
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 14, 2002

OR

[_] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 001-14625

 ${\tt HOST\ MARRIOTT,\ L.P.}$ (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware 52-2095412

(State of Incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

10400 Fernwood Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20817

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Cod

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) (301) 380-9000

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report) Not Applicable $\,$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or $15\,(d)$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate the number of units outstanding as of the latest practicable date.

The registrant had 292,977,057 units of its common limited partnership units outstanding as of July 15, 2002.

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

		Page No.
ITEM 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets- June 14, 2002 and December 31, 2001	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations- Twelve Weeks and Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows- Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
ITEM 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition	17
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	27
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION AND SIGNATURE	
ITEM 1.	Legal Proceedings	29
ITEM 5.	Other Items	29
ITEM 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	44

HOST MARRIOTT, L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in millions)

	une 14, 2002	ember 31, 2001
	audited)	
ASSETS		
Property and equipment, net Notes and other receivables (including amounts due from affiliates of \$6 million and \$6 million, respectively) Due from Managers Investments in affiliates Other assets Restricted cash Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,159 54 150 143 581 124 243 8,454	\$ 6,999 54 141 142 532 114 352 8,334
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL		
Debt Senior notes Mortgage debt Convertible debt obligation to Host Marriott Corporation Other	\$ 3,232 2,313 492 105	\$ 3,235 2,261 492 106
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	6,142 114 309	6,094 121 320
Total liabilities	 6 , 565	 6 , 535
Minority interest	109	108
Limited partnership interests of third parties at redemption value (representing 28.1 million units and 21.6 million units at June 14, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively)	323	194
Partners' capital General partner Cumulative redeemable preferred limited partner Limited partner Accumulated other comprehensive loss	 1 339 1,119 (2)	 1 339 1,162 (5)
Total partners' capital	1,457	1,497
	\$ 8,454 ======	\$ 8,334 ======

HOST MARRIOTT, L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS Twelve and Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001 (unaudited, in millions, except per unit amounts)

	Twelve W	eeks Ended	Twenty-four	Weeks Ended	
	June 14, 2002	June 15, 2001	June 14, 2002	June 15, 2001	
REVENUES					
Rooms Food and beverage Other	\$ 543 288 65	\$ 590 295 73	\$ 1,008 532 120	\$ 1,112 548 137	
Total hotel sales	896	958	1,660	1,797	
Rental income	24	36	50	70	
Total revenues	920	994	1,710	1,867	
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES					
Rooms Food and beverage Hotel departmental costs and deductions	129 205 225 44	135 208 232	240 380 421	256 399 440	
Management fees Taxes, insurance, and other property-level expenses Depreciation and amortization	72 84	54 75 100	80 134 168	106 140 177	
Corporate expenses Other expenses	7 5	9	20	17 7	
Lease repurchase expense		5		5	
OPERATING PROFIT Minority interest expense Interest income	149 (4) 4	171 (5) 12	258 (9) 7	320 (12) 20	
Interest expense Net gains on property transactions Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates	(114) 1 1	(112)	(226) 2 (3)	(222) 1 4	
INCOME DEFODE INCOME MAYER	27		29	111	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	37 (11)	68 (12)	(15)	111 (15)	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	26	56	14	96	
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS Income (loss) from operations		(1)	7	(1)	
INCOME BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS	26 	55 	21 6	95 	
NET INCOME	\$ 26	\$ 55 =======	\$ 27 ======	\$ 95 ======	
Less: Dividends on Preferred Units	(9)	(9)	(18)	(14)	
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON UNITHOLDERS	\$ 17 =======	\$ 46 ======	\$ 9	\$ 81	

HOST MARRIOTT, L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued) Twelve and Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001 (unaudited, in millions, except per unit amounts)

	Twelve Weeks Ended					Twenty-four Weeks E			
	June 14, 2002		June 14, June 15,		June 14, 2002			e 15,	
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT:									
Continuing operations	\$.06	\$.16	\$	(.01)	\$.28	
Discontinued operations						.02			
Extraordinary gain						.02			
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT	\$.06	\$.16	\$.03	\$.28	
	===		===	=====	==	=====	===	=====	
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT:									
Continuing operations	\$.06	\$.16	\$	(.01)	\$.28	
Discontinued operations						.02			
Extraordinary gain						.02			
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT	\$.06	\$.16	\$.03	\$.28	
	===		===		==	=====	===	=====	

HOST MARRIOTT, L.P. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001 (unaudited)

	2002	2001
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 14	\$ 96
Depreciation and amortization	168	177
Deferred income taxes	5	(11)
Deferred contingent rental income	2	15
Net gains on property transactions	(2)	(1)
Equity in losses/(earnings) of affiliates	3	(4)
Changes in other operating accounts	(23)	10
Other	(23)	1
outer		
Cash provided by operations	176	79
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisitions	(117)	(2)
Capital expenditures for renewals and replacements	(80)	(102)
New investment capital expenditures	(10)	(30)
Other investments	(6)	(12)
Note receivable collections, net		10
Cash used in investing activities	(213)	(136)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Issuances of debt, net of financing costs	(7)	121
Scheduled principal repayments	(36)	(24)
Debt prepayments		(115)
Issuances of common units	1	2
Issuances of cumulative redeemable preferred units, net		144
Other	(18) (12)	(159)
other	(12)	
Cash used in financing activities	(72)	(39)
DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$ (109)	\$ (96)

HOST MARRIOTT, L.P.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001
(unaudited)

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

During February 2002, 1.1 million Operating Partnership Units (OP Units) were issued to acquire minority interests in the partnership owning the San Diego Marina Marriott hotel. This transaction resulted in an increase to property and equipment of \$10.5 million to reflect the fair value of the interest acquired. During April 2002, the Company increased its ownership percentage in the partnership that owns the hotel to 90% as a result of the exchange by minority partners in San Diego of partnership units in the hotel for 6.9 million OP Units. Host REIT has agreed to promptly register approximately 6.9 million shares of its common stock for resale by such holders upon their conversion of the OP Units. The transaction resulted in an increase in property and equipment of \$56.1 million and a corresponding increase in minority interest and equity to reflect the fair value of the interest acquired.

During January 2002, the Company transferred the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion to the mortgage lender. The Company recorded the difference between the debt extinguished and the fair value of the assets surrendered of \$9.6 million, net of tax expense of \$3.6 million, as a \$6 million extraordinary item. The Company also recorded the operations of the hotel transferred, net of tax, as income from discontinued operations.

On June 14, 2002, the Company acquired the Boston Marriott Copley Place in Boston, Massachusetts for a cash price of \$117\$ million and an assumption of \$97\$ million of mortgage debt.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements

-7-

Organization

Host Marriott, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership" or the "Company" or "Host LP") is a Delaware limited partnership whose sole general partner is Host Marriott Corporation ("Host REIT" or "Host Marriott"). Host REIT, a Maryland corporation, operating through an umbrella partnership structure, is a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust ("REIT") with its operations conducted through the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. As of June 14, 2002, Host REIT owned approximately 90% of the Operating Partnership.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries have been prepared without audit. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. The Company believes the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. However, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.

In the opinion of the Company, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of June 14, 2002, the results of its operations for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001, and cash flows for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of fiscal year performance because of the impact of seasonal and short-term variations.

Certain reclassifications were made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the current presentation.

The Company consolidates entities in which it owns a controlling financial interest (when it owns over 50% of the voting shares of another company or, in the case of partnership investments, when the Company owns the general partnership interest). In all cases, the Company considers the impact on the Company's financial control or the ability of minority shareholders or other partners to participate in or block management decisions. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Revenue from operations of the Company's hotels not leased to third parties is recognized when the services are provided. For the Company's hotels leased to third parties, rental income is recorded when due and is the greater of base rent or percentage rent, as defined. Percentage rent received pursuant to the leases but not recognized until all contingencies have been met is deferred and included on the balance sheet as deferred rent. Contingent rental revenue of \$1 million and \$8 million for the twelve weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001, respectively, and \$2 million and \$15 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001, respectively, has been deferred. Contingent rent in the first and second quarters of 2001 related to four full-service and certain limited service hotel leases. Effective June 16, 2001, the Company purchased the four full-service hotel leases and, accordingly, all contingent rent subsequently recorded has been for the limited service leases.

Earnings Per Unit

Basic earnings per common unit is computed by dividing net income available to common unitholders by the weighted average number of shares of common units outstanding. Diluted earnings per unit is computed by dividing net income available to common unitholders as adjusted for potentially dilutive securities, by the weighted average number of common units outstanding plus other potentially dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include units distributed to Host REIT for Host REIT common shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, minority interests that have the right to convert their limited partner interest to common OP Units and the Convertible Preferred Securities. No effect is shown for securities if they are anti-dilutive.

Twelve	weeks	ended

		June 14, 200	2	June 15, 2001				
	Income (Numerator)	Units (Denominator)	Per Unit Amount	Income (Numerator)	Units (Denominato	Per Unit r) Amount		
		(in milli	ons, excep	t per unit ar	mounts)			
Net income Distributions on preferred limited partner	\$ 26	291.6	\$.09	\$ 55	284.4	\$.19		
Units and Preferred OP Units	(9)		(.03)	(9)		(.03)		

Basic income available to common unitholders	17	291.6	.06	46	284.4	.16
shares assumed purchased at average market price Assuming conversion of minority OP Units		3.2			3.8	
issuable				1	9.3	
Diluted earnings	\$ 17	294.8	\$.06	\$.47	297.5	\$.16
	====	======	=====	====	=====	=====

Twenty-four weeks ended

		June 14, 2002		June 15, 2001				
	Income (Numerator)	Units (Denominator)	Per Unit Amount	Income (Numerator)	Units (Denominator)	Per Unit Amount		
		(in mil		ept per unit				
Net income	\$ 27	288.4	\$.09	\$ 95	284.6	\$ 33		
Units and Preferred OP Units	(18)		(.06)	(14)		(.05)		
Basic income available to common unitholders	9	288.4	.03	81	284.6	.28		
average market price					4.2			
issuable								
Diluted earnings	\$ 9 ====	288.4	\$.03	\$ 81 ====	288.8	\$.28		

4 Equity Transactions

During February 2002, Host REIT filed a shelf registration statement for 1.1 million shares of its common stock to be issued in exchange for partnership interests held by the minority partners in the partnership that owns the San Diego Marina Marriott hotel. On March 15, 2002, the minority partners sold the 1.1 million common shares to an underwriter for resale on the open market. Concurrent with the issuance of the common shares by Host REIT, the Company issued to Host REIT an equivalent number of OP Units. Also, in April 2002, the Company acquired an additional interest in the San Diego Marina Marriott hotel through the issuance of 6.9 million OP Units to certain minority partners in exchange for their partnership interest. These transactions reduced Host REIT's ownership percentage in the Operating Partnership to 90% and resulted in an increase to property and equipment of \$66.6 million to reflect the fair value of the interest acquired. As a result of the acquisition, the Company now owns approximately 90% of the interests in the partnership that owns the hotel. The minority partner continues to receive fees for marketing and other services, which totaled \$1.7 million and \$2.0 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001, respectively.

5. Debt

Effective June 6, 2002, the Company completed negotiations on a new credit facility with an aggregate revolving commitment of up to \$400 million. The credit facility has an initial three-year term with an option to extend for an additional year if certain conditions are met. Interest on borrowings under the credit facility will be calculated based on a spread over LIBOR that will vary based on the Company's leverage ratio. The Company is required to pay a quarterly commitment fee that will vary based on the amount of unused capacity under the credit facility. Currently, the commitment fee is .55%. As of June 14, 2002 no amounts have been drawn on the credit facility.

The new credit facility establishes financial covenants for leverage, interest coverage, fixed charge coverage and unsecured interest coverage at levels that are generally less stringent than those that

would have been applicable under the prior facility. The new credit facility also imposes other customary covenants and restrictions. In many cases, the covenants have been modified to be comparable with the requirements under the senior note indenture.

In the event we do not satisfy a minimum interest coverage ratio and certain other conditions, both the new credit facility and the senior note indenture restrict the Company's ability to incur debt, except debt incurred under the credit facility or in connection with a refinancing of existing debt. In addition, failure to satisfy this ratio will restrict Host REIT's ability to declare and pay dividends, except dividends necessary to maintain its status as a REIT. As a result of the effect on our business of the September 11 terrorist attacks and the 2001 recession we did not meet our specified minimum interest coverage ratio beginning in the third quarter. Accordingly, we are subject to the restrictions discussed above, although we may take certain actions to achieve compliance on a pro forma basis.

In connection with its acquisition of Boston Marriott Copley Place discussed below, on June 14, 2002, the Company assumed \$97 million of mortgage debt. The mortgage bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.39% and is due on June 1, 2006.

6. Acquisitions

Effective June 14, 2002, the Company completed the acquisition of the 1,139-room Boston Marriott Copley Place. The \$214\$ million purchase price consisted of a \$117\$ million cash payment and the assumption of \$97\$ million in mortgage debt.

7. Distributions Payable

On June 17, 2002, the Company announced that the Board of Directors of Host REIT had declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.625 per Class A, B, and C preferred limited partner unit. The second quarter distribution was paid on July 15, 2002 to unitholders of record on June 28, 2002.

8. Geographic Information

The Company's foreign operations consisted of four hotel properties located in Canada and two hotel properties located in Mexico. The hotels in Mexico were acquired in the second quarter of 2001 as a result of the purchase of the remaining outside interests in Rockledge Hotel Properties, Inc. There were no intercompany sales between the properties and the Company. The following table presents revenues (in millions) for each of the geographical areas in which the Company owns hotels.

		Twelve We	eeks Ende	d	Twenty-four Weeks Ended					
	June 14, 2002		June 15, 2001		June 14, 2002		June 15, 2003			
United States	\$	891 29	\$	962 32	\$	1,660 50	\$	1,820 47		
Total	\$	920	\$	994	\$	1,710	\$	1,867		

9. Comprehensive Income

The Company's other comprehensive income consists of unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency translation adjustments and the right to receive cash from HMSHost Corporation subsequent to the exercise of the options held by certain former and current employees of Marriott International, pursuant to the distribution agreement between the Company and HMSHost Corporation. For the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, comprehensive income totaled \$27 million and \$30 million, respectively. Comprehensive income was \$60 million and \$97 million for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 15, 2001, respectively. As of June 14, 2002, the Company's accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$2 million compared to \$5 million as of December 31, 2001.

10. Supplemental Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Subsidiary Information

All subsidiaries of the Company guarantee the Senior Notes except those owning 48 of the Company's full service hotels and HMH HPT RIBM LLC and HMH HPT CBM LLC, the lessees of the Residence Inn and Courtyard properties, respectively. The separate financial statements of each guaranteeing subsidiary (each, a "Guarantor Subsidiary") are not presented because the Company's management has concluded that such financial statements are not material to investors. The guarantee of each Guarantor Subsidiary is full and unconditional and joint and several and each Guarantor Subsidiary is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

The following condensed combined consolidating information sets forth the financial position as of June 14, 2002 and December 31, 2001, the results of operations for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001 and cash flows for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001 of the parent, Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries.

Supplemental Condensed Combined Consolidating Balance Sheets (in millions)

June 14, 2002

	Parent			Guarantor Subsidiaries		Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries		Eliminations		nsolidated
Property and equipment, net Notes and other receivables Due from Managers Rent receivable Investments in affiliate Other assets Restricted cash	\$	1,093 701 (5) 7 2,837 83 32	·	1,997 52 6 21 1,914 198 3	\$	4,069 144 149 38 395 89		(843) (59) (4,608) (102)	\$	7,159 54 150 7 143 574 124
Cash and cash equivalents		79 		8		156				243
Total assets	\$ ==:	4,827 =====	\$ ====	4,199 ======	\$ ====	5,040 =====	\$ ====	(5,612) =====	\$ ===	8,454
Debt Convertible debt obligation to Host Marriott Other liabilities		2,541 492 162	\$	1,148 204	\$	2,669 501	\$	(708) (444)	\$	5,650 492 423
Total liabilities		3,195		1,352		3,170		(1,152)		6,565
Minority interests						109				109
redemption value Owner's capital		323 1,309		 2,847		1,761		 (4,460)		323 1,457
Total liabilities and owner's capital	\$	4,827	\$ ====	4,199 ======	\$	5,040	\$	(5,612)	\$	8,454

December 31, 2001

	Guarantor			-	Non- rantor					
		Parent		idiaries			Eliminations		Cons	olidated
Property and equipment, net Notes and other receivables Due from Managers Rent receivable Investments in affiliates Other assets Restricted cash Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,111 704 (5) 2 2,734 83 22 222		2,026 50 9 16 1,898 186 4	\$	3,862 147 137 26 384 88 122	\$	(847) (44) (4,490) (121) 	Ş	6,999 54 141 142 532 114 352
Total assets		4,873	\$	4,197	\$	4,766 ======	\$	(5,502)	\$ ====	8,334
Debt Convertible debt obligation to Host Marriott Other liabilities		2,545 492 145	·	1,151 158	\$	2,618 438	\$	(712) (300)	\$	5,602 492 441
Total liabilities		3,182		1,309		3,056		(1,012)		6,535
Minority interests						108				108
redemption value		194 1,497		2,888		 1,602		 (4,490)		194 1,497
Total liabilities and owner's capital		4,873	\$	4,197	\$	4,766	\$	(5,502)	\$	8,334

Supplemental Condensed Combined Statements of Operations (in millions) $\qquad \qquad \qquad$

Twelve Weeks Ended June 14, 2002

	P:	arent	arantor sidiaries	Gu	Non- arantor sidiaries	Eliminati	Eliminations		lidated
REVENUES	\$	36	\$ 50	\$	1,011	\$ (177)	\$	920
Hotel operating expenses			3		(606)				(603)
expenses		(14)	(17)		(41)				(72)
Rental expense					(255)		255		
Depreciation and amortization		(15)	(25)		(44)				(84)
Corporate expenses		(1)	(2)		(4)				(7)
Other expenses		1	(1)		(5)				(5)
Minority interest expense					(4)				(4)
Interest income		10	2		2		(10)		4
Interest expense		(49)	(24)		(51)		10		(114)
Net gains on property transactions					1				1
Equity in earning (losses) of affiliates		(18)	 2		(1)		18		1
(Loss) income before income taxes		(50)	(12)		3		96		37
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes		(2)	 		(9)				(11)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	(52)	\$ (12)	\$	(6)	\$	96	\$	26

Twelve Weeks Ended June 15, 2001

		Gu	uarantor	Guarantor		
	Parent	Suk	bsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
DEVENUE	^ 30	Ĉ	4.0	¢ 1.002	ć (1.67)	<u>^</u>
REVENUES	\$ 30	\$	48	\$ 1,083	\$ (167)	\$ 994
Hotel operating expenses				(629)		(629)
Taxes, insurance and other property-level			(16)			
expenses	(12))		(47)		(75)
Rental expenses				(287)	287	
Depreciation and amortization	(21))	(30)	(49)		(100)
Corporate expenses			(3)	(6)		(9)
Other expenses	(3))	(1)	(6)		(10)
Minority interest expense				(5)		(5)
Interest income	15		2	7	(12)	12
Interest expense	(43))	(25)	(56)	12	(112)
Net gains on property transactions	(1))		1		
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates	(26))	2		26	2
(Loss) income before income taxes	(61))	(23)	6	146	68
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes	(4))	`'	(8)		(12)
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(65))	(23)	(2)	146	56
Discontinued Operations Loss from operations				(1)		(1)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (65)) \$	(23)	\$ (3)	\$ 146	\$ 55
		===		========	=========	=========

Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002

			Non-		
		Guarantor	Guarantor		
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
REVENUES	\$ 68	\$ 89	\$ 1,882	\$ (329)	\$ 1,710
Hotel operating expenses Taxes, insurance and other property-level		3	(1,124)		(1,121)
expenses	(27)	(29)	(78)		(134)
Rental expense			(477)	477	
Depreciation and amortization	(31)	(49)	(88)		(168)
Corporate expenses	(3)	(6)	(11)		(20)
Other expenses	(1)	(1)	(7)		(9)
Minority interest expense	(1)		(8)		(9)
Interest income	20	4	3	(20)	7
Interest expense	(97)	(49)	(100)	20	(226)
Net gains on property transactions			2		2
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates	(46)	(2)) (3)	48	(3)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(118)	(40)) (9)	196	29
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes	(3)		(12)		(15)
(Loss) income from continuing operations Discontinued Operations	(121)	(40)	(21)	196	14
Income from operations			7		7
Income (loss) before extraordinary items	(121)	(40)	(14)	196	21
Extraordinary gain on the extinguishment of debt			6		6
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (121)	\$ (40)) \$ (8)	\$ 196	\$ 27

Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 15, 2001

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
REVENUES Hotel operating expenses Taxes, insurance and other property-level	\$ 63 	\$ 89	\$ 2,027 (1,201)	\$ (312)	\$ 1,867 (1,201)
expenses Rental expenses Depreciation and amortization	(25) (36)	(30) (54)	(85) (544) (87)	544	(140) (177)
Corporate expenses Other expenses	(2)	(54) (5) (1)	(10)	 	(177) (17) (12)
Minority interest expense	(2) 25	 3	(10) 11	 (19)	(12) 20
Interest expense	(90) (1) (60)	(49) (7)	(102)	19 71	(222) 1 4
(Loss) income before income taxes	(131)	(54)	(7)	303	111
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes	(6) (137)	 (54)	(9) (16)	 303	(15) 96
Discontinued Operations Loss from operations			(1)		(1)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (137)	\$ (54)	\$ (17)	\$ 303	\$ 95

Supplemental Condensed Combined Statements of Cash Flows (in millions)

Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 14, 2002

	Guarantor Parent Subsidiaries			Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cons	solidated	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES Cash from operations	\$	(3)		45	\$ 134	\$	176
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Acquisitions		(18)		(27)	(117 (51		(117) (96)
Cash used in investing activities		(18)		(27)	(168		(213)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Issuances of debt, net of financing costs Repayment of debt Issuances of common units Distributions Other Transfers to/from Parent Cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(7) (14) 1 (18) (12) (72) (122)		(2) (16) (18)	 (20 88 68		(7) (36) 1 (18) (12)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$	(143)	\$	 =====	\$ 34	\$	(109)

Twenty-four Weeks Ended June 15, 2001

		Parent		rantor idiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Co	onsolidated
OPERATING ACTIVITIES Cash from operations	\$	(179)	\$	87	\$ 171	\$	79
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Acquisitions		(2) (28) 10		 (56) 	 (60) 		(2) (144) 10
Cash used in investing activities		(20)		(56)	(60)		(136)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Issuances of debt, net of financing costs Repayment of debt Issuances of common units Distributions Issuances of cumulative redeemable preferred units, net Other Transfers to/from Parent		115 (116) 2 (159) 144 (9) 84		(6) 1 (27)	6 (17) (57)		121 (139) 2 (159) 144 (8)
Cash (used in) provided by financing activities		61		(32)	(68)		(39)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$ ==:	(138)	\$	(1)	\$ 43 	\$	(96)

11. Subsequent Events

On July 25, 2002, we completed our negotiations with Marriott International of changes to the management and other agreements for substantially all of our Marriott- and Ritz-Carlton-managed hotels. We believe that these changes, which are effective as of December 29, 2001, will provide meaningful benefits to us. We estimate that the cash flow benefit from these modifications will be approximately \$8 million in 2002 and increase to approximately \$25 million by 2006, of which approximately two-thirds is related to cost reductions and other benefits and one-third is related to reduction in incentive management fees. The management contract changes include the following:

- Providing additional approval rights over hotel operating budgets, capital budgets, shared service programs, and changes to certain system wide programs;
- . Reducing the amount of working capital requirements and expanding an existing agreement that allows us to fund FF&E expenditures as incurred from a consolidated account rather than escrowing funds at the hotel level, which collectively increases cash available to us for general corporate purposes by approximately \$125 million.
- . Reducing incentive management fees payable on Marriott-managed hotels;
- . Reducing the amount we pay related to frequent guest programs;
- Gradually reducing the amounts payable with respect to various centrally administered programs; and,
- . Providing additional territorial restrictions for certain hotels in 10 markets

In addition to these modifications, we have expanded the pool of hotels subject to an existing agreement that allows us to sell assets unencumbered by a Marriott management agreement without the payment of termination fees. The revised pool will include forty-six assets, 75% (measured by EBITDA) of which may be sold over approximately a ten year or greater period. This flexibility will enhance the value of these assets and our ability to recycle capital.

We have also agreed to terminate Marriott International's right to purchase up to 20% of each class of our outstanding voting shares upon certain changes of control and to clarify existing provisions in the management agreements that limit our ability to sell a hotel or our company to a competitor of Marriott International.

Forward-looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. We identify forward-looking statements in "intend," "predict," "project," "plan," "objective," "will be," "should," "estimate," or "anticipate," or the negative thereof or other variations thereof or comparable terminology. All forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual transactions, results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future transactions, results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include the risks discussed elsewhere in this Report. Although we believe the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that we will attain these expectations or that any deviations will not be material. Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, we disclaim any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained in this Report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Recent Events

Credit Facility and Senior Notes. On June 6, 2002, we entered into a new credit facility that provides an aggregate revolving loan commitment amount of up to \$400 million (\$300 million of which is available initially, with up to an additional \$100 million becoming available to the extent that our leverage ratio falls below certain specified levels). The new credit facility also includes subcommitments for the issuance of letters of credit and loans to certain of our Canadian subsidiaries in Canadian Dollars, in each case in an amount of up to \$100 million. The new credit facility replaces the prior credit facility. The new credit facility has an initial scheduled maturity in June 2005. We have an option to extend the maturity for an additional year if certain conditions are met at the time of the initial scheduled maturity.

As with the prior facility, the debt under the new credit facility is guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and is currently secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. Unlike the prior facility, all or a portion of the pledges can be released in the event that our pro forma leverage ratio, as defined in the credit facility, falls below a certain level for two consecutive fiscal quarters. The spread we pay over LIBOR on borrowings under the new credit facility will adjust based on our leverage ratio. We also will pay a quarterly commitment fee of .55% on the unused portion of the available loan commitment. Currently, we have no amounts outstanding under the credit facility.

The new credit facility establishes financial covenants for leverage, interest coverage, fixed charge coverage and unsecured interest coverage levels that are generally less stringent than those that would have been applicable under the prior facility. The new credit facility also imposes other customary covenants and restrictions. In many cases, the covenants have been modified to be comparable with the requirements under our senior note indenture.

In the event we do not satisfy a minimum interest coverage ratio and certain other conditions, both the new credit facility and our senior note indenture restrict our ability to incur debt, except debt incurred under our credit facility or in connection with a refinancing of existing debt. In addition, failure to satisfy this ratio will restrict Host REIT's ability to declare and pay dividends, except dividends

necessary to maintain their status as a REIT. As a result of the effect on our business of the September 11 terrorist attacks and the 2001 recession we did not meet our specified minimum interest coverage ratio beginning in the third quarter. Accordingly, we are subject to the restrictions discussed above, although we may take certain actions to achieve compliance on a pro forma basis. These actions include, among others, retiring existing debt, swapping certain of our fixed interest rate debt for lower floating interest rate debt or the acquisition of less leveraged properties. While we believe that we have the ability to complete these actions, there can be no assurance that these options will be available to us later in the year, or if available, that these options would be at a price that would be acceptable.

We have \$3.2 billion of senior notes outstanding as of June 14, 2002. On June 25, 2002, the \$450 million of outstanding 91/2\$ Series H notes were exchanged for 91/2\$ Series I notes. The terms of the Series I notes are substantially identical to the terms of the Series H notes except that the Series I notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, freely transferable.

Hotel Acquisition. Effective June 14, 2002 we completed the acquisition of the 1,139-room Boston Marriott Copley Place for \$214 million consisting of a \$117 million cash payment and the assumption of \$97 million in mortgage debt. The property is located in downtown Boston, Massachusetts and is part of a 3.7 million square foot high-end mixed use development, which includes 200 upscale retail shops and restaurants and is adjacent to the Hynes Convention Center. The acquisition is consistent with our strategy of buying upper upscale properties in hard to duplicate urban, convention and resort locations. We believe that the Boston market has been particularly hard hit by the slowdown in the economy in recent years and that, as a result, should benefit from an eventual improvement in business in this market.

Insurance Coverage. The insurance markets nationwide were significantly impacted by the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and as a result it has become more difficult and more expensive to obtain adequate insurance coverage. We recently obtained new comprehensive insurance policies including general liability, property, business interruption and other risks with respect to all of our properties. We believe our insurance coverage is appropriate to protect our properties. However, the terrorism coverage we have obtained excludes various risks such as nuclear or biological acts, and is subject to annual aggregate. For our Marriott operated properties, these aggregate limits are shared among various properties, including other properties managed by Marriott International that are not owned by us. The insurance on our non-Marriott branded properties has been renewed under similar terms, except that this coverage is not shared among other owners. Additionally, our new policies have significantly higher premiums although these costs should remain at less than 0.1% of our annual revenues. We estimate that insurance costs for these hotel-related property and terrorism insurance policies will increase approximately \$13 million to \$25 million in 2002.

In addition, our debt agreements, typically require us to maintain a minimum rating of our insurance carrier from Standard & Poors, A.M Best or other rating agencies. Currently, we do not satisfy the requirement for a minimum Standard & Poors rating of AA for seven of our properties subject to approximately \$739 million of indebtedness. We have notified our lenders regarding this situation, and we have obtained, for certain of our Marriott-managed properties that have mortgage debt, insurance coverage provided by a carrier with a rating from Standard & Poors of AA- and a rating from A.M. Best of A+XV. However, we cannot assure you that each of our lenders will be satisfied with the AA- rating level of our current carrier. If the lenders are unwilling or unable to amend or waive these covenants, or if we are unable to obtain insurance coverage that complies with the covenants in these loan agreements the lenders may determine that we are out of compliance with the terms of the relevant debt agreement in which case to the extent the lenders chose to pursue their remedies and we were unable to prevent this course of action we may be required to refinance the debt with debt carrying different insurance requirements. There can be no assurances that we will be able to complete such a refinancing on terms acceptable to us or at

all. We describe changes to our insurance coverage and the risks related thereto in Part II, Item 5 of this Report.

Management and Other Agreements. On July 25, 2002, we completed our negotiations with Marriott International of changes to the management and other agreements for substantially all of our Marriott- and Ritz-Carlton-managed hotels. We believe that these changes, which are effective as of December 29, 2001, will provide meaningful benefits to us. We estimate that the cash flow benefit from these modifications will be approximately \$8 million in 2002 and increase to approximately \$25 million by 2006, of which approximately two-thirds is related to cost reductions and other benefits and one-third is related to reduction in incentive management fees. The management contract changes include the following:

- Providing additional approval rights over hotel operating budgets, capital budgets, shared service programs, and changes to certain system wide programs;
- . Reducing the amount of working capital requirements and expanding an existing agreement that allows us to fund FF&E expenditures as incurred from a consolidated account rather than escrowing funds at the hotel level, which collectively increases cash available to us for general corporate purposes by approximately \$125 million.
- . Reducing incentive management fees payable on Marriott-managed hotels;
- Reducing the amount we pay related to frequent guest programs;
- . Gradually reducing the amounts payable with respect to various centrally administered programs; and,
- Providing additional territorial restrictions for certain hotels in 10 markets.

In addition to these modifications, we have expanded the pool of hotels subject to an existing agreement that allows us to sell assets unencumbered by a Marriott management agreement without the payment of termination fees. The revised pool will include forty-six assets, 75% (measured by EBITDA) of which may be sold over approximately a ten year or greater period. This flexibility will enhance the value of these assets and our ability to recycle capital.

We have also agreed to terminate Marriott International's right to purchase up to 20% of each class of our outstanding voting shares upon certain changes of control and to clarify existing provisions in the management agreements that limit our ability to sell a hotel or our company to a competitor of Marriott International.

exchange their hotel partnership interests for OP Units. In April 2002, the investors elected to exchange 6,875,844 partnership units for OP Units, which increased our ownership in the partnership that owns the hotel from a 51% interest to a 90% interest. The minority partner continues to receive fees for marketing and other services which totaled \$1.7 million and \$2.0 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001, respectively. Host REIT has agreed to promptly register the equivalent shares of common stock with the SEC for resale by such investors upon conversion of their OP Units. We would receive no proceeds from the sale of these shares.

Lodging Performance

For the second quarter of 2002, RevPAR for comparable hotels decreased approximately 9.8% when compared to the same period in 2001. The decline is the result of a decrease in average occupancy of 1.6 percentage points and the decline of average room rates of 7.9%. Also, year-to-date RevPAR decreased 11.0%, as occupancy decreased 1.9 percentage points and room rates declined by 8.6%. A significant portion of the decline in room rate is a result of the change in the mix of business to lower rated group and transient segments rather than simply a reduction in room rate. If the rate of economic growth continues to improve and airline travel increases, we expect it to create a rising demand for our properties, particularly amongst individual business travelers, a segment which typically pays a higher rate.

The changes in our RevPAR reflect a decline in operations in every region for the quarter and year-to-date; however, the decreases in RevPAR varied across the country. For example, our hotels in the Pacific region had a significant decline as a result of a weakened technology industry, declining attendance at citywide events and significant reductions in airline travel to the San Francisco Airport. RevPAR for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 at our hotels in San Francisco and Los Angeles declined 27.3% and 19.3%, respectively, when compared to the same period in 2001. However, our performance in other cities was much better. For example, our three hotels in Philadelphia and three hotels in San Antonio had RevPAR improvements of 4.0% and 7.1%, respectively, for the twenty-four week period ended June 14, 2002. These cities were able to take advantage of strong convention sales to generate an increase in RevPAR.

The charts below set forth performance information for our comparable properties as of June 14, 2002:

Comparable by Region Twenty-four Weeks Ended

	As of June 14,	2002	Jun	e 14, 2002	1	June 15, 2001				
	No. of Properties/(1)/	No. of Rooms	Average Daily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Average Daily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR	
Atlanta	. 15	6 , 563	\$ 147.85	69.0%	\$ 101.99	\$ 159.06	70.5% \$	112.08	(9.0)%	
DC Metro	. 13	4,998	144.63	76.9	111.17	160.41	75.0	120.26	(7.6)	
Florida	. 13	7,581	163.43	73.4	119.95	173.42	74.4	129.00	(7.0)	
International	. 4	1,641	97.90	72.9	71.37	105.21	77.1	81.11	(12.0)	
Mid-Atlantic	. 9	6,222	191.15	79.0	150.93	200.35	81.4	163.18	(7.5)	
Mountain	. 8	3,313	107.90	69.3	74.79	116.10	70.6	81.91	(8.7)	
New England	. 6	2,277	134.37	69.1	92.91	158.15	71.7	113.38	(18.1)	
North Central	. 15	5,395	122.52	69.3	84.87	138.57	70.9	98.31	(13.7)	
Pacific	. 23	11,817	155.81	70.2	109.33	173.57	74.5	129.43	(15.5)	
South Central	. 12	6,515	134.14	81.0	108.66	140.18	79.1	110.86	(2.0)	
All Regions	. 118	56 , 322	148.17	73.2	108.42	160.94	74.8	120.26	(9.8)	

Comparable by Region Twenty-four Weeks Ended

					T.M.E	nty-rour					
	As of June 14,	2002	June	e 14, 2002			June	15, 2001			
	No. of Properties/(1)/	No. of Rooms	Average Daily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentage		RevPAR	Average Daily Rate	Averag Occupan Percenta	су	RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Atlanta	15	6,563	\$ 145.52	69.1%	\$	100.50	\$ 158.25	71.6%	\$	113.25	(11.3)%
DC Metro	13	4,998	140.51	70.2		98.66	159.82	70.3		112.35	(12.2)
Florida		7,581	168.41	76.4		128.65	182.96	77.4		141.60	(9.1)
International	4	1,641	96.26	68.7		66.09	103.36	73.3		75.73	(12.7)
Mid-Atlantic	9	6,222	184.29	77.6		143.03	195.91	78.6		153.94	(7.1)
Mountain	8	3,313	117.82	68.9		81.21	120.16	71.9		86.43	(6.0)
New England	6	2,277	127.21	64.0		81.46	148.00	66.8		98.83	(17.6)
North Central	15	5,395	117.92	65.7		77.52	133.45	67.8		90.41	(14.3)
Pacific	23	11,817	156.51	70.3		110.08	177.35	74.5		132.14	(16.7)
South Central	12	6,515	137.17	79.9		109.57	143.53	79.2		113.68	(3.6)
All Regions	118	56,322	148.15	72.1		106.81	162.15	74.0		120.06	(11.0)
	======	=====									

^{/(1)/} Consists of 118 properties owned, directly or indirectly, by us for the first and second quarters of 2002 and 2001, respectively, excluding properties with non-comparable operating environments as a result of acquisitions, dispositions, property damage and expansions and development projects during the periods being compared.

The charts below set forth performance information for our entire portfolio as of June 14, 2002:

All Properties by Region Twelve Weeks Ended

	As of June 1	4, 2002	,	June 14, 200)2 J				June 15, 2001			
	No. of Properties/(1)/	No. of Rooms/(1)/	Average ily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages		RevPAR		Average aily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages		RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Atlanta	15	6,563	\$ 147.85	69.0%	\$	101.99	\$	159.06	70.5%	\$	112.08	(9.0)%
DC Metro	13	4,998	144.63	76.9		111.17		160.41	75.0		120.26	(7.6)
Florida	14	7,876	167.10	72.9		121.78		173.42	74.4		129.00	(5.6)
International	6	2,553	109.88	72.2		79.38		119.09	75.0		89.27	(11.1)
Mid-Atlantic	10	6,726	190.62	78.8		150.14		200.33	81.5		163.35	(8.1)
Mountain	8	3,313	107.87	69.3		74.77		116.60	69.1		80.56	(7.2)
New England	7	3,416	134.85	69.2		93.33		158.15	71.7		113.38	(17.7)
North Central	15	5 , 395	122.52	69.3		84.87		138.57	70.9		98.31	(13.7)
Pacific	23	11,817	155.81	70.2		109.33		173.75	74.5		129.43	(15.5)
South Central	12	6,515 	134.14	81.0		108.66		137.76	78.6		108.34	0.3
All Regions	123	59 , 172	148.78	73.1		108.75		161.31	74.8		120.62	(9.8)

All Properties by Region Twenty-four Weeks Ended

	As of June 14, 2002				June 14, 2002				June 15, 2001			
	No. of Properties/(1)/	No. of Rooms/(1)/		Average ily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages		RevPAR	Average Daily Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages		RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Atlanta	15	6,563	\$	145.52	69.1%	\$	100.50	\$ 158.25	71.6%	S	113.25	(11.3)%
DC Metro	13	4,998		140.51	70.2		98.66	159.82	70.3		112.35	(12.2)
Florida	14	7,876		171.79	75.9		130.39	182.96	77.4		141.60	(7.9)
International	6	2,553		109.61	69.2		75.83	112.88	72.8		82.20	(7.8)
Mid-Atlantic	10	6,726		183.48	77.1		141.50	198.51	78.5		155.82	(9.2)
Mountain	8	3,313		117.77	68.9		81.18	125.34	71.4		89.55	(9.4)
New England	7	3,416		127.52	64.1		81.72	148.00	66.8		98.83	(17.3)
North Central	15	5,395		117.92	65.7		77.52	133.45	67.8		90.41	(14.3)
Pacific	23	11,817		156.51	70.3		110.08	177.35	74.5		132.14	(16.7)
South Central	12	6,515		136.78	79.2		108.36	142.10	79.0		112.20	(3.4)
All Regions	123	59,172		148.67	72.0		106.97	163.28	74.1		121.00	(11.6)

/(1)/ $\,\,$ For 2001, the results of operations represent 125 hotels with 60,080 rooms.

During the second quarter of 2001, we began to work with our managers $\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ to control operating costs at our hotels through eliminating management positions, closing unprofitable operations and other cost control measures. We continued these measures during the first two quarters of 2002, which resulted in a decrease in labor costs at the hotels, productivity improvements and lower utility costs. Additionally, decreases in our hotel margins were partially offset by reduced incentive management fees and relatively stronger food and beverage results. However, our ability to achieve significant additional reductions in variable costs is limited. We believe that we will see less of a year-over-year decline in margins in the third quarter of 2002 than we saw in the second quarter of 2002. Furthermore, during the fourth quarter we expect margins to improve when compared to the fourth quarter of 2001 as we experience significant increases in RevPAR over the exceptionally depressed levels in 2001. For the full year of 2002, we expect an overall decline in comparable property-level operating margins of 75 to 150 basis points when compared to 2001.

We continue to work with the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey and the Lower Manhattan Development Corporation to determine how the World Trade Center site in New York will be redeveloped. We anticipate that it will be several years before these issues are resolved. We are also working closely with our insurance companies to resolve our claims related to the destruction of the Marriott World Trade Center and the damage to the New York Marriott Financial Center, including negotiating insurance payments for property damage as well as business interruption. We reopened the New York Marriott Financial Center on January 7, 2002. We have received no insurance proceeds for property damage at the World Trade Center. To the extent that we do, it will be held in escrow by a trustee until a final resolution on the site is determined.

During 2002, we expect to receive additional business interruption proceeds for what we believe our operating results would have been absent the terrorist attacks, although the actual receipt of some of these proceeds may not happen before December 31, 2002. In addition, special restrictive accounting rules developed for the events of September 11, 2001 may delay our ability to recognize a portion of the business interruption advances as income until we resolve certain contingencies with our insurance providers. Since September 11, 2001, the Company has received \$40 million in insurance proceeds with respect to the two hotels, \$10 million of which was received subsequent to June 14, 2002. Of the \$40 million received, we have offset \$13 million of operating expenses at the properties and spent an additional \$5 million in building repairs.

Results of Operations

2002 Compared to 2001

Revenues. Hotel sales decreased \$62 million, or 6.5%, to \$896 million for the twelve weeks ended June 14, 2002 and decreased \$137 million, or 7.6%, to \$1,660 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002. This decline reflects the continued weakness in the lodging industry, primarily as a result of reduced business travel. We have adjusted our rooms sales mix to reflect this trend and, as a result, have increased the amount of group business at our properties. Although group business typically has a lower rate as compared to individual business travelers, the difference has been mitigated by greater occupancy levels and additional food and beverage revenues.

Rental income decreased \$12 million, or 33.3%, to \$24 million for the second quarter of 2002 compared to the second quarter of 2001 and decreased \$20 million, or 28.6% to \$50 million for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, when compared to the same period in 2001. Rental income for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001 includes: 1) lease income from our limited service hotel leases of \$31 million for both periods, 2) lease income from full-service hotel leases of \$17 million and \$37 million, respectively, and 3) office space rental income of \$2 million for both periods. We repurchased the lessee entities with respect to four of the five full-service hotels leased to third parties effective June 16, 2001, terminating those leases for financial reporting purposes. As a result, we currently record rental income with respect to only one full-service hotel.

Operating Costs and Expenses. Operating costs and expenses decreased \$52 million, or 6.4%, to \$771 million for the second quarter of 2002 compared to the second quarter of 2001. For the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, operating costs and expenses decreased \$95 million, or 6.2%, to \$1.45 billion from the same period in 2001. This decline is the result of our efforts to control operating costs at the hotels and the overall decline in demand. Rental and other expense for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and June 15, 2001, includes expense for our limited service hotel leases of \$32 million and \$33 million, respectively, and office building expenses of \$1 million for both periods. These expenses

are included in taxes, insurance and other property-level expenses on the consolidated statements of operations.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$16 million or 16.0% for the second quarter of 2002 versus the second quarter of 2001, and decreased \$9 million or 5.1% year-to-date. The decline in depreciation expense reflects a \$13 million impairment charge due to the reclassification of certain hotels from held-for-use to held-for-sale recorded during the second quarter of 2001, the sale of three hotels during the second half of 2001, and the loss of the Marriott World Trade Center as a result of the September 11th terrorist attacks. These declines were partially offset by the increased depreciation related to the opening of the Ritz-Carlton, Naples Golf Resort in January, 2002 and the consolidation of three hotels and other equipment as a result of the acquisition of the voting interests in Rockledge Hotel Properties, Inc. during April 2001.

Corporate Expenses. For the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, corporate expenses increased \$3 million, primarily as a result of an increase in stock-based compensation expense related to the increase in the Company's stock price since year-end.

Equity in Earnings (Losses) of Affiliates. For the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, equity in losses of affiliates was \$3 million compared to equity in earnings of affiliates of \$4 million during the twenty-four weeks ended June 15, 2001. The decrease primarily reflects our equity share in operating losses on investments in CBM Joint Venture LLC and JWDC Limited Partnership.

Discontinued Operations. During January of 2002, we transferred the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion to the mortgage lender in a non-cash transaction. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which we adopted January 1, 2002, the hotel's income from operations, net of tax, of \$7 million was recorded as discontinued operations. Additionally, the standard requires the reclassification of the previously reported earnings of the discontinued hotel to discontinued operations. As a result, for the first two quarters of 2001, we recorded a loss from discontinued operations of \$1 million, net of taxes, for the operations of the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion.

Extraordinary Gain. During the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, we recorded an extraordinary gain, net of tax, of \$6 million representing the extinguishment of debt on the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion, which we transferred to the lender.

Net Income Available to Common Unitholders. The decrease reflects the previously discussed changes in operation as well as the increase in distributions on preferred limited partner units due to the issuance of \$143 million Class C preferred stock during the second quarter of 2001.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of cash are cash from operations, borrowings under our revolving credit facility and our ability to obtain additional financing through various financial markets. In addition, as a result of the recently completed agreement with Marriott International, we will be receiving approximately \$125 million in cash which will be available for general corporate purposes. Our principal uses of cash are capital expenditures at our properties, payments on debt and distributions to our equityholders and minority owners of the operating partnership. We believe our sources of cash will be sufficient to meet our liquidity needs.

During the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, we reported a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$109 million, primarily a result of \$117 million of cash used for the purchase of the Boston Marriott Copley Place. At June 14, 2002, we maintained \$243 million of cash on hand, no outstanding debt on our credit facility and no significant debt maturities until 2005. Our new long-term credit facility, which we negotiated during the second quarter, is smaller but contains less restrictive covenants than our previous facility. We do not believe we will need to access the credit facility during 2002.

In addition to the recent acquisition of the Boston Marriott Copley, we remain interested in both single asset and portfolio transactions and expect that over the next couple of years there will be more opportunities to acquire assets that are consistent with our target profile. On the disposition front, we are continuing our efforts to sell non-core assets in slower growth markets that are not consistent with our preferred portfolio. We are currently forecasting dispositions of \$75 to \$100 million this year.

Cash from Operations. During 2002, our cash provided by operations increased by \$97 when compared to the same period in 2001. The increase over prior year is primarily due to \$204 million of operating cash used in the first half of 2001 for the purchase of the Crestline lessee entities, which was offset by the decline in net income at the hotels.

Cash from/(used in) Investing Activities. Based on our assessment of the current operating environment and to conserve capital, we have continued our disciplined approach to capital expenditures during 2002. As a result, capital expenditures at our properties have decreased by \$48 million, or 33.3%, when compared to the same period in 2001. We have achieved these decreases while focusing on property maintenance and selected improvements to maintain high quality standards. We anticipate spending approximately \$185 million on capital expenditures in 2002. As a result of the changes in the management agreements with Marriott International discussed above, approximately \$75 million of funds previously held in escrow accounts for capital expenditures at certain properties will be returned to us. While we continue to be obligated to fund capital expenditures at these properties as such expenditures are approved by us, this modification will enable us to use the available funds for general corporate purposes on an ongoing basis. Other investing activity included the purchase of the Boston Marriott Copley Place for \$117 million of cash. The acquisition is consistent with our strategy of buying upper-upscale properties in hard to duplicate urban, convention and resort locations.

Cash from/(used in) Financing Activities. During 2002, the cash used in financing activities primarily consisted of principal repayments on debt of \$36 million and preferred Limited Partner Unit distributions of \$18 million. On June 17, 2002, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had declared a distribution of \$0.625 per Preferred Limited Partner Unit, which was paid on July 15, 2002 to unitholders of record on June 28, 2002. We have not declared a distribution on our common limited partner units during 2002. Our policy on paying distributions has been to distribute the minimum amount necessary for Host REIT to maintain REIT status, which is generally an amount nearly equal to its taxable income. We expect to be able to reinstate a minimal distribution on our limited partner units during the fourth quarter of 2002 if we continue to see improvement in our operations. It is our intention to continue to pay distributions on our preferred units.

Aggregate Debt Maturities and Minimum Annual Rental Commitments on Non-Cancelable Leases. The table below summarizes our obligations for principal payments on our debt and the minimum lease payments due on our operating leases (in millions).

Thereafter	2,419	1,570	102	1,164
2005	513 300	57 441	3	97 95
2004		83		101
2003		136		104
2002/(1)/		26		58
	Senior Notes	Mortgage Debt	Other	Leases/(2)/

^{/(1)/} Amounts shown for principal payments and minimum lease payments due on our operating leases for 2002 are for the third and fourth quarters of 2002 only.

FFO and EBITDA

We consider Comparative Funds From Operations ("Comparative FFO"), which consists of Funds From Operations, as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, adjusted for significant non-recurring items detailed in the chart below, and our consolidated earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items (including contingent rent) ("EBITDA") to be indicative measures of our operating performance due to the significance of our long-lived assets. Comparative FFO and EBITDA are also useful in measuring our ability to service debt, fund capital expenditures and expand our business. Furthermore, management believes that Comparative FFO and EBITDA are meaningful disclosures that will help unitholders and the investment community to better understand our financial performance, including comparing our performance to other real estate investment trusts. However, Comparative FFO and EBITDA as presented may not be comparable to amounts calculated by other companies. This information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating profit, cash from operations, or any other operating or liquidity performance measure prescribed by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Cash expenditures for various long-term assets, interest expense (for EBITDA purposes only) and other items have been, and will be incurred which are not reflected in the EBITDA and Comparative FFO presentations.

 $^{/\}left(2\right)/$ \$839 million of the total operating lease expenditures is for ground leases on our properties. \$693\$ million of the total operating leaseexpenditures above relate to our sale-leaseback relationships with Hospitality Properties Trust (HPT) with regards to 53 Courtyard properties and 18 Residence Inn properties. In connection with the REIT conversion, we sublet the hotels to subsidiaries of Crestline Capital Corporation (Crestline), now a subsidiary of Barcelo Hotels and Resorts. Rent due to us under the non-cancelable sublease is equal to the minimum rent payable by us under the lease with HPT and includes an additional percentage rent payable to us. The percentage rent payable under the subleases is sufficient to cover any additional rent due under the non-recourse HPT lease, which is calculated based on the hotel sales, and is quaranteed by Crestline, up to a maximum amount of \$30 million. Additionally, \$41 million is due under the subleases with regard to our former restaurant business. None of the \$734 million of rent due us under the subleases is included in the table above.

		welve We				Twenty-Four Weeks Ended			
	June 14, 2002		Jur	ne 15, 2001	Jun	e 14, 002	Jur	ne 15, 2001	
Income from continuing operations Effect on revenue of SAB 101 Interest expense Depreciation and amortization Minority interest expense Income taxes Equity in (earnings) losses of affiliates Lease repurchase expense Other non-cash changes, net		26 1 114 84 4 11 (1) (1)	\$	56 8 112 100 5 12 (2) 5 8	\$	14 2 226 168 9 15 3 6	\$	96 15 222 177 12 15 (4) 5	
EBITDA of Host LP	\$	238	\$	304	\$	443	\$	542	
Interest expense Dividends on preferred limited partner units Income tax expense Effective impact of lease repurchase Partnership adjustments and other		(114) (9) (11) 3 3		(112) (9) (12) 3 (6)		(226) (18) (15) 6 (8)		(222) (14) (15) 3	
Comparative Funds From Operations of Host LP available to common unitholders	\$	110	\$	168	\$	182	\$	294	

Our interest coverage ratio, defined as EBITDA divided by cash interest expense, was 2.2 times and 2.7 times for the 2002 and 2001 twenty-four week periods, respectively, and 2.1 times for full year 2001. The ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends was 1.2 to 1.0 in the second quarter of 2002 versus 1.5 to 1.0 in the second quarter of 2001. We reported a ratio of earnings to fixed charges of 1.2 to 1.0 for the full year 2001.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Historically, our debt has primarily been fixed rate, including all of our outstanding senior notes. However, certain of our financial instruments are sensitive to changes in interest rates, including our credit facility and the mortgage debt on our Canadian properties. There were no amounts outstanding on the credit facility during the second quarter and as of June 14, 2002. The spread we pay over LIBOR on the credit facility will adjust based on our leverage ratio. The Canadian mortgage debt, which is denominated in US dollars and had a balance of \$96.6 million at June 14, 2002, has an interest rate based on LIBOR plus 275 basis points. The weighted average interest rate for this mortgage debt was 4.7% for the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002 and 5.5% for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Subsequent to the Series H senior note offering in December 2001, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converts our obligation under the \$450 million notional amount of indebtedness from a fixed rate to a floating rate based on 30 day LIBOR plus 450 basis points. The swap became effective on January 15, 2002 and matures in January 2007. A change in the LIBOR rate of 100 basis points will result in \$4.5 million increase or decrease in interest expense. The swap has been designated as a fair value hedge and changes in the interest rate over the life of the agreement are recorded as an adjustment to interest expense. Changes in the fair value of the swap and the notes are reflected on the balance sheet as offsetting changes and have no income statement effect.

During January of 2002, we purchased, for \$3.5 million, a 5-year interest rate cap with a notional amount of \$450 million. The interest rate cap limits our exposure under the Series H interest rate swap to the extent that the floating rate we are required to pay under that agreement exceeds 14%. Changes in interest rates will affect the fair value of the cap. The gains or losses from the changes in the market value of the cap will be recorded in other income or expense in the current period. The fair value of this cap has decreased during 2002 and we have recorded expenses of \$2.2 million and \$1.1 million for the twenty-four weeks and twelve weeks ended June 14, 2002, respectively.

Exchange Rate Sensitivity

In connection with the mortgage debt discussed above, our Canadian subsidiaries entered into currency forward contracts to hedge the currency exposure of converting Canadian dollars to US dollars on a monthly basis to cover debt service payments on the mortgage debt. This swap has been designated as a cash flow hedge of the principal payments, and the forward contracts are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet with offsetting changes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. The fair value of the forward contracts was \$(55) thousand at June 14, 2002 and \$1.5 million at December 31, 2001.

In addition, our hotels in Mexico have earnings that are denominated in pesos while the debt obligations on these properties are denominated in dollars. We have not hedged our currency risk in Mexico, however the peso has appreciated significantly since our original investment in these hotels. To the extent that the peso declines in value versus the dollar, some or all of the benefit due to the appreciation of the peso may be offset. We are exploring refinancing the debt on our hotels in Mexico, with the intent of replacing the current debt with peso denominated debt. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in completing such a refinancing.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We believe all of the lawsuits in which we are a defendant are without merit and we intend to defend vigorously against such claims; however, no assurance can be given as to the outcome of any of the lawsuits. We do not believe the outcome of any of the current lawsuits will materially effect our financial statements.

Item 5. Other Items

RISK FACTORS

Risks relating to redemption of OP Units

A holder who redeems OP Units may have adverse tax effects. A holder of OP Units who redeems OP Units will be treated for tax purposes as having sold the OP Units. The sale will be taxable and the holder will be treated as realizing an amount equal to the sum of the value of the common stock or cash the holder receives plus the amount of operating partnership nonrecourse liabilities allocable to the redeemed OP Units. It is possible that the amount of gain the holder recognizes could exceed the value of the common stock the holder receives. It is even possible that the tax liability resulting from this gain could exceed the value of the common stock or cash the holder receives.

If a holder of OP Units redeems OP Units, the original receipt of the OP Units may be subject to tax. If a holder of OP Units redeems OP Units, particularly within two years of receiving them, there is a risk that the original receipt of the OP Units may be treated as a taxable sale under the "disguised sale" rules of the Internal Revenue Code. Subject to several exceptions, the tax law generally provides that a partner's contribution of property to a partnership and a simultaneous or subsequent transfer of money or other consideration from the partnership to the partner will be presumed to be a taxable sale. In particular, if money or other consideration is transferred by a partnership to a partner within two years of the partner's contribution of property, the transactions are presumed to be a taxable sale of the contributed property unless the facts and circumstances clearly establish that the transfers are not a sale. On the other hand, if two years have passed between the original contribution of property and the transfer of money or other consideration, the transactions will not be presumed to be a taxable sale unless the facts and circumstances clearly establish that they should be.

Differences between an investment in shares of common stock and OP Units may affect redeeming holders of OP Units. If a holder of OP Units elects to redeem OP Units, we will determine whether the holder receives cash or shares of our common stock in exchange for the OP Units. Although an investment in shares of Host REIT's common stock is substantially similar to an investment in OP Units, there are some differences between ownership of OP Units and ownership of common stock. These differences include form of organization, management structure, voting rights, liquidity and federal income taxation, some of which may be material to investors.

There are possible differing fiduciary duties of Host REIT, as the general partner, and the Board of Directors of Host REIT. Host REIT, as the general partner of the operating partnership, and the Board of Directors of Host REIT, respectively, owe fiduciary duties to their constituent owners. Although some courts have interpreted the fiduciary duties of the Board of Directors in the same way as the duties of a general partner in a limited partnership, it is unclear whether, or to what extent, there are

differences in such fiduciary duties. It is possible that the fiduciary duties of the directors of Host REIT to the shareholders may be less than those of Host REIT, as the general partner of the operating partnership, to the holders of OP Units.

We expect to make distributions to Host REIT even when we cannot otherwise make restricted payments under the indenture and the bank credit facility. Even though we expect generally to be prohibited from making restricted payments under the indenture, based upon our estimates of taxable income for 2002, we expect to be able to make distributions to Host REIT under the indenture and the bank credit facility.

Under the indenture, we are only allowed to make restricted payments if, at the time we make such a restricted payment, we are able to incur at lease \$1.00 of indebtedness under the "Limitation on Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Disqualified Stock" covenant. If our consolidated coverage ratio becomes less than 2.0 to 1.0, which did occur beginning in the third quarter, we will not be able to incur \$1.00 of additional indebtedness and, thus, will not be able to make any restricted payments until we comply with the covenant.

Even when we are unable to make restricted payments during the period that our consolidated coverage ratio is less than 2.0 to 1.0, the indenture permits us to make permitted REIT distributions, which are any distributions (1) to Host REIT that are necessary to maintain Host REIT's status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code or to satisfy the distributions required to be made by reason of Host REIT's making of the election provided for in Notice 88-19 (or Treasury regulations issued pursuant thereto) if the aggregate principal amount of all of our outstanding indebtedness (other than our convertible debt obligations to Host REIT pertaining to its QUIPs) and that of our restricted subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, at such time is less than 80% of Adjusted Total Assets (as defined in the indenture) and (2) to certain other holders of our partnership units where such distribution is required as a result of, or a condition to, the payment of distributions to Host REIT.

We intend, during the period that we are unable to make restricted payments under the indenture and under similar restrictions under the bank credit facility, to continue our practice of distributing quarterly, based on our current estimates of taxable income for any year, an amount of our available cash sufficient to enable Host REIT to pay quarterly dividends on its preferred stock (and, to the extent permitted under the bank credit facility, on its common stock) in an amount necessary to satisfy the requirements applicable to REITs under the Internal Revenue Code. In the event that we make distributions to Host REIT in amounts in excess of those necessary for Host REIT to maintain its status as a REIT, we will be in default under this indenture.

Risks of Ownership of Host REIT Common Stock

There are limitations on the ability of investors to acquire Host REIT common stock and to effect a change in control. The charter and bylaws of Host REIT, our partnership agreement, Host REIT's shareholder rights plan, the Maryland General Corporation Law and certain contracts contain a number of provisions that could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change in control of us that might involve a premium price for Host REIT's shareholders or otherwise be in their best interests, including the following:

. Ownership limit. The 9.8% ownership limit described under "Risk Factors--Risks of Ownership of Host REIT Common Stock--There are possible adverse consequences of limits on ownership of Host REIT common stock" may have the effect of precluding a change in control of us by a third party without the consent of Host REIT's Board of Directors, even if the change in control would be in the interests of Host REIT's shareholders, and even if the change in control would not reasonably jeopardize Host REIT's REIT status.

- Staggered board. Host REIT's Board of Directors consists of seven director positions, one of which is currently vacant, but Host REIT's charter provides that the number of directors may be increased or decreased according to Host REIT's bylaws, provided that the total number of directors is not less than three nor more than 13. Pursuant to Host REIT's bylaws, the number of directors will be fixed by Host REIT's Board of Directors within the limits set forth in Host REIT's charter. Host REIT's Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors. Directors for each class are chosen for a three-year term when the term of the current class expires. The staggered terms for directors may affect Host REIT's shareholders' ability to effect a change in control of Host REIT, even if a change in control would be in the interests of Host REIT's shareholders.
- Removal of Board of Directors. Host REIT's charter provides that, except for any directors who may be elected by holders of a class or series of shares of capital stock other than Host REIT common stock, directors may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of shareholders holding at least two-thirds of Host REIT's outstanding shares entitled to be cast for the election of directors. Vacancies on the Board of Directors may be filled by the concurring vote of a majority of the remaining directors and, in the case of a vacancy resulting from the removal of a director by the shareholders, by at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors.
- Preferred shares; classification or reclassification of unissued shares of capital stock without shareholder approval. Host REIT's charter provides that the total number of shares of stock of all classes which Host REIT has authority to issue is 800,000,000, initially consisting of 750,000,000 shares of common stock and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, of which 14,140,000 shares of preferred stock were issued and outstanding as of July 15, 2002. Host REIT's Board of Directors has the authority, without a vote of shareholders, to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of stock, including common stock, into preferred stock, or vice versa, and to establish the preferences and rights of any preferred or other class or series of shares to be issued. The issuance of preferred shares or other shares having special preferences or rights could delay or prevent a change in control even if a change in control would be in the interests of Host REIT's shareholders. Because Host REIT's Board of Directors has the power to establish the preferences and rights of additional classes or series of shares without a shareholder vote, Host REIT's Board of Directors may give the holders of any class or series preferences, powers and rights, including voting rights, senior to the rights of holders of Host REIT common stock.
- . Consent rights of the limited partners. Under our partnership agreement, Host REIT generally will be able to merge or consolidate with another entity with the consent of partners holding percentage interests that are more than 50% of the aggregate percentage interests of the outstanding limited partnership interests entitled to vote on the merger or consolidation, including any limited partnership interests held by Host REIT, as long as the holders of limited partnership interests either receive or have the right to receive the same consideration as Host REIT's shareholders. Host REIT, as holder of a majority of the limited partnership interests, would be able to control the vote. Under Host REIT's charter, holders of at least two-thirds of Host REIT's outstanding shares of common stock generally must approve the merger or consolidation.
- . Maryland business combination law. Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, specified "business combinations," including specified issuances of equity securities, between a Maryland corporation and any person who owns 10% or more of the voting power of the corporation's then outstanding shares, or an "interested shareholder," or an affiliate of the interested shareholder are

prohibited for five years after the most recent date in which the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder. Thereafter, any of these specified business combinations must be approved by 80% of the outstanding voting shares, and by two-thirds of the voting shares other than voting shares held by an interested shareholder unless, among other conditions, the corporation's common shareholders receive a minimum price, as defined in the Maryland General Corporation Law, for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested shareholder. Host REIT is subject to the Maryland business combination statute.

Maryland control share acquisition law. Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, "control shares" acquired in a "control share acquisition" have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding shares owned by the acquiror and by officers or directors who are employees of the corporation. "Control shares" are voting shares which, if aggregated with all other voting shares previously acquired by the acquiror or over which the acquiror is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquiror $\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power: (1) one-fifth or more but less than one-third, (2) one-third or more but less than a majority or (3) a majority or more of the voting power. Control shares do not include shares the acquiring person is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained shareholder approval. A "control share acquisition" means the acquisition of control shares, subject to specified exceptions. Host REIT is subject to these control share provisions of Maryland law, subject to an exemption for Marriott International pursuant to its purchase right discussed below. See "Risk Factors--Risks of Ownership of Host REIT Common Stock--There are limitations on the ability of investors to acquire Host REIT common stock and to effect a change in control--Marriott International purchase right".

Merger, consolidation, share exchange and transfer of our assets. Pursuant to Host REIT's charter, subject to the terms of any outstanding class or series of capital stock, Host REIT can merge with or into another entity, consolidate with one or more other entities, participate in a share exchange or transfer its assets within the meaning of the Maryland General Corporation Law if approved (1) by Host REIT's Board of Directors in the manner provided in the Maryland General Corporation Law and (2) by Host REIT's shareholders holding two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, except that any merger of Host REIT with or into a trust organized for the purpose of changing its form of organization from a corporation to a trust requires only the approval of Host REIT's shareholders holding a majority of all votes entitled to be cast on the merger. Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, specified mergers may be approved without a vote of shareholders and a share exchange is only required to be approved by a Maryland corporation by its Board of Directors. Host REIT's voluntary dissolution also would require approval of shareholders holding two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the

Amendments to Host REIT's charter and bylaws. Host REIT's charter contains provisions relating to restrictions on transferability of Host REIT common stock, the classified Board of Directors, fixing the size of Host REIT's Board of Directors within the range set forth in Host REIT's charter, removal of directors and the filling of vacancies, all of which may be amended only by a resolution adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by Host REIT's shareholders holding two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. As permitted under the Maryland General Corporation Law, Host REIT's charter and bylaws provide that directors have the exclusive right to amend Host REIT's bylaws. Amendments of this provision of Host

REIT's charter also would require action of Host REIT's Board of Directors and approval by shareholders holding two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

Shareholder rights plan. Host REIT adopted a shareholder rights plan which provides, among other things, that when specified events occur, Host REIT's shareholders will be entitled to purchase from Host REIT a newly created series of junior preferred shares, subject to Host REIT's ownership limit described below. The preferred share purchase rights are triggered by the earlier to occur of (1) ten days after the date of a public announcement that a person or group acting in concert has acquired, or obtained the right to acquire, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of Host REIT's outstanding shares of common stock or (2) ten business days after the commencement of or announcement of an intention to make a tender offer or exchange offer, the consummation of which would result in the acquiring persons becoming the beneficial owner of 20% or more of Host REIT's outstanding common stock. The preferred share purchase rights would cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire Host REIT on terms not approved by Host REIT's Board of Directors.

There are possible adverse consequences of limits on ownership of Host REIT common stock. To maintain its qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, not more than 50% in value of Host REIT's outstanding shares of capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code to include some entities. In addition, a person who owns, directly or by attribution, 10% or more of an interest in a tenant of Host REIT, or a tenant of any partnership in which Host REIT is a partner, cannot own, directly or by attribution, 10% or more of Host REIT shares without jeopardizing Host REIT's qualification as a REIT. Primarily to facilitate maintenance of Host REIT's qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the ownership limit under Host REIT's charter prohibits ownership, directly or by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, by any person or persons acting as a group, of more than 9.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of Host REIT common stock, subject to an exception for shares of Host REIT common stock held prior to our conversion into a REIT (referred to as the "REIT conversion") so long as the holder would not own more than 9.9% in value of Host REIT's outstanding shares after the REIT conversion, and prohibits ownership, directly or by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, by any person, or persons acting as a group, of more than 9.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of any class or series of Host REIT's preferred shares. Together, these limitations are referred to as the "ownership limit". Host REIT's Board of Directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may waive or modify the ownership limit with respect to one or more persons who would not be treated as "individuals" for purposes of the Internal Revenue Code if the Board of Directors is satisfied, based upon information required to be provided by the party seeking the waiver and, if it determines necessary or advisable, upon an opinion of counsel satisfactory to Host REIT's Board of Directors, that ownership in excess of this limit will not cause a person who is an individual to be treated as owning shares in excess of the ownership limit, applying the applicable constructive ownership rules, and will not otherwise jeopardize Host REIT's status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes (for example, by causing any of Host REIT's tenants to be considered a "related party tenant" for purposes of the REIT qualification rules). Common stock acquired or held in violation of the ownership limit will be transferred automatically to a trust for the benefit of a designated charitable beneficiary, and the person who acquired the common stock in violation of the ownership limit will not be entitled to any distributions thereon, to vote those shares of common stock or to receive any proceeds from the subsequent sale of the common stock in excess of the lesser of the price paid for the common stock or the amount realized from the sale. A transfer of shares of Host REIT common stock to a person who, as a result of the transfer, violates the ownership limit may be void under certain circumstances, and, in any event, would deny that person any of the economic benefits of owning shares of Host REIT common stock in excess of the ownership limit. The ownership limit may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect the shareholders' ability to realize a

premium over the then-prevailing market price for Host REIT common stock in connection with such transaction.

We depend on external sources of capital for future growth and we may be unable to access capital when necessary. As with REITs, but unlike corporations generally, our ability to reduce our debt and finance our growth largely must be funded by external sources of capital because Host REIT generally is required to distribute to its shareholders at least 90% of its taxable income in order to qualify as a REIT, including taxable income Host REIT recognizes for tax purposes but with regard to which Host REIT does not receive corresponding cash. Our ability to access the external capital we require could be hampered by a number of factors many of which are outside of our control, including, without limitation, declining general market conditions, unfavorable market perception of our growth potential, decreases in our current and estimated future earnings, excessive cash distributions or decreases in the market price of Host REIT common stock. In addition, our ability to access additional capital may also be limited by the terms of our existing indebtedness, which, among other things, restricts our incurrence of debt and the payment of distributions. The occurrence of any of these above-mentioned factors, individually or in combination, could prevent us from being able to obtain the external capital we require on terms that are acceptable to us or at all and the failure to obtain necessary external capital could materially adversely affect our future growth.

Risks of Operation

Our revenues and the value of our properties are subject to conditions affecting the lodging industry. Our revenues and the value of our properties are subject to conditions affecting the lodging industry. These include:

- . changes in the national, regional and local economic climate;
- . reduced demand and increased operating costs and other conditions resulting from recent terrorist attacks;
- . changes in business and pleasure travel;
- local conditions such as an oversupply of hotel properties or a reduction in demand for hotel rooms;
- the attractiveness of our hotels to consumers and competition from comparable hotels;
- . the quality, philosophy and performance of the managers of our hotels;
- changes in room rates and increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors; and
- . the need to periodically repair and renovate our hotels.

As a result of continuing weak economic conditions resulting from the effects of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the 2001 economic recession, the lodging industry has experienced a significant decline in business caused by a reduction in travel, particularly in the business transient segment. As a result, room revenues of our hotels continued to decrease during the first and second quarters of 2002 compared to the prior year results. For the twenty-four weeks ended June 14, 2002, our comparable RevPAR decreased 11.0% from the same period in 2001 due to a decrease in occupancy of 1.9 percentage points to 72.1% combined with a decline in the average room rate of 8.6% to \$148.15. We currently expect that reduced operating levels, as compared with 2001, will continue in the third quarter of 2002. We expect that fourth quarter operations will improve when compared to prior year, as fourth

quarter 2001 operations were down significantly. There can be no assurance that the current weak economic conditions will not continue for an extended period of time and that they will not significantly affect our operations.

If, as a result of conditions such as those referenced above affecting the lodging industry, our assets do not generate income sufficient to pay our expenses, we will be unable to service our debt and maintain our properties.

Thirty of our hotels and assets related thereto are subject to various mortgages in an aggregate amount of approximately \$2.3 billion. Although generally the debt is non-recourse to Host REIT and us, if these hotels do not produce adequate cash flow to service the debt secured by such mortgages, the mortgage lenders could foreclose on such assets and we would lose such assets. If the cash flow on such properties were not sufficient to provide us with an adequate return, we could opt to allow such foreclosure rather than make necessary mortgage payments with funds from other sources. However, we cannot assure you that permitting any such foreclosure would not adversely affect our long-term business prospects.

Our expenses may remain constant even if our revenue drops. The expenses of owning property are not necessarily reduced when circumstances like market factors and competition cause a reduction in income from the property. Because of the current weak economic condition and continuing effects of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, we have been working with our managers to substantially reduce the operating costs of our hotels. While we have achieved significant reductions in operating costs as a result of these efforts, additional cost reductions could be difficult to achieve if operating levels continue to decline, and some of the cost reductions achieved may eventually need to be restored even if operations remain at reduced levels. In addition, based on our assessment of the current operating environment, and in order to conserve capital, we has reduced overall capital expenditure projects to levels substantially lower than budgeted. Despite our efforts to reduce our expenses and conserve our cash, our financial condition could be adversely affected by the following costs:

- . interest rate levels;
- . debt service levels (including on loans secured by mortgages);
- . the availability of financing;
- the cost of compliance with government regulation, including zoning and tax laws; and
- changes in governmental regulations, including those governing usage, zoning and taxes.

If we are unable to reduce our expenses to reflect our current reduction in revenue and the reduction that we expect in the future, our business will be adversely affected. Additionally, our efforts to reduce operating costs and our failure to make scheduled capital expenditures could adversely affect the growth of our business and the value of our hotel properties.

We do not control our hotel operations, and we are dependent on the managers of our hotels. Because federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating a hotel, we do not manage our hotels. Instead, we retain third-party managers including, among others, Marriott International, Hyatt, Four Seasons and Swissotel, to manage our hotels pursuant to management agreements. Our income from the hotels may be adversely affected if the managers fail to provide quality services and amenities and competitive room rates at our hotels or fail to maintain the quality of the hotel brand names. While HMT Lessee LLC, a taxable REIT subsidiary of ours that is the lessee of substantially all of our full-service properties, monitors the hotel managers' performance, we have limited

specific recourse if we believe that the hotel managers are not performing adequately. Underperformance by our hotel managers could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our relationships with our hotel managers are primarily contractual in nature, although certain of our managers owe fiduciary duties to us under applicable law. We are in discussions with various managers of our hotels regarding their performance under management agreements for our hotels. We have had, and continue to have, differences with the managers of our hotels over their performance and compliance with the terms of our agreements. We generally resolve issues with our managers through discussions and negotiations. However, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we also occasionally may engage in litigation with our managers. For example, we are currently engaged in litigation with Swissotel, the manager of four of our hotels. If we fail to reach satisfactory resolution with respect to any disputes, the operation of our hotels could be adversely affected.

On July 25, 2002, we finalized negotiations with Marriott International concerning certain changes to the management and other agreements for our Marriott-managed hotels. See "Management Discussion and Analysis of Results of operating and Financial Condition -- Recent Events" for additional disclosure.

Our relationship with Marriott International may result in conflicts of interest. Marriott International, a public hotel management company, and its affiliates, manages or franchises 110 of our 123 hotels. In addition, Marriott International manages and in some cases may own or be invested in hotels that compete with our hotels. As a result, Marriott International may make decisions regarding competing lodging facilities that it manages that would not necessarily be in our best interests. Prior to the departure of J. W. Marriott, Jr. from Host REIT's board at the end of his term in May of 2002 and Richard E. Marriott's resignation from the board of Marriott International in May of 2002 and during the period that we entered into most of our management agreements and other arrangements with Marriott International, Richard E. Marriott was Host REIT's Chairman of the Board and a director of Marriott International and his brother, J. W. Marriott, Jr., was a member of Host REIT's Board of Directors and served as a director and executive officer of Marriott International, and as a result, both had potential conflicts of interest as Host REIT's directors when making decisions regarding Marriott International, including decisions relating to the management agreements involving our hotels and Marriott International's management of competing lodging properties. J. W. Marriott, Jr. and Richard E. Marriott beneficially owned, as determined for securities law purposes, as of January 31, 2002, approximately 12.7% and 12.3%, respectively, of the outstanding shares of common stock of Marriott International. During this period, Host REIT's Board of Directors followed policies and procedures intended to limit the involvement of J. W. Marriott, Jr. and Richard E. Marriott in conflict situations, including requiring them to abstain from voting as directors in matters that presented a conflict between the companies.

We have substantial leverage. We have a significant amount of indebtedness, which could have important consequences. It currently requires us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, which reduces the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, expansion efforts, distributions to our unitholders and other general purposes. Additionally, it could:

- limit our ability in the future to undertake refinancings of our debt or obtain financing for expenditures, acquisitions, development or other general business purposes on terms and conditions acceptable to us, if at all; or
- affect adversely our ability to compete effectively or operate successfully under adverse economic conditions.

If our cash flow and working capital were not sufficient to fund our expenditures or service our indebtedness, we would have to raise additional funds through:

- . the sale of our OP Units;
- . the incurrence of additional permitted indebtedness; or
- . the sale of our assets.

We cannot assure you that any of these sources of funds would be available to us or, if available, would be on terms that we would find acceptable or in amounts sufficient for us to meet our obligations or fulfill our business plan.

There is no charter or bylaw restriction on the amount of debt we may incur. There are no restrictions in our organizational documents that limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. However, our existing debt instruments contain restrictions on the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. If we became more highly leveraged, our debt service payments would increase and our cash flow and our ability to service our debt and other obligations might be adversely affected.

The terms of our debt place restrictions on us and our subsidiaries, reducing operational flexibility and creating default risks. The documents governing the terms of our senior notes and new bank credit facility contain covenants that place restrictions on us and our subsidiaries. The activities upon which such restrictions exist include, but are not limited to:

- . acquisitions, merger and consolidations;
- . the incurrence of additional debt;
- . the creation of liens;
- . the sale of assets;
- capital expenditures;
- . the payment of dividends; and
- . transactions with affiliates.

In addition, certain covenants in our new bank credit facility and the senior notes indenture require us and our subsidiaries, as a condition to engaging in certain activities, to meet financial performance tests. These restrictive covenants, together with other restrictive covenants in the documents governing our other debt (including our mortgage debt), will reduce our flexibility in conducting our operations and will limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interest. In addition, certain covenants in our new bank credit facility and the senior notes indenture require us to meet financial performance tests at all times without regard to the activities in which we engage. Our failure to comply with these restrictive covenants could result in an event of default that, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all or a substantial portion of our debt or a significant increase in the interest rates on our debt, either of which could adversely affect our operations and ability to maintain adequate liquidity.

Certain financial covenants under the documents governing our indebtedness limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interest. Under the terms of our new bank credit facility and the indenture pursuant to which nearly all of our outstanding senior notes were issued, we and our subsidiaries are generally prohibited from incurring additional indebtedness unless, at the time of such incurrence, we would satisfy certain requirements set forth therein, including the

consolidated coverage ratio as discussed above. As a result of the effects on our business of the 2001 economic recession and the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the continuing weak economic climate, our consolidated coverage ratio calculated beginning in the third quarter was less than 2.0 to 1.0. Because our consolidated coverage ratio is below this level, the terms of our indebtedness will prohibit us from incurring indebtedness other than the incurrence of amounts under our credit facility and the incurrence of debt in connection with refinancings. Our failure to maintain a consolidated coverage ratio that is greater than 2.0 to 1.0 also limits our ability to reinstate the payment of distributions on our common units.

Our management agreements could impair the sale or financing of our hotels. Under the terms of the management agreements, we generally may not sell, lease or otherwise transfer the hotels unless the transferee is not a competitor of the manager, and the transferee assumes the related management agreements and meets specified other conditions. Our ability to finance, refinance or sell any of the properties may, depending upon the structure of such transactions, require the manager's consent. If the manager does not consent, we would be prohibited from financing, refinancing or selling the property without breaching the management agreement.

The acquisition contracts relating to some hotels limit our ability to sell or refinance those hotels. For reasons relating to federal income tax considerations of the former and current owners of approximately 20 of our full-service hotels, we agreed to restrictions on selling some hotels or repaying or refinancing the mortgage debt on those hotels for varying periods depending on the hotel. We anticipate that, in specified circumstances, we may agree to similar restrictions in connection with future hotel acquisitions. As a result, even if it were in our best interests to sell or refinance the mortgage debt on these hotels, it may be difficult or impossible to do so during their respective lock-out periods.

Our ground lease payments may increase faster than the revenues we receive on the hotels. As of June 1, 2002, 45 of our hotels are subject to ground leases (including the New York World Trade Center Marriott hotel ground lease which is still in effect). These ground leases generally require increases in ground rent payments every five years. Our ability to service our debt could be adversely affected to the extent that our revenues do not increase at the same or a greater rate as the increases under the ground leases. In addition, if we were to sell a hotel encumbered by a ground lease, the buyer would have to assume the ground lease, which could result in a lower sales price. Moreover, to the extent that such ground leases are not renewed at their expiration, our revenues could be adversely affected.

We may be unable to sell properties when appropriate because real estate investments are illiquid. Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly. We may not be able to vary our portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions. The inability to respond promptly to changes in the performance of our investments could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to service debt. In addition, there are limitations under the federal tax laws applicable to REITs and agreements that we have entered into when we acquired some of our properties that may limit our ability to recognize the full economic benefit from a sale of our assets.

We depend on our key personnel. We depend on the efforts of our executive officers and other key personnel. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, the loss of their services could have a significant adverse effect on our operations. None of our key personnel have employment agreements. We do not have or intend to obtain key-man life insurance with respect to any of our personnel.

Partnership and other litigation judgments or settlements could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. We are a party to various lawsuits relating to previous partnership transactions, including transactions relating to our conversion into a REIT. While we and the other defendants to such lawsuits believe all of the lawsuits in which we are a defendant are without merit and we are vigorously

defending against such claims, we can give no assurance as to the outcome of any of the lawsuits. If any of the lawsuits were to be determined adversely to us or a settlement involving a payment of a material sum of money were to occur, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

We may acquire hotel properties through joint ventures with third parties that could result in conflicts. Instead of purchasing hotel properties directly, we may invest as a co-venturer. Joint venturers often share control over the operation of the joint venture assets. For example, through our subsidiary Rockledge, we entered into a joint venture with Marriott International that owns two limited partnerships holding, in the aggregate, 120 Courtyard by Marriott hotels. Subsidiaries of Marriott International manage these Courtyard by Marriott hotels. Actions by a co-venturer, particularly Marriott International, could subject the assets to additional risk, including:

- our co-venturer in an investment might have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our interests or goals;
- . our co-venturer may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives; or
- . a joint venture partner could go bankrupt, leaving us liable for its share of joint venture liabilities.

Although we generally will seek to maintain sufficient control of any joint venture to permit our objectives to be achieved, we might not be able to take action without the approval of our joint venture partners. Also, our joint venture partners could take actions binding on the joint venture without our consent. For further discussion of the risks associated with entering into a joint venture with Marriott International, see the discussion above under "Our relationship with Marriott International may result in conflicts of interest."

Environmental problems are possible and can be costly. We believe that our properties are in compliance in all material respects with applicable environmental laws. Unidentified environmental liabilities could arise, however, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and performance. Federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment may require a current or previous owner or operator of real estate to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at the property. The owner or operator may have to pay a governmental entity or third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred by the parties in connection with the contamination. These laws typically impose clean-up responsibility and liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of or caused the presence of the contaminants. Even if more than one person may have been responsible for the contamination, each person covered by the environmental laws may be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs incurred. In addition, third parties may sue the owner or operator of a site for damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from that site. Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos. These laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing asbestos properly manage and maintain the asbestos, that they notify and train those who may come into contact with asbestos and that they undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. These laws may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators who fail to comply with these requirements and may allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos fibers.

Compliance with other government regulations can be costly. Our hotels are subject to various other forms of regulation, including Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, building codes and regulations pertaining to fire safety. Compliance with those laws and regulations could require

substantial capital expenditures. These regulations may be changed from time to time, or new regulations adopted, resulting in additional or unexpected costs of compliance. Any increased costs could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Recent or future terrorist attacks could adversely affect us. On September 11, 2001, several aircraft that were hijacked by terrorists destroyed the World Trade Center Towers in New York City and damaged the Pentagon in northern Virginia. As a result of the attacks and the collapse of the World Trade Center Towers, our New York World Trade Center Marriott hotel was destroyed and we sustained considerable damage to our New York Marriott Financial Center hotel. Subsequent to the attacks, the Federal Aviation Administration closed United States airspace to commercial traffic for several days. The aftermath of these events, together with an economic recession, has adversely affected the travel and hospitality industries, including the full-service hotel industry. The impact which these terrorist attacks, or future events such as military or police activities in the United States or foreign countries, future terrorist activities or threats of such activities, biological or chemical weapons attacks, political unrest and instability, interruptions in transportation infrastructure, riots and protests, could have on our business in particular and the United States economy, the global economy, and global financial markets in general cannot presently be determined. It is possible that these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, our ability to finance our business, our ability to insure our properties (see "We may not be able to recover fully under our prior terrorism insurance or be able maintain terrorism insurance in adequate amounts or at acceptable premium levels" below), and on our financial condition and results of operations as a whole.

Some potential losses are not covered by insurance. We carry comprehensive insurance coverage for general liability, property, business interruption and other risks with respect to all of our hotels and other properties. These policies offer coverage features and insured limits that we believe are customary for similar type properties. Generally, our policies provide that coverage is available on a per occurrence basis and that for each occurrence there is an overall limit as well as various sub-limits on the amount of insurance proceeds we can receive. Sub-limits exist for certain types of claims such as service interruption, abatement, expediting costs or landscaping replacement, and the dollar amounts of these sub-limits are significantly lower than the dollar amounts of the overall coverage limit. Our policies also provide that all of the claims from each of our properties resulting from a particular insurable event, must be combined together for purposes of evaluating whether the aggregate limits and sub-limits contained in our policies have been exceeded and, in the case of our Marriott-managed hotels, any such claims will also be combined with the claims of other owners of Marriott-managed hotels for the same purpose. That means that if an insurable event occurs that affects more than one of our hotels, or in the case of Marriott-managed hotels, affects other Marriott-managed hotels, the claims from each affected hotel will be added together to determine whether the aggregate limit or sub-limits, depending on the type of claim, have been reached and each affected hotel will only receive its share of the amount of insurance proceeds provided for under the policy. We may incur losses in excess of insured limits and, as a result of shared limits, we may be even less likely to receive sufficient coverage for risks that affect multiple properties such as earthquakes or certain types of terrorism. In addition, there are other risks such as war, some other forms of terrorism such as nuclear or biological terrorism and some environmental hazards that may be deemed to fall completely outside the general coverage limits of our policies or may be uninsurable or may be too expensive to justify insuring against. If any such risk were to materialize and materially adversely affect one or more of our properties, we would not be able to recover any of our losses.

We may also encounter challenges with our insurance provider regarding whether a particular claim is covered under our policy. Should a loss in excess of insured limits or an uninsured loss occur or should we be unsuccessful in obtaining coverage from an insurance carrier, we could lose all, or a portion of, the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that

-40-

event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property.

We may not be able to recover fully under our prior terrorism insurance or be able to maintain terrorism insurance in adequate amounts or at acceptable premium levels in the future. As discussed above in "Recent or future terrorist attacks could adversely affect us," on September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center Towers in New York City resulted in the destruction of our New York World Trade Center Marriott hotel and caused considerable damage to our New York Marriott Financial Center hotel. Although we had, through our manager Marriott International, both property and business interruption insurance with a major insurer for our two affected hotels from which we expect to receive proceeds to cover all or a substantial portion of the losses at both hotels, we cannot currently determine the amount or timing of those payments. Under the terms of the New York World Trade Center Marriott ground lease, any proceeds from the property portion of the hotel claim are required to be placed in an insurance trust for the exclusive purpose of rebuilding the hotel. As of July 1, 2002, we had received business interruption and property advances from our insurers in an aggregate amount of \$40 million of which approximately \$5million was for property insurance proceeds relating to the two hotels. If the amount of such insurance proceeds is substantially less than our actual losses or if the payments are substantially delayed, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In addition, as a result of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, most insurers have ceased offering terrorism coverage in conjunction with "all-risk" property policies (described below), and separate terrorism insurance policies are available only in limited coverage amounts and at expensive premium levels. We have procured terrorism coverage that excludes various risks, such as nuclear or biological acts, and is subject to annual aggregate limits which are shared among various hotels as described above. Because we have only been able to obtain limited amounts of terrorism insurance and due to the shared limits with other owners, we may be exposed to reduced coverage levels in the event another owner experiences a catastrophic loss. Furthermore, for a limited number of our properties, the terrorism coverage we have procured is limited to less than full replacement costs, even if the full coverage is available. As a result, there can be no assurance that we would receive full replacement value for any of our properties damaged or destroyed in future terrorist attacks.

We may be unable to satisfy the insurance requirements of our lenders given the changes in the insurance industry after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. Pursuant to the terms of many of our mortgage agreements, we have been and are required to maintain adequate or full replacement cost "all-risk" property insurance and, in some instances, terrorism insurance. In the months following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, our policies in effect during the time of the terrorist attacks expired and we recently completed renewals of the all-risk property insurance policies for nearly all of our hotels. However, because of the terrorist attacks, most insurers have ceased offering terrorism coverage in conjunction with "all-risk" property policies. As a result, the "all-risk" property insurance on our properties may not be considered acceptable to mortgage lenders. While these policies generally provide full replacement cost and full business interruption coverage for our properties, our new coverage is subject to substantial limits on a per-occurrence basis and other exclusions, including certain risks of terrorism. Should our lenders or mortgage servicers deem our insurance coverage to be inadequate, we could be forced to procure additional insurance, if available, at cost levels that might be unacceptable to us, and to the extent that insurance premiums remain at their current levels and/or coverage remains limited, it could become more difficult or more expensive to obtain similar financing in the future.

In addition, our debt agreements, typically require us to maintain a minimum rating of our insurance carrier from Standard & Poors, A.M Best or other rating agencies. Currently, we do not satisfy the requirement for a minimum Standard \hat{k} Poors rating of AA for seven of our properties subject to approximately \$739 million of indebtedness. We have notified our lenders regarding this situation, and we have obtained, for certain of our Marriott-managed properties that have mortgage debt, insurance coverage provided by a carrier with a rating from Standard & Poors of AA- and a rating from A.M. Best of A+XV. However, we cannot assure you that each of our lenders will be satisfied with the AA- rating level of our current carrier. If the lenders are unwilling or unable to amend or waive these covenants, or if we are unable to obtain insurance coverage that complies with the covenants in these loan agreements the lenders may determine that we are out of compliance with the terms of the relevant debt agreement in which case to the extent the lenders chose to pursue their remedies and we were unable to prevent this course of action we may be required to refinance the debt with debt carrying different insurance requirements. There can be no assurances that we will be able to complete such a refinancing on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Our former independent public accountant Arthur Andersen LLP is likely to cease operating after having been found guilty of federal obstruction of justice charges arising from the government's investigation of Enron Corporation and, as a result, it is unlikely that you will be able to exercise effective remedies against them in any future legal action. Our consolidated financial statements as of and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 were audited by Arthur Andersen LLP. On March 14, 2002, Andersen was indicted on federal obstruction of justice charges arising from the government's investigation of Enron Corporation. On June 15, 2002, a jury in Houston, Texas found Arthur Andersen LLP guilty of federal obstruction of justice charges arising from the federal government's investigation of Enron Corp. In light of the jury verdict and the underlying events, Arthur Andersen LLP has informed the Securities and Exchange Commission that it will cease practicing before the SEC by August 31, 2002, unless the SEC determines another date is appropriate. A substantial number of Arthur Andersen LLP's personnel have already left the firm, and substantially all remaining personnel are expected to do so in the near future. Because of the significant decline in their size and the possibility that they will cease to operate, you are unlikely to be able to exercise effective remedies or collect judgments against them.

Moreover, as a public company, we are required to file with the SEC periodic financial statements audited or reviewed by an independent public accountant. The SEC has said that it will continue accepting financial statements audited by Arthur Andersen LLP on an interim basis if Arthur Andersen LLP is able to make certain representations to its clients concerning audit quality controls. Arthur Andersen LLP has made such representations to us. However, for the reasons noted above, Arthur Andersen LLP may be unable to make these representations in the future or to provide other information or documents that would customarily be received by us or the underwriters in connection with this offering, including consents and "comfort letters". In addition, Arthur Andersen LLP may be unable to perform procedures to assure the continued accuracy of its report on our audited financial statements included in this prospectus. Arthur Andersen LLP will be unable to provide such information and documents and perform such procedures in future financings and other transactions. As a result, we may encounter delays, additional expense and other difficulties in this offering, future financings or other transactions.

Federal Income Tax Risks

Adverse consequences would apply if we failed to qualify as a partnership. We believe that we qualify to be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, we are not subject to federal income tax on our income. Instead, each of our partners is required to pay tax on its allocable share of our income. No assurance can be provided, however, that the Internal Revenue Service will not challenge our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating us as a corporation for tax purposes, we would be subject to federal, state and local, and foreign corporate income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to our partners, including Host REIT. In addition, our classification as a corporation would cause some of our partners, including Host REIT, to recognize gain at least equal to such partner's "negative capital account", and possibly more, depending upon the circumstances. Finally, Host REIT would fail to meet the income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, would cease to qualify as a REIT. If Host

REIT fails to qualify as a REIT or we fail to qualify as a partnership, such failure would cause an event of default under our credit facility, which in turn would constitute an event of default under our outstanding debt securities.

Adverse consequences would apply if Host REIT failed to qualify as a REIT. We believe that Host REIT has been organized and has operated in such a manner so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, commencing with the taxable year beginning January 1, 1999, and Host REIT currently intends to continue to operate as a REIT during future years. No assurance can be provided, however, that Host REIT qualifies as a REIT or that new legislation, treasury regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to Host REIT's qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification. If Host REIT fails to qualify as a REIT, it would be subject to federal and state income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. In addition, unless entitled to statutory relief, Host REIT would not qualify as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year which REIT qualification is lost. The additional tax burden on Host REIT would significantly reduce the cash available for distribution to its shareholders, and Host REIT would no longer be required to make any distributions to its shareholders. Host REIT's failure to qualify as a REIT could reduce materially the value of its common stock and would cause any distributions to its shareholders that otherwise would have been subject to tax as capital gain dividends to be taxable as ordinary income to the extent of its current and accumulated earnings and profits, or E&P. However, subject to limitations under the Internal Revenue Code, corporate distributes may be eligible for the dividends received deduction with respect to its distributions. Host REIT's failure to qualify as a REIT also would cause an event of default under our credit facility that could lead to an acceleration of the amounts due under the credit facility, which, in turn, would constitute an event of default under our outstanding debt securities.

Our obligations to Host REIT potentially may increase our indebtedness or cause us to liquidate investments on adverse terms. To continue to qualify as a REIT, Host REIT is required to distribute to its shareholders with respect to each year at least 90% of its taxable income, excluding net capital gain. In addition, Host REIT will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions made by it with respect to the calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of its ordinary income and 95% of its capital gain net income for that year and any undistributed taxable income from prior periods. Host REIT intends to make distributions to its shareholders to comply with the distribution requirement and to avoid the nondeductible excise tax and will rely for this purpose on distributions from us. Host REIT's sole source of cash to make these distributions is from its partnership interest in us. Our partnership agreement requires us to distribute to our partners an amount of our available cash sufficient to enable Host REIT to pay shareholder dividends that will satisfy the requirements applicable under the Internal Revenue Code to REITs and to avoid any federal income or excise tax liability for Host REIT. There are differences in timing between our recognition of taxable income and our receipt of cash available for distribution due to, among other things, the seasonality of the lodging industry and the fact that some taxable income will be "phantom" income (which is taxable income that is not matched by cash flow or EBITDA to us) attributable to our deferred tax liabilities arising from certain transactions entered into by Host REIT in years prior to the conversion of Host Marriott to a REIT. There is a distinct possibility that these differences could require us to arrange for short-term, or possibly long-term, borrowings or to issue additional equity to enable us to meet this distribution requirement to Host REIT. In addition, because the REIT distribution requirements prevent Host REIT from retaining earnings, we effectively are prohibited from retaining earnings as well. Accordingly, we will generally be required to refinance debt that matures with additional debt or equity. We cannot assure you that any of the sources of funds described herein, if available at all, would be sufficient to meet the distribution obligations of Host REIT, in which case we may be required to liquidate investments on adverse terms in order to satisfy such obligations of Host REIT.

-43-

Notwithstanding Host REIT's status as a REIT, it is subject to various taxes on its income and property for which we are responsible for paying or reimbursing Host REIT. Among other things, Host REIT will be required to pay federal tax at the highest regular corporate rate, upon its share of any "built-in gain" recognized as a result of any sale before January 1, 2009, by us of assets, including the hotels, in which interests were owned by Host REIT, directly or indirectly, immediately prior to January 1, 1999, the first day of Host REIT's first taxable year as a REIT. Built-in gain is the amount by which an asset's fair market value exceeded the adjusted basis in the asset on January 1, 1999. The total amount of gain on which we would be subject to corporate income tax if the assets that we held at the time of the REIT conversion were sold in a taxable transaction prior to January 1, 2009 would be material to us. In addition, notwithstanding its status as a REIT, Host REIT may have to pay certain state income taxes because not all states treat REITs the same as they are treated for federal income tax purposes. Host REIT may also have to pay certain foreign taxes to the extent we own assets or conduct operations in foreign jurisdictions. Under the terms of the REIT conversion and our partnership agreement, we are responsible for paying, or reimbursing Host REIT for the payment of, any corporate income tax imposed on built-in gain, as well as any other taxes or other liabilities, including contingent liabilities and liabilities attributable to litigation that Host REIT may incur, whether such liabilities are incurred by reason of activities prior to the REIT conversion or activities subsequent thereto. Accordingly, we will pay, or reimburse Host REIT for the payment of, all taxes incurred by Host REIT (and any related interest and penalties), except for taxes imposed on Host REIT by reason of its failure to qualify as a REIT or to distribute to its stockholders an amount equal to its "REIT taxable income," including net capital gains. We cannot assure you that any of the sources of funds described herein, if available at all, would be sufficient to meet the tax obligations of Host REIT, in which case we may be required to liquidate investments on adverse terms in order to satisfy such obligations of Host REIT.

Item 6. Reports on Form 8-K

- (b) Reports on Form 8-K.
- . May 24, 2002 The Company announced that upon the recommendation of its Audit Committee, the Board of Directors had dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP as its independent auditor and appointed KPMG, LLP to serve as the independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002.
- .. June 14, 2002 The Company announced that it had entered into a new credit facility with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent and certain other agents and lenders.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HOST MARRIOTT, L.P.

July 27, 2002

/s/ Donald D. Olinger
-----Donald D. Olinger
Senior Vice President and
Corporate Controller
(Chief Accounting Officer)