48 million at December 31, 2002. However, management considers the likelihood of any material funding related to these leases to be remote.

Rent expense consists of:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| | | | |
| | | (in millions) | |
| Minimum rentals on operating leases | \$120 | \$117 | \$118 |
| Additional rentals based on sales | 21 | 32 | 36 |
| Less: sublease rentals | (81) | (88) | (95) |
| | | | |
| | \$ 60 | \$ 61 | \$ 59 |
| | | | |

10. Employee Stock Plans

At December 31, 2002, we maintained two stock-based compensation plans, including the comprehensive stock plan (the "Comprehensive Plan"), whereby we may award to participating employees (i) options to purchase our common stock, (ii) deferred shares of our common stock and (iii) restricted shares of our common stock, and the employee stock purchase plan. At December 31, 2002, there were approximately 15 million shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance under the Comprehensive Plan.

Prior to 2002, we accounted for expense under these plans according to the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Consequently, no compensation expense was recognized for stock options issued under the Comprehensive Plan or stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan. In the third quarter of 2002, we implemented the expense recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 with retroactive application to employee stock options granted on or after January 1, 2002 only. Options granted in fiscal years prior to 2002 will continue to be accounted for using the intrinsic value method as described in APB 25. As a result of the change in accounting method, we recorded compensation expense for employee stock options granted during 2002 based on the fair value of the options at the date of grant. We also recorded a minimal amount of compensation expense for shares issued under our employee stock purchase plan. The implementation of SFAS No. 123 had no effect on the calculation of compensation expense for shares granted under deferred stock and restricted stock plans. For additional information on the effects of this change in accounting method, see Note 1.

Employee stock options may be granted to officers and key employees with an exercise price not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Non-qualified options generally expire up to 15 years after the date of grant. Most options vest ratably over each of the first four years following the date of the grant. In connection with the Marriott International distribution in 1993, we issued an equivalent number of Marriott International options and adjusted the exercise prices of its options then outstanding based on the relative trading prices of shares of the common stock of the two companies.

In connection with the Host Marriott Services ("HM Services") spin-off in 1995, outstanding options held by our current and former employees were redenominated in both our and HM Services stock and the exercise prices of the options were adjusted based on the relative trading prices of shares of the common stock of the two companies. Pursuant to the distribution agreement between us and HM Services, we originally had the right to receive up to 1.4 million shares of HM Services' common stock or an equivalent cash value subsequent to exercise of the options held by certain former and current employees of Marriott International. On August 27, 1999, Autogrill Acquisition Co., a wholly owned subsidiary of Autogrill SpA of Italy, acquired Host Marriott

Services Corporation. Since HM Services is no longer publicly traded, all future payments to us will be made in cash, as HM Services Corporation has indicated that the receivable will not be settled in Autogrill SpA stock. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, the receivable balance was approximately \$5.4 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, which is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

For purposes of the following disclosures required by SFAS No. 123, the fair value of each option granted has been estimated on the date of grant using an option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively: risk-free interest rates of 3.8%, 5.2% and 5.1%, volatility of 36%, 37% and 32%, expected lives of 15, 12 and 12 years; and dividend yield of 6.0%, 9.1% and 9.5%. The weighted average fair value per option granted during the year was \$1.41 in 2002, \$1.09 in 2001 and \$1.07 in 2000. As a result of the implementation of SFAS 123, we recorded compensation expense of \$47,000 for 2002, which represents the expense for stock options granted during 2002 only.

A summary of the status of our stock option plans that have been approved by our stockholders for 2002, 2001 and 2000 follows. We do not have stock option plans that have not been approved by our stockholders.

| | 2002 | | 2 | 2001 | | 2000 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----|----------------------------|---------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------|-----|----------------------------|
| | Shares | Ave | ghted crage se Price | Shares | Ave | ghted rage se Price | Shares | Ave | ghted erage se Price |
| | (in millions) | | | (in millions) | | | (in millions) | | |
| Balance, at beginning of year | 4.9 | \$ | 6 | 4.2 | \$ | 5 | 4.9 | \$ | 4 |
| Granted | .9 | | 8 | 1.4 | | 8 | .6 | | 10 |
| Exercised | (.2) | | 4 | (.6) | | 4 | (1.2) | | 3 |
| Forfeited/expired | (.2) | | 9 | (.1) | | 8 | (.1) | | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, at end of year | 5.4 | | 6 | 4.9 | | 6 | 4.2 | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Options exercisable at year-end | 3.3 | | | 2.9 | | | 3.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

The following table summarizes information about stock options at December 31, 2002:

| | | Options Outstanding | Opti | ions Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Range of Exercise Prices | Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| | (in millions) | | | (in millions) | |
| 1 - 3 | 1.9 | 4 | \$ 2 | 1.9 | \$ 2 |
| 4 - 6 | .2 | 6 | 6 | .2 | 6 |
| 7 – 9 | 2.4 | 13 | 8 | .7 | 8 |
| 10 – 12 | .9 | 13 | 11 | .5 | 11 |
| 13 – 19 | _ | 10 | 18 | _ | 18 |
| | | | | | |
| | 5.4 | | | 3.3 | |
| | | | | | |

Deferred stock incentive plan shares granted to officers and key employees after 1990 generally vest over 10 years in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. Certain employees may elect to defer payments until termination or retirement. We accrue compensation expense for the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, less estimated forfeitures. In 2002, 2001 and 2000, 23,000, 24,000 and 20,000 shares, respectively, were granted under this plan. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for

deferred stock was not material for all periods presented. The weighted average fair value per share granted during each year was \$9.95 in 2002, \$12.66 in 2001 and \$9.44 in 2000. The implementation of SFAS No. 123 had no impact on the calculation of compensation expense for the deferred stock incentive plan.

From time to time, we award restricted stock shares under the Comprehensive Plan to officers and key executives to be distributed over the next three years in annual installments based on continued employment and the attainment of certain performance criteria. We recognize compensation expense over the restriction period equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of issuance adjusted for forfeitures, and where appropriate, the level of attainment of performance criteria and fluctuations in the fair market value of our common stock. In 2002, 2001 and 2000, 906,000, 167,000 and 889,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock plan shares were granted to certain key employees under these terms and conditions. Approximately 1,040,000, 593,000 and 106,000 shares were forfeited in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. We recorded compensation expense of \$5.2 million, \$7.6 million and \$11 million in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, related to these awards. The weighted average grant date fair value per share granted during each year was \$10.49 in 2002, \$12.91 in 2001 and \$8.87 in 2000. Under these awards 579,000 shares were outstanding at December 31, 2002.

In 1998, 568,408 stock appreciation rights ("SARs") were issued under the Comprehensive Plan to certain directors as a replacement for previously issued options that were cancelled during the year. The conversion to SARs was completed in order to comply with ownership limits applicable to us upon conversion to a REIT. The SARs are fully vested and the grant prices range from \$1.20 to \$5.13. In 2002, 2001 and 2000, we recognized compensation (income) expense for outstanding SARs as a result of fluctuations in the market price of our common stock of \$.8 million, \$(1.2) million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Under the terms of the employee stock purchase plan, eligible employees may purchase common stock through payroll deductions at 90% of the lower of market value at the beginning or market value at the end of the plan year. As a result of the implementation of SFAS No. 123 during 2002, we now record compensation expense for the employee stock purchase plan based on the fair value of the employees' purchase rights, which is estimated using an option-pricing model with the following assumptions for 2002: Risk-free interest rate of 2.2%, volatility of 37%, expected life of one year, and dividend yield of 0%. During 2002, approximately 43,000 shares were issued. The weighted average fair value of those purchase rights granted in 2002 was \$2.35. The compensation expense reflected in net income was not material for all periods presented.

11. Profit Sharing and Postemployment Benefit Plans

We contribute to defined contribution plans for the benefit of employees meeting certain eligibility requirements and electing participation in the plans. The discretionary amount to be matched by us is determined annually by the Board of Directors. We provide medical benefits to a limited number of retired employees meeting restrictive eligibility requirements. Amounts for these items were not material for the three years ended December 31, 2002.

12. Acquisitions and Dispositions

Acquisitions. On November 13, 2003, we acquired the 806-room Hyatt Regency Maui Resort and Spa for \$321 million. The property is located on a 37-acre site on Kaanapoli Beach on the island of Maui, Hawaii and represents our sixth Hyatt-branded property.

During June 2003, we acquired the remaining general partner interest and preferred equity interest held by outside partners in the 772-room JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. for approximately \$3 million. We also became the sole limited partner after the partnership foreclosed on a note receivable from the other limited partner. As a result, effective June 20, 2003, we consolidated the partnership, and recorded property and equipment of \$131 million and \$95 million in mortgage debt.

During 2002, we acquired 80% of the outstanding minority interest in the partnership that owns the San Diego Marriott Marina and Hotel in exchange for 1.1 million shares of common stock and 6.9 million OP Units, which resulted in an increase to property and equipment of \$66.6 million to reflect the fair value of the interest acquired. As a result of the acquisition, we now own approximately 90% of the interests in the partnership that owns the hotel. We did not receive any proceeds as a result of these transactions.

On May 16, 2000, we acquired a non-controlling interest in the JWDC Limited Partnership for \$40 million, which owns the JW Marriott Hotel, a 772-room hotel in Washington, D.C. Between January 15, 2003 and June 30, 2003, we have the option to purchase the 44.4% limited partner interest of one of the partners for the fair value of the interest (approximately \$3 million). We also have the option to purchase our co-general partner's 1% general partner interest for the lesser of \$375,000 or fair value of the interest in the second quarter of 2003.

Effective June 14, 2002, we completed the acquisition of the 1,139-room Boston Marriott Copley Place for \$214 million, including the assumption of \$97 million in mortgage debt.

Effective March 24, 2001, we purchased 100% of the voting interests in each of Rockledge and Fernwood that were previously held by the Host Marriott Statutory Employee/Charitable Trust for approximately \$2 million. Prior to this acquisition, we held a 95% non-voting interest in each company and accounted for such investments under the equity method. As a result of this acquisition, we consolidated two full-service hotels and certain limited service hotel partnership interests.

On June 28, 2001, we consummated an agreement to purchase substantially all the minority limited partnership interests held by Wyndham with respect to seven full-service hotels for \$60 million. As part of this acquisition, the leases were acquired from Wyndham with respect to three hotels by the TRS, effectively terminating the leases for financial reporting purposes. The entire purchase price was allocated to the minority limited partner interests purchased.

Dispositions. On November 20, 2003, we sold the Jacksonville Marriott for \$17 million.

On July 18, 2003, we sold the Norfolk Waterside Marriott, Oklahoma City Waterford Marriott and the Palm Beach Gardens Marriott for \$71 million. The operations and loss related to these dispositions have been reclassified as discontinued operations on the statement of operations for all years presented.

On January 24, 2003, we sold the 299-room Ontario Airport Marriott for \$26 million. Our carrying amount for this hotel on the date of sale was \$24 million of fixed assets, net of depreciation, and there was no mortgage debt. We recognized a minimal gain on the sale in the first quarter of 2003. The operations and loss on dispositions have been reclassified as discontinued operations on the statement of operations for all years presented.

During January 2002, we transferred the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion hotel to the mortgage lender. The St. Louis Marriott Pavilion was an asset of Rockledge Hotel Properties, Inc., which was an equity method investment during 2000.

During 2001, we disposed of two hotels (751 rooms) for a total consideration of \$65 million and recognized a net gain of \$12 million.

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair values of certain financial assets and liabilities and other financial instruments are shown below:

| | | 2002 | | 2001 | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | | | |
| | | (i | n millions) | | | | |
| Financial assets | | | | | | | |
| Notes receivable | \$ 53 | \$ 53 | \$ 54 | \$ 54 | | | |
| Other | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | | | |
| Financial liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Senior notes | 3,247 | 3,221 | 3,235 | 3,159 | | | |
| Mortgage debt and other, net of capital leases | 2,378 | 2,492 | 2,351 | 2,351 | | | |
| Other financial instruments | | | | | | | |
| Convertible Preferred Securities | 475 | 351 | 475 | 332 | | | |

Notes receivable and other financial assets are valued based on the expected future cash flows discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Valuations for secured debt are determined based on the expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates. The fair value of the credit facility and other notes are estimated to be equal to their carrying value. Senior notes and the Convertible Preferred Securities are valued based on quoted market prices.

14. Marriott International Distribution and Relationship with Marriott International

We have entered into various agreements with Marriott International (formerly a wholly owned subsidiary, the common stock of which was distributed to our shareholders on October 8, 1993) including the management of the majority of our hotels including franchised properties; financing for joint ventures including the acquisition in 1996 of two full-service properties for which Marriott International provided \$29 million of debt financing and \$28 million in preferred equity and the 2000 acquisition of two partnerships owning 120 limited service hotels (see below); and certain limited administrative services.

Effective July 25, 2002, we completed negotiations with Marriott International in connection with changes to the management and other agreements for substantially all of our Marriott and Ritz-Carlton managed hotels. The changes were effective as of December 29, 2001. The management contract changes include providing us with additional approval rights over hotel operating budgets, capital budgets, shared service programs, and changes to certain system wide programs; reducing the amount of working capital requirements, and expanding an existing agreement that allows the us to fund furniture, fixtures and equipment expenditures from one account controlled by us, which collectively increased cash available to us for general corporate purposes at that time by \$125 million; reducing incentive management fees payable on certain Marriott managed hotels; reducing the amount we pay related to frequent guest programs; gradually reducing the amounts payable with respect to various centrally administered programs; and providing additional territorial restrictions for certain hotels in 10 markets

In addition to these modifications, we have expanded the pool of hotels subject to an existing agreement that allows us to sell assets unencumbered by a Marriott management agreement without the payment of termination fees. The revised pool includes 46 assets, 75% (measured by EBITDA) of which may be sold over approximately a ten year or greater period without the payment of a termination fee (22.5% (measured by EBITDA) of which may be sold unencumbered by the Marriott brand).

In connection with these negotiations, we have amended our distribution agreement and stockholder rights plan to terminate Marriott International's right to purchase up to 20% of each class of our outstanding voting shares upon certain changes of control and clarified existing provisions in the management agreements that limit our ability to sell a hotel or our entire company to a competitor of Marriott International.

During December 2000, the joint venture formed by Rockledge and Marriott International acquired the partnership interests in two partnerships that collectively own 120 limited service hotels for approximately \$372 million plus interest and legal fees (see Note 4).

As a result of the consolidation of Rockledge, we now have a controlling interest in Elcrisa S.A. de C.V., which owns two hotels located in Mexico City, Mexico. Marriott International holds the remaining interest in the entity and is the manager of the hotels.

In 2002 and 2001, the TRS paid Marriott International \$144 million and \$162 million, respectively, in hotel management fees and \$5 million and \$6 million, respectively, in franchise fees. In 2000, the fees were paid by Crestline and Wyndham, as the lessees, and totaled \$240 million. In 2000, we paid Marriott International \$0.2 million in guarantee fees pursuant to certain debt service guarantees provided by Marriott International. No guarantee fees were paid in 2002 and 2001. For 2002, we paid \$1 million and for 2001 and 2000 we paid Marriott International \$2 million each of those years for certain administrative services and office space.

15. Hotel Management Agreements

Of our hotels, 102 are subject to management agreements under which Marriott International or one of their subsidiaries manages the hotels, generally for an initial term of 15 to 20 years with renewal terms at the option of Marriott International of up to an additional 16 to 30 years. The agreements generally provide for payment of base management fees that are generally 3 percent of sales and incentive management fees generally equal to 20% to 50% of operating profit (as defined in the agreements) over a priority return (as defined) to us, with total incentive management fees not to exceed 20% of cumulative operating profit, or 20% of current year operating profit. In the event of early termination of the agreements, Marriott International will receive additional fees based on the unexpired term and expected future base and incentive management fees. We have the option to terminate certain management agreements if specified performance thresholds are not satisfied. A single agreement may be canceled under certain conditions, although such cancellation will not trigger the cancellation of any other agreement. Certain consolidated partnerships with a total of eight properties operate under a single agreement, cancellation of which would affect all the properties in these partnerships.

Pursuant to the terms of the agreements, Marriott International furnishes the hotels with certain chain services which are generally provided on a central or regional basis to all hotels in the Marriott International hotel system. Chain services include central training, advertising and promotion, a national reservation system, computerized payroll and accounting services, and such additional services as needed which may be more efficiently performed on a centralized basis. Costs and expenses incurred in providing such services are required to be allocated among all domestic hotels managed, owned or leased by Marriott International or its subsidiaries on a fair and equitable basis. In addition, our hotels also participate in the Marriott Rewards program. The cost of this program is charged to all hotels in the Marriott hotel system.

We are obligated to provide the manager with sufficient funds, generally 5% of revenue, to cover the cost of (a) certain non-routine repairs and maintenance to the hotels which are normally capitalized; and (b) replacements and renewals to the hotels' property and improvements. Under certain circumstances, we will be required to establish escrow accounts for such purposes under terms outlined in the agreements. To the extent we are not required to fund such amounts into escrow accounts, we remain liable to make such fundings in the future.

We have franchise agreements with Marriott International for eight hotels. Pursuant to these franchise agreements, we generally pay a franchise fee based on a percentage of room sales and food and beverage sales, as well as certain other fees for advertising and reservations. Franchise fees for room sales vary from four to six percent of sales, while fees for food and beverage sales vary from two to three percent of sales. The terms of the franchise agreements are from 15 to 30 years.

We hold management agreements with The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, LLC ("Ritz-Carlton"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marriott International, to manage ten of our hotels. These agreements have an initial term of 15 to 25 years with renewal terms at the option of Ritz-Carlton of up to an additional 10 to 40 years. Base management fees vary from two to five percent of sales and incentive management fees, if any, are generally equal to 20% of available cash flow or operating profit, as defined in the agreements.

We also hold management agreements with hotel management companies other than Marriott International and Ritz-Carlton for 21 of our hotels, eight of which are franchised under the Marriott brand. These agreements generally provide for an initial term of 10 to 20 years with renewal terms at the option of either party or, in some cases, the hotel management company of up to an additional one to 15 years. The agreements generally provide for payment of base management fees equal to one to four percent of sales. Seventeen of the 21 agreements also provide for incentive management fees generally equal to 10 to 25 percent of available cash flow, operating profit, or net operating income, as defined in the agreements.

16. Relationship with Barceló Crestline Corporation, formerly Crestline Capital Corporation

We entered into a distribution agreement (the "Distribution Agreement") with Crestline in connection with our conversion to a REIT in 1998, which provided for, among other things, (i) the distribution of shares of Crestline; (ii) the division between Crestline and us of certain assets and liabilities; (iii) the transfer to Crestline of the 25% interest in the Swissôtel management company and (iv) certain other agreements governing the relationship between Crestline and us. Crestline also granted us a contingent right to purchase Crestline's interest in Swissôtel Management (USA) L.L.C. at fair market value in the event the tax laws are changed so that we could own such interest without jeopardizing its status as a REIT.

Subject to certain exceptions, the Distribution Agreement provides for, among other things, assumptions of liabilities and cross-indemnities designed to allocate to Crestline, effective as of the date of the distribution, financial responsibilities for liabilities arising out of, or in connection with, the business of the senior living communities.

Crestline also had other agreements in connection with the distribution related to asset management services as well as non-competition agreements. These agreements were terminated effective January 1, 2001 in connection with the acquisition of the Crestline lessee entities discussed in Note 2.

17. Geographic and Business Segment Information

We operate one business segment, hotel ownership. As of December 31, 2000, our foreign operations were limited to four Canadian hotel properties. Effective March 24, 2001, we purchased 100% of the voting interest in Rockledge and, as a result, our foreign operations included two properties in Mexico City, Mexico. There were no intercompany sales between us and the foreign properties. The following table presents revenues and long-lived assets for each of the geographical areas in which we operate (in millions):

| | 2002 | | 2 | 001 | 2000 | | |
|---------------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| | Revenues | Long-lived Assets | Revenues | Long-lived Assets | Revenues | Long-lived Assets | |
| United States | \$ 3,507 | \$ 6,857 | \$ 3,600 | \$ 6,812 | \$ 1,366 | \$ 7,000 | |
| International | 119 | 174 | 115 | 187 | 25 | 110 | |
| Total | \$ 3,626 | \$ 7,031 | \$ 3,715 | \$ 6,999 | \$ 1,391 | \$ 7,110 | |

18. Guarantees

We have certain guarantees which consist of commitments we have made to third parties for leases or debt that is not on our books due to various dispositions, spin-offs and contractual arrangements, but that we have agreed to pay in the event of certain circumstances including default by an unrelated party. We consider the likelihood of any material payments under these guarantees to be remote. The guarantees are listed below:

- We remain contingently liable for leases on certain divested non-lodging properties. These primarily represent divested restaurants that were sold subject to our guarantee of rental payments. The amount is approximately \$48 million as of December 31, 2002.
- In connection with the sale of the El Paso Marriott hotel in 1999, we provided a guarantee to the City of El Paso in the event the purchaser, Columbia Sussex, defaults on bonds supported by the cash flows from the hotel. However, the purchaser also provided a standby letter of credit, a corporate guaranty and has been making sinking fund deposits; all of which would serve as collateral to the extent our guarantee

was called. Our guarantee supports the \$14 million of bonds outstanding as of December 31, 2002. We exercised our right under the guarantee to require Columbia Sussex to retire the bonds, which occurred on June 14, 2003. We are obligated to pay a 1% (\$140,000) prepayment penalty in connection with the early retirement of this debt at the time of such retirement.

- We, through our ownership interest in the operating partnership, are obligated under the partnership agreement (and various tax-sharing agreements with former affiliated entities) to pay all taxes (federal, state, local and foreign—including any related interest and penalties) incurred by us, as well as any liabilities that the IRS successfully may assert against us and under certain circumstances against former affiliated entities. As the potential liability is based in part on a finding by a specific taxing authority, these amounts cannot be estimated at this time.
- In 1997, we owned Leisure Park Venture Limited Partnership, which owns and operates a senior living facility. We spun-off the partnership as part of Crestline in the REIT conversion, but we remain obligated under a guarantee of interest and principal with regard to \$14.7 million of municipal bonds issued by the New Jersey Economic Development Authority through their maturity in 2027. However, to the extent we are required to make any payments under the guarantee, we have been indemnified by Crestline, who is indemnified by the subsequent purchaser of the facility.

19. Subsequent Event

On October 27, 2003, we sold \$725 million of Series J senior notes with a fixed interest rate of 7 ½% due 2013. The proceeds were used to retire \$429 million of 7 ½% Series A senior notes due 2005 and \$282 million of 8.45% Series C senior notes due 2008.

On October 21, 2003, Host Marriott issued 23.5 million shares of common stock in an underwritten public offering. Proceeds, after deducting the underwriting discount and offering expenses, were approximately \$250 million. The proceeds were contributed to Host LP in exchange for issuance to Host Marriott of 23.5 million common OP units.

In the third quarter of 2003, we also recognized \$9.6 million of other income from the settlement of a claim that we brought against our directors and officers insurance carriers for reimbursement of defense costs and settlement payments incurred in resolving a series of related actions brought against us and Marriott International that arose from the sale of certain limited partnerships units to investors prior to 1993.

On August 14, 2003, Host Marriott issued 27.5 million shares of common stock in an underwritten public offering. Proceeds, after deducting the underwriting discount and offering expenses, were approximately \$251 million. The proceeds were contributed to Host LP in exchange for issuance to Host Marriott of 27.5 million common OP units.

In May 2003, we renegotiated our credit facility which matures in June 2005. The new terms of the credit facility allow us to borrow \$250 million. The face amount of the facility remained at \$400 million until November 2003 when it reduced to \$300 million. Currently, no amounts are drawn under the credit facility. All other terms on the credit facility remained essentially the same.

20. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

| | | | 2002 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Fiscal Year |
| | | (in million | ns, except per share | e amounts) | |
| Revenues | \$ 779 | \$ 907 | \$ 777 | \$1,163 | \$3,626 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (14) | 23 | (39) | (6) | (36) |
| Net income (loss) | 1 | 24 | (38) | (3) | (16) |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | (8) | 15 | (47) | (11) | (51) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | (80.) | .05 | (.19) | (.05) | (.27) |
| Discontinued operations | .05 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .08 |
| Net income (loss) | (.03) | .06 | (.18) | (.04) | (.19) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | (80.) | .05 | (.19) | (.05) | (.27) |
| Discontinued operations | .05 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .08 |
| Net income (loss) | (.03) | .06 | (.18) | (.04) | (.19) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 2001 | | |
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | 2001 Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Fiscal Year |
| | Quarter | Quarter ——————————————————————————————————— | Third Quarter ns, except per share | Quarter e amounts) | Year |
| Revenues | Quarter ——— \$ 864 | Quarter (in million \$ 978 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 | Quarter e amounts) \$1,038 | Year \$3,715 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | Quarter | Quarter (in million \$ 978 53 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) | \$3,715 54 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) | \$ 864 32 32 | Quarter (in million \$ 978 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 | Quarter e amounts) \$1,038 | Year \$3,715 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | Quarter | Quarter (in million \$ 978 53 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) | \$3,715 54 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | \$ 864 32 32 | Quarter (in million \$ 978 53 49 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) (23) (32) | \$ 3,715 54 51 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ 864 32 32 | Quarter (in million \$ 978 53 49 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) | Quarter e amounts) \$1,038 (24) (23) | \$ 3,715 54 51 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | \$ 864 32 32 27 | (in million \$ 978 53 49 40 | Third Quarter s, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) (16) | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) (23) (32) | \$ 3,715 54 51 19 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Basic earnings (loss) per common share: Continuing operations | \$ 864 32 32 27 | Quarter (in million) \$ 978 53 49 40 | Third Quarter as, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) (16) | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) (23) (32) | \$ 3,715 54 51 19 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Basic earnings (loss) per common share: Continuing operations Discontinued operations | \$ 864 32 32 27 | Quarter (in million) \$ 978 53 49 40 .18 (.01) | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) (16) (.06) — | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) (23) (32) | \$ 3,715 54 51 19 .09 (.01) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Basic earnings (loss) per common share: Continuing operations Discontinued operations Net income (loss) | \$ 864 32 32 27 | Quarter (in million) \$ 978 53 49 40 .18 (.01) | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) (16) (.06) — | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) (23) (32) | \$ 3,715 54 51 19 .09 (.01) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations Net income (loss) Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Basic earnings (loss) per common share: Continuing operations Discontinued operations Net income (loss) Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | \$ 864 32 32 27 .12 | Quarter (in million) \$ 978 53 49 40 .18 (.01) .17 | Third Quarter ns, except per share \$ 835 (7) (7) (16) (.06) (.06) | Quarter e amounts) \$ 1,038 (24) (23) (32) (.12) — (.12) | \$ 3,715 54 51 19 .09 (.01) .08 |

For all years presented, the first three quarters consist of 12 weeks each and the fourth quarter includes 16 weeks. The sum of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share for the four quarters in all years presented differs from the annual earnings per common share due to the required method of computing the weighted average number of shares in the respective periods.

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2002 (in millions)

| | | | | | | • | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|-----|-----------------------------|----|------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | Ini | itial Costs | | | | ross Amount at cember 31, 2002 | : | | | | |
| Description(1) | Debt | Lane | d | Buildings & Improvements | (| sequent Costs italized | Land | Buildings & mprovements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Completion of Construction | Date Acquired | Depreciation Life |
| Full-service hotels: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Albany, New York | s — | \$ | 6 | \$ 31 | \$ | (1) | \$ 6 | \$ 30 | \$ 36 | \$ (4) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Amelia Island, Georgia | _ | 3 | 6 | 165 | | (58) | 25 | 118 | 143 | (12) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Four Seasons, Atlanta, Georgia | 39 | | 5 | 48 | | 13 | 6 | 60 | 66 | (6) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Grand Hyatt, Atlanta, Georgia | _ | | 8 | 89 | | 12 | 9 | 100 | 109 | (10) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Atlanta Marquis, Georgia | 152 | 1: | 2 | 184 | | 31 | 16 | 211 | 227 | (23) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Atlanta Northwest, Georgia | _ | | 5 | 20 | | 5 | 5 | 25 | 30 | (5) | _ | 1995 | 40 |
| Atlanta Perimeter, Georgia | _ | _ | _ | 7 | | 14 | _ | 21 | 21 | (13) | _ | 1976 | 40 |
| Atlanta Midtown Suites, Georgia | _ | _ | _ | 26 | | 2 | _ | 28 | 28 | (5) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Swissôtel, Atlanta, Georgia | 34 | | 5 | 84 | | 17 | 6 | 100 | 106 | (11) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Bethesda, Maryland | _ | _ | _ | 10 | | 20 | _ | 30 | 30 | (17) | _ | 1979 | 40 |
| Miami Biscayne Bay, Florida | _ | _ | _ | 32 | | (3) | _ | 29 | 29 | (5) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Boston Marriott Copley Place, Massachusetts | 95 | _ | _ | 203 | | 10 | _ | 213 | 213 | (3) | _ | 2002 | 40 |
| Boston/Newton, Massachusetts | _ | | 3 | 31 | | 9 | 3 | 40 | 43 | (17) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Swissôtel, Boston, Massachusetts | 40 | 1. | 5 | 69 | | 17 | 17 | 84 | 101 | (9) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Hyatt Regency, Burlingame, California | 69 | 1 | 6 | 119 | | 32 | 20 | 147 | 167 | (15) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Calgary, Canada | 18 | | 5 | 18 | | 6 | 5 | 24 | 29 | (5) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Hyatt Regency, Cambridge, Massachusetts | 49 | 1 | 8 | 84 | | 7 | 19 | 90 | 109 | (9) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Charlotte Executive Park, North Carolina | _ | | 2 | 11 | | 3 | 2 | 14 | 16 | (4) | _ | 1995 | 40 |
| Chicago/Downtown Courtyard, Illinois | _ | | 7 | 27 | | 3 | 7 | 30 | 37 | (8) | _ | 1992 | 40 |
| Chicago O'Hare, Illinois | _ | | 4 | 26 | | 21 | 4 | 47 | 51 | (15) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Chicago O'Hare Suites, Illinois | _ | _ | _ | 36 | | 1 | _ | 37 | 37 | (4) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Chicago/Deerfield Suites, Illinois | _ | | 4 | 19 | | 1 | 4 | 20 | 24 | (6) | _ | 1990 | 40 |
| Swissôtel, Chicago, Illinois | 59 | 2 | 9 | 133 | | 9 | 30 | 141 | 171 | (15) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Coronado Island Resort, California | _ | _ | | 53 | | 4 | _ | 57 | 57 | (8) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Costa Mesa Suites, California | _ | | 3 | 18 | | 1 | 3 | 19 | 22 | (3) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Dallas/Fort Worth Airport, Texas | _ | | 6 | 37 | | 7 | 6 | 44 | 50 | (10) | _ | 1995 | 40 |
| Dallas Quorum, Texas | _ | _ | - | 27 | | 5 | _ | 32 | 32 | (8) | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Dayton, Ohio | _ | | 2 | 30 | | 1 | 2 | 31 | 33 | (3) | _ | 1998 | 40 |

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2002 (in millions)

| | | | | * | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | | I | nitial Costs | | 1 | Gross Amount at December 31, 2002 | | | | | |
| Description(1) | Debt | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Subsequent Costs Capitalized | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Completion of Construction | Date Acquired | Depreciation Life |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Dearborn, Michigan | _ | 8 | 51 | 3 | 8 | 54 | 62 | (6) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Denver Tech Center, Colorado | _ | 6 | 26 | 11 | 6 | 37 | 43 | (8) | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Desert Springs Resort and Spa, California | 95 | 13 | 143 | 63 | 14 | 205 | 219 | (26) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Fairview Park, Virginia | _ | 9 | 39 | 2 | 9 | 41 | 50 | (4) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Fort Lauderdale Marina, Florida | _ | 6 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 40 | 46 | (11) | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Gaithersburg/Washingtonian Center, Maryland | _ | 7 | 22 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 30 | (5) | _ | 1993 | 40 |
| Hanover, New Jersey | 27 | 5 | 30 | 9 | 6 | 38 | 44 | (8) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Harbor Beach Resort, Florida | 95 | _ | 62 | 43 | _ | 105 | 105 | (17) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Hartford/Farmington, Connecticut | 20 | 7 | 22 | 7 | 8 | 28 | 36 | (4) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Houston Airport, Texas | _ | _ | 10 | 28 | _ | 38 | 38 | (23) | _ | 1984 | 40 |
| JW Marriott Hotel at Lenox, Georgia | _ | _ | 21 | 8 | _ | 29 | 29 | (10) | _ | 1990 | 40 |
| JW Marriott Houston, Texas | _ | 4 | 26 | 10 | 8 | 32 | 40 | (8) | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Kansas City Airport, Missouri | _ | _ | 8 | 14 | _ | 22 | 22 | (18) | _ | 1974 | 40 |
| Key Bridge, Virginia | _ | 29 | 125 | (114) | _ | 40 | 40 | (18) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Manhattan Beach, California | _ | 8 | 29 | 5 | _ | 42 | 42 | (7) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| Marina Beach, California | _ | _ | 13 | 15 | _ | 28 | 28 | (4) | _ | 1995 | 40 |
| Memphis, Tennessee | _ | _ | 16 | 10 | _ | 26 | 26 | (5) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Mexico City Airport, Mexico | 9 | 9 | 29 | (1) | 8 | 29 | 37 | (8) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| JW Marriott, Mexico City, Mexico | 12 | 11 | 35 | 2 | 10 | 38 | 48 | (7) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Miami Airport, Florida | _ | _ | 7 | 42 | _ | 49 | 49 | (29) | _ | 1972 | 40 |
| Minneapolis City Center, Minnesota | _ | _ | 27 | 14 | _ | 41 | 41 | (24) | _ | 1986 | 40 |
| Minneapolis Southwest, Minnesota | _ | 5 | 24 | _ | 5 | 24 | 29 | (3) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Mountain Shadows Resort, Arizona | _ | 7 | 24 | (3) | 6 | 22 | 28 | (4) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Naples Golf Resort, Florida | _ | 6 | _ | 58 | 6 | 58 | 64 | (2) | 2002 | | 40 |
| New Orleans, Louisiana | 96 | 16 | 96 | 55 | 16 | 151 | 167 | (24) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| New York Financial Center, New York | _ | 19 | 79 | 11 | 19 | 90 | 109 | (14) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| New York Marquis, New York | 248 | _ | 552 | 63 | _ | 615 | 615 | (220) | _ | 1986 | 40 |
| Newark Airport, New Jersey | _ | _ | 30 | 21 | _ | 51 | 51 | (26) | _ | 1984 | 40 |
| Newport Beach, California | _ | 11 | 13 | 43 | 11 | 56 | 67 | (32) | _ | 1975 | 40 |

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2002 (in millions)

Gross Amount at December 31, 2002 **Initial Costs** Subsequent Costs Date of **Buildings & Buildings &** Completion of Accumulated Date Depreciation Description(1) Debt Land Improvements Capitalized Land Improvements Total Depreciation Construction Acquired Life Norfolk Waterside, Virginia Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (4) (4) (41) Ontario Airport, California Orlando World Center Resort, Florida 157 320 349 1997 40 Park Ridge, New Jersey (3) Pentagon City Residence Inn, Virginia Philadelphia Airport, Pennsylvania Philadelphia Convention Center, Pennsylvania 42 43 44 (5) (8) (34) Four Seasons, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Plaza San Antonio, Texas 25 29 32 40 (7) (7)Portland, Oregon Raleigh Crabtree Valley, North Carolina Hyatt Regency, Reston, Virginia (10) 97 (5) (9) The Ritz-Carlton, Phoenix, Arizona
The Ritz-Carlton, Tysons Corner, Virginia
The Ritz-Carlton, San Francisco, California 92 1998 40 92 (8) (14)130 130 40 Salt Lake City, Utah (8) San Antonio Rivercenter, Texas San Antonio Riverwalk, Texas (20)288 (10)San Diego Hotel and Marina, California San Diego Mission Valley, California San Francisco Airport, California (44)(1) 17 (3) (14) San Francisco Fisherman's Wharf, California 2.7 (8) San Francisco Moscone Center, California (84)71 39 (5) (33) 40 San Ramon, California 71 Santa Clara, California Scottsdale Suites, Arizona (3) Seattle SeaTac Airport, Washington (6) 11 Tampa Waterside, Florida (6)

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2002 (in millions)

| | | In | nitial Costs | | | Gross Amount at December 31, 200 | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Description(1) | Debt | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Subsequent Costs Capitalized | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Completion of Construction | Date Acquired | Depreciation Life |
| Swissôtel, The Drake, New York | 68 | 29 | 130 | 38 | 34 | 163 | 197 | (19) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Atlanta, Georgia | _ | 13 | 41 | 8 | 13 | 49 | 62 | (10) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Buckhead, Georgia | 86 | 14 | 80 | 20 | 16 | 98 | 114 | (17) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Marina del Rey, California | _ | _ | 52 | 8 | _ | 60 | 60 | (10) | _ | 1997 | 40 |
| The Ritz-Carlton, Naples, Florida | 129 | 19 | 126 | 56 | 21 | 180 | 201 | (30) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Toronto Airport, Canada | 20 | 5 | 24 | 3 | 5 | 27 | 32 | (5) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Toronto Eaton Center, Canada | 36 | _ | _ | 30 | _ | 30 | 30 | (6) | _ | 1995 | 40 |
| Toronto Delta Meadowvale, Canada | 22 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 28 | 32 | (7) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Torrance, California | _ | 9 | 39 | 4 | 9 | 43 | 52 | (5) | _ | 1998 | 40 |
| Dulles Airport, Virginia | _ | _ | 3 | 26 | _ | 29 | 29 | (21) | _ | 1970 | 40 |
| Washington Dulles Suites, Virginia | _ | 3 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 28 | (4) | _ | 1996 | 40 |
| Washington Metro Center, Washington D.C. | _ | 20 | 24 | 3 | 20 | 27 | 47 | (6) | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Westfields, Virginia | | 7 | 32 | 2 | 7 | 34 | 41 | (8) | _ | 1994 | 40 |
| Sub total full-service hotels: | 2,224 | 650 | 5,650 | 1,421 | 663 | 7,058 | 7,721 | (1,396) | | | |
| Sub total—other full-service properties less than 5% of total: | | 35 | 333 | 35 | 32 | 371 | 403 | (94) | | various | 40 |
| Total full-service properties: | 2,224 | 685 | 5,983 | 1,456 | 695 | 7,429 | 8,124 | (1,490) | | | |
| Other properties, each less than 5% of total | | 38 | 16 | (43) | | 11 | 11 | (11) | | various | various |
| TOTAL(2) | \$ 2,224 | \$ 723 | \$ 5,999 | \$ 1,413 | \$ 695 | \$ 7,440 | \$ 8,135 | \$ (1,501) | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Each hotel is operated as a Marriott-brand hotel unless otherwise indicated by its name.

These amounts do not reflect the debt or the asset values of the World Trade Center Marriott which was destroyed in the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. All of the assets were written off and a receivable for property insurance proceeds has been established. The debt balance does not include the \$65 million mortgage loan on the hotel.

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2002 (in millions)

Notes:

(A) The change in total cost of properties for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 is as follows: Balance at December 31, 1999 \$7,374 Additions: Capital expenditures and transfers from construction-in-progress 306 Deductions: Dispositions and other (9) Balance at December 31, 2000 7,671 Additions: 278 Capital expenditures and transfers from construction-in-progress Deductions: Impairment charges (13)Dispositions and other (201)Balance at December 31, 2001 7,735 Additions: 284 Acquisitions Capital expenditures and transfers from construction-in-progress 158 Deductions: Dispositions and other (42)Balance at December 31, 2002 \$8,135

HOST MARRIOTT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2002 (in millions)

(B) The change in accumulated depreciation and amortization of real estate assets for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 is as follows:

| Balance at December 31, 1999 | \$ 853 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Depreciation and amortization | 215 |
| Dispositions and other | (2) |
| | |
| Balance at December 31, 2000 | 1,066 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 281 |
| Dispositions and other | (66) |
| | |
| Balance at December 31, 2001 | 1,281 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 237 |
| Dispositions and other | (17) |
| | |
| Balance at December 31, 2002 | \$1,501 |
| | |

- (C) The aggregate cost of properties for Federal income tax purposes is approximately \$5,729 million at December 31, 2002.
- (D) The total cost of properties excludes construction-in-progress properties.