## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K
----------

## PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**CURRENT REPORT** 

Date of Report (Date of Earliest Event Reported) January 1, 2009

## **HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

000-25087 (Commission File Number)

52-2095412 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, Maryland 20817 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code (240) 744-1000

(Former Name or Former Address, if changed since last report.)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

#### Item 8.01—Other Events

The purpose of this Current Report on Form 8-K is to update our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 and other information listed below to reflect the sale of a hotel property. On August 20, 2009, Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. (the "Partnership") sold the Hanover Marriott for net proceeds of approximately \$26 million. In accordance with accounting requirements regarding the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, the Partnership reported the results of this hotel as discontinued operations in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended September 11, 2009. The Partnership had previously revised the financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, to reflect the operations of four other properties that were previously sold as discontinued operations and the adoption of two new accounting requirements that affected the accounting for its senior exchangeable debentures and non-controlling interests in consolidated entities. Those financial statements, which were filed on August 18, 2009 as part of a Current Report on Form 8-K, have been further updated herein to also reflect the operations of the Hanover Marriott as discontinued operations. The revised information includes:

- Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges;
- Selected Financial Data;
- Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A");
- · Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto; and
- Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation.

This information is attached hereto as exhibits 12 and 99.1 through 99.4 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Additionally, in the August 18, 2009 filing, the Partnership previously updated its MD&A and consolidated financial statements and notes to provide additional disclosure regarding its critical accounting policies for the evaluation of potential impairment of long-lived assets.

The Partnership has also updated the footnotes to the audited consolidated financial statements, either in this filing or in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 18, 2009, to disclose certain significant transactions listed below that occurred subsequent to December 31, 2008. However, investors are cautioned that the MD&A with respect to the three years ended December 31, 2008 presented herein represents the MD&A that the Partnership filed as part of its 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K in March 2009 updated only to reflect the effect on its results of operations and financial position discussed therein of the adoption of the new accounting pronouncements, critical accounting policies for the evaluation of potential impairments of long-lived assets and sales of the five properties discussed above. The MD&A presented herein has not been updated or amended to reflect any other information, uncertainties, transactions, risks, events or trends occurring or known to management, including those listed below, that have occurred subsequent to March 2, 2009, the date on which the Partnership filed its annual report on Form 10-K. These items for which the MD&A presented herein has not been updated or amended (but for which the footnotes to the audited consolidated financial statements have been updated) include:

- The September 14, 2009 declaration by Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.'s ("Host") Board of Directors of a \$.25 per share dividend which will be payable in a combination of common stock and cash on December 18, 2009 to Host stockholders;
- The issuance by Host of approximately 22 million shares during the third and fourth quarters for net proceeds of \$226 million as of November 6, 2009 through Host's continuous equity offering program. The net proceeds were contributed to us in exchange for an equivalent number of OP Units;

- The repayment of an aggregate of \$410 million outstanding under the revolver and term loan portions of the credit facility;
- The repurchase of \$74 million face amount of our 2.625% Exchangeable Senior Debentures with a carrying amount of \$68 million for approximately \$66 million;
- The repurchase of \$75 million face amount of our 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures with a carrying amount of \$72 million for approximately \$69 million;
- The September prepayment of the \$135 million mortgage outstanding on the Westin Kierland;
- The July repayment of \$175 million mortgage debt on the San Diego Marriott Hotel & Marina;
- The May issuance of \$400 million principal amount of 9% Series T senior notes for net proceeds of \$380 million;
- The April issuance by Host of 75,750,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$480 million. The proceeds were contributed to us in exchange for an equivalent number of common OP Units;
- The March incurrence of a \$120 million mortgage on JW Marriott Hotel Washington, DC;
- The March prepayment of the \$34 million mortgage outstanding on the Westin Indianapolis; and
- Impairment charges recorded for four properties and an equity method investment totaling \$131 million.

In addition, neither the footnotes to the audited consolidated financial statements nor the MD&A presented herein have been amended or updated to reflect the following information:

- Modification of the Partnership's forecast on the range of expected revenues per available room (RevPAR) for its comparable hotels for 2009;
- · Modification of the Partnership's forecast on the range of expected distributions per share for 2009; and
- all other events relating to the results of operations for the first three quarters of 2009.

Investors should read the information contained in this current report together with the other information contained in the Partnership's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 2, 2009, the Partnership's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 11, 2009 filed with the SEC on October 19, 2009, the Partnership's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 18, 2009, and other information filed with, or furnished to, the SEC after March 2, 2009.

### ITEM 9.01. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS

(d) Exhibits

Exhibit No.

12	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Limited Partner Unit Distributions
99.1	Selected Financial Data
99.2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition
99.3	Consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and for the three years ended December 31, 2008
99.4	Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2008

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P.

By: HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.

Its General Partner

By: /s/ BRIAN G. MACNAMARA

Brian G. Macnamara

Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller

Date: November 9, 2009

# HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED LIMITED PARTNER UNIT DISTRIBUTIONS

(in mi	llions,	except	ratio	amounts)	
--------	---------	--------	-------	----------	--

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Income (loss) from operations before income taxes	\$370	\$ 535	\$308	\$132	\$(112)
Add (deduct):					
Fixed charges	447	515	536	534	580
Capitalized interest	(10)	(10)	(5)	(5)	(3)
Amortization of capitalized interest	6	6	6	6	6
Net (earnings)/losses related to certain 50% or less owned affiliates	10	(11)	6	1	16
Distributions from equity investments	3	4	3	2	6
Distributions on preferred units	(9)	(9)	(14)	(27)	(37)
Issuance costs of redeemed preferred units	_	_	(6)	(4)	(4)
Adjusted earnings	\$817	\$1,030	\$834	\$639	\$ 452
Fixed charges:					
Interest on indebtedness and amortization of deferred financing costs	\$375	\$ 444	\$460	\$452	\$ 489
Capitalized interest	10	10	5	5	3
Distributions on preferred units	9	9	14	27	37
Issuance costs of redeemed preferred units	_	_	6	4	4
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	53	52	51	46	47
Total fixed charges and preferred unit distributions	\$447	\$ 515	\$536	\$534	\$ 580
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred unit distributions	1.8	2.0	1.6	1.2	
Deficiency of earnings to fixed charges and preferred unit distributions	<b>\$</b> —	\$ —	\$—	\$—	\$(128)

#### **Selected Financial Data**

The selected financial data presented below as of and for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2008, is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. The consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008 are included elsewhere herein. The following table presents certain selected historical financial data which has been updated to reflect the impact of the disposition of four properties and the retrospective application of two accounting standards and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, the independent registered public accounting firm's report, which refers to the changes in accounting for non-controlling interests, exchangeable senior debentures and contingencies related to income taxes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition" appearing elsewhere herein:

	Calendar year				
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Income Statement Data:		(in millions, e	except per uni	amounts)	
				40 -00	***
Revenues	\$ 5,167	\$ 5,279	\$ 4,686	\$3,598	\$3,305
Income (loss) from continuing operations	373	532	303	106	(102)
Income from discontinued operations (1)	41	202	464	63	98
Net income (loss) (2)	414	734	767	169	(4)
Net income (loss) attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. (2)(3)	411	728	758	163	(8)
Net income (loss) available to common unitholders	402	719	738	132	(49)
Basic earnings (loss) per common unit (3):					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	.67	.96	.55	.18	(.41)
Income from discontinued operations	.07	.37	.92	.17	.27
Net income (loss)	.74	1.33	1.47	.35	(.14)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common unit (3):					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	.65	.95	.55	.18	(.41)
Income from discontinued operations	.07	.37	.92	.17	.27
Net income (loss)	.72	1.32	1.47	.35	(.14)
Cash distributions declared per common unit	.65	1.00	.76	.41	.05
Balance Sheet Data:					
Total assets	\$11,948	\$11,809	\$11,805	\$8,224	\$8,400
Debt (3)	5,876	5,515	5,833	5,312	5,454
Preferred units	97	97	97	241	337

Discontinued operations reflects the operations of properties classified as held for sale, the results of operations of properties sold and the gain or loss on those dispositions.

<sup>(2)</sup> We have retrospectively adopted a new accounting pronouncement that requires issuers of cash-settled exchangeable debentures to separately account for the liability and capital components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate on the instrument's issuance date. Therefore, we are required to record the debt components of the debentures at fair value as of the date of issuance with the adjustment to additional paid-in capital and amortize the resulting discount as an increase to interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This treatment has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented. As a result of the application of this pronouncement, our debt balance has been reduced by \$106 million, \$135 million, \$58 million, \$69 million and \$77 million at December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, from what had been presented in the Form 10-K. The discounts will be amortized through the first date at which the holders can require the Partnership to repurchase the debentures for cash (April 2010 for the 3¹/4% exchangeable senior debentures and March 2012 for the 2⁵/8% exchangeable senior debentures). The resulting amortization increased interest expense by \$30 million, \$25 million, \$12 million, \$11 million and \$8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, which

- reduced net income available to common unitholders and basic and diluted earnings per unit. For additional detail see Exhibit 99.2, "Managements' Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Application of New Accounting Standards".
- (3) As a result of the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement, net income attributable to non-consolidated partnerships is no longer included in the determination of net income. Prior periods have been revised to reflect this presentation. The net income attributable to non-controlling interests is included in the net income available to common unitholders; therefore, the implementation of this pronouncement had no effect on our basic or diluted earnings per unit calculation. For additional detail see Exhibit 99.2, "Managements' Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Application of New Accounting Standards".

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

#### Overview

We are a limited partnership operating through an umbrella partnership structure with Host as our sole general partner. As of November 6,2009, we own 112 luxury and upper-upscale hotel properties and, Host is the largest lodging REIT in the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust's composite index. A REIT is a legal entity that owns real estate assets and, through payments of dividends to stockholders, is permitted to reduce or eliminate federal income taxes at the corporate level. Host operates as a self-managed and self-administered REIT and, as of February 23, 2009, owned approximately 97% of the partnership interests of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P., or Host LP.

Our hotels are operated under brand names that are among the most respected and widely recognized in the lodging industry. The majority of our properties are located in central business districts of major cities, near airports and in resort/conference destinations that benefit from significant barriers to entry by competitors. In 2008, approximately 75% of our revenues were generated by our urban and resort/conference hotels. The classification of a property as luxury or upper-upscale is based on lodging industry standards, which take into consideration many factors such as guest facilities and amenities, level of service and quality of accommodations. While our hotels are still subject to competitive pressures, we believe this strategy will allow us to achieve room rate and occupancy premiums over our competitors. We seek to maximize the value of our portfolio through aggressive asset management by assisting the managers of our hotels in optimizing property operations and by completing strategic capital improvements.

#### **Our Customers**

The majority of our customers fall into three broad groups: transient business, group business, and contract business, which accounted for approximately 54%, 41% and 5%, respectively, of our 2008 room sales. Similar to the majority of the lodging industry, we further categorize business within these categories based on characteristics they have in common as follows:

Transient business broadly represents individual business or leisure travelers. Business travelers make up the majority of transient demand at our hotels, with leisure travelers making up the remainder. Therefore, we will be more significantly affected by trends in business travel versus leisure demand. The four key subcategories of the transient business group are:

- Premium: Sometimes referred to as "rack rate," typically consists of rooms booked close to arrival during high demand periods and is the highest rate category available. Room rates will fluctuate depending on anticipated demand levels (e.g. seasonality, weekday vs. weekend stays).
- Corporate: This is the benchmark rate which a hotel publishes and offers to the general public. It is typically the second highest category, and is for travelers that do not have access to negotiated or discount rates.
- Special Corporate: This is a negotiated rate offered to companies and organizations that provide significant levels of room night demand to the hotel or to hotel brands generally. These rates are typically negotiated annually, at a discount to the anticipated corporate rate.
- Discount: This encompasses all discount programs, such as AAA and AARP discounts, government per diem, rooms booked through wholesale channels, frequent guest program redemptions, and promotional rates and packages offered by a hotel.

Group business represents clusters of guestrooms booked together, usually with a minimum of 10 rooms. Examples include a company training session or a social event such as a family reunion. The three key sub-categories of the group business category are:

- Association: group business related to national and regional association meetings and conventions.
- Corporate: group business related to corporate meetings (e.g., product launches, training programs, contract negotiations, and presentations).
- Other: group business predominately related to social, military, education, religious, fraternal and youth and amateur sports teams, otherwise known as SMERF business.

The final category is contract demand, which refers to blocks of rooms sold to a specific company for an extended period of time at significantly discounted rates. Contract rates are usually utilized by hotels that are located in markets that are experiencing consistently lower levels of demand. Airline crews are typical generators of contract demand for our hotels.

#### **Understanding Our Performance**

Our Revenues and Expenses

Our hotels are operated by third-party managers under long-term agreements under which they typically earn base and incentive management fees based on the levels of revenues and profitability of each individual hotel. We provide operating funds, or working capital, which the managers use to purchase inventory and to pay wages, utilities, property taxes and other hotel-level expenses. We generally receive a cash distribution from our hotel managers each four-week or monthly accounting period, depending on the manager, which reflects hotel-level sales less property-level operating expenses (excluding depreciation).

Hotel revenue is approximately 98% of our total revenue. The following table presents the components of our hotel revenue as a percentage of our total revenue:

		% of 2008 Revenues
•	Rooms revenue. Occupancy and average daily room rate are the major drivers of rooms revenue. The business mix of the hotel (group versus transient and premium versus discount business) is a significant driver of room rates.	61%
•	Food and beverage revenue. Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel are the major drivers of food and beverage revenue (i.e., group business typically generates more food and beverage business through catering functions when compared to transient business, which may or may not utilize the hotel's restaurants).	30%
•	Other revenue. Occupancy, the nature of the property (i.e., resort, etc.) and its price point are the main drivers of other ancillary revenue, such as parking, golf course, spa, telephone, entertainment and other guest services.	7%

Hotel operating expenses are approximately 99% of our total operating costs and expenses. The following table presents the components of our hotel operating expenses as a percentage of our total operating costs and expenses:

		% of 2008 Operating Costs and Expenses
•	Rooms expense. These costs include housekeeping, reservation systems, room supplies, laundry services and front desk costs.  Occupancy is the major driver of rooms expense. These costs can increase based on increases in salaries and wages, as well as the level of service and amenities that are provided.	17%
•	Food and beverage expense. These expenses primarily include food, beverage and labor costs. Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel (i.e., catered functions generally are more profitable than outlet sales) are the major drivers of food and beverage expense, which correlates closely with food and beverage revenue.	26%
•	Other departmental and support expenses. These expenses include labor and other costs associated with the other ancillary revenues such as parking, golf courses, spas, telephones, entertainment and other guest services, as well as labor and other costs associated with administrative departments, sales and marketing, repairs and minor maintenance and utility costs.	29%
•	Management fees. Base management fees are computed as a percentage of gross revenue. Incentive management fees generally are paid when operating profits exceed certain threshold levels.	5%
•	Other property-level expenses. These expenses consist primarily of real and personal property taxes, ground rent, equipment rent and property insurance. Many of these expenses are relatively inflexible and do not necessarily change based on changes in revenues at our hotels.	9%
•	Depreciation and amortization expense. This is a non-cash expense that changes primarily based on the acquisition and disposition of hotel properties and the level of past capital expenditures.	13%

The expense components listed above are based on those presented in our consolidated statements of operations. It is also worth noting that wage and benefit costs are spread among various line items, however, taken separately these costs represent approximately 54% of our hotel operating expenses.

#### **Key Performance Indicators**

Revenue per available room, or RevPAR, is a commonly used measure within the hotel industry to evaluate hotel operations. RevPAR is defined as the product of the average daily room rate charged and the average daily occupancy achieved. RevPAR does not include food and beverage or parking, telephone or other guest service revenues generated by the property. Although RevPAR does not include these ancillary revenues, it is generally considered the leading indicator of core revenues for many hotels.

RevPAR changes that are driven predominately by occupancy have different implications on overall revenue levels, as well as incremental operating profit than do changes that are driven predominately by average room rate. For example, increases in occupancy at a hotel would lead to increases in rooms revenues and ancillary revenues, such as food and beverage, as well as additional incremental costs (including housekeeping services, utilities and room amenity costs). RevPAR increases due to higher room rates, however, would not result in additional room-related costs. As a result, changes in RevPAR driven by increases or decreases in average room rates have a greater effect on profitability than changes in RevPAR caused by occupancy levels.

In discussing our operating results, we present RevPAR and certain other financial data for our hotels on a comparable hotel basis. Comparable hotels are those properties that we have owned for the entirety of the reporting periods being compared. Comparable hotels do not include the results of properties acquired or sold, or that incurred business interruption due to significant property damage, large scale capital improvements or significant events during these periods.

We also evaluate the performance of our business through non-GAAP financial measures, including funds from operations ("FFO") per diluted unit and comparable hotel adjusted operating profit. We use FFO per diluted unit as a supplemental measure of company-wide profitability. Another key profitability indicator we use is hotel adjusted operating profit, which is a non-GAAP measure used to evaluate the profitability of our comparable hotels. Hotel adjusted operating profit measures property-level results before debt service and is a supplemental measure of individual property-level profitability. The comparable hotel adjusted operating profit that we discuss is an aggregation of the adjusted operating profit for each of our comparable hotels. Each of the non-GAAP measures should be considered by investors as supplemental measures to GAAP performance measures such as total revenues, operating profit and earnings per unit. We provide a more detailed discussion of these non-GAAP financial measures, how management uses such measures to evaluate our financial condition and operating performance as well as certain limitations of such measures. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition.

#### **Summary of 2008 Operating Results**

During 2008, we were significantly affected by the economic recession in the U.S. and the collapse of the credit markets, which contributed to a significant decrease in business and consumer spending. These factors combined to depress overall lodging demand for us and the lodging industry throughout 2008, which resulted in a year long decline in occupancy levels and, starting in the fourth quarter, a decline in average room rates. We began to experience a decline in comparable RevPAR in the third quarter before decreasing sharply in the fourth quarter. Overall comparable RevPAR decreased 2.6% in 2008 as a result of a decrease in occupancy of 2.4 percentage points, which was partially offset by a .7% in increase in average room rate. Additionally, food and beverage revenues at our comparable hotels decreased 2.9% during 2008. Total revenue decreased \$112 million, or 2.1%, to \$5.2 billion for the year, primarily due to the decline in RevPAR and food and beverage revenues.

As a result, we have experienced a steady weakening in operating results and a decrease in comparable hotel adjusted operating profit each quarter, though most significantly in the fourth quarter. Net income from continuing operations decreased \$159 million in 2008 to \$373 million. Net income decreased \$320 million to \$414 million in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a decline in operations at our hotels and a decrease in gains on dispositions from \$164 million in 2007 compared to \$23 million in 2008. Diluted earnings per common unit from continuing operations decreased \$.30 to \$.65 in 2008, while diluted earnings per unit decreased \$.60 to \$.72 in 2008. FFO per diluted unit decreased \$.18 to \$1.71, for 2008. FFO per diluted unit was reduced by \$.03 in 2008 due to additional non-cash interest expense that was recorded as a result of the retrospective adoption of a new accounting pronouncement regarding the accounting for our exchangeable debentures (see "Critical Accounting Policies – Application of New Accounting Standards"). FFO per diluted unit was reduced by \$.10 for 2007 due to costs associated with debt repayments or refinancings (there were no such costs incurred in 2008) and additional non-cash interest expense associated with the adoption of the new accounting pronouncement.

We worked to mitigate the decline in revenues and operating income by directing our operators to implement significant contingency plans early in the year to help contain margin deterioration. These cost-cutting measures included right-sizing the work force to the amount of business being generated, not filling vacant positions, reducing discretionary spending, delaying the implementation of brand standards, closing restaurant outlets or modifying hours of operations, as well as closing specific floors or towers to reflect the decrease in occupancy. While these efforts have been successful in reducing operating costs, other expenses such as wages and benefits, real estate taxes and utilities increased at above inflationary rates in 2008. In addition to our cost-cutting measures, our managers accessed additional revenue channels, particularly e-commerce channels, in an effort to offset the decline in revenues from more traditional sources.

#### **Investing Activities**

#### Acquisitions/Dispositions

Domestically, we did not complete any acquisitions during 2008. During the first half of 2008, as lodging fundamentals weakened, the luxury and upper-upscale hotels that were marketed did not meet our investment criteria. During the second half of 2008, the economic recession deepened and the ability to obtain financing for acquisitions became extremely limited and only at much lower leverage ratios and at significantly higher interest rates. Consequently, very few hotels were marketed or sold. Management believes that there may be an increase in the number of assets available for purchase late in 2009 and in 2010 as a result of the current recessionary environment and illiquid credit market.

Our European joint venture in which we hold a 32.1% interest, was able to successfully complete the purchase of the 270-room Crowne Plaza Amsterdam City Centre for approximately €72 million (U.S. \$113 million) in April 2008.

On February 17, 2009, we sold the Hyatt Regency Boston for net proceeds of approximately \$113 million, including the return of reserves held by the manager. We recorded a gain on the disposition of approximately \$20 million, net of tax, in the first quarter of 2009. On July 9, 2009, we sold the Sheraton Stamford Hotel and the Washington Dulles Marriott Suites for net proceeds of approximately \$36 million. On July 16, 2009, we sold the Boston Marriott Newton for net proceeds of approximately \$28 million. On August 20, 2009, we sold the Hanover Marriott for net proceeds of approximately \$26 million. We recognized a gain on the disposition of these four properties of approximately \$9 million, net of tax, in the third quarter of 2009. During 2008, we sold the Sheraton Tampa Suites Airport Hotel and the Host Airport Hotel Sacramento. Proceeds from these dispositions were approximately \$39 million and we recorded a gain of \$23 million, net of tax, in 2008.

#### Capital Expenditures

We recently completed a three-year \$1.8 billion capital expenditure program, which we believe significantly enhances the competitiveness of our properties. This program consisted of renewal and replacement, ROI/repositioning and value enhancement projects in a broad array of areas including lobbies and public spaces, food and beverage facilities, spas, retail outlets, meeting space and rooms as well as energy conservation and other non-public areas of the properties. We spent approximately \$695 million on capital expenditures in 2008, including \$321 million on ROI/repositioning projects and value enhancement projects at 17 properties. These amounts include the application of a \$23 million deposit made in 2007. These projects included:

- the completion of a 105,000 square foot exhibit hall at the Orlando World Center Marriott in 2007;
- the construction of new food and beverage facilities, renovation of all existing break-out space and the construction of the new 26,000 square foot Atrium Ballroom at the Atlanta Marriott Marquis in 2007 and 2008; and
- the construction of an 8,300 square foot meeting space addition and the renovation of over 60,000 square feet of public space and food and beverage facilities at the San Francisco Marriott in 2008.

Additionally, our renewal and replacement capital expenditures totaled \$374 million during 2008 and included the renovation of over 11,900 rooms and over 465,000 square feet of meeting space. As a result of these efforts and in an effort to improve future liquidity, we anticipate reducing total capital expenditures to approximately \$340 million to \$360 million in 2009, which represents approximately one-half of the 2008 level. A significant portion of our spending in 2009 will be dedicated to projects that are already in process. Additionally, we may reduce the level of expenditures further if the economic recession is longer or deeper than currently anticipated.

#### Financing Activities

The volatility and disruption in the credit markets in the latter half of 2008 was dramatic and has resulted in significantly higher interest rates for all types of financing, as well as greatly reduced availability for many of the traditional forms of financing such as collateralized mortgage backed securities and other secured debt financings. As noted above, this not only affected the ability to acquire or dispose of assets, but also to refinance existing debt. For example, during the fourth quarter of 2008, yields on BB rated senior notes peaked at approximately 15%, or approximately 1350 basis points over treasury rates. This is compared to yields of approximately 8.5% and spreads of approximately 450 basis points in the fourth quarter of 2007. While spreads tightened by 250 to 350 basis points since mid-December 2008, they are still well above the historical average. Due to this uncertainty in the financial markets, we increased our available cash position by drawing \$200 million on the revolving portion of the credit facility in September 2008. As a result of prior year efforts to establish a capital structure with the appropriate mixture of debt and partners' capital and balanced maturities, we ended 2008 with strong interest coverage and leverage ratios under our credit facility and senior notes covenants (See "Financial Condition"). As of February 23, 2009, our debt maturities in 2009 were approximately \$321 million, including \$11 million of principal amortization, which we have the ability to either refinance or repay. Host has over \$600 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$400 million of capacity under their credit facility revolver at February 23, 2009, which includes the proceeds from the sale of the Hyatt Regency Boston on February 17, 2009.

#### 2009 Outlook

We believe the recessionary economic environment expected for 2009, specifically declining GDP, employment, business investment, corporate profits and consumer spending, will negatively impact the demand for lodging in both the business and leisure components of our business. When looking at historical relationships, the current 2009 consensus estimates for the key economic drivers would suggest an overall decrease in industry lodging demand ranging from 3% to 5%. We believe that lodging demand will decline in 2009 and, in particular, we expect lodging demand in the luxury segment will continue to underperform other segments as consumers trade down to less expensive alternatives. We do not anticipate an improvement in lodging demand until the current economic trends reverse course, particularly the expected continued weakness in the overall economy and the lack of liquidity in the credit markets. While new supply in 2009 is expected to be moderately above historical average, we expect that as a result of the current fiscal environment increases in lodging supply over the next few years will likely slow significantly. This may be particularly relevant for the markets and lodging sectors in which we compete due to the long-term planning and high level of investment associated with these properties.

We believe that the economic slowdown will significantly affect both the group and transient elements of our business. We believe group demand will continue to decline as companies reduce travel expenditures, which will lead to increased cancellations, diminished booking activity and reduced attendance. Similarly, the reduction in corporate travel budgets will affect the transient business traveler. The consumer-led elements of this economic slowdown will also result in a disproportionate impact to leisure-dependent destinations, such as Hawaii and Florida, as domestic and international households are likely to reduce discretionary spending.

In 2008, Host declared a total dividend of \$.65 per share. Host intends to suspend its regular quarterly dividend in 2009 and instead Host expects to declare a \$.30 to \$.35 per share common dividend in the fourth quarter, which may be paid either in cash or in a combination of cash and shares of its common stock. Funds used by Host to pay dividends are provided by distributions from us. The amount of any dividend will be determined by Host's Board of Directors. Host intends to continue paying dividends on its preferred stock.

The general economic trends discussed above make it a difficult environment to predict operating results for our hotels for 2009. Therefore, there can be no assurances that we will not experience further declines in hotel revenues or earnings at our properties for any number of reasons, including, but not limited to, greater than anticipated weakness in the economy and changes in travel patterns.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table reflects certain line items from our audited statements of operations and other unaudited significant operating statistics (in millions, except operating statistics and percentages):

	2008	2007	% Change 2007 to 2008	2006	% Change 2006 to 2007
Revenues					
Total hotel sales	\$ 5,048	\$ 5,158	(2.1)%	\$ 4,566	13.0%
Operating costs and expenses:					
Property-level costs(1)	4,384	4,336	1.1	3,865	12.2
Corporate and other expenses	58	69	(15.9)	94	(26.6)
Gain on insurance settlement	7	51	(86.3)	13	N/M(4)
Operating profit	732	925	(20.9)	740	25.0
Interest expense	375	444	(15.5)	460	(3.5)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	3	6	(50.0)	9	(33.3)
Income from discontinued operations	41	202	(79.7)	464	(56.5)
Net income attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	411	728	(43.5)	758	(4.0)
All hotel operating statistics(2):					
RevPAR	\$140.35	\$142.81	(1.7)%	\$133.48	7.0%
Average room rate	\$196.70	\$194.71	1.0%	\$182.56	6.7%
Average occupancy	71.4%	73.3%	(1.9) pts.	73.1%	0.2 pts.
Comparable hotel operating statistics(3):					
RevPAR	\$142.51	\$146.39	(2.6)%	N/A	5.8%
Average room rate	\$199.10	\$197.76	0.7%	N/A	5.7%
Average occupancy	71.6%	74.0%	(2.4) pts.	N/A	0.1 pts.

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents operating costs and expenses per our consolidated statements of operations less corporate and other expenses and the gains on insurance settlements.

(4) N/M=Not Meaningful

#### **Hotel Sales Overview**

		2007 nillions)	% Change 2007 to 2008	2006 (in millions)	% Change 2006 to 2007
Revenues					
Rooms	\$3,133	\$3,204	(2.2)%	\$2,837	12.9%
Food and beverage	1,566	1,603	(2.3)	1,435	11.7
Other	349	351	(0.6)	294	19.4
Total hotel sales	\$5,048	\$5,158	(2.1)	\$4,566	13.0

<sup>(2)</sup> Operating statistics are for all properties as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and include the results of operations for hotels we have sold prior to their disposition.

Comparable hotel operating statistics for 2008 and 2007 are based on 115 comparable hotels as of December 31, 2008. The percent change from 2006 to 2007 is based on 93 comparable hotels as of December 31, 2007.

#### 2008 Compared to 2007

Hotel sales declined in 2008 due to decreases in occupancy at our properties, as well as decreases in food and beverage and other revenue items. Sales related to properties sold as of August 14, 2009 have been reclassified as discontinued operations. See "Discontinued Operations" below.

Comparable hotel RevPAR decreased 2.6%. The decrease in RevPAR was the result of a 2.4 percentage point decrease in occupancy which was slightly offset by a .7% increase in average room rates. Occupancy was negatively affected by the decrease in overall lodging demand.

Food and beverage revenues for our comparable hotels decreased 2.9%, primarily due to decreased sales from our catering and banquet business and meeting room rentals and the decline in occupancy at our hotels. Other revenues for our comparable hotels, which primarily represent spa, golf, parking, internet connectivity and other fees, were down slightly.

While management evaluates the performance of each individual hotel against its competitive set in a given market, overall we evaluate the portfolio operating results using three different criteria: property type (i.e. urban, suburban, resort/conference or airport), geographic region and mix of business (i.e. transient, group or contract).

#### Comparable Hotel Sales by Property Type

The following tables set forth performance information for 2008 and 2007:

#### Comparable Hotels Portfolio by Property Type (a)

	As of December 31, 2008			Year ended December 31, 2008			Year ended December 31, 2007		
	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Urban	53	32,388	\$ 215.42	74.1%	\$159.60	\$ 211.97	77.0%	\$163.22	(2.2)%
Suburban	34	12,904	158.42	65.5	103.81	157.39	67.9	106.90	(2.9)
Airport	15	7,208	138.39	74.0	102.45	139.04	75.3	104.72	(2.2)
Resort/Conference	13	8,082	248.61	69.0	171.45	253.45	70.7	179.12	(4.3)
All Types	115	60,582	199.10	71.6	142.51	197.76	74.0	146.39	(2.6)

<sup>(</sup>a) The reporting period for 2008 for our Marriott hotels is from December 29, 2007 to December 26, 2008 and for 2007 is from December 30, 2006 to December 28, 2007. For further discussion, see "Reporting Periods".

For 2008, RevPAR decreased across all of our hotel property types. RevPAR at our resort/conference properties have been particularly affected by the current economic recession due to reduced consumer spending and increased travel costs. In particular, our Hawaiian properties have seen a dramatic decline in RevPAR as a result of decreased airlift to the Hawaiian islands and overall weak demand in this market. RevPAR at our urban, airport and suburban hotels also declined due to the overall decline in lodging demand.

Comparable Hotel Sales by Geographic Region

The following tables set forth performance information for 2008 and 2007:

#### Comparable Hotels by Region (a)

	As of December	r 31, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2008			Year ended December 31, 2007			
	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Pacific	27	15,934	\$ 198.45	73.7%	\$146.16	\$ 200.99	75.9%	\$152.60	(4.2)%
Mid-Atlantic	11	8,684	266.72	79.2	211.16	260.84	82.6	215.51	(2.0)
North Central	14	6,175	152.23	65.5	99.72	153.96	69.3	106.63	(6.5)
Florida	9	5,676	211.20	69.7	147.21	209.60	69.6	145.95	0.9
New England	11	5,663	176.34	70.9	125.04	176.22	74.7	131.68	(5.0)
DC Metro	13	5,666	199.15	74.5	148.30	198.34	75.6	150.03	(1.2)
South Central	8	4,358	165.49	68.0	112.48	158.80	70.1	111.35	1.0
Mountain	8	3,364	170.73	64.6	110.35	166.75	67.9	113.22	(2.5)
Atlanta	7	2,589	190.52	65.4	124.68	197.10	68.6	135.13	(7.7)
International	7	2,473	170.63	68.1	116.22	156.37	69.3	108.30	7.3
All Regions	115	60,582	199.10	71.6	142.51	197.76	74.0	146.39	(2.6)

(a) The reporting period for 2008 for our Marriott hotels is from December 29, 2007 to December 26, 2008 and for 2007 is from December 30, 2006 to December 28, 2007. For further discussion, see "Reporting Periods".

In terms of RevPAR growth, our International region was the top performing region due to RevPAR growth at our Chilean and Canadian hotels and the impact of favorable foreign currency exchange rates. Comparable hotel RevPAR growth in our Florida region was driven by RevPAR growth at the Harbor Beach Marriott where we benefited from prior year disruption caused by rooms renovations and the Orlando World Center Marriott where significant discounting drove transient demand. RevPAR results were partially offset by rooms' renovations at three hotels in the region, as well as the impact of Hurricane Fay. RevPAR growth in the South Central region was the result of year-over-year growth in our Houston market which had a strong fourth quarter due to Hurricane Ike induced demand as well as our San Antonio properties, which experienced strong group business because of recent renovations in the fourth quarter of 2007.

The RevPAR decline in our Pacific region was driven by the 17.1% RevPAR decline at our Hawaiian properties and a 3.8% decline at our San Diego properties. The region's best performer based on RevPAR growth was the San Francisco market which had a 1.9% increase in RevPAR, however, the RevPAR growth was concentrated in the first half of the year, as RevPAR in San Francisco declined significantly in the fourth quarter. RevPAR in our New England region also declined, reflecting decreased demand at our Boston hotels due to fewer city-wide events and softening leisure demand. In addition, we experienced higher group attrition and cancellations than in prior periods.

The North Central region underperformed other regions, as results in Chicago were particularly weak due primarily to renovations at three of our Chicago properties and lower transient demand. The Atlanta region also underperformed in comparison to the overall portfolio due to weak group bookings, lower transient demand and increased supply. RevPAR in our Mountain region also declined as the Phoenix market continued to struggle due to lower group and transient demand and rooms' renovations at two hotels.

*Hotel Sales by Business Mix.* The majority of our customers fall into three broad groups: transient, group and contract business. The information below is derived from business mix data for 108 of our hotels for which business mix data is available from our managers.

In 2008, overall transient average daily rates decreased 1.3% when compared to last year while our overall group average room rate increased almost 3.9% over the prior year as most of the business was contracted prior to any significant downturn. We expect that booking pace will continue to slow as the weaker economy slows demand growth and, as a result, we expect increased competition in terms of pricing will put added pressure on rates.

#### 2007 Compared to 2006

Hotel sales growth for 2007 was due to increases in RevPAR, as well as increases in food and beverage and other revenue items. Hotel sales for 2007 also reflect a full year of operations for the Starwood Portfolio and include \$1,035 million and \$741 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively, from these properties. Sales related to properties sold as of August 14, 2009 have been reclassified as discontinued operations. See "Discontinued Operations" below.

Comparable Hotel RevPAR increased 5.8% (as of December 31, 2007, 93 of our 119 hotels were classified as comparable hotels). The increase in RevPAR was the result of strong growth in average room rates and a slight increase in occupancy. The growth in average room rate was driven by increasing demand due to strong economic growth in the first half of 2007 and low growth in the supply of new luxury and upper upscale hotels. As a result of these trends, our operators were able to continue to increase room rates, while marginally improving the year-over-year occupancy levels. However, occupancy was affected at a number of our hotels by our capital expenditure program, which is described below, as well as weakness in individual markets.

Food and beverage revenues for our comparable hotels increased 3.7%, primarily due to increased sales from our catering and banquet business and meeting room rentals. In addition, operating margins at our food and beverage outlets increased 1.1 percentage points. Other revenues for our comparable hotels, which primarily represent spa, golf, parking, internet connectivity and other fees, increased 7.4%.

#### Comparable Hotel Sales by Property Type

The following table sets forth performance information for 2007 and 2006:

#### Comparable Hotels By Property Type (a)

	As of Decemb	er 31, 2007	Year ended December 31, 2007			Year ended December 31, 2006			
	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Urban	40	23,518	\$ 210.60	77.1%	\$162.32	\$ 196.92	76.8%	\$151.21	7.3%
Suburban	27	10,580	158.52	67.3	106.73	149.14	67.5	100.62	6.1
Airport	15	6,557	142.90	74.0	105.69	137.58	72.7	100.02	5.7
Resort/Conference	11	6,825	261.94	70.4	184.44	253.31	71.8	181.91	1.4
All Types	93	47,480	197.75	73.5	145.37	187.05	73.4	137.36	5.8

<sup>(</sup>a) The reporting period for 2007 for our Marriott hotels is from December 30, 2006 to December 28, 2007 and for 2006 is from December 31, 2005 to December 29, 2006.

For 2007, RevPAR increased across all of our hotel property types, led by our urban hotels, as we benefited from strong performance in several downtown markets such as Boston, New York, and San Francisco. We also experienced RevPAR growth at our suburban hotels due to strong performances at our suburban Boston, Denver and Los Angeles hotels. RevPAR growth at our airport hotels was led by our San Francisco and Houston airport hotels. RevPAR growth for our resort/convention hotels was moderate as several hotels were significantly affected by major renovations.

Comparable Hotel Sales by Geographic Region

The following table sets forth performance information for 2007 and 2006:

#### Comparable Hotels By Region (a)

	As of December	of December 31, 2007 Year ended December 31, 2007			2007	Year e			
	No. of Properties	No. of Rooms	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Average Room Rate	Average Occupancy Percentages	RevPAR	Percent Change in RevPAR
Pacific	22	12,016	\$ 211.60	75.1%	\$158.90	\$ 200.75	74.5%	\$149.49	6.3%
Mid-Atlantic	8	5,870	248.56	81.3	202.12	227.45	79.9	181.76	11.2
North Central	11	4,586	160.44	70.6	113.22	154.63	72.3	111.87	1.2
Florida	9	5,663	209.60	69.6	145.95	203.71	70.2	142.94	2.1
New England	6	3,032	182.63	77.8	142.10	170.11	76.9	130.81	8.6
DC Metro	12	5,399	197.41	75.4	148.78	192.23	73.1	140.51	5.9
South Central	7	4,126	152.88	70.5	107.77	144.72	71.6	103.63	4.0
Mountain	6	2,210	142.20	64.8	92.08	132.71	65.5	86.98	5.9
Atlanta	7	2,625	197.10	68.6	135.13	188.61	70.5	132.97	1.6
International	5	1,953	165.19	69.8	115.31	151.61	72.0	109.21	5.6
All Regions	93	47,480	197.75	73.5	145.37	187.05	73.4	137.36	5.8

(a) The reporting period for 2007 for our Marriott hotels is from December 30, 2006 to December 28, 2007 and for 2006 is from December 31, 2005 to December 29, 2006.

For 2007, our Mid-Atlantic region was the top performing region due to exceptional RevPAR growth by our New York City hotels driven by strong business and leisure transient demand and average room rate increases. The New England region also performed well due to increased city-wide events in the Boston market, particularly during the second half of the year, as this market was affected by lower levels of group and transient demand during the first half of the year.

Increases in RevPAR for our Pacific, DC Metro and Mountain regions were generally consistent with the levels for the overall portfolio. Increases in RevPAR in our Pacific region were driven by the Los Angeles and San Francisco markets. The Los Angeles market grew due to increased average room rates for transient business along with a strong group base. The San Francisco market had a strong year driven by city-wide events. The growth in the DC Metro region was driven by the performance of our downtown hotels, which was partially offset by weak performance by our suburban properties in the region.

RevPAR growth during the year in the Florida region was moderate, as group activity was slow due to hurricane concerns and renovation displacement at several hotels including the Harbor Beach Marriott Resort and Spa and the Tampa Marriott Waterside Hotel and Marina. The weak RevPAR growth in the Atlanta region was due to lower levels of occupancy, as city-wide demand decreased from the unusually strong performance in 2006 that reflected business relocation from New Orleans due to Hurricane Katrina. However, in the fourth quarter, the Atlanta region experienced RevPAR increases due to strong group bookings in the mid-town area. The North Central region under-performed the portfolio primarily due to weakness in the Chicago market.

Hotel Sales by Business Mix. In 2007, relatively strong demand levels allowed our operators to increase average daily room rates, particularly in the corporate transient segments. Overall transient average daily rates increased 7% when compared to last year and our overall group average room rate for these hotels increased almost 5%.

#### **Property-level Operating Expenses**

	2008 (in mi	<u>2007</u> llions)	% Change 2008 to 2007	2006 (in millions)	% Change 2007 to 2006
Rooms	\$ 773	\$ 766	0.9%	\$ 677	13.1%
Food and beverage	1,147	1,164	(1.5)	1,055	10.3
Other departmental and support expenses	1,269	1,253	1.3	1,124	11.5
Management fees	242	263	(8.0)	218	20.6
Other property-level expenses	387	387	_	354	9.3
Depreciation and amortization	566	503	12.5	437	15.1
Total property-level operating expenses	\$4,384	\$4,336	1.1	\$3,865	12.2

Property-level operating expenses increased each year from 2006 through 2008 due to several factors. In 2008, the 1.1% increase was primarily due to an increase in depreciation expense due to our extensive \$1.8 billion capital expenditure program from 2006 to 2008. This was offset by a decline in revenue driven costs, primarily management fees, reflecting our decline in operations during the second half of the year. By contrast, in 2007, all property-level costs increased, reflecting inflation, increases in revenue-driven costs and the effect of our capital expenditures on our depreciation expense. Our operating costs and expenses, which are both fixed and variable, are affected by changes in occupancy, inflationary increases and revenues, though the effect on specific costs will differ. For example, utility costs include a fixed component but will increase based on occupancy and with inflation, while depreciation expense is fixed except for the effects of property transactions (i.e. acquisitions, capital expenditures, dispositions, etc.). Similarly, management fees are directly affected by total revenues, as well as the level of operating profit at each property. Additionally, 2008 and 2007 property-level operating expenses include the property-level expenses of the Starwood Portfolio for a full year of approximately \$828 million and \$826 million, respectively. Comparatively, property-level operating expenses for 2006 include Starwood Portfolio expenses for the period from April 10, 2006 (the purchase date) through December 31, 2006 of \$581 million. Property-level operating expenses exclude the costs associated with hotels we have sold in 2009, which are included in discontinued operations.

#### Other Income Statement Line Items

Corporate and Other Expenses. Corporate and other expenses primarily consist of employee salaries and benefits including stock-based compensation expense, as well as other costs such as travel, corporate insurance, audit fees, building rent and system costs. Corporate expenses decreased approximately \$11 million in 2008 from 2007 and approximately \$25 million in 2007 from 2006 due to the decrease in compensation expense recorded for Host's liability classified stock-based compensation awards as the market performance criteria for the issuance of Host's restricted stock were not met and fewer shares were earned. Additionally, 2006 included non-recurring costs of approximately \$7 million associated with the Starwood acquisition.

Gain on Insurance Settlement. We recorded a gain on insurance settlement of \$7 million in 2008, \$51 million in 2007 and \$13 million in 2006. The gains primarily relate to the insurance proceeds received for both business interruption and property damage following Hurricanes Katrina and Wilma which occurred during September and October 2005. The hurricanes caused substantial business interruption and property damage at our New Orleans Marriott and at five of our hotels located in southern Florida.

During 2008, the gain of \$7 million primarily represents the release of contingencies related to an insurance settlement reached for business interruption incurred at the New Orleans Marriott. During 2007, we recognized a gain of \$30 million related to business interruption insurance proceeds received as a result of lost profit primarily at our New Orleans Marriott. Additionally, all of the insurance gains of \$13 million in 2006 represent business interruption insurance proceeds.

The remaining gain of \$21 million in 2007 related to insurance proceeds for property damage sustained by these properties. The gain represents the insurance proceeds received in excess of the insurance receivable recorded on the balance sheet at the date of loss. The insurance receivable reflected the book value of the property and equipment written off and repairs and maintenance costs incurred from the hurricanes. We recognize the gains on insurance settlements once all contingencies are met, and, as a result, none of the property insurance proceeds were recognized in income during 2005 or 2006.

*Interest Income*. The \$17 million decline in interest income for 2008 when compared to 2007 is primarily due to lower interest rates during 2008, as well as a slightly lower weighted average cash balance for the full year 2008 compared to 2007. The increase of \$4 million from 2006 to 2007 was primarily due to an increase in the weighted average cash balance in 2007.

Interest Expense. The decrease of \$69 million in interest expense for 2008 is primarily due to an expense of \$45 million related to call premiums and the acceleration of the amortization of deferred financing costs associated with debt prepayments during 2007 compared to a \$14 million gain in 2008 related to the repurchase of \$100 million face amount of our 2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures. The decline in interest expense also reflects the decrease in our weighted average interest rate of 0.4 percentage points to 6.4%.

The decrease of \$16 million in interest expense in 2007 is primarily due to a net decrease in debt of approximately \$318 million and a decrease in our weighted average interest rate of 0.3 percentage points to 6.8%. The decrease is partially offset by call premiums and the acceleration of the amortization of deferred financing costs associated with debt prepayments totaling \$45 million for 2007 compared to similar costs of \$17 million for 2006.

Equity in Earnings (Losses) of Affiliates. In 2008, our share of income of affiliates decreased by \$21 million compared to 2007 primarily due to a decrease in earnings from our joint venture in Europe and the write-off of costs associated with a terminated transaction. However, in 2007, our share of income of affiliates increased by \$17 million compared to 2006 primarily due to an increase in earnings from our European joint venture, which was formed in April of 2006.

Discontinued Operations. Discontinued operations consist of five hotels sold in 2009, two hotels sold in 2008, nine hotels sold during 2007 and seven hotels sold in 2006 and represent the results of operations and the gains on the disposition of these hotels during the periods. The following table summarizes the revenues, income before taxes, and the gain on dispositions, net of tax, of the hotels which have been reclassified to discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for the periods presented (in millions):

	2008	2007	2006
Revenues	\$129	\$183	\$296
Income before taxes	18	40	48
Gain on disposals, net of tax	23	164	416

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

#### Overview

We seek to maintain a capital structure and liquidity profile with an appropriate balance of cash, debt and partners' capital to provide financial flexibility given the inherent volatility in the lodging industry. During this period of economic uncertainty, we have taken several steps to preserve capital and increase liquidity, including the third quarter draw of \$200 million on our credit facility, the reduction of distributions per unit beginning in the fourth quarter and the implementation of cost savings initiatives at both the corporate and hotel level which will include a reduction in 2009 in capital expenditures to approximately one-half of the 2008 level. We believe, as a result of all of the above-mentioned efforts and the overall strength of our balance sheet, we have sufficient liquidity and access to capital markets to withstand the anticipated decline in operating cash flow in 2009 and pay our debt maturities, fund our capital expenditure programs and maintain compliance with our debt financial covenants. We continue to maintain higher than historical cash levels due to uncertainty in the credit markets and we intend to do so until the credit markets stabilize.

Cash Requirements. We use cash for acquisitions, capital expenditures, debt payments, operating costs, corporate and other expenses and distributions to unitholders. As a REIT, Host is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income (excluding net capital gain) to its stockholders. Funds used by Host to pay dividends are provided by distributions from us. Our sources of cash are cash from operations, proceeds from the sale of assets, borrowings under our credit facility and our ability to obtain additional financing through various capital markets.

Set forth below is a schedule of our debt maturities through 2011 as of December 31, 2008. Our near term debt maturities in 2009 and 2010 are relatively low. While maturities in 2011 total \$825 million, they include borrowings under the revolver portion of the credit facility, as well as the \$210 million credit facility term loan and the Orlando Marriott World Center mortgage, all of which are subject to extension at our option if certain requirements are met. See "— Financial Condition" for more information on our debt maturities.

## Debt Maturities 2009 - 2011 (in millions)

	2009 (1)	2010	2011
San Diego Marriott Hotel & Marina mortgage	\$ 175	<b>\$</b> —	\$
Westin Kierland mortgage	134	_	_
3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures (2)	_	400	
Mortgage loan on four Canadian properties.		_	105
Orlando Marriott World Center mortgage (3)	_	_	300
Credit facility (including the \$210 million term loan) (4)		_	410
Principal amortization on other debt	12	11	10
Total Maturities	\$ 321	\$411	\$825

- (1) We provided notice to the lender of the approximately \$34 million mortgage on the Westin Indianapolis that we will prepay the debt in March 2009.
- (2) Our 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures are due in 2024, but are subject to a put option by the holders in 2010. The \$400 million represents the face amount of the outstanding debt at December 31, 2008.
- (3) This mortgage is subject to two, one-year extension options provided that debt coverage exceeds certain ratios and other conditions are met.
- (4) Our credit facility may be extended for one year provided that our leverage ratio is below 6.75x. See "—Financial Condition" for further discussion.

As of December 31, 2008, we had \$508 million of cash and cash equivalents, which was an increase of \$20 million from December 31, 2007. In the first quarter of 2009, we paid the fourth quarter common and preferred distributions of \$29 million and received \$113 million net proceeds from the sale of the Hyatt Regency Boston, including the return of reserves held by the manager. We also had \$400 million available under our credit facility at December 31, 2008. During 2009, our primary uses of cash will be debt maturities, capital expenditures at our hotels and distribution requirements. Additionally, Host may take advantage of its ability to satisfy up to 90% of its dividend requirements through the issuance of common stock dividends in order to conserve cash.

Capital Resources. We depend primarily on external sources of capital to finance future growth, including acquisitions. As a result, the liquidity and debt capacity provided by our credit facility and the ability to issue senior unsecured debt are key components of our capital structure. Therefore, our financial flexibility (including our ability to incur debt, pay distributions and make investments) is contingent on our ability to maintain compliance with the financial covenants, which include, among others, the allowable amounts of leverage, coverage and fixed charges. Since 2003, we have improved our financial covenant ratios through a number of transactions that have extended our weighted average maturity and reduced interest costs. As a result of these efforts, our weighted average interest rate has declined significantly, from 7.7% at December 31, 2003 to 6.4% at December 31, 2008 and our weighted average maturities have remained consistent at approximately five years. Additionally, since December 31, 2006, we have reduced our secured mortgage indebtedness by approximately 29% to \$1.4 billion, which represents approximately 24% of our overall indebtedness and is secured by 14 of our hotels. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 80% of our hotels (as measured by revenues) are unencumbered by mortgage debt. Given the flexibility provided by the structure of our balance sheet, we will look to access both the market for our senior notes and the secured mortgage debt markets, based on relative pricing and capacity, to fund our cash requirements. We

may, at any time, seek to access such markets in the event that we determine that the terms and conditions available to us are advantageous based upon prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other circumstances. See "Financial Condition" for further discussion of our restrictive covenants.

Debt transactions. During 2008, we entered into a \$210 million term loan and borrowed \$200 million of our available \$600 million capacity under the revolver portion of our credit facility. We also refinanced our \$208 million mortgage loan on the Orlando World Center Marriott through the issuance of a \$300 million floating rate mortgage loan. In addition, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of our 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures with a carrying value of \$96 million for approximately \$82 million, for a gain of approximately \$14 million in 2008. As of December 31, 2008, our debt has an average maturity of 4.6 years and a weighted average interest rate of 6.4%.

In addition to the above financing activities, we paid approximately \$16 million of principal amortization of mortgage debt in 2008 and repaid the \$33.5 million mortgage secured by our Scottsdale Marriott at McDowell Mountains, which matured in December 2008.

We may continue to redeem or refinance senior notes and mortgage debt from time to time, taking advantage of favorable market conditions when available. We may purchase senior notes for cash through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, a tender offer or, in some cases, through the early redemption of such securities pursuant to their terms. Repurchases of debt, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. Any refinancing or retirement before the maturity date would affect earnings and Funds From Operations, or FFO per diluted unit, as defined below, as a result of the payment of any applicable call premiums and the acceleration of previously deferred financing costs. Specifically, interest expense includes \$45 million for 2007 for call premiums, the acceleration of deferred financing costs and original issue discount and the termination of related interest rate swap agreements associated with debt prepayments.

Counterparty Credit Risk. We are subject to counterparty credit risk, which relates to the ability of counterparties to meet their contractual payment obligations or the potential non-performance of counterparties to deliver contracted commodities or services at the contracted price. As a result of the recent bankruptcy and insolvency of several high-profile, well-respected financial institutions, we have performed additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, of these market developments on our financial condition. We are exposed to credit risk with respect to cash held at various financial institutions, access to our credit facility, the amounts due and services performed by our managers and amounts due or payable under our derivative contracts. Our credit exposure in each of these cases is limited. Our exposure with regard to our cash and the \$400 million available under our credit facility is mitigated as the credit risk is spread among a diversified group of investment grade financial institutions. Amounts due from the managers of our hotels generally reflect the operations of the hotel in the immediately preceding period and the working capital at the hotels. These amounts totaled \$65 million as of December 31, 2008 and we consider the risk that our managers will fail to meet the payment obligations to be remote. At December 31, 2008, our exposure risk related to our derivative contracts totaled \$6 million and the counterparties were investment grade financial institutions.

Partners' Capital Transactions. On February 19, 2008, Host's Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$500 million of Host's common stock and equity-related securities. The securities may be purchased in the open market or through private transactions, depending upon market conditions. We will redeem an equivalent number of common OP units from Host for each common share repurchased. The plan does not obligate Host to repurchase any specific number of shares and may be suspended at any time at Host's discretion. As of December 31, 2008, Host has repurchased 6.5 million common shares valued at approximately \$100 million and repurchased \$100 million face amount of our 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures for approximately \$82 million. As a result of these purchases, as of December 31, 2008, Host had approximately \$318 million left under the Board's authorization for future repurchases. We redeemed an equivalent number of common OP units from Host for each common share repurchased.

Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities. On March 12, 2008, we acquired the remaining limited partnership interests in Pacific Gateway Ltd., a subsidiary partnership of Host L.P., which owns the San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina, and other economic rights formerly held by our outside partner, including the right to receive 1.7% of the hotel's sales, in exchange for 5,575,540 limited partnership units of Host LP. The OP units were valued at \$93 million based on the closing stock price on such date for Host, or \$16.68.

Acquisitions and Dispositions. On February 17, 2009, we sold the Hyatt Regency Boston for net proceeds of approximately \$113 million, including the return of reserves held by the manager. We recorded a gain on the disposition of approximately \$20 million, net of tax, in the first quarter of 2009. On July 9, 2009, we sold the Sheraton Stamford Hotel and the Washington Dulles Marriott Suites for net proceeds of approximately \$36 million. On July 16, 2009, we sold the Boston Marriott Newton for net proceeds of approximately \$28 million. On August 20, 2009, we sold the Hanover Marriott for net proceeds of approximately \$26 million. We recognized a gain on the disposition of these four properties of approximately \$9 million, net of tax, in the third quarter of 2009. During 2008, we sold two domestic properties for total proceeds of approximately \$39 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$23 million, net of tax.

In April 2008, the European joint venture acquired the Crowne Plaza Amsterdam City Centre for approximately €72 million (US \$113 million), including our investment of €3 million (US \$5 million) and the issuance of approximately €53.3 million of mortgage debt.

We may acquire additional properties through various structures, including transactions involving single assets, portfolios, joint ventures and acquisitions of all or substantially all of the securities or assets of other REITs or similar real estate entities. We anticipate that our acquisitions will be financed through a combination of methods, including proceeds from sales of properties from our existing portfolio, the incurrence of debt, available cash, advances under our credit facility, proceeds from equity offerings of Host, or issuance of OP units by Host LP.

Capital Expenditures. During 2008, we continued our extensive capital expenditure program, which included the renovation of approximately 11,900 rooms and approximately 465,000 square feet of meeting space. In 2008, our capital expenditures totaled \$695, million including the application of \$23 million of deposits made in 2007. For 2008, renewal and replacement capital expenditures were approximately \$374 million, as compared to \$267 million in 2007. Our renewal and replacement capital expenditures are generally funded by the furniture, fixtures and equipment funds established at certain of our hotels (typically funded with approximately 5% of property revenues) and by our available cash. We also spent approximately \$321 million on repositioning/ROI and value enhancement projects in 2008, as compared to \$346 million in 2007. These projects are expected to enhance the competitiveness of our properties and should help drive operating performance, particularly during the current economic recession, by separating and differentiating our properties from those of our competitors. As a result of this extensive capital expenditure program, we believe that our properties will remain in a strong competitive position with respect to their market competitors despite our planned reduction in capital expenditures in 2009.

#### Sources and Uses of Cash

During 2008, our primary sources of cash included cash from operations, proceeds from debt issuances and refinancings and proceeds from hotel dispositions. Uses of cash during the year primarily consisted of capital expenditures, distributions, unit redemptions and debt repayments and repurchases. Significant uses of cash during 2009 will include the repayment or repurchase of our debt maturing in the near-term, capital expenditures at our hotels and distributions to unitholders. Other uses may include, among others, investment in our European and Asian joint ventures or hotel acquisitions. We anticipate that our primary sources of cash for 2009 will include cash from operations and proceeds from hotel dispositions, debt issuances or partners' capital issuances by Host.

Cash Provided by Operations. Our cash provided by operations for 2008 increased \$19 million to \$1.0 billion compared to 2007, due primarily to a reduction in interest expense which was partially offset by declines in operations at our hotels.

Cash Used in Investing Activities. Approximately \$716 million of cash was used in investing activities during 2008. This included approximately \$672 million of capital expenditures (net of the \$23 million deposit) and \$77 million of investments primarily in our European joint venture, partially offset by \$38 million of proceeds from the dispositions of the Sheraton Tampa Suites Airport and the Host Airport Hotel Sacramento.

The following table summarizes significant investing activities that have been completed since the beginning of January 2007 (in millions):

Transaction Date		Description of Transaction	nvestment) Sale Price
Acquisitions/Invest	tments		
April-December	2008	Investment in European joint venture(1)	\$ (76)
August	2007	Investment in European joint venture(2)	(12)
February	2007	Purchase of the Atlanta Marriott Perimeter Center ground lease	(15)
		Total acquisitions	\$ (103)
Dispositions/Return	n of Invest	ment	
August	2009	Disposition of Hanover Marriott	\$ 27
July	2009	Disposition of Boston Marriott Newton	28
July	2009	Disposition of Sheraton Stamford/Washington Dulles Marriott Suites	36
February	2009	Disposition of Hyatt Regency Boston	113
January	2009	Return of investment in European joint venture(1)	40
July	2008	Disposition of Host Airport Hotel Sacramento	15
April	2008	Disposition of Sheraton Suites Tampa Airport	24
December	2007	Disposition of Sheraton Tucson	25
November	2007	Disposition of Minneapolis Marriott Southwest	45
August	2007	Disposition of excess land	5
February	2007	Disposition of Miami Airport Marriott	57
February	2007	Disposition of Raleigh Marriott Crabtree Valley	48
February	2007	Disposition of the Fairview Park Marriott	109
January	2007	Disposition of Sheraton Milwaukee Brookfield Hotel	28
January	2007	Disposition of Sheraton Providence Airport Hotel	10
January	2007	Disposition of Capitol Hill Suites	39
January	2007	Disposition of Marriott Mountain Shadows Resort	42
		Total dispositions	\$ 691

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents our investments for the acquisitions of the Crowne Plaza Amsterdam City Centre, as well as our investments to acquire a portfolio of hotels. The portfolio transaction was terminated in 2008 and therefore the European joint venture returned approximately \$40 million of these funds in January 2009

Cash Provided by/Used in Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$284 million for 2008, as compared to \$685 million in 2007. During 2008 and 2007, cash used in financing activities consisted of debt prepayments or repurchases and unit repurchases of approximately \$427 million and \$1.3 billion, respectively, and scheduled principal repayments of \$16 million and \$35 million, respectively. Cash provided by financing activities in 2008 and 2007 included the issuance of debt securities for proceeds of approximately \$702 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively, net of financing costs.

During 2008 and 2007, our common OP unit distributions increased \$82 million to \$542 million as the 2008 distributions included the payment of the \$.40 per unit fourth quarter 2007 distribution compared to the \$.25 fourth quarter 2006 distribution that was paid in 2007. We also made a \$9 million distribution on our preferred OP units for both 2008 and 2007, respectively.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents our investment for the acquisition of three hotels located in Brussels, Belgium.

The following table summarizes significant debt (net of deferred financing costs) and partners' capital transactions since the beginning of January 2007 (in millions):

Transaction Date		Description of Transaction	nsaction nount
Debt			
December	2008	Repayment of 6.08% mortgage on the Scottsdale McDowell Mountains	\$ (34)
October-November	2008	Repurchase of \$100 million face amount of the 2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures	(82)
September	2008	Draw on the credit facility revolver	200
June	2008	Proceeds from 4.93% Orlando World Center Marriott mortgage refinancing (1)	296
June	2008	Repayment of the 7.48% mortgage on the Orlando World Center Marriott	(208)
May	2008	Proceeds from the credit facility term loan	44
April	2008	Repayment of the credit facility revolver	(100)
April	2008	Proceeds from the credit facility term loan	162
March	2008	Draw on the credit facility revolver	100
October	2007	Repayment of New Orleans Marriott, San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter, San Ramon Marriott and Santa Clara	(190)
		Marriott mortgages with an interest rate of 8.22%	
June	2007	Repayment of 9.375% senior notes	(6)
May	2007	Defeasance of 7.61% CMBS loan	(514)
April	2007	Prepayment of the Philadelphia Marriott Convention Center mortgages with a weighted average interest rate of 8.52%	(96)
April	2007	Prepayment of the 8.41% Four Seasons Hotel, Atlanta mortgage	(33)
March	2007	Proceeds from the issuance of 2007 Exchangeable Senior Debentures due 2027	589
March	2007	Prepayment of the 7.42% mortgage on the JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. (2)	(88)
March	2007	Proceeds from the issuance of the 5.53% mortgage loan secured by the	298
		Ritz-Carlton, Naples and Newport Beach Marriott Hotel & Spa	
March	2007	Repayment of the credit facility revolver	(175)
February	2007	Proceeds from 5.55% Harbor Beach Marriott mortgage refinancing	134
February	2007	Repayment of 8.58% Harbor Beach mortgage	(88)
January	2007	Repayment of the credit facility revolver	(75)
2008/2007		Principal amortization	(51)
		Net debt transactions	\$ 83
Partners' Capital			
March-August	2008	Common OP unit repurchases	\$ (100)
		Net partners' capital transactions	\$ (100)

<sup>(1)</sup> The Orlando World Center Marriott mortgage loan has a floating rate of interest of LIBOR plus 350 basis points. The interest rate shown reflects the rate in effect as of December 31, 2008.

<sup>(2)</sup> The JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. mortgage debt had a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus 210 basis points. The interest rate shown reflects the rate as of the date of the transaction.

#### **Financial Condition**

As of December 31, 2008, our total debt was approximately \$5.9 billion of which 88% carried a fixed rate of interest. Total debt was comprised of (in millions):

	December 2008	- /	Dec	cember 31, 2007
Series K senior notes, with a rate of 71/8% due November 2013	\$	725	\$	725
Series M senior notes, with a rate of 7% due August 2012		348		347
Series O senior notes, with a rate of $6\frac{3}{8}$ % due March 2015		650		650
Series Q senior notes, with a rate of $6^{3}/_{4}$ % due June 2016	1	800		800
Series S senior notes, with a rate of $67/8\%$ due November 2014		497		497
2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures, with a rate of 3 ½ due April 2024		383		463
2007 Exchangeable Senior Debentures, with a rate of 25/8% due April 2027		533		515
Senior notes, with rate of 10.0% due May 2012		7		7
Total senior notes	3,	943		4,004
Mortgage debt secured by \$2.1 billion of real estate assets, with an average interest rate of 6.2% at December 31, 2008 and				
6.6% at December 31, 2007	1,	436		1,423
Credit facility (including the \$210 million term loan)		410		_
Other		87		88
Total debt	\$ 5,	876	\$	5,515

Aggregate debt maturities at December 31, 2008 are as follows (in millions):

2009	\$ 321
2010	411
2011 (1)	825
2012	967
2013	737
Thereafter	2,700
	5,961
Unamortized (discounts), net	(86)
Capital lease obligations	1
	<u>\$5,876</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> The debt maturing in 2011 includes \$410 million related to borrowings under the credit facility, which can be extended, at our option, for one year if our leverage ratio is below 6.75x. See "—Financial Condition" for further discussion. Similarly, the \$300 million mortgage loan on the Orlando World Center Marriott, which also matures in 2011, can be extended for two, one-year periods, subject to achieving a certain debt coverage ratio and other conditions.

#### Senior Notes

General. The following summary is a description of the material provisions of the indentures governing our various senior notes, which we refer to collectively as the senior notes indenture. We pay interest on each series of our outstanding senior notes at specified dates in arrears at the respective annual rates indicated on the table above. Under the terms of our senior notes indenture, our senior notes are equal in right of payment with all of our unsubordinated indebtedness and senior to all of our subordinated obligations. The notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture are guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and currently are secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture, as well as our credit facility, certain other senior debt, and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements with lenders that are parties to the credit facility. The pledges are permitted to be released in the event that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x for two consecutive fiscal quarters. Because our leverage ratio is below this threshold, we have the right to release all pledges at any time. In October 2005, we exercised this right for pledges of capital that would have been otherwise required subsequent to this date.

Restrictive Covenants. Under the terms of the senior notes indenture, our ability to incur indebtedness and make distributions is subject to restrictions and the satisfaction of various conditions, including the achievement of an EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0x. We are able to make distributions to enable Host to pay dividends on its preferred stock under the senior notes indenture when our EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio is above 1.7 to 1.0. This ratio is calculated in accordance with the terms of our senior notes indenture based on pro forma results for the four prior fiscal quarters giving effect to transactions such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings, as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. Under the terms of our senior notes indenture, interest expense excludes items such as the gains and losses on the extinguishment of debt, deferred financing charges related to the senior notes or the credit facility, amortization of debt premiums or discounts that were recorded at acquisition of a loan to establish the debt at fair value and approximately \$30 million, \$25 million and \$12 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, of interest expense recorded as a result of the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement relating to our exchangeable debentures, all of which are included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations. Other covenants limiting our ability to incur indebtedness and make distributions include maintaining total indebtedness of less than 65% of adjusted total assets (using undepreciated real estate values) excluding intangible assets and secured indebtedness of less than 45% of adjusted total assets. So long as we maintain the required level of interest coverage and satisfy these and other conditions in the senior notes indenture, we may make preferred or common OP unit distributions and incur additional debt under the senior notes indenture, including debt incurred in connection with an acquisition. In addition, even if we are below the coverage levels otherwise required to incur debt and make distributions, we are still permitted to incur certain types of debt, including (i) credit facility debt, (ii) refinancing debt, (iii) up to \$300 million of mortgage debt whose proceeds would be used to repay debt under credit facility (and permanently reduce our ability to borrow under the credit facility by such amount), and (iv) up to \$100 million of other debt. Our senior notes indenture also imposes restrictions on customary matters, such as our ability to make distributions on, redeem or repurchase our OP units; make investments; permit payment or distribution restrictions on certain of our subsidiaries; sell assets; guarantee indebtedness; enter into transactions with affiliates; create certain liens; and sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies. Our senior notes indenture also imposes a requirement to maintain unencumbered assets (as defined in the indenture as undepreciated property value) of not less than 125% of the aggregate amount of senior note debt plus other debt not secured by mortgages. This coverage requirement must be maintained at all times and is distinct from the coverage requirements necessary to incur debt or make distributions discussed above (whose consequences, where we fall below the coverage level, are limited to restricting our ability to incur new debt or make distributions, but which would not otherwise cause a default under our senior notes indenture). As of December 31, 2008, we are in compliance with all of our financial covenants under our senior notes indentures.

2007 Exchangeable Senior Debentures. On March 23, 2007, we issued \$600 million 25/8% Exchangeable Senior Debentures (the "2007 Debentures") and received proceeds of \$589 million, net of underwriting fees and expenses and original issue discount. The 2007 Debentures mature on April 15, 2027 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior notes. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year beginning on July 15, 2007. We can redeem for cash all, or part of, the 2007 Debentures at any time on or after April 20, 2012 upon 15 days notice at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest. Holders have the right to require us to repurchase the 2007 Debentures on April 15, 2012, April 15, 2017 and April 15, 2022 for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest. Holders may exchange their 2007 Debentures prior to maturity under certain conditions, including when the closing sale price of Host's common stock is more than 130% of the exchange price per share for at least 20 of 30 consecutive trading days during certain periods or any time up to two days prior to the date on which the debentures have been called for redemption. On exchange, we must deliver cash in an amount equal to not less than the lower of the exchange value (which is the applicable exchange rate multiplied by the average price of Host's common stock) and the aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Debentures to be exchanged and, at our option, shares of Host's common stock, cash or a combination thereof for any excess above the principal value. If we elect to redeem the debentures and the exchange value exceeds the cash redemption price, we would expect holders to elect to exchange their debentures at the exchange value described above rather than receive the cash redemption price. The exchange rate at February 23, 2009 was 31.35 shares of Host's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures, which is equivalent to an exchange price of \$31.90 per share of Host common stock. Upon issuance of such shares by Host, we will issue to Host an equivalent number of common OP units. The exchange rate may be adjusted under certain circumstances including the payment of common dividends by Host exceeding \$.20 per share in any given quarter. The 2007 Debentures are not currently exchangeable.

2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures. On March 16, 2004, we issued \$500 million of 3.25% Exchangeable Senior Debentures (the "2004 Debentures") and received net proceeds of \$484 million, net of discounts, underwriting fees and expenses. During 2008, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of the 2004 Debentures with a carrying value of \$96 million for approximately \$82 million and recorded a gain on the repurchase of approximately \$14 million. As of December 31, 2008, \$400 million face amount of the 2004 Debentures remains outstanding. The outstanding 2004 Debentures mature on April 15, 2024 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior notes. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year. Holders have the right to require us to repurchase the 2004 Debentures on April 15, 2010, April 15, 2014 and April 15, 2019 for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount. Holders may exchange their 2004 Debentures prior to maturity under certain conditions, including at any time at which the closing sale price of Host's common stock is more than 120% of the exchange price per share, for at least 20 of 30 consecutive trading days during certain periods or any time up to two days prior to the date on which the debentures have been called for redemption. The exchange rate at February 23, 2009 was 63.3687 of Host's common stock for each \$1,000 of principal amount of the 2004 Debentures, (which is equivalent to an exchange price of \$15.78 per share of Host's common stock for each shares by Host, we will issue an equivalent number of common OP units. The exchange rate is adjusted for certain circumstances, including the payment of common dividends by Host. We can redeem for cash all, or part of, the 2004 Debentures at any time subsequent to April 19, 2009 upon 30 days notice at the applicable redemption price as set forth in the indenture. If we elect to redeem the debentures and the exchange value exceeds the cash redemption price, we would expect holders to

#### **Credit Facility**

General. On May 25, 2007, we entered into a second amended and restated bank credit facility with Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citicorp North America Inc., Société Générale and Calyon New York Branch, as Co-Documentation Agents and certain other agents and lenders. The credit facility provides aggregate revolving loan commitments in the amount of \$600 million. During any period in which our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 7.0x, new borrowings are limited to such amount as does not cause the aggregate outstanding principal amount under the credit facility to exceed \$300 million. The credit facility also includes subcommitments for (i) the issuance of letters of credit in an aggregate amount of \$10 million and (ii) loans in certain foreign currencies in an aggregate amount of \$300 million, (A) \$150 million of which may be loaned to certain of our Canadian subsidiaries in Canadian Dollars and (B) \$300 million of which may be loaned to us in Pounds Sterling and Euros. The credit facility has an initial scheduled maturity of September 2011. We have an option to extend the maturity for an additional year if certain conditions are met as of September 2011. These conditions include the payment of a fee to the lenders, that no default or event of default exists and maintaining a leverage ratio below 6.75x. Subject to certain conditions, we also have the option to increase the amount of the facility by up to \$190 million to the extent that any one or more lenders, whether or not currently party to the credit facility, commits to be a lender for such amount.

In the second quarter of 2008, we entered into a \$210 million term loan under the credit facility. The term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 175 basis points, with a LIBOR floor of 2.25% for an all-in rate of 4.0% at December 31, 2008. We also have the option to pay interest based on the higher of the overnight Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points and the Prime Lending Rate, plus, in both cases, the applicable spread of 75 basis points. In September 2008, we also borrowed \$200 million under the revolver portion of our credit facility at a rate of LIBOR plus 65 basis points based on our leverage at December 31, 2008, we had \$400 million of remaining available capacity under the revolver portion of our credit facility.

The obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and are currently secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The pledges are permitted to be released in the event that certain conditions are satisfied, including the requirement that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x for two consecutive fiscal quarters. As a result of having satisfied such conditions, currently we are not required to pledge our equity interests in any newly acquired or formed subsidiary, and at our election, we may obtain a release of all existing pledges for so long as our leverage ratio continues to be below 6.0x. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit our credit facility, as well as the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements with lenders that are parties to the credit facility.

Financial Covenants. The credit facility contains covenants concerning allowable leverage, fixed charge coverage and unsecured interest coverage. Prior to the end of our third quarter of 2009, we are permitted to make borrowings and maintain amounts outstanding under the credit facility so long as our leverage ratio is not in excess of 7.5x and our unsecured coverage ratio is not less than 1.75x. Thereafter, the maximum leverage ratio under the credit facility is reduced to 7.25x, with the minimum unsecured coverage ratio continuing to be set at 1.75x. In all cases, if our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 7.0x, new borrowings are limited to such amount as does not cause the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the credit facility to exceed \$300 million. However, to the extent our borrowings under the credit facility revolver exceed \$300 million on the date that our leverage ratio exceeds 7.0x, we are not required to repay the excess for one year. The financial covenants for the credit facility do not apply when there are no borrowings under the credit facility. Hence, so long as there are no amounts outstanding, we would not be in default if we do not satisfy the financial covenants and we do not lose the potential to draw under the credit facility in the future if we were ever to come back into compliance with the financial covenants. These calculations are performed in accordance with our credit facility based on pro forma results for the prior four fiscal quarters giving effect to transactions such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. Under the terms of the credit facility, interest expense excludes items such as the gains and losses on the extinguishment of debt, deferred financing charges related to the senior notes or the credit facility, amortization of debt premiums or discounts that were recorded at acquisition of a loan to establish the debt at fair value and approximately \$30 million, \$25 million and \$12 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, of interest expense recorded as a result of the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement relating to our exchangeable debentures, all of which are included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, total debt used in the calculation of our leverage ratio is based on a "net debt" concept under which cash and cash equivalents in excess of \$100 million is deducted from our total debt balance. As of December 31, 2008, our leverage ratio was 4.1x versus the 7.5x maximum leverage ratio allowed under the credit facility, our fixed charge coverage ratio was 2.8x versus the 1.0x minimum fixed charge coverage ratio allowed under the credit facility and our unsecured interest coverage ratio was 4.1x versus the minimum unsecured interest coverage ratio of 1.75x allowed under the credit facility. Accordingly, we are in compliance with all of our financial covenants under the credit facility as of December 31, 2008.

The following table summarizes the financial tests contained in the credit facility:

		Financial Covenant Levels	
	Minimum unsecured		Minimum fixed charge
Year	interest coverage ratio (a)	Maximum leverage ratio (b)	coverage ratio
<u>Year</u> 2009	1.75	7.5	1.05
2010	1.75	7.25	1.10
2011	1.75	7.25	1.15

- (a) If, at any time our leverage ratio is above 7.0x, our minimum unsecured interest coverage ratio will lower to 1.5x.
- (b) The maximum leverage ratio declines to 7.25x in September 2009.

Interest and Fees. We pay interest on revolver borrowings under the credit facility at floating rates plus a margin that is set with reference to our leverage ratio. In the case of LIBOR borrowings in US Dollars, as well as Euros and Pounds Sterling denominated borrowings, the rate of interest ranges from 65 basis points to 150 basis points over LIBOR. We also have the option to pay interest based on the higher of the overnight Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points and the Prime Lending Rate, plus, in both cases, the applicable spread ranging from 0 to 50 basis points. Based on our leverage ratio, at December 31, 2008, of 4.1x, we can borrow at a rate of LIBOR plus 70 basis points or Prime plus 0 basis points. To the extent that amounts under the credit facility remain unused, we pay a quarterly commitment fee on the unused portion of the loan commitment of 10 to 15 basis points, depending on our average revolver usage during the applicable period.

Other Covenants. The credit facility contains restrictive covenants on customary matters. Certain covenants become less restrictive at any time that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x. In particular, at any time that our leverage ratio is below 6.0x, we will not be subject to limitations on capital expenditures, and the limitations on acquisitions, investments and distributions contained in the credit facility will be superseded by the generally less restrictive corresponding covenants in our senior notes indenture. Additionally, the credit facility's restrictions on

incurrence of debt and distributions are generally consistent with our senior notes indenture. These provisions, under certain circumstances, limit debt incurrence to debt incurred under the credit facility or in connection with a refinancing, and limit dividend payments to those necessary to maintain Host's tax status as a REIT.

#### Mortgage and Other Debt

*General.* As of December 31, 2008, we had 14 hotels that were secured by mortgage debt. Substantially all of our mortgage debt is recourse solely to specific assets except in instances of fraud, misapplication of funds and other customary recourse provisions. As of December 31, 2008, secured debt represented approximately 24% of our total debt and our aggregate secured debt had an average interest rate of 6.2% and an average maturity of 4.3 years.

The following table summarizes our outstanding debt and scheduled amortization and maturities related to mortgage and other debt as of December 31, 2008 (in millions):

	Dec	nce as of ember 31, 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter
Mortgage Debt								
Orlando Marriott World Center, 4.93%, due 7/1/2011 (1)	\$	300	\$—	\$	\$300	\$	\$	\$ —
San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina, 8.45%, due 7/1/2009		175	175	_	_	_	_	_
Atlanta Marriott Marquis, 7.4%, due 2/11/2023 (2)		129	5	5	5	6	6	102
Westin Kierland, 5.08%, due 12/1/2009		134	134	_	_	_	_	_
Harbor Beach Marriott Resort and Spa, 5.55%, due 3/1/2014		134	_	_	_	_	_	134
The Ritz-Carlton, Naples and Newport Beach Marriott Hotel and Spa, 5.531%, due 3/1/2014		300	_	_	_	_	_	300
Desert Springs, a JW Marriott Resort and Spa, 7.8%, due 12/11/2022 (2)		80	3	4	4	4	4	61
The Westin Tabor Center, 8.51%, due 12/11/2023		41	1	1	1	1	1	36
Other mortgage debt (3)		143	3	1	105	_	_	34
Total mortgage debt		1,436	321	11	415	11	11	667
Other Debt								
Philadelphia Airport Marriott industrial revenue bonds, 73/4%, due 12/1/2017		40	_	_	_	_	_	40
Industrial revenue bonds and other (4)		47	_	_	_	_	_	47
Total other debt		87				_		87
Total mortgage and other debt	\$	1,523	\$321	\$ 11	\$415	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$ 754

- (1) This floating rate mortgage is based on LIBOR plus 350 basis points. The rate shown is the rate in effect as of December 31, 2008.
- (2) Beginning in 2010, the interest rate on these loans increases a minimum of 200 basis points and all excess cash (as defined in the loan agreement) generated by the partnerships that own these properties is applied to principal; however, the loans can be repaid without a premium or penalty on that date. The amortization presented is the minimum principal payment considering the increase in interest rate, but does not include additional principal payments based on excess cash flow.
- (3) Other mortgage debt consists of individual mortgage debt amounts that are less than \$40 million, have an average interest rate of 6.1% at December 31, 2008 and mature through 2022. Beginning in 2009, the interest rate on one of these loans, the Westin Indianapolis, with a principal balance of \$34 million increases a minimum of 500 basis points and all excess cash (as defined in the loan agreement) generated by the partnership that owns the property is applied to principal; however, the loan can be repaid without a premium or penalty on that date. We have notified the lender that we will prepay this loan in March 2009. The amortization presented is the minimum principal payment considering the increase in interest rate, but does not include additional principal payments based on excess cash flow.

(4) Industrial revenue bonds and other consist of loans with an average interest rate of 7.1% that mature through 2016, and capital leases with varying interest rates and maturity dates.

#### Mortgage Debt of Consolidated and Unconsolidated Partner Interests

For the entities that we consolidate in our financial statements that have third party non-controlling partnership interests, the proportion of mortgage debt included in the above table that is attributable to the non-controlling interests, based on their percentage of ownership of the partnerships, is approximately \$68 million. Additionally, we have non-controlling interests in partnerships and joint ventures that are not consolidated and are accounted for under the equity method. The proportion of the mortgage and other debt of these partnerships attributable to us, based on our percentage of ownership of the partnerships, was \$356 million at December 31, 2008. Approximately 90% of this debt balance is attributable to our 32.1% ownership interest in a European joint venture. The mortgage debt related to our European joint venture hotels contains operating covenants that could result in the joint venture being required to escrow cash from operations or make principal repayments without penalty. The debt of all our unconsolidated partnerships is non-recourse to us.

#### **Credit Ratings**

As of December 31, 2008, we have approximately \$3.9 billion of senior notes outstanding and Host has \$100 million of preferred stock that are rated by Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings. Moody's rating on our senior note debt is Ba1 and Host's preferred stock is Ba2. During 2009, Standard & Poor's downgraded our senior note debt one notch from BBB-, the lowest investment grade rating, to BB+. Standard & Poor's rating on Host's preferred stock was also downgraded one notch from B to B-. In addition, Standard & Poor's has maintained its negative outlook. During 2009, Fitch Ratings downgraded our senior note debt from BB+ to BB-. The rating on Host's preferred stock was also downgraded from BB- to B. Fitch Ratings has also placed us on negative outlook. If our operations or the credit ratios continue to decline, the ratings on the securities could be further reduced. If we were unable to subsequently improve the credit ratings, our cost to issue senior notes, either in connection with a refinancing or otherwise, or additional preferred stock of Host would likely increase.

#### **Distribution Policy**

Host is required to distribute at least 90% of its annual taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to its stockholders to qualify as a REIT, including taxable income recognized for federal income tax purposes but with regard to which it does not receive cash. Funds used by Host to pay dividends on its common and preferred stock are provided through distributions from Host LP. Currently, for every share of common and preferred stock of Host, Host LP has issued to Host a corresponding common OP unit and preferred OP unit. As of February 23, 2009, Host is the owner of substantially all of the preferred OP units and approximately 97% of the common OP units. The remaining 3% of the common OP units are held by various third-party limited partners.

Investors should take into account the 3% non-controlling position in Host LP common OP units when analyzing common and preferred dividend payments by Host to its stockholders, as these holders share, on a pro rata basis, in amounts being distributed by Host LP to holders of its corresponding common and preferred OP units. When Host pays a common or preferred dividend, Host LP pays an equivalent per unit distribution on all common or corresponding preferred OP units. For example, if Host paid a \$1 per share dividend on its common stock, it would be based on payment of a \$1 per common unit distribution by Host LP to Host, as well as to other common OP unit holders.

Host's current policy on common dividends is generally to distribute, over time, 100% of its taxable income. Host intends to suspend its regular quarterly dividend in 2009 and instead expects to declare a \$.30 to \$.35 per share common dividend in the fourth quarter, which may be paid either in cash or in a combination of cash and shares of common stock. Funds used by Host to pay dividends are provided by distributions from us. The amount of any dividend will be determined by Host's Board of Directors. In reliance on the specific terms of recent guidance issued by the IRS, Host may pay up to 90% of its required 2009 common dividends with Host common stock, with the remaining 10% paid with cash. Host currently intends to continue paying dividends on its preferred stock, regardless of the amount of taxable income, unless contractually restricted.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We are party to various transactions, agreements or other contractual arrangements with unconsolidated entities (which we refer to as "off-balance sheet arrangements") under which we have certain contingent liabilities and guarantees. As of December 31, 2008, we are party to the following material off-balance sheet arrangements:

Unconsolidated Investments. We have invested approximately €137 million (\$181 million) in the European joint venture, which includes amounts for the acquisition of a portfolio of hotels. The acquisition was terminated in December 2008, and the European joint venture returned approximately \$40 million of the invested funds to us in January 2009 reducing our investments to approximately €107 million. Under the joint venture's partnership agreement, the aggregate size of the European joint venture can increase to approximately €540 million of equity (of which approximately €173 million would be contributed by Host LP) and, once all funds have been invested, would be approximately €1.5 billion of assets. At December 31, 2008, the European joint venture had €733.8 million of debt outstanding, none of which is recourse to us.

As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate size of the European joint venture was approximately €1.3 billion (\$1.8 billion), including total capital contributions of approximately €433 million (\$567 million), of which a total of approximately €137 million (\$181 million) was from the contribution by us of cash and the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers.

During 2008, we entered into three foreign currency forward purchase contracts to hedge approximately 50% of the foreign currency exposure resulting from the eventual repatriation of our net investment in the European joint venture. We hedged €60 million (approximately \$88 million) of our investment and the forward purchase will occur between August 2011 and May 2014. As of December 31, 2008, we have recorded approximately \$6 million related to the increase in the fair value of the forward purchase contracts. The gain is included in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying balance sheet. The derivatives are considered a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation and are marked-to-market with changes in fair value recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income within the partners' capital portion of our balance sheet.

We also have other unconsolidated investments with a total of \$810 million in debt with various partners. For additional detail on these investments and the European joint venture, see Note 3, "Investments in Affiliates," and Note 7, "Leases," in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Tax Sharing Arrangements. Under tax sharing agreements with former affiliated companies (such as Marriott International, HMS Host and Barceló Crestline Corporation), we are obligated to pay certain taxes (federal, state, local and foreign, including any related interest and penalties) relating to periods in which the companies were affiliated with us. For example, a taxing authority could adjust an item deducted by a former affiliate during the period that this former affiliate was owned by us. This adjustment could produce a material tax liability that we may be obligated to pay under the tax sharing agreement. Additionally, under the partnership agreement between Host and Host LP, Host LP is obligated to pay certain taxes (federal, state, local and foreign, including any related interest and penalties) incurred by Host, as well as any liabilities the IRS may successfully assert against Host. We do not expect any amounts paid under the tax sharing arrangements to be material.

*Tax Indemnification Agreements.* For reasons relating to federal and state income tax considerations of the former and current owners of three hotels, we have agreed to restrictions on selling the hotels, or repaying or refinancing the mortgage debt for varying periods depending on the hotel. Two of these agreements will expire in 2010 and the third will expire in 2028.

*Guarantees*. We have certain guarantees, which consist of commitments we have made to third parties for leases or debt, that are not on our books due to various dispositions, spin-offs and contractual arrangements, but that

we have agreed to pay in the event of certain circumstances including default by an unrelated party. We consider the likelihood of any material payments under these guarantees to be remote. The largest guarantees (by dollar amount) are listed below:

- We remain contingently liable for rental payments on certain divested non-lodging properties. These primarily represent certain divested restaurants that were sold subject to our guarantee of the future rental payments. The aggregate amount of these future rental payments is approximately \$23 million as of December 31, 2008.
- In 1997, we owned Leisure Park Venture Limited Partnership, which owns and operates a senior living facility. We no longer have an ownership interest in the partnership, but we remain obligated under a guarantee of interest and principal with regard to \$14.7 million of municipal bonds issued by the New Jersey Economic Development Authority through their maturity in 2027. However, to the extent we are required to make any payments under the guarantee, we have been indemnified by Barceló Crestline Corporation, who, in turn, is indemnified by the current owner of the facility.
- In connection with the sale of two hotels in January 2005, we remain contingently liable for the amounts due under the respective ground leases. The future minimum lease payments are approximately \$13 million through the full term of the leases, including renewal options. We believe that any liability related to these ground leases is remote, and in each case, we have been indemnified by the purchaser of the hotel.

Information on other guarantees and other off-balance sheet arrangements may be found in Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

The table below summarizes our obligations for principal and estimated interest payments on our debt, future minimum lease payments on our operating and capital leases, projected capital expenditures and other long-term liabilities, each as of December 31, 2008 (in millions):

		More than			
	Total	1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	5 years
Long-term debt obligations(1)	\$7,523	\$ 656	\$ 1,838	\$ 2,177	\$ 2,852
Capital lease obligations	2	1	1		
Operating lease obligations(2)	1,439	122	215	123	979
Purchase obligations(3)	254	235	19		
Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet(4)	17	_	13	_	4
Total	\$9,235	\$ 1,014	\$ 2,086	\$ 2,300	\$ 3,835

- (1) The amounts shown include amortization of principal, debt maturities and estimated interest payments. Interest payments have been included in the long-term debt obligations based on the weighted average interest rate.
- (2) Future minimum lease payments have not been reduced by aggregate minimum sublease rentals from restaurants and the HPT subleases of approximately \$9 million and \$275 million, respectively, payable to us under non-cancelable subleases.
- (3) Our only purchase obligations consist of commitments for capital expenditures at our hotels. Under our contracts, we have the ability to defer some of these expenditures into later years and some of the 2008 amount reflects prior year contracts that were deferred or not completed. See "Capital Expenditures."
- (4) The amounts shown include deferred management fees and the estimated amount of tax expense. Under terms of our management agreements, we have deferred payment of management fees to our hotel managers for some of our properties that have not achieved the required income thresholds for payment of owner's priority

to us. The timing of the payments, if any, is based on future operations, the termination of the management agreement or the sale of the hotel, and, is therefore, not determinable. The estimated amount of tax expense relates to uncertain tax liabilities from prior years.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While we do not believe the reported amounts would be materially different, application of these policies involves the exercise of judgment and the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. We evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to the impairment of long-lived assets, on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. All of our significant accounting policies are disclosed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements. The following represent certain critical accounting policies that require us to exercise our business judgment or make significant estimates:

- Purchase Price Allocations to Hotels. Investments in hotel properties are stated at acquisition cost and allocated to land, property and equipment, identifiable intangible assets and assumed debt and other liabilities at fair value in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations. Any remaining unallocated acquisition costs would be treated as goodwill. Property and equipment are recorded at fair value based on current replacement cost for similar capacity and allocated to buildings, improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment using appraisals and valuations performed by management and independent third parties. Identifiable intangible assets are typically contracts including ground and retail leases and management and franchise agreements, which are recorded at fair value, although no value is generally allocated to contracts which are at market terms. Above-market and below-market contract values are based on the present value of the difference between contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the contracts acquired and our estimate of the fair value of contract rates for corresponding contracts measured over the period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the contract. Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable term of the related agreements. In making estimates of fair values for purposes of allocating purchase price, we may utilize a number of sources that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of a property and other market data, including third-party appraisals and valuations.
- Impairment Testing. We analyze our assets for impairment when events or circumstances occur that indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. We consider a property to be impaired when the sum of future undiscounted cash flows over our remaining estimated holding period is less than the carrying value of the asset. For impaired assets, we record an impairment charge equal to the excess of the property's carrying value over its fair value. To the extent that a property has a substantial remaining estimated useful life and management does not believe that it is more likely than not the property will be disposed of prior to the end of its useful life, it would be unusual for undiscounted cash flows to be insufficient to recover the property's carrying value. In the absence of other factors, we assume that the estimable life is equal to the GAAP depreciable life, because of the continuous property maintenance and improvement capital expenditures required under our management agreements, unless situations dictate otherwise, such as an expiring ground lease, or it is more likely than not that the asset will be sold prior to its previously expected useful life.

We test for impairment in several situations, including when a property has current or projected loss from operations, when it becomes more likely than not that a hotel will be sold before the end of its previously estimated useful life, or when other events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset's carrying value may not be recoverable. In the evaluation of the impairment of our assets, we make many assumptions and estimates, including:

- projected cash flows, both from operations and the eventual disposition;
- expected useful life and holding period;

- future required capital expenditures; and
- fair values, including consideration of capitalization rates, discount rates and comparable selling prices.

As a preliminary indicator to determine if the carrying value may not be recovered by undiscounted cash flows, we review the actual year-to-date and the projected cash flows from operations to identify properties with projected annual operating losses. The projected cash flows are prepared by our third-party managers and consider items such as booking pace, occupancy, room rate and property-level operating costs. We review the projections and may adjust them as we deem appropriate. As a result of this test, we identified several properties that required further consideration of property and market specific conditions or factors to determine if the property was impaired. Management considered a range of RevPAR and operating margin declines compared to the prior year operating results in evaluating the projected cash flows from operations. Management believes its assumptions and estimates reflect the current market conditions and will adjust these measures as appropriate for changes therein.

- Classification of Assets as "Held for Sale". Our policy for the classification of a hotel as held for sale is intended to ensure that the sale of the asset is probable, will be completed within one year and that actions required to complete the sale are unlikely to change or that the planned sale will be withdrawn. This policy is consistent with our experience with real estate transactions under which the timing and final terms of a sale are frequently not known until purchase agreements are executed, the buyer has a significant deposit at risk and no financing contingencies exist which could prevent the transaction from being completed in a timely manner. Specifically, we will typically classify properties that we are actively marketing as held for sale when all of the following conditions are met:
  - Host's Board of Directors has approved the sale (to the extent the dollar amount of the sale requires Board approval);
  - a binding agreement to purchase the property has been signed;
  - the buyer has committed a significant amount of non-refundable cash; and
  - · no significant financing contingencies exist which could cause the transaction not to be completed in a timely manner.

To the extent a property is classified as held for sale and its fair value less selling costs is lower than the net book value of the property, we will record an impairment loss. See the discussion above concerning the use of estimates and judgments in determining fair values for impairment tests.

- Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful life of our assets and amortization expense for leasehold improvements is the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related assets. The lives of the assets are based on a number of assumptions including cost and timing of capital expenditures to maintain and refurbish the assets, as well as specific market and economic conditions. While management believes its estimates are reasonable, a change in the estimated lives could affect depreciation expense and net income (loss) or the gain or loss on the sale of any of our hotels.
- Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets. We have approximately \$79 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$28 million, of consolidated deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2008. The objective of financial accounting and reporting standards for income taxes is to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in a company's financial statements or tax returns. We have considered various factors, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies in determining a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets, and we believe that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize the \$79 million of deferred tax assets in the future. When a determination is made that all, or a portion, of the deferred tax assets may not be realized, an increase in income tax expense would be recorded in that period.

- Valuation of Derivative Contracts. We will occasionally enter into derivative products including interest rate and foreign currency swaps, caps and collars. Derivative instruments are fair valued at each reporting date and the increase or decrease in fair value is recorded in net income (loss) unless the instrument qualifies as a hedge. We estimate the fair value of these instruments through the use of third party valuations, which utilize the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future cash receipts and the discounted expected cash payments. We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees. The variable cash flow streams are based on an expectation of future interest and exchange rates derived from observed market interest and exchange rate curves. The values of these instruments will change over time as cash receipts and payments are made and as market conditions change. Any event that impacts the level of actual and expected future interest or exchange rates will impact our valuations. The fair value of our derivatives is likely to fluctuate from year to year based on changing levels of interest and exchange rates and shortening terms to maturity.
- Stock Compensation. We recognize costs resulting from Host's share-based payment transactions in our financial statements over their vesting periods. We classify share-based payment awards granted in exchange for employee services as either equity classified awards or liability classified awards. The classification of Host's restricted stock awards as either an equity award or a liability award is based upon cash settlement options. Equity classified awards are measured based on the fair value on the date of grant. Liability classified awards are remeasured to fair value each reporting period. The value of these restricted stock awards, less estimated forfeitures, is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). No compensation cost is recognized for awards for which employees do not render the requisite service. The majority of our restricted stock awards to senior management vested as of December 31, 2008. These awards were classified as liability awards due to settlement features that allowed the recipient to have a percentage of the restricted stock awards withheld to meet tax requirements in excess of the statutory minimum requirements. During 2009, Host will implement a new employee stock plan for our senior management that will include the following awards:

Restricted stock awards with vesting based on market conditions. These awards will be considered liability awards due to their cash settlement features. Therefore, they will be remeasured to fair value each reporting period. We utilize a simulation, or Monte Carlo model to determine the fair value of Host's restricted stock awards with vesting based on market conditions. The utilization of this model requires us to make certain estimates related to the volatility of the share price of Host's common stock, risk-free interest rates, the risk profile of Host's common shares compared to our peer group and the amount of Host's awards expected to be forfeited.

Restricted stock awards with vesting based on performance conditions. These awards are earned based on an employee's achieving a specified performance target, which will be based on the employee's specific management business objectives. Compensation cost will be recognized when the achievement of the performance condition is considered probable of achievement. If a performance condition has more than one outcome that is probable of achievement, recognition of compensation cost will be based on the condition that is the most likely outcome. These awards are also considered liability awards due to the cash-settlement provisions. Therefore, the value of the shares to be issued by Host will be based on Host's share price on the reporting date.

Stock Option Awards. The stock option awards will be equity-based awards, as they will not include cash settlement features. Therefore, the value of the award will be determined on the grant date using a binomial pricing model and will not be adjusted for future changes in the fair value. The utilization of the binomial model requires us to make certain estimates related to the volatility of the share price of Host's common stock, risk-free interest rates and the amount of our awards expected to be forfeited.

- Host also grants restricted stock awards to our upper-middle management with vesting based on service conditions. These awards are considered equity awards as they do not have an option for tax withholding similar to that for senior management.
- Consolidation Policies. Judgment is required with respect to the consolidation of partnership and joint venture entities in the evaluation of control, including assessment of the importance of rights and privileges of the partners based on voting rights, as well as financial interests that are not controllable through voting interests. We have investments in entities that own hotel properties and other investments which we record using the equity method of accounting. These entities are considered to be voting interest entities. The debt on these investments is non-recourse to us and the effect of their operations on our results of operations is not material. While we do not believe we are required to consolidate any of our current partnerships or joint ventures presented under the equity method, if we were required to do so, then all of the results of operations and the assets and liabilities would be included in our financial statements.

Application of New Accounting Standards

#### Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements

As a result of the adoption of this new accounting pronouncement regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements, non-controlling interests of other consolidated partnerships (previously referred to as "Interest of minority partners of other consolidated partnerships") is now included as a separate component of capital in the consolidated balance sheets. The consolidated statements of operations have also been modified to present earnings and other comprehensive income to be attributed to controlling and non-controlling interests. Below are the steps we have taken as a result of the implementation of the pronouncement:

- We have reclassified the non-controlling interests of other consolidated partnerships previously presented in the mezzanine section of our balance sheets to partners' capital. This reclassification totaled \$24 million and \$28 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- Net income attributable to non-consolidated partnerships is no longer included in the determination of net income, and we reclassified prior year amounts to reflect this pronouncement. As a result, net income increased \$3 million, \$6 million, and \$9 million, respectively, from previously reported amounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The adoption of this pronouncement has no effect on our earnings per unit.
- The limited partner interests of third parties will continue to be classified in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet as these redeemable interests do not meet the requirements for partners' capital classification. The redemption feature requires the delivery of cash or registered shares of Host common stock.
- We adjust the limited partnership interests of third parties each period so that the carrying value equals the greater of its carrying value based on the accumulation of historical cost or its redemption value. The historical cost of the limited partnership interests of third parties is based on the proportional relationship between the carrying value of capital associated with the ownership of Host LP by Host to that of the limited partnership interests of third parties, as these limited partnership interests of third parties may be exchanged into common stock of Host on a one-for-one basis at the option of the third party limited partners. As of December 31, 2008, the limited partnership interests of third parties have a redemption value of approximately \$114 million (based on December 31, 2008 Host closing common stock price of \$7.57), which represents the amount of cash or Host stock that would be paid to the third party limited partner.

#### Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)

As a result of the adoption of this new accounting pronouncement regarding the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement), we have retrospectively adjusted the recognition of our exchangeable debentures such that we now separately account for the liability and capital components of the debentures to reflect our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate on the instrument's issuance date when interest cost is recognized. Our 2007 Debentures and our 2004 Debentures are within the scope of the new accounting pronouncement; therefore, we are required to record the debt components of the debentures at fair value as of the date of issuance and amortize the resulting discount as an increase to interest expense over the expected life of the debt. We measured the fair value of the debt components of the 2004 Debentures and 2007 Debentures at issuance based on effective interest rates of 6.8% and 6.5%, respectively. As a result, we attributed \$165 million of the proceeds received to the conversion feature of the debentures. This amount represents the excess proceeds received over the fair value of the debt at the date of issuance and is included in partners' capital on the accompanying balance sheets. The implementation of the new accounting pronouncement has resulted in a decrease to net income and earnings per unit for all periods presented; however, there is no effect on our cash interest payments. As a result of this accounting change:

- The unamortized discount of the 2004 Debentures and 2007 Debentures related to the implementation was \$76 million and \$110 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The unamortized discount is recognized as a reduction to the carrying value of the debentures on the consolidated balance sheets.
- Interest expense recorded for the 2004 and 2007 Debentures for the periods presented consists of the following (in millions:

	2008	2007	2006
Contractual interest expense	\$32	\$28	\$16
Non-cash interest expense due to discount amortization	30	25	12
Total interest expense	\$62	53	28

- During the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of the 2004 Debentures with a carrying value of \$96 million for \$82 million. We recognized a \$14 million gain on the transaction, a reduction of approximately \$4 million from the previously reported amount. We evaluated the fair value of the debt repurchased based on the fair value of the cash flows at the date of the repurchase discounted at risk adjusted rates. Based on this calculation, the fair value of the debt repurchased was greater than the conversion price; therefore, we did not allocate any of the repurchase price to the conversion feature of the debentures.
- Net income attributable to non-controlling interests for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 was reduced from the previously reported amounts by \$2 million, \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively.
- The diluted earnings per common unit for years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were reduced from the previously reported amounts by approximately \$.04, \$.01 and \$.02, respectively.
- We reclassified approximately \$1 million of unamortized financing costs to partners' capital as these costs were attributable to the issuance of the conversion feature associated with the debentures.

#### **Business Combinations**

This new accounting pronouncement provides principles on the recognition and measurement of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and goodwill

acquired in a business combination. The standard particularly requires the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and non-controlling interests to be measured at the acquisition date fair value, including contingent consideration. Furthermore, the pronouncement prohibits acquisition-related costs, such as due diligence, legal and accounting fees, from being applied in determining the fair value of the acquired assets. We adopted the provisions of this pronouncement on January 1, 2009. We do not believe the adoption of this pronouncement will materially affect the recognition and measurement related to our future business combinations.

#### **Comparable Hotel Operating Statistics**

We present certain operating statistics (i.e., RevPAR, average daily rate and average occupancy) and operating results (revenues, expenses and adjusted operating profit) for the periods included in this report on a comparable hotel basis. We define our comparable hotels as properties (i) that are owned or leased by us and the operations of which are included in our consolidated results, whether as continuing operations or discontinued operations for the entirety of the reporting periods being compared and (ii) that have not sustained substantial property damage or business interruption, or undergone large-scale capital projects during the reporting periods being compared.

Of the 117 hotels that we owned on December 31, 2008, 115 have been classified as comparable hotels. The operating results of the following hotels that we owned as of December 31, 2008 are excluded from comparable hotel results for these periods:

- Atlanta Marriott Marquis (a two-year major renovation project that was completed in June 2008); and
- New Orleans Marriott (property damage and business interruption from Hurricane Katrina in August 2005).

Additionally, the operating results of the eleven hotels we sold in 2008 and 2007 also are not included in comparable hotel results for the periods presented herein. Moreover, because these statistics and operating results are for our hotel properties, they exclude results for our non-hotel properties and other real estate investments.

We evaluate the operating performance of our comparable hotels based on both geographic region and property type. These divisions are generally consistent with groupings recognized in the lodging industry.

Geographic regions consist of the following (only states in which we own hotels are listed):

- Pacific—California, Hawaii, Oregon and Washington;
- Mountain—Arizona and Colorado;
- North Central—Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri and Ohio;
- South Central—Louisiana, Tennessee and Texas;
- New England—Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire;
- Mid-Atlantic—Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York;
- DC Metro—Maryland, Virginia and Washington, D.C.;
- Atlanta—Georgia and North Carolina;
- · Florida—Florida; and
- International—Canada, Mexico and Chile.

Property types consist of the following:

- Urban—Hotels located in primary business districts of major cities;
- Suburban—Hotels located in office parks or smaller secondary markets;
- Resort/conference—Hotels located in resort/conference destinations such as Arizona, Florida, Hawaii and Southern California; and
- Airport—Hotels located at or near airports.

#### Reporting Periods

For Consolidated Statement of Operations. The results we report are based on results of our hotels reported to us by our hotel managers. Our hotel managers use different reporting periods. Marriott, the manager of a significant percentage of our properties, uses a year ending on the Friday closest to December 31 and reports twelve weeks of operations for the first three quarters and sixteen or seventeen weeks for the fourth quarter of the year for its Marriott-managed hotels. In contrast, other managers of our hotels, such as Hyatt and Starwood, report results on a monthly basis. Host, as a REIT, is required by federal income tax law to report results on a calendar year. As a result, we elected to adopt the reporting periods used by Marriott modified so that our fiscal year always ends on December 31 to comply with REIT rules. Our first three quarters of operations end on the same day as Marriott but our fourth quarter ends on December 31 and our full year results, as reported in our statement of operations, always includes the same number of days as the calendar year.

Two consequences of the reporting cycle we have adopted are: (1) quarterly start dates will usually differ between years, except for the first quarter which always commences on January 1, and (2) our first and fourth quarters of operations and year-to-date operations may not include the same number of days as reflected in prior years. For example, set forth below are the quarterly start and end dates for 2009, 2008 and 2007. Note that the second and third quarters of each year both reflect twelve weeks of operations. In contrast, the first and fourth quarters reflect differing days of operations.

	2009		2008		2007	
		No. of		No. of	2 7 12	No. of
	Start-End Dates	Days	Start-End Dates	Days	Start-End Dates	Days
First Quarter	January 1—March 27	86	January 1—March 21	81	January 1—March 23	82
Second Quarter	March 28—June 19	84	March 22—June 13	84	March 24—June 15	84
Third Quarter	June 20—September 11	84	June 14—September 5	84	June 16—September 7	84
Fourth Quarter	September 12—December 31	111	September 6—December 31	117	September 8—December 31	115

While the reporting calendar we adopted is more closely aligned with the reporting calendar used by Marriott, another consequence of our calendar is we are unable to report the month of operations that ends after our fiscal quarter-end until the following quarter because our hotel managers using a monthly reporting period do not make mid-month results available to us. Hence, the month of operation that ends after our fiscal quarter-end is included in our quarterly results of operations in the following quarter for those hotel managers (covering approximately 43% of total revenues of our hotels). As a result, our quarterly results of operations include results from hotel managers reporting results on a monthly basis as follows: first quarter (January, February), second quarter (March to May), third quarter (June to August) and fourth quarter (September to December). While this does not affect full year results, it does affect the reporting of quarterly results.

For Hotel Operating Statistics and Comparable Hotel Results. In contrast to the reporting periods for our consolidated statement of operations, our hotel operating statistics (i.e., RevPAR, average daily rate and average occupancy) and our comparable hotel results are reported based on the reporting cycle used by Marriott for our Marriott-managed hotels. However, for years such as 2008, where Marriott reports its operations based on a 53-week year and a fourth quarter of 17 weeks, for comparable purposes, we exclude the extra week of operations, and we still reflect 52 weeks for the full year and 16 weeks for the fourth quarter. This facilitates year-to-year comparisons, as each reporting period will be comprised of the same number of days of operations as in the prior year. This means, however, that the reporting periods we use for hotel operating statistics and our comparable hotel results will typically differ slightly from the reporting periods used for our statements of operations for the first and fourth quarters and the full year. Set forth below are the quarterly start and end dates that are used for our hotel operating statistics and comparable hotel results reported herein. Results from hotel managers reporting on a monthly basis are included in our operating statistics and comparable hotel results consistent with their reporting in our consolidated statement of operations.

### Hotel Result Reporting Periods for Operating Statistics and Comparable Hotel Results—for Marriott Managed Properties

2009		2008		2007	
	No. of		No. of		No. of
Start-End Dates	Days	Start-End Dates	Days	Start-End Dates	Days
January 3—March 27	84	December 29—March 21	84	December 30—March 23	84
March 28—June 19	84	March 22—June 13	84	March 24—June 15	84
June 20—September 11	84	June 14—September 5	84	June 16—September 7	84
September 12—January 1	112	September 6—December 26	112	September 8—December 28	112
	Start-End Dates January 3—March 27 March 28—June 19 June 20—September 11	Start-End DatesNo. of DaysJanuary 3—March 2784March 28—June 1984June 20—September 1184	Start-End DatesNo. of DaysStart-End DatesJanuary 3—March 2784December 29—March 21March 28—June 1984March 22—June 13June 20—September 1184June 14—September 5	Start-End Dates         No. of Days         Start-End Dates         No. of Days           January 3—March 27         84         December 29—March 21         84           March 28—June 19         84         March 22—June 13         84           June 20—September 11         84         June 14—September 5         84	Start-End DatesNo. of DaysStart-End DatesNo. of DaysNo. of DaysStart-End DatesJanuary 3—March 2784December 29—March 2184December 30—March 23March 28—June 1984March 22—June 1384March 24—June 15June 20—September 1184June 14—September 584June 16—September 7

#### **Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

We use certain "non-GAAP financial measures," which are measures of our historical financial performance that are not calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, within the meaning of applicable SEC rules. They are as follows: (i) FFO per diluted unit, and (ii) Comparable Hotel Operating Results. The following discussion defines these terms and presents why we believe they are useful measures of our performance.

#### FFO Per Diluted Unit

We present FFO per diluted unit as a non-GAAP measure of our performance in addition to our earnings per unit (calculated in accordance with GAAP). We calculate FFO per diluted unit for a given operating period as our FFO (defined as set forth below) for such period divided by the number of fully diluted units outstanding during such period. NAREIT defines FFO as net income (calculated in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains (or losses) from sales of real estate, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, real estate-related depreciation and amortization and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is presented on a per unit basis after making adjustments for the effects of dilutive securities, including the payment of preferred OP unit distributions, in accordance with NAREIT guidelines.

We believe that FFO per diluted unit is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance and that presentation of FFO per diluted unit, when combined with the primary GAAP presentation of earnings per unit, provides beneficial information to investors. By excluding the effect of real estate depreciation, amortization and gains and losses from sales of real estate, all of which are based on historical cost accounting and which may be of lesser significance in evaluating current performance, we believe that such measure can facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between other REITs, even though FFO per diluted unit does not represent an amount that accrues directly to holders of our OP units. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. As noted by NAREIT in its April 2002 "White Paper on Funds From Operations," since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. For these reasons, NAREIT adopted the definition of FFO in order to promote an industry-wide measure of REIT operating performance.

We calculate FFO per diluted unit, in accordance with standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to measures calculated by other companies who do not use the NAREIT definition of FFO or calculate FFO per diluted unit in accordance with NAREIT guidance. In addition, although FFO per diluted unit is a useful measure when comparing our results to other REITs, it may not be helpful to investors when comparing us to non-REITs. This information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating profit, cash from operations, or any other operating performance measure prescribed by GAAP. Cash expenditures for various long-term assets (such as renewal and replacement capital expenditures) and other items have been and will be incurred and are not reflected in the FFO per diluted unit presentations. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of our operating performance. Our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows include depreciation, capital expenditures and other excluded items, all of which should be considered when evaluating our performance, as well as the usefulness of our non-GAAP financial measures. FFO per diluted unit should not be considered as a measure of our liquidity or indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. In addition, FFO per diluted unit does not measure, and should not be used as a measure of, amounts that accrue directly to unitholders' benefit.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of net income available to common unitholders per unit to FFO per diluted unit (in millions, except per unit amounts):

#### Reconciliation of Net Income Available to Common Unitholders to Funds From Operations per Diluted Unit

	Year ended December 31,					
	2008			2007		
	Per Unit			_	Per Unit	
	Income	Units	Amount	Income	Units	Amount
Net income available to common unitholders	\$ 402	541.8	\$ .74	\$ 719	540.6	\$ 1.33
Adjustments:						
Gain on dispositions, net of taxes	(23)	_	(.04)	(164)	_	(.30)
Gain on insurance settlements(a)	_	_	_	(22)	_	(.04)
Amortization of deferred gains, net of taxes	(4)	_	(.01)	(6)	_	(.01)
Depreciation and amortization	578	_	1.07	519	_	.96
Partnership adjustments	10	_	.02	4	_	.01
Adjustments for dilutive securities:						
Assuming distribution of common units to Host for Host shares granted under the						
comprehensive stock plan less shares assumed purchased at average market price	_	.4	_	_	.9	(.01)
Assuming conversion of 2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures(b)	18	30.8	(.07)	32	30.5	(.05)
FFO per diluted unit(c)(d)	\$ 981	573.0	\$ 1.71	\$1,082	572.0	\$ 1.89

- (a) Represents the gain during the period from the settlement of property insurance claims, including the gains that are included in discontinued operations related to hotels that we have sold.
- (b) During the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of the 2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures with a carrying value of \$96 million for \$82 million. Under US GAAP related to the computation of EPS affected by induced conversions of a preferred stock, we are required to determine the dilutive effect of the repurchased 2004 Exchangeable Debentures separately from the 2004 Exchangeable Debentures outstanding at December 31, 2008. 2004 Exchangeable Debentures repurchased during 2008 are treated as having been converted to common unit equivalents at the start of the period. Accordingly, the adjustments to dilutive FFO related to the 2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures consist of an add-back of \$32 million of interest expense netted with the \$14 million gain realized on the repurchases, for a net effect of \$18 million.
- (c) FFO per diluted unit in accordance with NAREIT is adjusted for the effects of dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include units for shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, those preferred OP units held by non-controlling interests, convertible debt securities and other non-controlling interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interest to common OP units. No effect is shown for securities if they are anti-dilutive.

(d) FFO per diluted unit and earnings per diluted unit for certain periods presented were significantly affected by certain transactions, the effect of which is shown in the table below (in millions, except per unit amounts):

		Year ended December 31,				
	20	08	2007	'		
	Net Loss	FFO	Net Income	FFO		
Senior notes redemptions and debt prepayments(1)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (46)	\$ (46)		
Gain on hotel dispositions, net of taxes	23	_	164			
Non-cash interest expense – 2004 and 2007						
Debentures (2)	(28)	(16)	(25)	(12)		
Dilutive effect of 2004 Debentures (3)	(14)		(18)			
Total	\$ (19)	\$ (16)	\$ 75	\$ (58)		
Diluted units	573.0	573.0	573.2	572.0		
Per diluted units	\$ —	\$ (.03)	\$ .19	\$ (.10)		

- (1) Represents call premiums, the acceleration of original issue discounts and deferred financing costs, the termination costs of interest rate swaps, as well as incremental interest during the call or prepayment notice period included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. We recognized these costs in conjunction with the prepayment or refinancing of senior notes and mortgages during certain periods presented.
- (2) Represents the non-cash interest expense recognized related to the 2004 and 2007 Debentures in accordance with the retrospective implementation of a new accounting pronouncement on January 1, 2009. No effect is shown for the 2004 Debentures if they were dilutive in the calculation of Earnings per Diluted Unit or FFO per Diluted Unit, as the non-cash interest expense is added-back to earnings in the dilution calculation.
- (3) Represents dilutive effect, if applicable, of the 2004 Debentures after adjustment (2) above for non-cash interest expense related to the new accounting pronouncement.

#### **Comparable Hotel Operating Results**

We present certain operating results for our hotels, such as hotel revenues, expenses, and adjusted operating profit, on a comparable hotel, or "same store" basis as supplemental information for investors. We present these comparable hotel operating results by eliminating corporate-level costs and expenses related to our capital structure, as well as depreciation and amortization. We eliminate corporate-level costs and expenses to arrive at property-level results because we believe property-level results provide investors with more specific insight into the ongoing operating performance of our hotels. We eliminate depreciation and amortization, because even though depreciation and amortization are property-level expenses, these non-cash expenses, which are based on historical cost accounting for real estate assets, implicitly assume that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. As noted earlier, because real estate values historically have risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves.

As a result of the elimination of corporate-level costs and expenses and depreciation and amortization, the comparable hotel operating results we present do not represent our total revenues, expenses or operating profit and these comparable hotel operating results should not be used to evaluate our performance as a whole. Management compensates for these limitations by separately considering the impact of these excluded items to the extent they are material to operating decisions or assessments of our operating performance. Our consolidated statements of operations include such amounts, all of which should be considered by investors when evaluating our performance.

We present these hotel operating results on a comparable hotel basis because we believe that doing so provides investors and management with useful information for evaluating the period-to-period performance of our hotels and facilitates comparisons with other hotel REITs and hotel owners. In particular, these measures assist management and investors in distinguishing whether increases or decreases in revenues and/or expenses are due to growth or decline of operations at comparable hotels (which represent the vast majority of our portfolio) or from other factors, such as the effect of acquisitions or dispositions. While management believes that presentation of comparable hotel results is a "same store" supplemental measure that provides useful information in evaluating our ongoing performance, this measure is not used to allocate resources or assess the operating performance of these hotels, as these decisions are based on data for individual hotels and are not based on comparable portfolio hotel results. For these reasons, we believe that comparable hotel operating results, when combined with the presentation of GAAP operating profit, revenues and expenses, provide useful information to investors and management.

The following table presents certain operating results and statistics for our comparable hotels for the periods presented herein:

# Comparable Hotel Results (a) (in millions, except hotel statistics)

	Year ended De	cember 31,
	2008	2007
Number of hotels	115	115
Number of rooms	60,582	60,582
Percent change in Comparable Hotel RevPAR	(2.6)%	_
Comparable hotel sales		
Room	\$ 3,150	\$ 3,233
Food and beverage(c)	1,582	1,630
Other	356	358
Comparable hotel sales(b)	5,088	5,221
Comparable hotel expenses		
Room	776	770
Food and beverage(e)	1,157	1,177
Other	189	197
Management fees, ground rent and other costs	1,632	1,638
Comparable hotel expenses(d)	3,754	3,782
Comparable hotel adjusted operating profit	1,334	1,439
Non-comparable hotel results, net(f)	40	35
Comparable hotels sold in 2009	(32)	(37)
Office buildings and limited services properties, net(g)	7	9
Depreciation and amortization	(566)	(503)
Corporate and other expenses	(58)	(69)
Gain on insurance settlements	7	51
Operating profit per the consolidated statements of operations	<u>\$ 732</u>	\$ 925

<sup>(</sup>a) The reporting period for 2008 for the Marriott-managed hotels is from December 29, 2007 to December 26, 2008 and for 2007 is from December 30, 2006 to December 28, 2007.

<sup>(</sup>b) The reconciliation of total revenues per the consolidated statements of operations to the comparable hotel sales is as follows:

	Year ended	December 31,
	2008	2007
Revenues per the consolidated statements of operations	\$ 5,167	\$ 5,279
Non-comparable hotel sales	(161)	(141)
Revenues of comparable hotels sold in 2009	121	132
Hotel sales for the property for which we record rental income	51	50
Rental income for office buildings and select service hotels	(91)	(92)
Adjustment for hotel sales for comparable hotels to reflect a 52-week fiscal year for Marriott-managed hotels	1	(7)
Comparable hotel sales	\$ 5,088	\$ 5,221

(c) The reconciliation of total food and beverage sales per the consolidated statements of operations to the comparable food and beverage sales is as follows:

	Year ende	d December 31,
	2008	2007
Food and beverage sales per the consolidated statements of operations	\$ 1,566	\$ 1,603
Non-comparable food and beverage sales	(50)	(38)
Food and beverage sales of comparable hotels sold in 2009	35	39
Food and beverage sales for the property for which we record rental income	28	28
Adjustment for food and beverage sales for comparable hotels to reflect a 52-week fiscal year for Marriott-managed		
hotels	3	(2)
Comparable food and beverage sales	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,630

(d) The reconciliation of operating costs per the consolidated statements of operations to the comparable hotel expenses is as follows:

	Year ended D	
Operating costs and expenses per the consolidated statements of operations	\$ 4,435	\$ 4,354
Non-comparable hotel expenses	(120)	(108)
· ·	, ,	, ,
Operating costs and expenses of comparable hotels sold in 2009	89	95
Hotel expenses for the property for which we record rental income	51	50
Rent expense for office buildings and select service hotels	(84)	(83)
Adjustment for hotel expenses for comparable hotels to reflect a 52-week fiscal year for Marriott-managed hotels		(5)
Depreciation and amortization	(566)	(503)
Corporate and other expenses	(58)	(69)
Gain on property insurance settlements	7	51
Comparable hotel expenses	\$ 3,754	\$ 3,782

(e) The reconciliation of total food and beverage expenses per the consolidated statements of operations to the comparable food and beverage expenses is as follows:

	Year ended	December 31,
	2008	2007
Food and beverage expenses per the consolidated statements of operations	\$ 1,147	\$ 1,164
Non-comparable food and beverage expense	(35)	(31)
Food and beverage expenses of comparable hotels sold in 2009	24	28
Food and beverage expenses for the property for which we record rental income	18	18
Adjustment for food and beverage expenses for comparable hotels to reflect a 52-week fiscal year for Marriott-		
managed hotels	3	(2)
Comparable food and beverage expenses	\$ 1,157	\$ 1,177

- (f) Non-comparable hotel results, net, includes the following items: (i) the results of operations of our non-comparable hotels whose operations are included in our consolidated statements of operations as continuing operations and (ii) the difference between the number of days of operations reflected in the comparable hotel results and the number of days of operations reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.
- (g) Represents rental income less rental expense for select service properties and office buildings.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

#### **Interest Rate Sensitivity**

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Valuations for secured debt are determined based on the expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Senior notes and the Exchangeable Debentures are valued based on quoted market prices. At December 31, 2008, we had no derivative financial instruments that are used to hedge interest rate risk or that are held for trading purposes. However, going forward, we may use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to future borrowings.

The table below presents scheduled maturities and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates.

	Expected Maturity Date							
	2009	2010	<u>2011(1)</u>	2012 (\$ in 1	2013 nillions)	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Liabilities				ζ.	,			
Debt:								
Fixed rate	\$287	\$388	\$ 93	\$962	\$737	\$ 2,699	\$5,166	\$4,240
Average interest rate	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.8%		
Variable rate								
Variable rate	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$ 710	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$ —	\$ 710	\$ 679
Average interest rate	4.1%	4.1%	4.0%	— %	— %	— %		
Total debt							\$5,876	\$4,919

(1) The debt maturing in 2011 includes \$410 million related to borrowings under the credit facility, which can be extended, at our option, for one year if our leverage ratio is below 6.75x. See "—Financial Condition" for further discussion. Similarly, the \$300 million mortgage loan on the Orlando World Center Marriott, which also matures in 2011, can be extended for two one-year periods, subject to achieving a certain debt coverage ratio and other conditions.

Our current debt structure, which primarily consists of fixed rate debt, largely mitigates the impact of changes in interest rates on our cash interest payments. As of December 31, 2008, we had a \$210 million term loan and \$200 million outstanding under the revolver portion of our credit facility. Our credit facility borrowings are sensitive to changes in interest rates, and are based on a spread over LIBOR, ranging from 65 basis points to 175 basis points depending on our leverage ratio. Additionally, in 2008 we entered into a \$300 million floating rate mortgage loan on our Orlando World Center Marriott that bears interest at LIBOR plus 350 basis points.

Valuations for secured debt and the credit facility are determined based on the expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Senior notes and the Exchangeable Senior Debentures are valued based on quoted market prices.

During March 2007, we prepaid our \$88 million mortgage on the JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. As a result, we terminated an interest rate cap that capped the floating interest rate of the loan at 8.1%. The cap represented a derivative that was marked to market each period and the gains and losses from changes in the market value of the cap were recorded in gain (loss) on foreign currency and derivative contracts.

If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the change in interest expense would change future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$7 million annually.

#### **Exchange Rate Sensitivity**

As we have non-U.S. operations (specifically, the ownership of hotels in Canada, Mexico and Chile and investments in our European joint venture), currency exchange risk arises as a normal part of our business. To manage the currency exchange risk applicable to ownership in non-U.S. hotels, where possible, we may enter into forward or option contracts. The foreign currency exchange agreements that we have entered into were strictly to hedge foreign currency risk and not for trading purposes.

During 2008, we have entered into three foreign currency forward purchase contracts totaling €60 million (approximately \$88 million) to hedge a portion of the foreign currency exposure resulting from the eventual repatriation of our net investment in the European joint venture. Under these transactions, we will sell the Euro amount, and receive the U.S. Dollar amount on the forward purchase date. These derivatives are considered a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation, and, in accordance with GAAP, are marked-to-market with changes in fair value recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income within the partners' capital portion of our balance sheet. We also evaluate counterparty credit risk in the calculation of the fair value of the swaps. During 2008, we recorded an increase in the fair value of the derivative instruments totaling approximately \$6 million, which is equal to the fair value as of December 31, 2008 included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The following table summarizes our three foreign currency purchase contracts (in millions):

	Tran	Transaction		Transaction Transaction		action	Forward
Transaction Date	Amoun	Amount in Euros Amount in Dollars			Purchase Date		
February 2008	€	30	\$	43	August 2011		
February 2008		15		22	February 2013		
May 2008		15		23	May 2014		

The Partners Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, partners' capital and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule of real estate and accumulated depreciation as of December 31, 2008. These consolidated financial statements and accompanying financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 6 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has retrospectively applied certain adjustments upon the adoption of new accounting standards related to non-controlling interests and exchangeable senior debentures and has changed its method of accounting for contingencies related to income taxes in 2007.

/s/ KPMG LLP

McLean, Virginia February 27, 2009, except as to Notes 1 and 13, which are as of August 14, 2009 and Notes 2, 4, 5, 10, 12 and 16, which are as of November 6, 2009

# HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2008 and 2007

(in millions, except per common unit amounts)

	2008 (See Note 1)	2007 (See Note 1)
ASSETS		
Property and equipment, net	\$ 10,739	\$ 10,588
Due from managers	65	106
Investments in affiliates	229	194
Deferred financing costs, net	46	50
Furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund	119	122
Other	198	196
Restricted cash	44	65
Cash and cash equivalents	508	488
Total assets	\$ 11,948	\$ 11,809
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL		
Debt		
Senior notes, including \$916 million and \$978 million, respectively, net of discount, of Exchangeable Senior Debentures	\$ 3,943	\$ 4,004
Mortgage debt	1,436	1,423
Credit facility, including the \$210 million term loan	410	_
Other	87	88
Total debt	5,876	5,515
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	119	315
Other	183	215
Total liabilities	6,178	6,045
Limited partnership interests of third parties	158	312
Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. partners' capital:		
General partner	1	1
Cumulative redeemable preferred limited partner	97	97
Limited partner	5,485	5,281
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5	45
Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. partners' capital	5,588	5,424
Non-controlling interests – consolidated partnerships	24	28
Total partners' capital	5,612	5,452
Total liabilities and partners' capital	\$ 11,948	\$ 11,809

# HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in millions, except per common unit amounts)

	2008 (See Note 1)	2007 (See Note 1)	2006 (See Note 1)
REVENUES	(See Hote 1)	(See Note 1)	(See Note 1)
Rooms	\$ 3,133	\$ 3,204	\$ 2,837
Food and beverage	1,566	1,603	1,435
Other	349	351	294
Total hotel sales	5,048	5,158	4,566
Rental income	119	121	120
Total revenues	5,167	5,279	4,686
EXPENSES			
Rooms	773	766	677
Food and beverage	1,147	1,164	1,055
Other departmental and support expenses	1,269	1,253	1,124
Management fees	242	263	218
Other property-level expenses	387	387	354
Depreciation and amortization	566	503	437
Corporate and other expenses	58	69	94
Gain on insurance settlement	(7)	(51)	(13)
Total operating costs and expenses	4,435	4,354	3,946
OPERATING PROFIT	732	925	740
Interest income	20	37	33
Interest expense	(375)	(444)	(460)
Net gains on property transactions	2	6	1
Transaction gain on foreign currency	1	_	
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates	(10)	11	(6)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	370	535	308
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	3	(3)	(5)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	373	532	303
Income from discontinued operations.	41	202	464
NET INCOME	414	734	767
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(3)	(6)	(9)
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P.	411	728	758
Less: Distributions on preferred units	(9)	(9)	(14)
Issuance costs of redeemed preferred units	_	_	(6)
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON UNITHOLDERS	\$ 402	\$ 719	\$ 738
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT:			·
Continuing operations	\$ .67	\$ .96	\$ .55
Discontinued operations	.07	.37	.92
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT	\$ .74	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.47
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT:			
Continuing operations	\$ .65	\$ .95	\$ .55
Discontinued operations	.07	.37	.92
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON UNIT:	\$ .72	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.47

# HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBISIDARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS'

#### CAPITAL AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in millions, except per common unit amounts)

OP Units Ou	ıtstanding		Preferred Limited	General	Limited	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Non-controlling Interest of Consolidated	Limited Partner Interests of	Comprehensive
Preferred	Common		Partner	Partner	Partner	Income	Partnerships	Third Parties	Income
10.0	361.0	Balance, December 31, 2005 (as revised, see Note 1)	\$ 241	\$ 1	\$ 1,938	\$ 15	\$ 26	\$ 376	
_	_	Net income	_	_	727	_	9	31	\$ 758
_	_	Other changes in ownership	_	_	(77)	_	_	77	_
_	1.1	Redemptions of limited partnership interests of third parties	_	_	8	_	_	(8)	_
		Other comprehensive income:				10			10
	_	Foreign currency translation adjustment				10	_		10
		Comprehensive income							\$ 768
_	1.5	Units issued to Host for the comprehensive stock and employee stock purchase plans	_	_	25	_	_	_	
_	_	Distributions on common OP units	_	_	(380)	_	_	_	
_	_	Distributions on preferred OP units	_	_	(14)	_	_	_	
(6.0)	_	Redemption of Class C preferred OP units	(144)	_	(6)	_	_	_	
	157.5	Issuance of common OP units	_	_	2,644	_	_	_	
		Distributions to non-controlling interests					(7)	(14)	
4.0	521.1	Balance, December 31, 2006	97	1	4,865	25	28	462	
_	_	Net income	_	_	703	_	6	25	\$ 728
_	_	Other changes in ownership	_	_	152	_	_	(152)	_
_	0.5	Redemptions of limited partnership interests of third parties Other comprehensive income:	_	_	5	_	_	(5)	_
_	_	Foreign currency translation adjustment	_	_	_	20	_	_	20
		Comprehensive income							\$ 748
_	1.0	Units issued to Host for the comprehensive stock and employee stock purchase plans	_	_	(12)	_	_	_	
_	_	Distributions on common OP units	_	_	(523)	_	_	_	
_	_	Distributions on preferred OP units	_	_	(9)	_	_	_	
_	_	Cumulative effect of adoption of accounting pronouncement related to income taxes	_	_	11	_	_	_	
_	_	Issuance of 2007 exchangeable senior debentures	_	_	89	_	_	_	
		Distributions to non-controlling interests					(6)	(18)	
4.0	522.6	Balance, December 31, 2007	97	1	5,281	45	28	312	

# HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBISIDARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

#### Years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(in millions, except per common unit amounts)

<u>o</u>	P Units Out	standing		Prefe Lim		General	Limited	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Non- controlling Interest of Consolidated	Limited Partner Interests of	Compre	ehensive
P	referred	Common		Part		Partner	Partner	Income	Partnerships	Third Parties	-	ome
	4.0	522.6	Balance, December 31, 2007		97	1	5,281	45	28	312		
	_	_	Net income		_	_	395	_	3	16	\$	411
	_	_	Other changes in ownership		_	_	156	_	_	(156)		_
	_	8.8	Redemptions of limited partnership interests of third parties		_	_	92	_	_	(92)		_
	_	_	Issuance of common OP units		_	_	_	_	_	92		_
			Other comprehensive income:									
	_	_	Foreign currency translation adjustment		_	_	_	(46)	_	_		(46)
	_	_	Change in fair value of derivative instruments		_	_	_	6	_	_		6
			Comprehensive income								\$	371
	_	(6.5)	Repurchase of common OP Units		_	_	(99)	_	_	_		
	_	0.4	Units issued to Host for the comprehensive stock and employee stock purchase plans		_	_	7	_	_	_		
	_	_	Distributions on common OP units		_	_	(338)	_	_	_		
	_	_	Distributions on preferred OP units		_	_	(9)	_	_	(14)		
			Distributions to non-controlling interests						(7)	<u>=</u>		
	4.0	525.3	Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	97	\$ 1	\$ 5,485	\$ 5	\$ 24	\$ 158		

#### HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in millions)

	2008	2007	2006
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	Ø 44.4	¢ 724	¢ 767
Net income	\$ 414	\$ 734	\$ 767
Adjustments to reconcile to cash provided by operations:  Discontinued operations:			
Gain on dispositions	(24)	(162)	(418)
Depreciation	16	17	26
Depreciation and amortization	563	503	437
Impairment loss	3		45/
Amortization of deferred financing costs	12	13	15
Amortization of debt premiums/discounts, net	33	27	14
Deferred income taxes	(8)	(7)	(5)
Accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs	(e) —	5	1
Net gains on property transactions	(2)	(6)	(1)
Transaction gain on foreign currency	(1)	_	
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(14)	_	_
Equity in (earnings) losses of affiliates	10	(11)	6
Distributions from equity investments	3	4	3
Change in due from managers	41	(57)	(11)
Change in accrued interest payable	3		(18)
Changes in other assets		(12)	15
Changes in other liabilities	(29)	(47)	50
Cash provided by operating activities	1,020	1,001	881
		1,001	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from sales of assets, net	38	400	780
Acquisitions	_	(15)	(270)
Starwood acquisition, net of cash acquired	_	_	(750)
Deposits for acquisitions	_	(22)	(1)
Investment in affiliates	(77)	(12)	(78)
Capital expenditures:			
Renewals and replacements	(374)	(267)	(275)
Repositionings and other investments	(298)	(346)	(255)
Change in furniture, fixtures & equipment (FF&E) reserves	3	(23)	(12)
Change in restricted cash designated for FF&E reserves	6	<u>`55</u>	(16)
Property insurance proceeds	<del>_</del>	38	21
Other	(14)		1
Cash used in investing activities	(716)	(192)	(855)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Financing costs	(8)	(9)	(27)
Issuances of debt	300	1,025	1,412
Net draws (repayments) on credit facility	410	(250)	230
Repurchase of exchangeable debentures	(82)	_	_
Debt prepayments and scheduled maturities	(245)	(1,015)	(913)
Scheduled principal repayments	(16)	(35)	(59)
Common unit repurchase	(100)	_	_
Redemption of cumulative redeemable preferred OP units	_	_	(150)
Distributions on common OP units	(542)	(460)	(303)
Distributions on preferred OP units	(9)	(9)	(18)
Distributions to non-controlling interests	(8)	(6)	(7)
Change in restricted cash other than FF&E replacement	<u>16</u>	74	(11)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(284)	(685)	154
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	20	124	180
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	488	364	184
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 508	\$ 488	\$ 364
GROTT AND GROTT EQUIVALENTS, CHUUI year	<u>\$ 308</u>	φ <del>4</del> 00	Φ 304

#### HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in millions)

#### Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, non-controlling limited partners converted common operating partnership units ("OP units") valued at \$119 million, \$12 million and \$22 million, respectively, in exchange for 8.8 million, 0.5 million and 1.1 million shares, respectively, of Host common stock.

On March 12, 2008, we acquired the remaining limited partnership interests in Pacific Gateway Ltd., a subsidiary partnership of Host LP, which owns the San Diego Marriott Hotel and Marina, and other economic rights formerly held by our partners, including the right to receive 1.7% of the hotel's sales, in exchange for 5,575,540 OP units. The OP units were valued at \$93 million based on the closing stock price on such date for Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., of \$16.68.

During 2006, Host issued approximately 24 million shares upon the conversion of approximately 7.4 million of Host's convertible preferred securities. The debentures that were converted during 2006 were valued at approximately \$368 million. No debentures were converted in 2008 or 2007. For each share of common stock issued by Host, we issued an OP unit to Host.

On September 1, 2006, we acquired the Westin Kierland Resort & Spa in Scottsdale, Arizona for approximately \$393 million, including the assumption of \$135 million of mortgage debt with a fair value of \$133 million.

On May 2, 2006, we contributed the Sheraton Warsaw Hotel & Towers, which we acquired on April 10, 2006 for approximately \$59 million, along with cash to the European joint venture in exchange for a 32.1% general and limited partnership interest.

On April 10, 2006, we acquired 28 hotels from Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. ("Starwood") for a purchase price of approximately \$3.1 billion. The total consideration included the issuance of \$2.27 billion in equity (133.5 million shares of Host's common stock) and the assumption of \$77 million of mortgage debt, which had a fair value of \$86 million on April 10, 2006. See note 12 for additional information.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Description of Business

Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P., or Host LP, a Delaware limited partnership, operates through an umbrella partnership, structure with Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., or Host, our sole general partner. We are primarily the owner of hotel properties. Host operates as a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, with its operations conducted solely through us and our subsidiaries. Host holds approximately 97% of our partnership interests, or OP units.

As of December 31, 2008, we owned, or had controlling interests in, 117 luxury and upper-upscale, hotel lodging properties located throughout the United States, Toronto and Calgary, Canada, Mexico City, Mexico and Santiago, Chile operated primarily under the Marriott®, Ritz-Carlton®, Hyatt®, Fairmont®, Four Seasons®, Hilton®, Westin® Sheraton®, W®, St. Regis® and Luxury Collection® brand names.

#### Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the partnership and its subsidiaries and controlled affiliates. If we determine that we are an owner in a variable interest entity and that our variable interest will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses if they occur, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns if they occur, or both, then we will consolidate the entity. Additionally, we consolidate entities (in the absence of other factors determining control) when we own over 50% of the voting shares of another company or, in the case of partnership investments, when we own a majority of the general partnership interest. The control factors we consider include the ability of non-controlling unitholders or other partners to participate in or block management decisions. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

#### Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity of 90 days or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

#### Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes reserves for debt service, real estate taxes, insurance, furniture, fixtures and equipment, as well as cash collateral and excess cash flow deposits due to mortgage debt agreement restrictions and provisions. For purposes of the statement of cash flows, changes in restricted cash that are used for furniture, fixture and equipment reserves controlled by our lenders are shown as investing activities. The remaining changes in restricted cash are the direct result of restrictions under our loan agreements, and, as such, are reflected in cash from financing activities.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table represents our restricted cash balances as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, which are restricted as a result of lender requirements (in millions):

	2008	2007
Debt service	\$11	\$10
Real estate taxes	7	7
Cash collateral	8	7
Excess cash flow requirements	3	5
Furniture, fixtures and equipment reserves controlled by lenders	8	14
Special projects reserve	4	20
Other	3	2
Total	\$44	\$65

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. For newly developed properties, cost includes interest and real estate taxes incurred during development and construction. Replacements and improvements and capital leases are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally 40 years for buildings and three to ten years for furniture and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the useful lives of the related assets.

We capitalize certain inventory (such as china, glass, silver, linen) at the time of a hotel opening, or when significant inventory is purchased (in conjunction with a major rooms renovation or when the number of rooms or meeting space at a hotel is expanded). These amounts are then fully amortized over the estimated useful life of three years. Subsequent replacement purchases are expensed when placed in service.

We maintain a furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund for renewal and replacement capital expenditures at certain hotels, which is generally funded with approximately 5% of property revenues.

We analyze our assets for impairment when events or circumstances occur that indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. We consider a property to be impaired when the sum of future undiscounted cash flows over our remaining estimated holding period is less than the carrying value of the asset. For impaired assets, we record an impairment charge equal to the excess of the property's carrying value over its fair value.

We will classify a hotel as held for sale when the sale of the asset is probable, will be completed within one year and actions to complete the sale are unlikely to change or that the sale will be withdrawn. Accordingly, we typically classify assets as held for sale when our Board of Directors has approved the sale, a binding agreement to purchase the property has been signed under which the buyer has committed a significant amount of nonrefundable cash and no significant financing contingencies exist which could prevent the transaction from being completed in a timely manner. If these criteria are met, we will record an impairment loss if the fair value less costs to sell is lower than the carrying amount of the hotel and will cease incurring depreciation. We will classify the loss, together with the related operating results, including interest expense on debt assumed by the buyer or that is required to be repaid as a result of the sale, as discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of operations and classify the assets and related liabilities as held for sale on the balance sheet. Gains on sales of properties are recognized at the time of sale or deferred and recognized as income in subsequent periods as conditions requiring deferral are satisfied or expire without further cost to us.

We recognize the fair value of any liability for conditional asset retirement obligations including environmental remediation liabilities when incurred, which is generally upon acquisition, construction, or development and/or through the normal operation of the asset, if sufficient information exists to reasonably estimate the fair value of the obligation.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Intangible Assets

In conjunction with our acquisition of hotel properties, we may identify intangible assets. Identifiable intangible assets are typically contracts, including ground and retail leases and management and franchise agreements, which are recorded at fair value, although no value is generally allocated to contracts which are at market terms. Above-market and below-market contract values are based on the present value of the difference between contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the contracts acquired and our estimate of the fair value of contract rates for corresponding contracts measured over the period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the contract. Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable term of the related agreements.

#### Non-Controlling Interests

As of December 31, 2008, we consolidate three majority-owned partnerships with mandatorily redeemable non-controlling interests held by outside partners with finite lives ranging from 99 to 100 years that terminate between 2081 and 2095. Third party partnership interests that have finite lives are included in non-controlling interests – other consolidated partnerships in the consolidated balance sheets and totaled \$24 million and \$28 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the fair values of the non-controlling interests in these partnerships were approximately \$66 million and \$139 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, none of our partnerships have infinite lives as defined by GAAP.

#### Distributions from investments in affiliates

We classify the distributions from our equity investments in the statement of cash flows based upon an evaluation of the specific facts and circumstances of each distribution to determine its nature. For example, distributions from cash generated by property operations are classified as cash flows from operating activities. However, distributions received as a result of property sales would be classified as cash flows from investing activities.

#### Income Taxes

As a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we are not subject to federal income tax. We are, however, subject to state, local and foreign income and franchise tax in certain jurisdictions. In addition, each of our taxable REIT subsidiaries is taxable as a regular C corporation. Host has elected to be treated as a REIT under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and, as such, is not subject to federal income tax, provided that it distributes all of its taxable income annually to its stockholders and complies with certain other requirements. In addition to paying federal and state income tax on any retained income, one of our subsidiary REITs is subject to a tax on "built-in-gains" on sales of certain assets. Additionally, Host's taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and foreign income tax. The consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the income tax provision or benefit related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiaries, state income and franchise taxes incurred by Host and us and foreign income taxes incurred by us, as well as each of the respective subsidiaries.

Under our partnership agreement, we are generally required to reimburse Host for any tax payments it is required to make. Accordingly, the tax information included herein represents disclosures regarding Host and its subsidiaries. As a result, such liabilities and related disclosures are included in our financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rates is recognized in earnings in the period when the new rate is enacted. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Deferred Charges

Financing costs related to long-term debt are deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the debt using the effective interest method.

#### Foreign Currency Translation

As of December 31, 2008, our foreign operations consist of four properties located in Canada, one property located in Mexico, two in Chile, an investment in a joint venture in Europe and an investment in a joint venture in Asia. The operations of these properties and our investments are maintained in the local currency and then translated to U.S. dollars using the average exchange rates for the period. The assets and liabilities of the properties and the investment are translated to U.S. dollars using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. The resulting translation adjustments are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income.

#### Derivative Instruments

We are subject to market exposures in several aspects of our business including foreign currency exposure related to our investment in the European joint venture, our consolidated international hotels, interest rate exposure for the interest payments for our variable rate debt and the fair value of our fixed rate debt. We may, from time to time, enter into derivative instruments to either protect against fluctuations in the fair value of our investments in foreign entities or the fair value of our debt instruments. Prior to entering into the derivative contract, we evaluate whether the transaction would qualify as a fair value hedge and continue to evaluate hedge effectiveness through the life of the contract. Gains and losses on contracts that meet the requirements for fair value hedge accounting are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with offsetting changes recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

In situations where we have variable debt, we may purchase interest rate swaps or interest rate caps, which would be considered derivative instruments. If the requirements for hedge accounting are met and the instruments qualify as cash flow hedges, amounts paid or received under these agreements would be recognized over the life of the agreements as adjustments to interest expense, and the fair value of the derivatives would be recorded on the accompanying balance sheet, with offsetting adjustments or charges recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income.

#### Other Comprehensive Income

The components of total accumulated other comprehensive income in the balance sheet are as follows (in millions):

	<u>2008</u>	2007
Unrealized gain on HM Services common stock	\$ 4	2007 \$ 4
Gain on forward currency contracts	6	_
Foreign currency translation	(5)	41
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	<u>\$ 5</u>	\$ 45

#### Revenues

Our consolidated results of operations reflect revenues and expenses of our hotels. Revenues are recognized when the services are provided. Additionally, we collect sales, use, occupancy and similar taxes at our hotels which we present on a net basis (excluded from revenues) on our statements of operations.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Earnings Per Common Unit

Basic earnings per common unit is computed by dividing net income available to common unitholders by the weighted average number of common units outstanding. Diluted earnings per common unit is computed by dividing net income available to common unitholders as adjusted for potentially dilutive securities, by the weighted average number of common units outstanding plus other potentially dilutive securities. Dilutive securities may include units distributed to Host for Host common shares granted under comprehensive stock plans, other non-controlling interests that have the option to convert their limited partnership interests to common OP units and convertible debt securities. No effect is shown for any securities that are anti-dilutive.

				Year en	ded Decem	ıber 31,			
		2008		ī-	2007			2006	
	<u>Income</u>	Units	Per Unit <u>Amount</u> (	<u>Income</u> in millions, e	<u>Units</u> xcept per u	Per Unit <u>Amount</u> init amounts)	Income	Units	Per Unit <u>Amount</u>
Net income	\$ 414	541.8	\$ .76	\$ 734	540.6	\$ 1.36	\$ 767	501.0	\$ 1.53
Net income attributable to non- controlling interests	(3)	_	_	(6)	_	(.01)	(9)	_	(.02)
Distributions on preferred units	(9)	_	(.02)	(9)	_	(.02)	(14)	_	(.03)
Issuance costs of redeemed preferred units (1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	(6)	_	(.01)
Basic earnings available to common unitholders	402	541.8	.74	719	540.6	1.33	738	501.0	1.47
Assuming distribution of units to Host for Host shares granted under Host's comprehensive stock plan, less shares assumed									
purchased at average market price	_	.4	_	_	.9	(.01)	_	2.0	_
Assuming conversion of non-controlling OP units issuable	_	_	_	_	1.2	_	_	_	_
Assuming conversion of repurchased 2004 Exchangeable Senior									
Debentures (2)	(8)	5.4	(.02)	_	_	_	_	_	_
Diluted earnings available to common unitholders	\$ 394	547.6	\$ .72	\$ 719	542.7	\$ 1.32	738	503.0	\$ 1.47

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the original issuance costs associated with the Class C preferred units in 2006 which were redeemed in 2006.

#### Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2008, Host maintained two stock-based employee compensation plans, which are accounted for in accordance with GAAP. See Note 8, Employee Stock Plans.

<sup>(2)</sup> During the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of our \$500 million 3 1/4% Exchangeable Senior Debentures (the "2004 Debentures") with a carrying value of \$96 million for approximately \$82 million. We are required to determine the dilutive effect of the repurchased 2004 Exchangeable Debentures separately from the 2004 Exchangeable Debentures outstanding at December 31, 2008. 2004 Exchangeable Debentures repurchased during 2008 are treated as having been converted to common unit equivalents at the start of the period. Accordingly, the 2008 adjustment to net income related to the repurchased 2004 Debentures consists of an add back of \$6 million of interest expense netted with the deduction of the approximate \$14 million gain, for a net effect of an \$8 million deduction. No effect is shown for the 2004 Debentures still outstanding at December 31, 2008, as they were anti-dilutive.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents. We are exposed to credit risk with respect to cash held at various financial institutions, access to our credit facility, the amounts due and services performed by our managers and amounts due or payable under our derivative contracts. Our credit risk exposure with regard to our cash and the \$400 million available under our credit facility is spread among a diversified group of investment grade financial institutions. Amounts due from the managers of our hotels generally reflect the operations of the hotel in the immediately preceding period and the working capital at the hotels. These amounts totaled \$65 million as of December 31, 2008 and we consider the risk that our managers will fail to meet the payment obligations to be remote. At December 31, 2008, our exposure risk related to our derivative contracts totals \$6 million and the counterparties are investment grade financial institutions.

Application of New Accounting Standards

#### Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements

As a result of the adoption of this new accounting pronouncement regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements, non-controlling interests of other consolidated partnerships (previously referred to as "Interest of minority partners of other consolidated partnerships") is now included as a separate component of capital in the consolidated balance sheets. The consolidated statements of operations have also been modified to present earnings and other comprehensive income to be attributed to controlling and non-controlling interests. Below are the steps we have taken as a result of the implementation of the pronouncement:

- We have reclassified the non-controlling interests of other consolidated partnerships previously presented in the mezzanine section of our balance sheets to partners' capital. This reclassification totaled \$24 million and \$28 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- Net income attributable to non-consolidated partnerships is no longer included in the determination of net income, and we reclassified prior
  year amounts to reflect this pronouncement. As a result, net income increased \$3 million, \$6 million, and \$9 million from previously
  reported amounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. The adoption of this pronouncement has no effect on
  our earnings per unit.
- The limited partner interests of third parties will continue to be classified in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet as these redeemable
  interests do not meet the requirements for partners' capital classification. The redemption feature requires the delivery of cash or registered
  shares of Host common stock.
- We adjust the limited partnership interests of third parties each period so that the carrying value equals the greater of its carrying value based on the accumulation of historical cost or its redemption value. The historical cost of the limited partnership interests of third parties is based on the proportional relationship between the carrying value of capital associated with the ownership of Host LP by Host to that of the limited partnership interests of third parties, as these limited partnership interests of third parties may be exchanged into common stock of Host on a one-for-one basis at the option of the third party limited partners. As of December 31, 2008, the limited partnership interests of third parties have a redemption value of approximately \$114 million (based on December 31, 2008 Host closing common stock price of \$7.57), which represents the amount of cash or Host stock that would be paid to the third party limited partners.

#### Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)

As a result of the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement regarding the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement), we have retrospectively adjusted the recognition of our exchangeable debentures such that we will now separately account for the liability

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

and capital components of the debentures to reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate on the instrument's issuance date. Our 25/8% Exchangeable Senior Debentures (the "2007 Debentures") and our 31/4% Exchangeable Senior Debentures ("the 2004 Debentures") are within the scope of the new accounting pronouncement; therefore, we are required to record the debt components of the debentures at fair value as of the date of issuance and amortize the resulting discount as an increase to interest expense over the expected life of the debt. We measured the fair value of the debt components of the 2004 Debentures and 2007 Debentures at issuance based on effective interest rates of 6.8% and 6.5%, respectively. As a result, we attributed \$165 million of the proceeds received to the conversion feature of the debentures. This amount represents the excess proceeds received over the fair value of the debt at the date of issuance and is included in partners' capital on the accompanying balance sheets. The implementation of the new accounting pronouncement has resulted in a decrease to net income and earnings per unit for all periods presented; however, there is no effect on our cash interest payments. As a result of this accounting change:

- The unamortized discount of the 2004 Debentures and 2007 Debentures related to the implementation was \$76 million and \$110 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The unamortized discount is recognized as a reduction to the carrying value of the debentures on the consolidated balance sheets.
- Interest expense recorded for the 2004 and 2007 Debentures for the periods presented consists of the following (in millions):

	2008	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Contractual interest expense	\$32	\$28	\$16
Non-cash interest expense due to discount amortization	30	25	12
Total interest expense	\$62	53	28

- During the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of the 2004 Debentures with a carrying value of \$96 million for \$82 million. We recognized a \$14 million gain on the transaction, a reduction of approximately \$4 million from the previously reported amount. We evaluated the fair value of the debt repurchased based on the fair value of the cash flows at the date of the repurchase discounted at risk adjusted rates. Based on this calculation, the fair value of the debt repurchased was greater than the conversion price; therefore, we did not allocate any of the repurchase price to the conversion feature of the debentures.
- Net income attributable to non-controlling interests for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 was reduced from the previously reported amounts by \$2 million, \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively.
- The diluted earnings per common unit for years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were reduced from the previously reported amounts by approximately \$.04, \$.01 and \$.02, respectively.
- We reclassified approximately \$1 million of unamortized financing costs to partners' capital as these costs were attributable to the issuance of the conversion feature associated with the debentures.

#### **Business Combinations**

This new accounting pronouncement provides principles on the recognition and measurement of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and goodwill acquired in a business combination. The pronouncement particularly requires the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and non-controlling interests to be measured at the acquisition date fair value, including contingent considerations. Furthermore, the pronouncement prohibits acquisition-related costs, such as due diligence, legal and accounting fees, from being applied in determining the fair value of the acquired assets. We adopted the provisions of this pronouncement on January 1, 2009. We do not believe the adoption of this pronouncement will materially affect the recognition and measurement related to our future business combinations.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Reclassifications

Certain prior year financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation, including changes as a result of the application of new accounting pronouncement for our exchangeable debentures and non-controlling interests in consolidated entities.

#### 2. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following as of December 31:

	2008	2007
	(in ı	nillions)
Land and land improvements	\$ 1,613	\$ 1,621
Buildings and leasehold improvements	11,502	10,907
Furniture and equipment	1,749	1,530
Construction in progress	174	230
	15,038	14,288
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,299)	(3,700)
	\$10,739	\$10,588

The aggregate cost of real estate for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$9,788 million at December 31, 2008.

#### Impairment of Property and Equipment

We reviewed our hotel portfolio for impairment and identified several properties that may be sold prior to the end of their previously estimated useful lives or that had current or projected operating losses. Properties exhibiting these characteristics are tested for impairment based on management's estimate of expected future undiscounted cash flows from operations and sale over our expected remaining hold period. The fair value of these properties is generally determined based on either a discounted cash flow analysis or negotiated sales prices. Based on these assessments, we have recorded non-cash impairment charges totaling \$3 million and \$97 million (unaudited) during the year ended December 31, 2008 and the year-to-date period ended September 11, 2009, respectively. Impairment charges recognized in 2008 are classified within depreciation and amortization on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Impairment charges related to properties that have been sold or are classified as held-for-sale are reclassified to discontinued operations.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 3. Investments in Affiliates

We own investments in voting interest entities which we do not consolidate and, accordingly, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The debt of these affiliates is non-recourse to, and not guaranteed by, us. Investments in affiliates consists of the following:

	As of December 31, 2008						
	Ownership Interests	Our <u>Investment</u> (in millio		<u>Debt</u> lions)	Assets		
Asia Pacific Hospitality Venture Pte. Ltd.	25.0%	\$	_	\$ —	None		
Asia Pacific TRS Venture Pte. Ltd.	9.8%		_	_	None		
HHR Euro CV	32.1%		208	1,017	Eleven hotels located in Europe		
HHR TRS CV	9.8%		1	5	Lease agreements for certain hotels owned by HHR Euro CV		
CBM Joint Venture L.P.	3.6%		5	810	115 Courtyard hotels		
Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P.	49.0%		15		36-hole golf club		
Total		\$	229	\$1,832			

	As of December 31, 2007						
	Ownership Interests		Our <u>estment</u> (in mill	<u>Debt</u> ions)	Assets		
HHR Euro CV	32.1%	\$	172	\$1,003	Ten hotels located in Europe		
HHR TRS CV	9.8%		1	2	Lease agreements for certain hotels owned by HHR Euro CV		
CBM Joint Venture L.P.	3.6%		5	839	115 Courtyard hotels		
Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P.	49.0%		16		36-hole golf club		
Total		\$	194	\$1,844			

#### European Joint Venture

In March 2006, we formed a joint venture, HHR Euro CV, to acquire hotels in Europe (the "European joint venture"). We serve as the general partner for the European joint venture and have a 32.1% ownership interest (including our limited and general partner interests). The initial term of the European joint venture is ten years subject to two one-year extensions with partner approval. HHR Euro CV has leased six of its hotels to HHR TRS CV, where we also serve as a general partner and have a 9.8% ownership interest including our general and limited partner interests. Due to the ownership structure and the non-Host limited partners' rights to cause the dissolution and liquidation of the European joint venture and HHR TRS CV at any time, they are not consolidated in our financial statements. As general partner, we earn a management fee based on the amount of equity commitments and equity investments. In 2008 and 2007, we recorded approximately \$6 million and \$5 million, respectively, of management fees.

During 2008, we entered into three foreign currency forward purchase contracts totaling €60 million (approximately \$88 million) to hedge a portion of the foreign currency exposure resulting from the eventual repatriation of our net investment in the European joint venture. These derivatives are considered a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation, and, in accordance with GAAP, are marked-to-market with changes in fair value recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income within the partners' capital portion of our balance sheet. We also evaluate counterparty credit risk in the calculation of the fair value of the swaps. During 2008, we recorded an increase in the fair value of the derivative instruments totaling approximately \$6 million, which is equal to the fair value as of December 31, 2008 included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Our unconsolidated investees assess impairment of real estate properties based on whether estimated undiscounted future cash flows from each individual property are less than book value. If a property is impaired, a

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

loss is recorded for the difference between the fair value and net book value of the hotel. In 2008, we recognized a charge of approximately \$2 million related to the impairment of one property in our European joint venture as a component of equity in earnings of affiliates. In addition, we assess impairment of our non-consolidated investments for other than temporary declines in the value of the investment, based on GAAP requirements. We did not record any other impairments on our investments in 2008.

We review our investment in the joint venture for other than temporary impairment based on the occurrence of any events that would indicate that the carrying amount of the investment exceeds its fair value on an other than temporary basis. We used certain inputs such as available third-party appraisals and forecast net operating income for the hotel properties to estimate the fair value of our investment in the joint venture as of June 19, 2009. We determined that our investment was impaired based on the reduction of distributable cash flows from the joint venture, which has been caused primarily by a decline in cash flows generated by the properties. We believe this impairment to be other than temporary as defined by GAAP because the time period over which the joint venture may be able to improve operations such that our investment would be fully recoverable is constrained by the remaining life of the joint venture. As a result, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge totaling \$34 million (unaudited) in the second quarter of 2009 based on the difference between our investment's estimated fair value and carrying value.

#### Asian Joint Venture

On March 25, 2008, we entered into a joint venture, structured as a Singapore Corporation, with RECO Hotels JV Private Limited, an affiliate of GIC RE. The joint venture will explore investment opportunities in various markets throughout Asia, including China, Japan, Vietnam, and India as well as Australia. We own a 25% interest in the joint venture. The initial term of the Asian joint venture is for a period of seven years. Due to the ownership structure of the Asian joint venture and our partner's rights to cause the dissolution and liquidation of the joint venture, it is not consolidated in our financial statements. As of December 31, 2008, the Asian joint venture did not own any hotels.

#### CBM Joint Venture LP

CBM Joint Venture Limited Partnership owns 115 Courtyard by Marriott hotels, which are operated by Marriott International pursuant to long-term management agreements. On March 29, 2005, we sold 85% of our interest in CBM Joint Venture LLC for approximately \$92 million and recorded a gain on the sale, net of taxes, of approximately \$41 million. In conjunction with the sale of our interest, CBM Joint Venture LLC was recapitalized and converted into a limited partnership, CBM Joint Venture Limited Partnership with Marriott International and affiliates of Sarofim Realty Advisors. Post-recapitalization, we own a 3.6% limited partner interest. We have the right to cause CBM Joint Venture LP to redeem our remaining interest, under certain conditions, prior to December 2009. Thereafter, the general partner of CBM Joint Venture LP has the right to redeem our remaining interest.

#### Other Investments

We have a 49% limited partner interest in Tiburon Golf Ventures, L.P., which owns the golf club surrounding The Ritz-Carlton, Naples Golf Resort. We also own interests in three partnerships that directly or indirectly own two hotels. The total carrying value of these partnerships is less than \$500,000, and we do not have any guarantees or commitments in relation to these partnerships.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Combined summarized balance sheet information as of December 31 for our affiliates follows:

	2008	2007
	(in r	nillions)
Property and equipment, net	\$2,685	\$2,720
Other assets	482	314
Total assets	\$3,167	\$3,034
Debt	\$1,832	\$1,844
Other liabilities	376	197
Equity	959	993
Total liabilities and equity	\$3,167	\$3,034

Combined summarized operating results for our affiliates for the years ended December 31 follows:

	2008	2007 (in millions)	2006
Total revenues	\$ 986	\$ 954	\$ 704
Operating expenses			
Expenses	(769)	(698)	(531)
Depreciation and amortization	(121)	(87)	(67)
Operating profit	96	169	106
Interest income	10	7	2
Interest expense	(118)	(103)	(75)
Net income (loss)	\$ (12)	\$ 73	\$ 33

#### 4. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	December 31, 2008		D	December 31, 2007	
Series K senior notes, with a rate of $7\frac{1}{8}$ % due November 2013	\$	725	\$	725	
Series M senior notes, with a rate of 7% due August 2012		348		347	
Series O senior notes, with a rate of $6\frac{3}{8}$ % due March 2015		650		650	
Series Q senior notes, with a rate of $6^{3}/_{4}\%$ due June 2016		800		800	
Series S senior notes, with a rate of 67/8% due November 2014		497		497	
2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures, with a rate of 3 1/4% due April 2024		383		463	
2007 Exchangeable Senior Debentures, with a rate of $25/8\%$ due April 2027		533		515	
Senior notes, with rate of 10.0% due May 2012		7	_	7	
Total senior notes		3,943		4,004	
Mortgage debt secured by \$2.1 billion of real estate assets, with an average interest rate of 6.2% at December 31, 2008 and					
6.6% at December 31, 2007		1,436		1,423	
Credit facility (including the \$210 million term loan)		410		_	
Other		87	_	88	
Total debt	\$	5,876	\$	5,515	

#### Senior Notes

General. Under the terms of our senior notes indenture, our senior notes are equal in right of payment with all of Host LP's unsubordinated indebtedness and senior to all subordinated obligations. The face amount of our outstanding senior notes as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$3.9 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively. The outstanding senior notes balance as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 includes discounts of approximately \$89 million and \$128 million, respectively. The notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture are guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and are secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture, as well as our credit

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

facility, certain other senior debt, and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements, if any, with lenders that are parties to the credit facility. We pay interest on each series of our outstanding senior notes semi-annually in arrears at the respective annual rates indicated on the table above.

We had the following activities during 2009, 2008 and 2007:

- As of November 6, 2009, we repurchased approximately \$74 million face amount of the 2007 Debentures with a carrying value of \$68 million for \$66 million. During 2009, we will recognize a gain of approximately \$2 million on the transactions. After this repurchase, we have \$526 million of the 2007 Debentures outstanding.
- On May 5, 2009, Host LP issued \$400 million of 9% Series T senior notes maturing May 15, 2017 and received net proceeds of approximately \$380 million after discounts and costs. Interest on the Series T notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on January 15 and July 15, beginning July 15, 2009. A portion of the proceeds were used to repay the \$200 million outstanding on the revolver portion of our credit facility. Additionally, we expect to repay all of the outstanding \$135 million mortgage debt on the Westin Kierland Resort & Spa with proceeds from this offering in September of 2009. The outstanding 9% Series T senior notes are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior notes.
- In the first quarter of 2009, we repurchased \$75 million face amount of the 2004 Debentures with a carrying value of \$72 million for approximately \$69 million and recorded a gain on the repurchase of approximately \$3 million. After this repurchase, \$325 million of the 2004 Debentures remain outstanding.
- During the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased \$100 million face amount of our \$500 million 3 ½ 4% Exchangeable Senior Debentures (the "2004 Debentures") with a carrying value of \$96 million for approximately \$82 million and recorded a gain of approximately \$14 million included in interest expense. We evaluated the fair value of the debt repurchased based on the fair value of the cash flows at the date of the repurchase discounted at risk adjusted rates. Based on this calculation, the fair value of the debt repurchased was greater than the conversion price; therefore, we did not allocate any of the repurchase price to the conversion feature of the debentures.
- On March 23, 2007, Host LP issued the 2007 Debentures and received proceeds of \$589 million, net of underwriting fees and expenses and original issue discount. See "2007 Exchangeable Senior Debentures" below.

Restrictive Covenants. Under the terms of the senior notes indenture, our ability to incur indebtedness and make distributions is subject to restrictions and the satisfaction of various conditions, including the achievement of an EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0x by Host LP. Furthermore, Host LP is able to make distributions to enable Host to pay dividends on its preferred stock under the senior notes indenture when our EBITDA-to-interest coverage ratio is above 1.7 to 1.0. This ratio is calculated in accordance with the terms of our senior notes indenture based on pro forma results for the four prior fiscal quarters giving effect to transactions such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings, as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. For example, under the terms of our senior notes indenture interest expense excludes items such as the gains and losses on the extinguishment of debt, deferred financing charges related to the senior notes or the credit facility, amortization of debt premiums or discounts that were recorded at acquisition of a loan to establish the debt at fair value and approximately \$30 million, \$25 million and \$12 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, of interest expense recorded as a result of the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement relating to our exchangeable debentures, all of which are included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations. Other covenants limiting our ability to incur indebtedness and make distributions include maintaining total indebtedness of less than 65% of adjusted total assets (using undepreciated real estate values) excluding Intangible assets and secured indebtedness of less than 45% of adjusted total assets. So long as we maintain the required level of interest coverage and satisfy these and other conditions in the senior notes indenture, we may pay preferred or common distributions and incur additional debt under the senior notes indenture, including debt incurred in connection with an acquisition. In addition, even if we are below the coverage levels otherwise required to incur debt and make distributions, we are still permitted to incur certain types of debt, including (i) credit facility debt, (ii) refinancing debt, (iii) up to \$300 million of mortgage debt whose proceeds would be used to repay debt under credit facility (and permanently reduce our ability to borrow under the credit facility by such amount), and (iv) up to \$100 million of other debt. Our senior notes indenture also imposes restrictions on customary matters, such as our ability to make distributions on, redeem

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

or repurchase our equity interests; make investments; permit payment or dividend restrictions on certain of our subsidiaries; sell assets; guarantee indebtedness; enter into transactions with affiliates; create certain liens; and sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies. Our senior notes indenture also imposes a requirement to maintain unencumbered assets (as defined in the indenture as undepreciated property value) of not less than 125% of the aggregate amount of senior note debt plus other debt not secured by mortgages. This coverage requirement must be maintained at all times and is distinct from the coverage requirements necessary to incur debt or make distributions discussed above (whose consequences, where we fall below the coverage level, are limited to restricting our ability to incur new debt or make distributions, but which would not otherwise cause a default under our senior notes indenture). As of December 31, 2008, we are in compliance with all of our financial covenants under our senior notes indentures.

2007 Exchangeable Senior Debentures. On March 23, 2007, Host LP issued the \$600 million 2007 Debentures and received proceeds of \$589 million, net of underwriting fees and expenses and original issue discount. As of November 6, 2009, we repurchased approximately \$74 million face amount of the 2007 Debentures for approximately \$66 million. As of November 6, 2009, we have \$526 million face amount of the 2007 Debentures that remain outstanding. The 2007 Debentures mature on April 15, 2027 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior notes. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year beginning on July 15, 2007. Holders have the right to require us to repurchase the 2007 Debentures on April 15, 2012, April 15, 2017 and April 15, 2022 for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest. Holders may exchange their 2007 Debentures prior to maturity under certain conditions, including when the closing sale price of Host's common stock is more than 130% of the exchange price per share for at least 20 of 30 consecutive trading days during certain periods or any time up to two days prior to the date on which the debentures have been called for redemption. On exchange, we must deliver cash in an amount equal to not less than the lower of the exchange value (which is the applicable exchange rate multiplied by the average price of Host's common shares) and the aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Debentures to be exchanged, and, at our option, shares of Host's common stock, cash or a combination thereof for any excess above the principal value. We can redeem for cash all, or part of, the 2007 Debentures at any time on or after April 20, 2012 upon 15 days notice at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest. If we elect to redeem the debentures and the exchange value exceeds the cash redemption price, we would expect holders to elect to exchange their debentures at the exchange value described above rather than receive the cash redemption price. The exchange rate at December 31, 2008 was 31.35 shares of Host's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures, which is equivalent to an exchange price of \$31.90 per share of Host's common stock. Upon issuance of such shares by Host, we will issue to Host an equivalent number of common OP units. The exchange rate may be adjusted under certain circumstances including the payment of common dividends by Host exceeding \$.20 per share in any given quarter.

2004 Exchangeable Senior Debentures. On March 16, 2004, Host LP issued \$500 million, 3.25% 2004 Debentures and received net proceeds of \$484 million, after discounts, underwriting fees and expenses. During 2008 and 2009, we repurchased \$175 million face amount of the 2004 Debentures with a carrying value of \$168 million for approximately \$151 million and recorded gains on repurchase of approximately \$17 million. As of November 6, 2009, \$325 million of the 2004 Debentures remain outstanding. The outstanding 2004 Debentures mature on April 15, 2024 and are equal in right of payment with all of our other senior notes. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year. Holders have the right to require us to repurchase the 2004 Debentures on April 15, 2010, April 15, 2014 and April 15, 2019 for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount. Holders may exchange their 2004 Debentures prior to maturity under certain conditions, including at any time at which the closing sale price of Host's common stock is more than 120% of the exchange price per share, for at least 20 of 30 consecutive trading days during certain periods or any time up to two days prior to the date on which the debentures have been called for redemption. The exchange rate at December 31, 2008 was 63.3687 shares of Host's common stock for each \$1,000 of principal amount of the 2004 Debentures, or a total of approximately 25 million shares (which is equivalent to an exchange price of \$15.78 per share). Upon issuance of such shares by Host, we will issue to Host an equivalent number of common OP units. The exchange rate is adjusted for certain circumstances, including the payment of common dividends by Host. We can redeem for cash all, or part of, the 2004 Debentures at any time subsequent to April 19, 2009 upon 30 days notice at the applicable redemption price as set forth in the indenture. If we elect to redeem the debentures and the exchange value exceeds the cash redemption price, we would expect hol

*Credit Facility.* On May 25, 2007, we entered into a second amended and restated bank credit facility with Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citicorp North America Inc., Société Générale and Calyon New York Branch, as Co-Documentation Agents and

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

certain other agents and lenders. The credit facility provides aggregate revolving loan commitments in the amount of \$600 million. During any period in which our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 7.0x, new borrowings are limited to such amount as does not cause the aggregate outstanding principal amount under the credit facility to exceed \$300 million. The credit facility also includes subcommitments for (i) the issuance of letters of credit in an aggregate amount of \$10 million and (ii) loans in certain foreign currencies in an aggregate amount of \$300 million, (A) \$150 million of which may be loaned to certain of our Canadian subsidiaries in Canadian Dollars and (B) \$300 million of which may be loaned to us in Pounds Sterling and Euros. The credit facility has an initial scheduled maturity of September 2011. We have an option to extend the maturity for an additional year if certain conditions are met as of September 2011. These conditions include the payment of a fee to the lenders, that no default or event of default exists and maintaining a leverage ratio below 6.75x. Subject to certain conditions, we also have the option to increase the amount of the facility by up to \$190 million to the extent that any one or more lenders, whether or not currently party to the credit facility, commits to be a lender for such amount.

In the second quarter of 2008, we entered into a \$210 million term loan under the credit facility. The term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 175 basis points, with a LIBOR floor of 2.25% for an all-in rate of 4.0% at December 31, 2008. We also have the option to pay interest based on the higher of the overnight Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points and the Prime Lending Rate, plus, in both cases, the applicable spread of 75 basis points. In September 2008, we also borrowed \$200 million under the revolver portion of our credit facility at a rate of LIBOR plus 65 basis points based on our leverage at December 31, 2008. Based on our leverage at December 31, 2008, we have \$400 million of remaining available capacity under the revolver portion of our credit facility. During the second quarter of 2009, we repaid the \$200 million outstanding on the revolver portion of our credit facility and we currently have \$600 million available under our credit facility. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2009, we repaid the entire \$210 million term loan outstanding under our credit facility.

The obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by certain of our existing subsidiaries and are currently secured by pledges of equity interests in many of our subsidiaries. The pledges are permitted to be released in the event that certain conditions are satisfied, including the requirement that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x for two consecutive fiscal quarters. As a result of having satisfied such conditions, currently we are not required to pledge our equity interests in any newly acquired or formed subsidiary, and at our election, we may obtain a release of all existing pledges for so long as our leverage ratio continues to be below 6.0x. The guarantees and pledges ratably benefit our credit facility, as well as the notes outstanding under our senior notes indenture and interest rate swap agreements and other hedging agreements with lenders that are parties to the credit facility.

Financial Covenants. The credit facility contains covenants concerning allowable leverage, fixed charge coverage and unsecured interest coverage. Prior to the end of our third quarter of 2009, we are permitted to make borrowings and maintain amounts outstanding under the credit facility so long as our leverage ratio is not in excess of 7.5x and our unsecured coverage ratio is not less than 1.75x. Thereafter, the maximum leverage ratio under the credit facility is reduced to 7.25x, with the minimum unsecured coverage ratio continuing to be set at 1.75x. In all cases, if our leverage ratio equals or exceeds 7.0x, new borrowings are limited to such amount as does not cause the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the credit facility to exceed \$300 million. However, to the extent our borrowings under the credit facility revolver exceed \$300 million on the date that our leverage ratio exceeds 7.0x, we are not required to repay the excess for one year. The financial covenants for the credit facility do not apply when there are no borrowings under the credit facility. Hence, so long as there are no amounts outstanding, we would not be in default if we do not satisfy the financial covenants and we do not lose the potential to draw under the credit facility in the future if we were ever to come back into compliance with the financial covenants. These calculations are performed in accordance with our credit facility based on pro forma results for the prior four fiscal quarters giving effect to transactions such as acquisitions, dispositions and financings as if they occurred at the beginning of the period. For example, under the terms of the credit facility interest expense excludes items such as the gains and losses on the extinguishment of debt, deferred financing charges related to the senior notes or the credit facility, amortization of debt premiums or discounts that were recorded at acquisition of a loan to establish the debt at fair value and approximately \$30 million, \$25 million and \$12 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, of interest expense recorded as a result of the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement relating to our exchangeable debentures, all of which are included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, total debt used in the calculation of our leverage ratio is based on a "net debt" concept under which cash and cash equivalents in excess of \$100 million is deducted from our total debt balance. As of December 31, 2008, our leverage ratio was 4.1x versus the 7.5x maximum leverage ratio allowed under the credit facility, our fixed charge coverage ratio was 2.8x versus the 1.0x minimum fixed charge coverage ratio allowed

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

facility and our unsecured interest coverage ratio was 4.1x versus the minimum unsecured interest coverage ratio of 1.75x allowed under the credit facility. Accordingly, we are in compliance with these and all of our other financial covenants under the credit facility as of December 31, 2008.

Interest and Fees. We pay interest on revolver borrowings under the credit facility at floating rates plus a margin that is set with reference to our leverage ratio. In the case of LIBOR borrowings in US Dollars, as well as Euros and Pounds Sterling denominated borrowings, the rate of interest ranges from 65 basis points to 150 basis points over LIBOR. We also have the option to pay interest based on the higher of the overnight Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points and the Prime Lending Rate, plus, in both cases, the applicable spread ranging from 0 to 50 basis points. Based on our leverage ratio at December 31, 2008, of 4.1x, we could borrow at a rate of LIBOR plus 70 basis points or Prime plus 0 basis points. To the extent that amounts under the credit facility remain unused, we pay a quarterly commitment fee on the unused portion of the loan commitment of 10 to 15 basis points, depending on our average revolver usage during the applicable period.

Other Covenants. The credit facility contains restrictive covenants on customary matters. Certain covenants become less restrictive at any time that our leverage ratio falls below 6.0x. In particular, at any time that our leverage ratio is below 6.0x, we will not be subject to limitations on capital expenditures, and the limitations on acquisitions, investments and distributions contained in the credit facility will be superseded by the generally less restrictive corresponding covenants in our senior notes indenture. Additionally, the credit facility's restrictions on incurrence of debt and distributions are generally consistent with our senior notes indenture. These provisions, under certain circumstances, limit debt incurrence to debt incurred under the credit facility or in connection with a refinancing, and limit dividend payments to those necessary to maintain Host's tax status as a REIT.

#### Mortgage Debt

All of our mortgage debt is recourse solely to specific assets except for environmental liabilities, fraud, misapplication of funds and other customary recourse provisions. As of December 31, 2008, we have 14 assets that are secured by mortgage debt with an average interest rate of 6.2% that mature between 2009 and 2023. As of December 31, 2008, we are in compliance with the covenants under our mortgage debt obligations.

We had the following mortgage debt issuances and repayments since the beginning of January 2007. Interest for our mortgage debt is payable on a monthly basis:

Transaction Date		Property	Rate	Maturity Date	Amount
Issuances				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
March :	2009	JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. (1)	7.50%	4/2/2013	\$ 120
June :	2008	Orlando World Center Marriott (2)	4.93%	7/1/2011	300
March	2007	The Ritz-Carlton, Naples and Newport Beach Marriott	5.53%	3/1/2014	300
February	2007	Harbor Beach Marriott	5.55%	3/1/2014	134
Repayments/Defeasance					
September :	2009	Westin Kierland Resort & Spa	5.08%	9/1/2009	135
July	2009	San Diego Marriott Hotel & Marina	8.45%	7/1/2009	175
March :	2009	The Westin Indianapolis	9.214%	3/11/2022	34
December	2008	Scottsdale Marriott McDowell Mountains	6.08%	12/1/2008	34
June :	2008	Orlando World Center Marriott	7.48%	6/12/2008	208
October	2007	New Orleans Marriott, San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter, San Ramon Marriott and Santa Clara Marriott	8.22%	10/11/2017	190
May	2007	CMBS properties	7.61%	8/1/2009	514
April	2007	Philadelphia Marriott Convention Center	8.52%	4/1/2009	96
April	2007	Four Seasons Hotel Atlanta	8.41%	4/1/2022	33
March :	2007	JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. (3)	7.42%	9/15/2007	88
February 2	2007	Harbor Beach Marriott	8.58%	3/1/2007	88

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

- (1) The JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. mortgage debt has a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus 600 basis points, with a LIBOR floor of 1.5%. The interest rate shown reflects the rate in effect as of June 19, 2009. Additionally, we have the right to extend the maturity for an additional one-year periods, subject to certain conditions. In addition, as required by the loan agreement, we entered into an interest rate cap agreement which caps the LIBOR rate at 3% through the life of the loan.
- (2) The Orlando World Center Marriott mortgage loan has a floating rate of interest of LIBOR plus 350 basis points. The interest rate shown reflects the rate in effect as of December 31, 2008. Additionally, we have the right to extend the maturity for two, one-year periods, subject to certain conditions.
- (3) The JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. mortgage debt had a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus 210 basis points. The interest rate shown reflects the rate as of the date of the transaction.

#### **Derivative Instruments**

We purchased an interest rate cap which expired in September 2007 in connection with the mortgage debt secured by the JW Marriott, Washington, D.C. The mortgage debt was repaid in September 2007 and the impact of changes in the fair value of the interest rate cap was immaterial for all periods presented. As of December 31, 2008 we have no outstanding interest rate swap or cap agreements. Additionally, during 2008 we entered into three foreign currency forward purchase contracts to hedge a portion of the foreign currency exposure relating to our investment in the European joint venture. See Note 3 "Investments in Affiliates".

#### **Aggregate Debt Maturities**

Aggregate debt maturities at December 31, 2008 are as follows (in millions):

2009	\$ 321
2010	411
2011 (1)	825
2012	967
2013	737
Thereafter	2,700 5,961
	5,961
Unamortized discounts, net	(86)
Capital lease obligations	1
	\$5,876

The debt maturing in 2011 includes \$410 million related to borrowings under the credit facility, which can be extended, at our option, for one year if our leverage ratio is below 6.75x and certain other conditions are met. Similarly, the \$300 million mortgage loan on the Orlando World Center Marriott, which also matures in 2011, can be extended for two one-year periods, subject to achieving a certain debt coverage ratio and other conditions.

#### Interest

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, we made cash interest payments of \$360 million, \$419 million and \$459 million, respectively, which includes capitalized interest of \$10 million, \$10 million and \$5 million, respectively, related to qualifying property construction activities. During 2008, we recorded a gain of approximately \$14 million associated with the repurchase of a portion of our 2004 Debentures that is included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations. During 2008, 2007, and 2006, we recorded non-cash interest expense relating to the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement of \$30 million, \$25 million, and \$12 million, respectively. Our 2007 and 2006 interest expense includes losses of \$45 million and \$17 million, respectively, on the early extinguishment of debt, which includes prepayment premiums, the acceleration of the related discounts and deferred financing costs and the termination of related interest rate swap agreements. Deferred financing costs amounted to \$46 million and \$50 million, net of accumulated amortization, as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs totaled \$12 million, \$13 million and \$15 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and is included in interest expense on the accompanying statements of operations.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Amortization of property and equipment under capital leases totaled \$2 million for each of 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and is included in depreciation and amortization on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

#### 5. Partners' Capital

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, 540.4 million and 540.9 million common OP units, respectively, were outstanding, of which Host held 525.3 million and 522.6 million, respectively. In addition, 4.0 million preferred OP units were outstanding as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

On April 29, 2009, Host issued 75,750,000 shares of common stock at \$6.60 per share and received net proceeds of approximately \$480 million, after underwriting discounts and commissions and transaction expenses. The net proceeds were contributed to us in exchange for an equivalent number of OP units.

On August 19, 2009, Host entered into a Sales Agency Financing Agreement with BNY Mellon Capital Markets, LLC, through which Host may issue and sell, from time to time, shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$400 million. As of November 6, 2009, Host has issued approximately 22 million shares of common stock through this program at an average price of approximately \$10.29 per share for net proceeds of \$226 million. The net proceeds were contributed to us in exchange for an equivalent number of OP units. Host may continue to sell shares of common stock under this program from time to time based on market conditions, although Host is not under an obligation to sell any shares.

#### Distributions

On September 14, 2009, Host's Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.25 per share of common stock. The dividend will be paid on December 18, 2009 to stockholders of record as of November 6, 2009. In reliance on the specific terms of the guidance issued by the IRS and, subject to certain elections by Host's stockholders, Host will pay approximately 90% of the dividend with Host common stock, with the remaining 10% paid with cash. Host LP similarly intends to make a \$.025 per unit distribution to Host and non-controlling holders of common OP units. Common OP unitholders will not, however, participate in the portion of the dividend being paid with shares of Host common stock and will not receive any Host common stock or additional common OP units. Instead, the ratio (currently 1:1) at which each common OP unitholder can convert its common OP units to shares of Host common stock will be proportionately adjusted to reflect the issuance of additional shares of Host common stock as a taxable stock dividend similar to the adjustment that would occur had Host effected a stock split. Accordingly, this means the equivalency of OP units and Host common stock will no longer be maintained. Host intends to continue paying a cash dividend on its preferred stock, regardless of its amount of taxable income, unless contractually restricted. The amount of any dividend will be determined by Host's Board of Directors.

Host is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income, excluding net capital gain, to qualify as a REIT. However, Host's policy on common dividends is generally to distribute 100% of its estimated annual taxable income, including net capital gains, unless otherwise contractually restricted. The amount of any dividends will be determined by Host's Board of Directors. For the preferred dividends, Host will generally pay the quarterly dividend, regardless of the amount of taxable income, unless similarly contractually restricted. Funds used by Host to pay dividends on its common and preferred stock are provided by distributions from us. All dividends declared in 2008, 2007 and 2006 were determined to be ordinary income.

The table below presents the amount of common and preferred distributions declared per unit as follows:

	2008	2007	2006
Common OP units	\$ .65	\$1.00	\$ .76
Class C preferred OP units 10%	_		.625
Class E preferred OP units 87/8%	2.22	2.22	2.22

#### Stock Repurchase

Host's Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$500 million of common stock and equity related securities. These securities may be purchased in the open market or through private transactions, depending on market conditions. The plan does not obligate Host to repurchase any specific number or amount of securities and may be suspended at any time at management's discretion. As of December 31, 2008, Host repurchased 6.5 million shares valued at approximately \$100 million. We redeemed an equivalent number of common OP units from Host for each common share repurchased. Additionally, as part of this program during the fourth quarter we repurchased \$100 million of our 2004 Debentures for \$82 million. See Note 4 – Debt for further discussion. As a result of these purchases, we have approximately \$318 million left under the Board of Directors' authorization for future repurchases as of December 31, 2008.

#### Preferred OP Units

We currently have one class of publicly-traded preferred units outstanding: 4,034,400 units of  $87/_8\%$  Class E preferred units. Holders of the preferred units are entitled to receive cumulative cash distributions at  $87/_8\%$  per annum of the \$25.00 per unit liquidation preference, which are payable quarterly in arrears. After June 2, 2009, we have the option to redeem the Class E preferred units for \$25.00 per unit, plus accrued and unpaid distributions to the date of redemption. The preferred OP unit ranks senior to the common OP unit. The preferred unitholders generally have no voting rights. Accrued preferred distributions at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$2 million.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

During 2006, we redeemed, at par, all of our then outstanding Class C cumulative preferred units. The fair value of the preferred units (which was equal to the redemption price) exceeded the carrying value of the Class C preferred units by approximately \$6 million. This amount represents the original issuance cost. The original issuance cost for the Class C preferred units has been reflected in the determination of net income available to common unitholders for the purpose of calculating our basic and diluted earnings per unit in the respective years of redemption.

#### 6. Income Taxes

As a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we are not subject to federal income tax. We are, however, subject to state, local and foreign income and franchise tax in certain jurisdictions. In addition, each of our taxable REIT subsidiaries is taxable as a regular C corporation. Host has elected to be treated as a REIT under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and, as such, is not subject to federal income tax, provided that it distributes all of its taxable income annually to its stockholders and complies with certain other requirements. In addition to paying federal and state income tax on any retained income, one of our subsidiary REITs is subject to a tax on "built-in-gains" on sales of certain assets. Additionally, Host's taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and foreign income tax. The consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the income tax provision or benefit related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiaries, state income and franchise taxes incurred by Host and us and foreign income taxes incurred by us as well as each of the respective subsidiaries.

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards based on enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

Total deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2008 and 2007 are as follows (in millions):

2008	2007
\$107	\$124
(28)	(25)
79	99
(65)	(93)
\$ 14	\$ 6
	\$107 (28) 79 (65)

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

We have recorded a 100% valuation allowance of approximately \$28 million against the deferred tax asset for our Mexican net operating loss and asset tax credit carryforwards as of December 31, 2008. The net increase in the valuation allowance for the years ending December 31, 2008 and 2007 was approximately \$3 million in each year. There is no valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset for our Canadian net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2008. The reduction in the valuation allowance related to the deferred tax asset for our Canadian net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$3 million was recorded as a reduction of income tax expense in 2008. We expect all net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards for U.S. federal income tax purposes to be realized. The primary components of our net deferred tax asset were as follows (in millions):

	2008	2007
Investment in hotel leases	\$	\$ 2
Accrued related party interest	14	21
Net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards	40	48
Alternative minimum tax credits	16	15
Safe harbor lease investments	_	(17)
Property and equipment depreciation	1	1
Investments in domestic and foreign affiliates	(62)	(62)
Holdover period rent expense		(10)
Prepaid revenue	36	37
Purchase accounting items	(3)	(4)
Subtotal	42	31
Less: Valuation allowance	(28)	(25)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 14	\$ 6

At December 31, 2008, we have aggregate gross domestic and foreign net operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$140 million. We have deferred tax assets related to these loss and tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$56 million with a valuation allowance of approximately \$28 million. Our net operating loss carryforwards expire beginning in 2010 through 2027, and our foreign capital loss carryforwards have no expiration period. Our domestic tax credits have no expiration period and our foreign asset tax credits expire through 2017.

Our U.S. and foreign income from continuing operations before income taxes was as follows (in millions):

	2008	2007	2006
U.S. income	\$372	\$514	\$304
Foreign income		24	7
Total	\$372	\$538	\$311

The (benefit) provision for income taxes for continuing operations consists of (in millions):

			2008	2007	2006
Current	_	Federal	\$	\$	\$
	_	State	2	3	2
	_	Foreign	3	7	8
			5	10	10
Deferred	_	Federal	(11)	(8)	_
	_	State	2	_	(5)
	_	Foreign	1	1	
			(8)	(7)	(5)
Inco	me taz	x (benefit) provision – continuing operations	<u>\$ (3)</u>	\$ 3	\$ 5

The total (benefit) provision for income taxes, including the amounts associated with discontinued operations, was \$(3) million, \$3 million and \$7 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The differences between the income tax (benefit) provision calculated at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% and the actual income tax (benefit) provision recorded each year for continuing operations are as follows (in millions):

	2008	2007	2006
Statutory federal income tax provision – continuing operations	\$ 130	\$ 188	\$ 109
Nontaxable income of Host LP – continuing operations	(141)	(196)	(109)
State income tax provision, net	2	2	2
Uncertain tax positions	2	1	(5)
Foreign income tax provision	4	8	8
Income tax (benefit) provision – continuing operations	\$ (3)	\$ 3	\$ 5

In 2006, we recognized an income tax benefit of \$5 million relating to the reduction of previously accrued income taxes after an evaluation of the exposure items and the expiration of related statutes of limitation. No such amount was recognized in 2007 or 2008. Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds received, was \$7 million for each of 2008, 2007 and 2006.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted an accounting pronouncement related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. This pronouncement prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in a tax return. We must determine whether it is "more-likely-than-not" that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Once it is determined that a position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. This pronouncement applies to all tax positions related to income taxes subject to certain GAAP requirements. As a result of the implementation of this pronouncement, we recognized a reduction of our liability for unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$11 million. This reduction was accounted for as an increase to the opening balance of partners' capital on January 1, 2007. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in millions):

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Balance at January 1, 2008	\$11	\$10
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	2	1
Balance at December 31, 2008	<u>\$13</u>	\$11

All of such amount, if recognized, would impact our reconciliation between the income tax provision (benefit) calculated at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% and the actual income tax provision (benefit) recorded each year.

It is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly decrease within 12 months of the reporting date due to the expiration of certain statutes of limitation. An estimate of the range of such possible decrease is \$3 million to \$7 million. As of December 31, 2008, the tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions generally include 2005-2008.

We recognize interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in operating expenses. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of interest, respectively. Interest recognized in 2008 was immaterial. We had approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million for the payment of interest accrued at December 31, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 7. Leases

Hotel Leases

We lease substantially all of our hotels (the "Leases") to a wholly owned subsidiary that qualifies as a taxable REIT subsidiary due to federal income tax restrictions on a REIT's ability to derive revenue directly from the operation and management of a hotel.

## Hospitality Properties Trust Relationship

In a series of related transactions in 1995 and 1996, we sold and leased back 53 Courtyard by Marriott ("Courtyard") properties and 18 Residence Inn by Marriott ("Residence Inn") properties to Hospitality Properties Trust ("HPT"). These leases, which are accounted for as operating leases and are included in the table below, have initial terms expiring between 2010 and 2012 and are renewable at our option. Minimum rent payments are \$58 million annually for the Courtyard properties and \$19 million annually for the Residence Inn properties, and additional rent based upon sales levels are payable to HPT under the terms of the leases. During 2008, we gave notice that we will not renew the lease on the 18 Residence Inn properties and therefore that lease, as well as the related sublease described below, will terminate in December 2010, and we expect HPT to return the approximate \$17 million security deposit.

In 1998, we sublet the HPT properties (the "Subleases") to separate sublessee subsidiaries of Barceló Crestline Corporation (the "Sublessee"), subject to the terms of the applicable HPT lease. The term of each Sublease expires simultaneously with the expiration of the initial term of the HPT lease to which it relates and automatically renews for the corresponding renewal term under the HPT lease, unless either we or the Sublessee elect not to renew the Sublease provided, however, that neither party can elect to terminate fewer than all of the Subleases in a particular pool of HPT properties (one for the Courtyard properties and one for the Residence Inn properties). Rent payable by the Sublessee under the Subleases consists of the minimum rent payable under the HPT lease and an additional percentage rent payable to us. The percentage rent payable by the Sublessee is generally sufficient to cover the additional rent due under the HPT lease, with any excess being retained by us. The rent payable under the Subleases is guaranteed by the Sublessee, up to a maximum amount of \$30 million, which is allocated between the two pools of HPT properties.

## Other Lease Information

As of December 31, 2008, all or a portion of 35 of our hotels are subject to ground leases, generally with multiple renewal options, all of which are accounted for as operating leases. For lease agreements with scheduled rent increases, we recognize the lease expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Certain of these leases contain provisions for the payment of contingent rentals based on a percentage of sales in excess of stipulated amounts. We also have leases on facilities used in our former restaurant business, some of which we subsequently subleased. These leases and subleases contain one or more renewal options, generally for five or ten-year periods. The restaurant leases are accounted for as operating leases. Our lease activities also include leases entered into by our hotels for various types of equipment, such as computer equipment, vehicles and telephone systems. Equipment leases are accounted for as either operating or capital leases depending on the characteristics of the particular lease arrangement. Equipment leases that are characterized as capital leases are classified as furniture and equipment and are depreciated over the life of the lease. The amortization charge applicable to capitalized leases is included in depreciation expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table presents the future minimum annual rental commitments required under non-cancelable leases for which we are the lessee as of December 31, 2008. Minimum payments for the operating leases have not been reduced by aggregate minimum sublease rentals from restaurants and the Sublessee of approximately \$9 million and \$275 million, respectively, payable to us under non-cancelable subleases.

	Capital Leases	Operating Leases
	(in m	illions)
2009	\$ 1	\$ 122
2010	1	119
2011	<u> </u>	96
2012	<del>_</del>	92
2013	<del>_</del>	31
Thereafter	<u> </u>	979
Total minimum lease payments	2	\$ 1,439
Less: amount representing interest	<del></del>	
Present value of minimum lease payments	\$ 2	

We remain contingently liable on certain leases relating to our former restaurant business. Such contingent liabilities aggregated \$23 million as of December 31, 2008. However, management considers the likelihood of any material funding related to these leases to be remote.

Rent expense is included in other property-level expenses line item and consists of (in millions):

	2008	2007	2006
Minimum rentals on operating leases	\$121	\$120	\$125
Additional rentals based on sales	39	39	28
Less: sublease rentals	(90)	(92)	(88)
	\$ 70	\$ 67	\$ 65

## 8. Employee Stock Plans

In connection with Host's conversion to a REIT, we assumed the employee obligations of Host. Upon the issuance of Host's common stock under either of the two stock-based compensation plans described below, we will issue to Host an equivalent number of common OP units. Accordingly, these liabilities and related disclosures are included in our consolidated financial statements.

Host maintains two stock-based compensation plans, the comprehensive stock plan (the "Comprehensive Plan"), whereby Host may award to participating employees (i) restricted shares of Host's common stock, (ii) options to purchase Host's common stock and (iii) deferred shares of Host's common stock and the employee stock purchase plan (ESPP), which are accounted for in accordance with GAAP. At December 31, 2008, there were approximately 4.0 million shares of Host's common stock reserved and available for issuance under the Comprehensive Plan.

We recognize costs resulting from Host's share-based payment transactions in our financial statements over their vesting periods. We classify share-based payment awards granted in exchange for employee services as either equity classified awards or liability classified awards. The classification of Host's restricted stock awards as either an equity award or a liability award is based upon cash settlement options. Equity classified awards are measured based on the fair value on the date of grant. Liability classified awards are remeasured to fair value each reporting period. The value of all restricted stock awards, less estimated forfeitures, is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award – the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). No compensation cost is recognized for awards for which employees do not render the requisite service. All restricted stock awards to senior executives outstanding as of December 31, 2008 have been classified as liability awards, primarily due to settlement features that allow the recipient to have a percentage of the restricted stock awards withheld to meet tax requirements in excess of the statutory minimum withholding. Restricted stock awards to Host's upper-middle management have been classified as equity awards as these awards do not have this optional tax withholding feature.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## Restricted Stock

During the first quarter of 2006, Host granted shares to senior executives that vested through year end 2008 in three annual installments (the "2006 – 2008 Plan"). Vesting for these shares was determined both on continued employment and market performance based on the achievement of total shareholder return on an absolute and relative basis. For the shares that vested solely on continued employment, we recognized compensation expense over the requisite period based on the market price of Host's common stock at the balance sheet date. For liability classified share awards that vested based on market performance, we recognized compensation expense over the requisite service period based on the fair value of the awards at the balance sheet date. At year end 2008, compensation expense for the shares that were earned is based on the market price at the balance sheet date. No compensation expense is recognized for shares that were not earned.

Host made an additional grant of shares to senior executives in February 2006 ("2006 supplemental grant"). Twenty-five percent of this award vested immediately, and was expensed on the date of grant, while the remaining 75% vests over a three-year period that began in February 2006 based on continued employment. We recognize compensation expense for the outstanding portion of this grant based on the market price at the balance sheet date.

Effective January 1, 2008, Host made additional grants to nine senior executives of approximately 200,000 shares. Vesting for these shares was based on continued employment through December 31, 2008. We recognized compensation expense for these shares based on the market price of Host's common stock at the balance sheet date.

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded compensation expense of approximately \$2 million, \$3 million and \$32 million respectively, related to the restricted stock awards to senior executives. The majority of these awards vested on December 31, 2008. The total unrecognized compensation cost, based on the valuation criteria above, that relates to nonvested restricted stock awards at December 31, 2008 was approximately \$.2 million and primarily reflects shares issued under our 2006 supplemental grant that vested in February 2009. The following table is a summary of the status of Host's senior executive plans for the three years ended December 31, 2008. The fair values for the awards below are based on the fair value at the respective transaction dates, as the awards are classified as liability awards.

	2008			2007			2	2006		
	Shares (in millions)	Fair Va (per sh		Shares (in millions)		Value share)	Shares (in millions)	Fair Value (per share)		
Balance, at beginning of year	1.5	\$	7	2.4	\$	19	_	\$ —		
Granted	.2		18	_		_	3.5	16		
Vested (1)	(.3)		10	(.2)		24	(1.1)	24		
Forfeited/expired	(1.3)	-	_	(.7)		8		_		
Balance, at end of year (2)	1		7	1.5		7	2.4	19		
Issued in calendar year (1)	.1		15	.6		25	.7	19		

<sup>(1)</sup> Shares that vest at December 31 of each year are issued to the employees in the first quarter of the following year, although the requisite service period is complete. Accordingly, the 0.1 million shares issued in 2008 include shares vested at December 31, 2007, after adjusting for shares withheld to meet employee tax requirements. The withheld shares for employee tax requirements were valued at \$1.6 million, \$13.3 million and \$11.7 million, for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Host also maintains a restricted stock program for our upper-middle management. Vesting for these shares is determined based on continued employment and, accordingly, we recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the service period of three years. We recorded compensation expense related to these shares of \$1.1 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, all compensation cost for the upper-middle management program has been recognized. The following table is a

<sup>(2)</sup> Based on our historical forfeiture rates, we expect that substantially all shares that meet the required market condition will vest.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

summary of the status of our upper-middle management plan for the three years ended December 31, 2008. The fair values for the awards below are based on the fair value at the grant date of the respective awards, as the awards are classified as equity awards.

	2008	_	2007				2006		
	Shares Fair Value		e Sha	ares	Fair Value		Shares		ir Value
	(in thousands)	(per shar	e) (in thou	usands)	(per	share)	(in thousands)	<u>(pe</u>	er share)
Balance, at beginning of year	20	\$ 2	8	22	\$	20	25	\$	16
Granted	51	1	7	66		28	78		20
Vested (1)	(53)	2	1	(64)		25	(74	)	19
Forfeited/expired	(4)	2	0	(4)		25	(7	)	18
Balance, at end of year (2)	14	1	7	20		28	22		20
Issued in calendar year (1)	41	2	1	45		22	47		17

<sup>(1)</sup> Shares that vest at December 31 of each year are issued to the employees in the first quarter of the following year, although the requisite service period is complete. Accordingly, the 41,000 shares issued in 2008 include the shares vested at December 31, 2007, after adjusting for shares withheld to meet employee tax requirements. The value of shares withheld for employee tax requirements was not material for all periods presented.

(2) Based on our historical forfeiture rates, we expect that substantially all shares will vest.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the terms of the employee stock purchase plan ("ESPP"), eligible employees may purchase Host's common stock through payroll deductions at 90% of the lower of market value at the beginning or end of the plan period and is therefore compensatory under GAAP. Effective January 1, 2008, we updated the plan so that shares vested on a calendar quarter basis and employees made their purchase options on a quarterly basis. Prior to 2008, the shares vested on an annual basis. We record compensation expense for the employee stock purchase plan based on the fair value of the employees' purchase rights, which is estimated using an option-priced model. The compensation expense reflected in net income was not material for all periods presented.

## Employee Stock Options

Host did not grant any stock options between December 2002 and December 31, 2008. All options granted are fully vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2006. The fair value of the 2002 stock options was estimated on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. Compensation expense for the stock options was recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The weighted average fair value per option granted during 2002 was \$1.41. We did not record any compensation expense related to these shares in 2007 or 2008, as all shares were fully vested at December 31, 2006. We recorded compensation expense of approximately \$229,000 for 2006, which represents the expense for stock options granted during 2002. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was approximately \$0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table is a summary of the status of our stock option plans that have been approved by our stockholders for the three years ended December 31, 2008. We do not have stock option plans that have not been approved by our stockholders.

	2008 2007		7	2006	06	
	Shares (in millions)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares (in millions)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares (in millions)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, at beginning of year	.4	\$ 7	.7	\$ 6	1.4	\$ 6
Granted		_		_	_	
Exercised	(.2)	7	(.3)	5	(.7)	6
Forfeited/expired		_		_		_
Balance, at end of year	.2	8	.4	7	7	6
Options exercisable at year-end	.2		.4		.7	

The following table summarizes information about stock options at December 31, 2008:

O	otions Outstanding and Exercise	able
	Weighted	
	Average	Weighted
		Average
(in millions)	Contractual Life	Exercise Price
.2	7	\$ 8
.2		
	Shares (in millions)  .2 .2	Weighted Average Shares Remaining

In connection with the Host Marriott Services ("HM Services") spin-off in 1995, outstanding options held by our current and former employees were redenominated in both our and HM Services stock and the exercise prices of the options were adjusted based on the relative trading prices of shares of the common stock of the two companies. Pursuant to the distribution agreement between us and HM Services, we originally had the right to receive up to 1.4 million shares of HM Services' common stock or an equivalent cash value subsequent to exercise of the options held by certain former and current employees of Marriott International. However, in 1999, HM Services was no longer publicly traded (and was renamed HMS Host) and, as a result, all future payments to us were to be made in cash. As of December 31, 2007, the receivable balance was approximately \$0.5 million, which is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. All options that were not exercised expired in 2008.

## Deferred Stock

Deferred stock incentive plan shares granted to officers and key employees after 1990 generally vest over 10 years in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. Certain employees may elect to defer payments until termination or retirement. We accrue compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, less estimated forfeitures. No shares have been granted under this plan since 2003. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for deferred stock was not material for all periods presented.

## 9. Profit Sharing and Postemployment Benefit Plans

We contribute to defined contribution plans for the benefit of employees meeting certain eligibility requirements and electing participation in the plans. The discretionary amount to be matched by us is determined annually by Host's Board of Directors. We provide medical benefits to a limited number of retired employees meeting restrictive eligibility requirements. Our recorded liability for this obligation is not material. Payments for these items were not material for the three years ended December 31, 2008.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## 10. Discontinued Operations

Dispositions

We sold five hotels in 2009, two hotels in 2008, nine hotels in 2007 and seven hotels in 2006. The following table summarizes the revenues, income before taxes, and the gain on dispositions, net of tax, of the hotels which have been reclassified to discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for the periods presented (in millions):

	2008	2007	2006
Revenues	\$129	\$183	\$296
Income before taxes	18	40	48
Gain on disposals, net of tax	23	164	416

Subsequent to year end, we sold the Hyatt Regency Boston for net proceeds of \$113 million, which included the return of reserves held by the manager, and recorded a gain of approximately \$20 million in the first quarter of 2009. We also sold four non-core properties: the 448-room Sheraton Stamford Hotel, the 253-room Washington Dulles Marriott Suites, the 430-room Boston Marriott Newton and the 353-room Hanover Marriott for net proceeds of approximately \$90 million. The hotels were not considered held-for-sale at year end 2008.

Net income attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. is allocated between continuing and discontinued operations as follows:

	2008	2007	2006
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	\$370	\$526	\$294
Discontinued operations, net of tax	41	202	464
Net income (loss) attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	\$411	\$728	\$758

## 11. Gain on Insurance Settlement

Eight of our properties sustained damage from hurricanes during 2005, with two, the New Orleans Marriott and the Fort Lauderdale Marina Marriott, having extensive damage which required us to temporarily close all or part of these hotels. Our insurance coverage for the properties entitles us to receive recoveries for damage to the hotels, as well as payments for business interruption. Gains on property insurance proceeds represent proceeds received in excess of the insurance receivable, which represents the book value of the damaged assets that were written-off. All gains resulting from insurance proceeds are not recognized until all contingencies are resolved. The following chart details the damages incurred, proceeds received and gains recorded as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 due to hurricanes Katrina and Wilma (in millions):

Property Insurance

	Property Damage (1)								Gain on Property Insurance Proceeds		
			2008	2007	2006	2008	2007	2006			
Event											
Hurricane Katrina 2005	\$	21	\$	\$24	\$13	\$	\$16	\$			
Hurricane Wilma 2005 (2)		16	_	14	8	_	6				
	\$	37	\$	\$38	\$21	\$	\$22	\$			

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

**Business Interruption Insurance** 

		nin on Business Interruption Isurance Proceeds Received
	2008	2007 2006
Event		
Hurricane Katrina 2005	\$	7 \$ 30 \$ 10
Hurricane Wilma 2005 (2)		6 6
	\$	7 \$ 36 \$ 16

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the book value of the property and equipment written off and repairs and clean-up costs incurred as a result of the hurricane damage.

# 12. Acquisitions

Starwood Acquisition

On April 10, 2006, we acquired 25 domestic hotels and three foreign hotels from Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., or Starwood for total consideration of approximately \$3.1 billion. The acquisition was completed pursuant to the Master Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 14, 2005, and amended as of March 24, 2006, (the "Master Agreement") among Host, Starwood and certain of their respective subsidiaries.

Our summarized unaudited consolidated pro forma results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006, assuming the Starwood acquisition occurred on January 1, 2006, are as follows (in millions, except per unit amounts):

	2006
Revenues	\$4,930
Income from continuing operations	320
Net income	784
Net income attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	774
Net income available to common unitholders	754
Basic earnings per common unit:	
Continuing operations	.54
Discontinued operations	.85
Basic earnings per common unit	\$ 1.39
Diluted earnings per common unit:	
Continuing operations	.54
Discontinued operations	.85
Diluted earnings per common unit	\$ 1.39

<sup>(2)</sup> The Ft. Lauderdale Marina Marriott was sold in January 2006, and, as a result, the gains on insurance settlement for this hotel are included in discontinued operations on the accompanying statement of operations.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities and other financial instruments are shown below:

	20	08	2007		
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair	
	Amount	<u>Value</u>	Amount	Value	
		(in mil	lions)		
Financial assets					
Notes receivable	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 9	\$ 9	
Financial liabilities					
Senior notes	3,027	2,297	3,026	2,950	
Exchangeable Senior Debentures	916	743	978	1,090	
Credit facility (including the \$210 million term loan)	410	378	_	_	
Mortgage debt and other, net of capital leases	1,522	1,501	1,509	1,564	

Notes receivable and other financial assets are valued based on the expected future cash flows discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Valuations for secured debt and our credit facility are determined based on the expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates. Senior notes and the Exchangeable Senior Debentures are valued based on quoted market prices. The fair values of financial instruments not included in this table are estimated to be equal to their carrying amounts

## 14. Relationship with Marriott International

We have entered into various agreements with Marriott, including the management of approximately 60% of our hotels, as well as franchised properties; financing for joint ventures or partnerships including the acquisition in 1996 of two hotels (one of which was sold on January 30, 2004) in Mexico City, Mexico and the 2000 acquisition of CBM Joint Venture LLC (see Note 3) and certain limited administrative services.

In 2008, 2007 and 2006, we paid Marriott \$178 million, \$197 million and \$165 million, respectively, in hotel management fees and approximately \$1 million, in franchise fees for each of 2008, 2007 and 2006. Included in the management fees paid are amounts paid to The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, LLC (Ritz-Carlton), Courtyard Management Corporation and Residence Inn Management Corporation.

We negotiated amendments to various management agreements with Marriott and agreed, among other matters, to waive performance termination tests through the end of fiscal year 2009, to modify certain extension tests which condition the manager's ability to renew the management agreements, and to extend certain contracts for ten additional years. As part of this negotiation, Marriott agreed to make cash payments to us, over time, to reduce an existing cap on the costs and expenses related to chain services that are provided on a centralized basis, as well as to establish a cap on certain other costs, to provide us with an incentive to increase our capital expenditures at the hotels through 2008, to waive certain deferred management fees, and to modify the incentive management fee on certain contracts. In addition, we agreed to use a portion of Marriott's cash payments for brand reinvestment projects at various hotels in our portfolio.

## 15. Hotel Management Agreements and Operating and License Agreements

Our hotels are subject to management agreements under which various operators, including Marriott, Ritz-Carlton, Hyatt, Swissôtel, Hilton, Four Seasons, Fairmont and Starwood, operate our hotels for the payment of a management fee. The agreements generally provide for both base and incentive management fees based on hotel sales and operating profit, respectively. As part of the management agreements, the manager furnishes the hotels with certain chain services which are generally provided on a central or regional basis to all hotels in the manager's hotel system. Chain services include central training, advertising and promotion, national reservation systems, computerized payroll and accounting services, and such additional services as needed which may be more efficiently performed on a centralized basis. Costs and expenses incurred in providing such services are allocated among the hotels managed, owned or leased by the manager on a fair and equitable basis. In addition, our managers will generally have a guest rewards program which will be charged to all of the hotels that participate in the program.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

We are obligated to provide the manager with sufficient funds, generally 5% of revenue generated at the hotel, to cover the cost of (a) certain non-routine repairs and maintenance to the hotels which are normally capitalized; and (b) replacements and renewals to the hotels' furniture, fixtures and equipment. Under certain circumstances, we will be required to establish escrow accounts for such purposes under terms outlined in the agreements.

## Marriott International

As of December 31, 2008, 68 of our hotels were subject to management agreements under which Marriott or one of their subsidiaries manage the hotels, generally for an initial term of 15 to 20 years with one or more renewal terms at the option of Marriott. Marriott typically receives a base fee of three percent of gross revenues and incentive management fees generally equal to 20% operating profit after we have received a priority return. We have the option to terminate certain management agreements if specified performance or extension thresholds are not satisfied. A single agreement may be canceled under certain conditions, although such cancellation will not trigger the cancellation of any other agreement.

Additionally, while most of our management agreements are not terminable prior to their full term we have negotiated rights with respect to 21 specified Marriott-branded hotels to terminate management agreements in connection with the sale of these hotels subject to certain limitations, including the number of agreements that can be terminated per year, limitations measured by EBITDA, and limitations requiring that a significant part of such hotels maintain the Marriott brand affiliation. The described termination rights may be exercised without payment of a termination fee except for one of the specified hotels wherein a termination fee is required if it does not maintain the Marriott brand affiliation.

We have a franchise agreement with Marriott for one hotel. Pursuant to the franchise agreement, we pay a franchise fee based on a percentage of room sales and food and beverage sales, as well as certain other fees for advertising and reservations. Franchise fees for room sales are approximately six percent of sales, while fees for food and beverage sales are approximately three percent of sales. The franchise agreement has a term of 30 years.

## Ritz-Carlton

We hold management agreements with Ritz-Carlton, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marriott, to manage nine of our hotels. These agreements have an initial term of 15 to 25 years with one or more renewal terms at the option of Ritz-Carlton. Base management fees vary from two to five percent of sales and incentive management fees, if any, are generally equal to 20% of available cash flow or operating profit, after we have received a priority return as defined in the agreements.

## Starwood

As of December 31, 2008, 22 of our hotels are subject to operating and license agreements with Starwood under which Starwood operates the hotels, for an initial term of 20 years, with two renewal terms of 10 years each. Starwood receives compensation in the form of a base fee of 1% of annual gross operating revenues, and an incentive fee of 20% of annual gross operating profit, after we have received a priority return of 10.75% on our purchase price and other investments in the hotels.

The license agreements address matters relating to the subject brand, including rights to use service marks, logos, symbols and trademarks, such as those associated with Westin, Sheraton. W, Luxury Collection and St. Regis, as well as matters relating to compliance with certain standards and policies and (including through other agreements in the case of certain hotels) the provision of certain system program and centralized services. The license agreements have an initial term of 20 years each, with two renewal terms of 10 years each at the option of the licensor. Licensors receive compensation in the form of license fees of 5% of room sales and 2% of food and beverage sales.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

We have termination rights relating to the operating agreements on 12 specified hotels upon the sale of those hotels. Such termination rights are currently active with respect to three of such hotels. With respect to two of those hotels, we have the limited right to also terminate one license agreement annually. With respect to nine of the 12 specified hotels, we have the right beginning in 2016 to sell 35% of such hotels (measured by EBITDA), not to exceed two hotels annually, free and clear of the existing operating agreement over a period of time without the payment of a termination fee. With respect to any termination of an operating agreement on sale, the proposed purchaser would need to meet the requirements for transfer under the applicable license agreement.

#### Other Managers

As of December 31, 2008, we also held management agreements with hotel management companies such as Hyatt, Hilton, Four Seasons and Fairmont for 17 of our hotels. These agreements generally provide for an initial term of 10 to 20 years with renewal terms at the option of either party or, in some cases, the hotel management company of up to an additional one to 15 years. The agreements generally provide for payment of base management fees equal to one to four percent of sales. Sixteen of the seventeen agreements also provide for incentive management fees generally equal to 10 to 30 percent of available cash flow, operating profit, or net operating income, as defined in the agreements, after we have received a priority return.

## 16. Geographic and Business Segment Information

We consider each one of our hotels to be an operating segment, none of which meets the threshold for a reportable segment. We also allocate resources and assess operating performance based on individual hotels. All of our other real estate investment activities (primarily our leased hotels and office buildings) are immaterial and meet the aggregation criteria, and thus, we report one segment: hotel ownership. Our foreign operations consist of four properties located in Canada, two properties located in Chile and one property located in Mexico. There were no intersegment sales during the periods presented. The following table presents revenues and long-lived assets for each of the geographical areas in which we operate (in millions):

	2	2008		2007		2006
	Revenues	Property and Equipment, net	Revenues	Property and Equipment, net	Revenues	Property and Equipment, net
United States	\$ 4,989	\$ 10,541	\$ 5,108	\$ 10,358	\$ 4,537	\$ 10,384
Canada	119	123	117	140	107	112
Chile	32	45	27	57	16	53
Mexico	27	30	27	33	26	35
Total	\$ 5,167	\$ 10,739	\$ 5,279	\$ 10,588	\$ 4,686	\$ 10,584

## 17. Guarantees and Contingencies

We have certain guarantees which consist of commitments we have made to third parties for leases or debt that are not recognized in our consolidated financial statements due to various dispositions, spin-offs and contractual arrangements, but that we have agreed to pay in the event of certain circumstances including default by an unrelated party. We consider the likelihood of any material payments under these guarantees to be remote. The guarantees are listed below:

- We remain contingently liable for rental payments on certain divested non-lodging properties. These primarily represent certain divested restaurants that were sold subject to our guarantee of the future rental payments. The aggregate amount of these future rental payments is approximately \$23 million as of December 31, 2008.
- In 1997, we owned Leisure Park Venture Limited Partnership, which owns and operates a senior living facility. We spun-off the partnership to Barceló Crestline Corporation, formerly Crestline Capital Corporation, in the REIT conversion, but we remain obligated under a guarantee of interest and principal

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

with regard to \$14.7 million of municipal bonds issued by the New Jersey Economic Development Authority through their maturity in 2027. However, to the extent we are required to make any payments under the guarantee, we have been indemnified by Barceló Crestline Corporation, who, in turn, is indemnified by the current owner of the facility.

- In connection with the sale of two hotels in January 2005, we remain contingently liable for the amounts due under the respective ground leases. The future minimum lease payments are approximately \$13 million through the full term of the leases, including renewal options. We believe that any liability related to these ground leases is remote, and in each case, we have been indemnified by the purchaser of the hotel.
- In connection with the Starwood acquisition, we have three properties with environmental liabilities, primarily asbestos in non-public areas of the properties, for which we have recorded the present value of the liability, or approximately \$2.6 million, in accordance with GAAP. The amount is based on management's estimate of the timing and future costs to remediate the liability. We will record the accretion expense over the period we intend to hold the hotel or until the item is remediated.
- We are involved in various legal proceedings in the normal course of business. On April 27, 2005, we initiated suit against Keystone-Texas Property Holding Corporation ("Keystone") in the 73<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District Court of Bexar County, Texas, Case No. 05-CI-14229, seeking a declaration that a provision of our ground lease for the property under the San Antonio Marriott Rivercenter Hotel was valid and claiming that Keystone had breached that lease provision. On April 6, 2006, a Bexar County Court granted an interlocutory motion for summary judgment that the provision was not valid and the lease provision had not been breached. Thereafter, on October 18, 2006, Keystone filed an amended counterclaim and later, a third party claim, alleging that we tortiously interfered with Keystone's attempted sale of the property and that we slandered Keystone's title to the property. We believe that our actions were entirely lawful.

We are vigorously defending this claim and all other claims; however, no assurance can be given as to the outcome of any pending legal proceedings. We believe that the final resolution of any of these claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

# 18. Related Party Transactions

In December 2006, the insurance trust which holds split-dollar life insurance policies for Mr. J. Willard Marriott, Jr., a former member of Host's Board of Directors, exercised its rights under its Split-Dollar Life Insurance Policies Agreement with the Host to purchase our interest in the policy. We received approximately \$4.5 million, which equaled the premiums paid on the policy since 1996 (inception) in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

## 19. Supplemental Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Information

All of our subsidiaries guarantee our senior notes, except those owning 27 of the Partnership's hotels and HMH HPT RIBM LLC and HMH HPT CBM LLC, the lessees of the Residence Inn and Courtyard properties, respectively. The separate financial statements of each guaranteeing subsidiary (each, a "Guarantor Subsidiary") are not presented because we have concluded that such financial statements are not material to investors. The guarantee of each Guarantor Subsidiary is full and unconditional and joint and several and each Guarantor Subsidiary is our wholly owned subsidiary.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following condensed consolidating financial information sets forth the financial position as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and results of operations and cash flows for the three years ended December 31, 2008 of the parent, Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries:

# Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (in millions)

# **December 31, 2008**

		Guarantor	Non- Guarantor		
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Property and equipment, net	\$ 847	\$ 4,805	\$ 5,087	\$ —	\$ 10,739
Due from managers	(20)		86	(1)	65
Investments in affiliates	6,236	2,043	34	(8,084)	229
Rent receivable	_	31		(31)	
Deferred financing costs, net	38	1	7	_	46
Furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund	37	20	58	4	119
Other	521	38	222	(583)	198
Restricted cash		3	45	(4)	44
Cash and cash equivalents	255	10	243	_	508
Total assets	\$7,914	\$ 6,951	\$ 5,782	\$ (8,699)	\$ 11,948
Debt	\$2,015	\$ 2,627	\$ 1,573	\$ (339)	\$ 5,876
Rent payable			31	(31)	
Other liabilities	153	239	155	(245)	302
Total liabilities	2,168	2,866	1,759	(615)	6,178
Limited partnership interests of third parties	158	_	_	_	158
Partners' capital	5,588	4,085	3,999	(8,084)	5,588
Total liabilities and partners' capital	7,914	6,951	5,758	(8,699)	11,924
Non-controlling interests —consolidated partnerships			24		24
Total liabilities and capital	\$7,914	\$ 6,951	\$ 5,782	\$ (8,699)	\$ 11,948

# December 31, 2007

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Property and equipment, net	\$ 845	\$ 4,596	\$ 5,147	\$ —	\$ 10,588
Due from managers	(23)	12	117		106
Investments in affiliates	6,056	1,994	36	(7,892)	194
Rent receivable		40		(40)	
Deferred financing costs, net	43	2	5	_	50
Furniture, fixtures and equipment replacement fund	33	34	55	_	122
Other	495	32	288	(619)	196
Restricted cash	_	5	60	_	65
Cash and cash equivalents	296	19	173		488
Total assets	\$7,745	\$ 6,734	\$ 5,881	\$ (8,551)	\$ 11,809
Debt	\$1,671	\$ 2,671	\$ 1,491	\$ (318)	\$ 5,515
Rent payable	_	_	40	(40)	_
Other liabilities	338	319	174	(301)	530
Total liabilities	2,009	2,990	1,705	(659)	6,045
Limited partnership interests of third parties	312	_	_	_	312
Partners' capital	5,424	3,744	4,148	(7,892)	5,424
Total liabilities and partners' capital	7,745	6,734	5,853	(8,551)	11,781
Non-controlling interests – consolidated partnerships			28		28
Total liabilities and capital	\$7,745	\$ 6,734	\$ 5,881	\$ (8,551)	\$ 11,809

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

# Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations (in millions)

		Guarantor	Non- Guarantor		
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
REVENUES	\$ 140	\$ 692	\$ 5,077	\$ (742)	\$ 5,167
Hotel operating expenses	_		(3,431)	_	(3,431)
Other property-level expenses	(26)	(136)	(225)	_	(387)
Depreciation and amortization	(61)	(255)	(250)	_	(566)
Corporate and other expenses	(4)	(26)	(28)	_	(58)
Gain on insurance settlement	_		7	_	7
Rental expense	_	_	(742)	742	_
Interest income	26	4	20	(30)	20
Interest expense	(218)	(82)	(105)	30	(375)
Net gains (losses) on property transactions	(2)		4	_	2
Gain/(loss) on foreign currency	(18)	_	19	_	1
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates	523	176	2	(711)	(10)
Income (loss) before income taxes	360	373	348	(711)	370
Provision for income taxes	15		(12)	_	3
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	375	373	336	(711)	373
Income from discontinued operations	39	19	4	(21)	41
NET INCOME (LOSS)	414	392	340	(732)	414
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests			(3)		(3)
Net income (loss) attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	\$ 414	\$ 392	\$ 337	\$ (732)	\$ 411

# ${\bf HOST\ HOTELS\ \&\ RESORTS,\ L.P.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARIES}$

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
REVENUES	\$ 176	\$ 780	\$ 5,185	\$ (862)	\$ 5,279
Hotel operating expenses	_	_	(3,446)	_	(3,446)
Other property-level expenses	(28)	(132)	(227)	_	(387)
Depreciation and amortization	(58)	(227)	(218)	_	(503)
Corporate and other expenses	(6)	(30)	(33)	_	(69)
Gain on insurance settlement			51	_	51
Rental expense	_	_	(862)	862	_
Interest income	34	7	27	(31)	37
Interest expense	(216)	(110)	(149)	31	(444)
Net gains on property transactions	(1)	3	4	_	6
Partners' capital in earnings (losses) of affiliates	637	159	_	(785)	11
Income (loss) before income taxes	538	450	332	(785)	535
Provision for income taxes	(3)	_	_	_	(3)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	535	450	332	(785)	532
Income from discontinued operations	199	146	5	(148)	202
NET INCOME (LOSS)	734	596	337	(933)	734
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	_	_	(6)	<del>-</del>	(6)
Net income (loss) attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	\$ 734	\$ 596	\$ 331	\$ (933)	\$ 728

# ${\bf HOST\ HOTELS\ \&\ RESORTS,\ L.P.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARIES}$

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

		Guarantor	Non- Guarantor		
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
REVENUES	\$ 155	\$ 688	\$ 4,596	\$ (753)	\$ 4,686
Hotel operating expenses	_		(3,074)		(3,074)
Other property-level expenses	(28)	(124)	(202)	_	(354)
Depreciation and amortization	(49)	(202)	(186)		(437)
Corporate and other expenses	(8)	(44)	(42)	_	(94)
Gain on insurance settlement	_		13	_	13
Rental expense	_	_	(753)	753	_
Interest income	43	86	13	(109)	33
Interest expense	(224)	(125)	(220)	109	(460)
Net gains on property transactions	1		_		1
Partners' capital in earnings (losses) of affiliates	415	333		(754)	(6)
Income (loss) before income taxes	305	612	145	(754)	308
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	1	_	(6)	_	(5)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	306	612	139	(754)	303
Income from discontinued operations	461	303	10	(310)	464
NET INCOME (LOSS)	767	915	149	(1,064)	767
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(9)		(9)
Net income (loss) attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	\$ 767	\$ 915	\$ 140	\$ (1,064)	\$ 758

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

# Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (in millions)

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Cash provided by (used in) operations	\$ (56)	\$ 475	\$ 601	\$ 1,020
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from sales of assets, net	14	24		38
Deposits for acquisitions	_	_	23	23
Investment in affiliates	(77)	_		(77)
Capital expenditures	(36)	(320)	(339)	(695)
Change in furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) reserves	(4)	10	(3)	3
Change in restricted cash designated for FF&E reserves	_	6	_	6
Other		_	(14)	(14)
Cash used in investing activities	(103)	(280)	(333)	(716)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Financing costs	(3)	_	(5)	(8)
Issuances of debt		_	300	300
Credit facility, repayments and draws, net	410	_	_	410
Repurchase of exchangeable debentures	(82)	_	_	(82)
Debt prepayments	_	(34)	(211)	(245)
Scheduled principal repayments	_	(7)	(9)	(16)
Unit repurchase	(100)	_	_	(100)
Distributions on common OP units	(542)	_	_	(542)
Distributions on preferred OP units	(9)	_	_	(9)
Distributions to non-controlling interests		_	(8)	(8)
Change in restricted cash other than FF&E replacement	_	1	15	16
Transfers to/from Parent	444	(164)	(280)	_
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	118	(204)	(198)	(284)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	<u>\$ (41)</u>	<u>\$ (9)</u>	\$ 70	\$ 20

# ${\bf HOST\ HOTELS\ \&\ RESORTS,\ L.P.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARIES}$

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Cash provided by (used in) operations	<u>\$ (4)</u>	\$ 511	\$ 494	\$ 1,001
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from sales of assets, net	55	345		400
Acquisitions	(15)	_	_	(15)
Deposits for acquisitions	_	_	(22)	(22)
Investment in affiliates	(12)	_	_	(12)
Capital expenditures	(49)	(266)	(298)	(613)
Change in furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) reserves	(2)	(6)	(15)	(23)
Change in restricted cash designated for FF&E reserves	_	10	45	55
Property insurance proceeds	7		31	38
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(16)	83	(259)	(192)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Financing costs	(6)	_	(3)	(9)
Issuances of debt	591	_	434	1,025
Credit facility, repayments and draws, net	(250)	_		(250)
Debt prepayments	(6)	(33)	(976)	(1,015)
Scheduled principal repayments	_	(5)	(30)	(35)
Distributions on common OP units	(460)	_	_	(460)
Distributions on preferred OP units	(9)	_		(9)
Distributions to non-controlling interests	_	_	(6)	(6)
Change in restricted cash other than FF&E replacement	(1)	13	62	74
Transfers to/from Parent	191	(566)	375	_
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	50	(591)	(144)	(685)
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	<u>\$ 30</u>	\$ 3	<u>\$ 91</u>	\$ 124

# ${\bf HOST\ HOTELS\ \&\ RESORTS,\ L.P.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARIES}$

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

	Davant	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Consolidated
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 4	\$ 544	\$ 333	\$ 881
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from sales of assets, net	225	555	_	780
Acquisitions	(12)	_	(258)	(270)
Starwood acquisition, net of cash acquired	_	(556)	(194)	(750)
Deposits for acquisitions	(1)	_	_	(1)
Investment in affiliates	(78)	_	_	(78)
Capital expenditures	(42)	(249)	(239)	(530)
Change in furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) reserves	(55)	10	33	(12)
Change in restricted cash designated for FF&E reserves	_	(4)	(12)	(16)
Property insurance proceeds	3	_	18	21
Other	1			1
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	41	(244)	(652)	(855)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Financing costs	(21)	(5)	(1)	(27)
Issuances of debt	1,296	116	_	1,412
Credit facility, repayments and draws, net	230		_	230
Debt prepayments	(830)	(83)	_	(913)
Scheduled principal repayments		(12)	(47)	(59)
Redemption of cumulative redeemable preferred OP units	(150)	_	_	(150)
Distributions on common OP units	(303)	_	_	(303)
Distributions on preferred OP units	(18)	_	_	(18)
Distributions to non-controlling interests			(7)	(7)
Change in restricted cash other than FF&E replacement	58	(2)	(67)	(11)
Transfer to/from Parent	(125)	(321)	446	
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	137	(307)	324	154
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	<u>\$ 182</u>	<u>\$ (7)</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	\$ 180

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

# 20. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

	2008				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	
		llions, except			
Revenues	\$1,037	\$1,383	\$1,139	\$1,608	
Operating profit	133	271	118	210	
Income from continuing operations	62	176	30	105	
Income from discontinued operations	1	17	17	6	
Net income	63	193	47	111	
Net income attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	57	191	50	113	
Net income available to common unitholders	55	189	47	111	
Basic earnings per common unit:					
Continuing operations	.10	.32	.06	.20	
Discontinued operations	_	.03	.03	.01	
Net income	.10	.35	.09	.21	
Diluted earnings per common unit:					
Continuing operations	.10	.31	.06	.17	
Discontinued operations	_	.03	.03	.01	
Net income	.10	.34	.09	.18	

		20	007	
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
		illions, except		
Revenues	\$1,008	\$1,348	\$1,167	\$1,756
Operating profit	132	275	159	359
Income from continuing operations	50	139	86	257
Income from discontinued operations	146	8	10	38
Net income	196	147	96	295
Net income attributable to Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.	192	147	94	295
Net income available to common unitholders	190	145	92	292
Basic earnings per common unit:				
Continuing operations	.08	.26	.15	.47
Discontinued operations	.27	.01	.02	.07
Net income	.35	.27	.17	.54
Diluted earnings per common unit:				
Continuing operations	.08	.26	.15	.46
Discontinued operations	.27	.01	.02	.07
Net income	.35	.27	.17	.53

The sum of the basic and diluted earnings per common unit for the four quarters in all years presented differs from the annual earnings per common unit due to the required method of computing the weighted average number of units in the respective periods.

# Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2008 $\,$

		Iı	nitial Costs	Subsequent	Gross Amount at December 3				Date of			
Description(1)	Debt	Land	Buildings & Improvements	Costs Capitalized	Land	Building Improven		Total	Accumulated Depreciation	Completion of Construction	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
Hotels:	Debt	Luna	improvements	Cupitunzeu	Luna	пирточен	ichts	10111	Бергесиион	Construction	ricquireu	ERC
The Ritz-Carlton, Amelia Island, Florida	\$	\$ 25	\$ 115	\$ 32	\$ 26	\$	146	\$172	\$ 40	_	1998	40
Four Seasons, Atlanta, Georgia		5	48	18	7		64	71	18	_	1998	40
Grand Hyatt, Atlanta, Georgia		8	88	15	8		103	111	28	_	1998	40
Atlanta Marquis, Georgia	129	13	184	155	16		336	352	69	_	1998	40
Atlanta Midtown Suites, Georgia	_	_	26	4	_		30	30	10	_	1996	40
Westin Buckhead, Georgia	_	5	84	21	6		104	110	28	_	1998	40
Miami Biscayne Bay, Florida	_	_	27	16	_		43	43	14	_	1998	40
Boston Marriott Copley Place,												
Massachusetts	_	_	203	27	_		230	230	45	_	2002	40
Boston/Newton, Massachusetts	_	3	31	24	3		55	58	40	_	1997	40
Hyatt, Boston, Massachusetts	_	15	69	27	17		94	111	26	_	1998	40
Hyatt Regency, Burlingame, California	_	16	119	48	20		163	183	41	_	1998	40
Calgary, Canada	30	5	18	14	5		32	37	13	_	1996	40
Hyatt Regency, Cambridge,												
Massachusetts	_	18	84	15	19		98	117	27	_	1998	40
Chicago/Downtown Courtyard, Illinois	_	7	27	10	7		37	44	13	_	1992	40
Chicago Embassy Suites, Illinois	_	_	86	5	_		91	91	10	_	2004	40
Chicago O'Hare, Illinois	_	4	26	36	4		62	66	42	_	1998	40
Chicago O'Hare Suites, Illinois	_	5	36	5	5		41	46	11	_	1997	40
Swissôtel, Chicago, Illinois	_	29	132	17	29		149	178	40	_	1998	40
Coronado Island Resort, California	_	_	53	22	_		75	75	20	_	1997	40
Costa Mesa Suites, California	_	3	18	5	3		23	26	8	_	1996	40
Dallas Quorum, Texas	_	14	27	17	14		44	58	17	_	1994	40
Dayton, Ohio	_	2	30	7	2		37	39	9	_	1998	40
Hyatt DC Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C.	_	40	230	9	40		239	279	19	_	2006	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Dearborn, Michigan	_	8	51	5	8		56	64	16	_	1998	40
Denver Tech Center, Colorado	_	6	26	23	6		49	55	17	_	1994	40
Westin Tabor Center, Colorado	41	_	89	3	_		92	92	6	_	2006	40
Desert Springs Resort and Spa, California	80	13	143	108	14		250	264	69	_	1997	40
Gaithersburg/Washingtonian Center,												
Maryland	_	7	22	6	7		28	35	10	_	1993	40
Hanover, New Jersey	_	5	30	20	6		49	55	17	_	1997	40
Harbor Beach Resort, Florida	134	_	62	75	_		137	137	48	_	1997	40
Houston Airport, Texas	_	_	10	37	_		47	47	33	_	1984	40
Houston Medical Center, Texas		_	19	16	_		35	35	12	_	1998	40
Westin Indianapolis, Indiana	34	11	100	5	11		105	116	7	_	2006	40
JW Marriott Hotel at Lenox, Georgia	_	16	21	17	16		38	54	19	_	1990	40

# Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2008 $\,$

		Initial Costs		Subsequent	G	ross Amount at E	ecembe	er 31, 2008	Date of		
Description(1)	Debt	Land	Buildings & Improvements	Costs Capitalized	Land	Buildings & Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation	Completion of Construction	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
JW Marriott Houston, Texas		4	26	21	6	45	51	19	Construction	1994	40
JWDC, Washington, D.C.	_	26	98	37	26	135	161	33	_	2003	40
Kansas City Airport, Missouri	_	_	8	21	_	29	29	24	_	1993	40
Westin Kierland, Arizona	134	100	280	2	100	282	382	17	_	2006	40
Fairmont Kea Lani, Hawaii	_	55	294	9	55	303	358	36	_	2003	40
Key Bridge, Virginia	_	_	38	28	_	66	66	45	_	1997	40
Manhattan Beach, California	_	7	29	13	_	49	49	18	_	1997	40
Marina Beach, California	_	_	13	22	_	35	35	12	_	1995	40
Maui Hyatt, Hawaii		92	212	18	92	230	322	31	_	2003	40
Memphis, Tennessee	_	_	16	33	_	49	49	17	_	1998	40
Mexico/Polanco, Mexico	_	11	35	4	10	40	50	22	_	1996	40
McDowell Mountains, Arizona	_	8	48	2	8	50	58	5	_	2004	40
Minneapolis City Center, Minnesota	_	_	27	36	_	63	63	33	_	1986	40
New Orleans, Louisiana	_	16	96	101	16	197	213	62	_	1996	40
New York Financial Center, New York	_	19	79	32	19	111	130	36	_	1997	40
New York Marquis, New York		_	552	125	_	677	677	358	_	1986	40
Newark Airport, New Jersey	_	_	30	3	_	33	33	12	_	1984	40
Newport Beach, California	100	11	13	112	11	125	136	52	_	1975	40
Orlando Marriott World Center, Florida	300	18	157	294	29	440	469	113	_	1997	40
Pentagon City Residence Inn, Virginia		6	29	5	6	34	40	11	_	1996	40
Philadelphia Airport, Pennsylvania	_	_	42	7	2	47	49	15	_	1995	40
Philadelphia CC and HH, Pennsylvania	_	3	144	65	11	201	212	66	_	1995	40
Four Seasons, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	_	26	60	18	27	77	104	22	_	1998	40
Portland, Oregon		6	40	20	6	60	66	21		1994	40
Hyatt Regency, Reston, Virginia	—	11	78	16	12	93	105	25	_	1998	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Phoenix, Arizona		10	63	4	9	68	77	20		1998	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Tysons Corner, Virginia	_	_	89	12	—	101	101	30	_	1998	40
The Ritz-Carlton, San Francisco, California	_	31	123	20	31	143	174	39	_	1998	40
San Antonio Rivercenter, Texas	_	—	86	66	—	152	152	44	_	1996	40
San Antonio Riverwalk, Texas	_	_	45	16	_	61	61	19	_	1995	40
San Diego Hotel and Marina, California	175	—	202	204	—	406	406	107	_	1996	40
San Diego Mission Valley, California	_	4	23	8	4	31	35	10	_	1998	40
San Francisco Airport, California	_	11	48	36	12	83	95	29	_	1994	40
San Francisco Fisherman's Wharf, California		6	20	12	6	32	38	15	_	1994	40

# Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2008

		Ir	nitial Costs Buildings &	Subsequent Gross Amount at December 31, 2008 Costs Buildings & Accumulated				Date of Completion of	Date	Depreciation	
Description(1)	<u>Debt</u>	Land	<u>Improvements</u>	<u>Capitalized</u>	Land	<u>Improvements</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Depreciation</u>	Construction	Acquired	Life
San Francisco Moscone Center, California	_	_	278	65	_	343	343	146	_	1989	40
San Ramon, California	_	_	22	16		38	38	12	_	1996	40
Santa Clara, California	_	_	39	52	_	91	91	53	_	1989	40
Seattle SeaTac Airport, Washington	_	3	42	15	3	57	60	22	_	1998	40
Tampa Waterside, Florida	_	_	_	103	11	92	103	21	2000	_	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Buckhead, Georgia	_	14	81	57	16	136	152	40	_	1996	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Marina del Rey, California	_	_	52	23		75	75	25	_	1997	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Naples, Florida	200	19	126	87	20	212	232	76	_	1996	40
The Ritz-Carlton, Naples Golf Lodge, Florida	_	6	_	65	6	65	71	12	2002	_	40
Toronto Airport, Canada	20	5	24	12	5	36	41	12	_	1996	40
Toronto Eaton Center, Canada	31	_	27	17	_	44	44	13	_	1995	40
Toronto Delta Meadowvale, Canada	28	4	20	12	4	32	36	15	_	1996	40
Dulles Airport, Washington, D.C.	_	_	3	32	_	35	35	26	_	1970	40
Washington Dulles Suites, Washington, D.C.	_	3	24	5	3	29	32	10	_	1996	40
Washington Metro Center, Washington D.C.	_	20	24	11	20	35	55	14	_	1994	40
Westfields, Virginia	_	7	32	12	7	44	51	16	_	1994	40
Sheraton Boston, Massachusetts	_	42	262	5	42	267	309	18	_	2006	40
Sheraton, Indianapolis, Indiana	_	3	51	_	3	51	54	3	_	2006	40
Sheraton New York Hotel & Towers, New											
York	_	346	409	21	346	430	776	32	_	2006	40
Sheraton, Parsippany, New Jersey	_	8	30	5	8	35	43	2	_	2006	40
Sheraton Santiago Hotel & Convention											
Center, Chile	_	19	11	(5)	15	10	25	1	_	2006	40
San Cristobal Tower, Santiago, Chile	_	7	15	(4)	6	12	18	1	_	2006	40
Sheraton Stamford Hotel, Connecticut	_	6	20	3	6	23	29	2	_	2006	40
St. Regis Hotel, Houston, Texas	_	6	33	9	7	41	48	3	_	2006	40
W New York, New York	_	138	102	27	137	130	267	9	_	2006	40
W Seattle, Washington	_	11	125	1	11	126	137	8	_	2006	40
Westin Cincinnati, Ohio	_	_	54	6	_	60	60	5	_	2006	40
Westin Grand, Washington, D.C.	_	16	80	8	16	88	104	6	_	2006	40
Westin Los Angeles Airport, California	_	_	102	3		105	105	7	_	2006	40
Westin Mission Hills Resort, California	_	38	49	9	37	59	96	4	_	2006	40
Westin Seattle, Washington	_	39	175	2	39	177	216	12	_	2006	40

# Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2008 $\,$

		Ini	tial Costs	Subsequent		Gross Amount at December 31, 2008				Date of		
Description(1)	Debt	Land	Buildings & Improvements	Costs Capitalized	Land	Buildings & Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation	Completion of Construction	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life	
Westin South Coast Plaza,	Debt	Lanu	improvements	Capitanzeu	Land	improvements	10101	Бергестация	Construction	ricquireu	LIIC	
California	_	_	47	7	_	54	54	8	_	2006	40	
Westin Waltham Boston,						_					-	
Massachusetts	_	9	59	6	9	65	74	5		2006	40	
Sheraton San Diego Marina,												
California	_	_	328	8	_	336	336	22	_	2006	40	
Atlanta Perimeter Center, Georgia	_	_	7	33	15	25	40	17	_	1976	40	
Denver West, Colorado	_	_	12	8	_	20	20	11	_	1983	40	
Greensboro, North Carolina	_	_	19	4	_	23	23	10	_	1983	40	
Courtyard Nashua, New Hampshire	_	3	14	6	3	20	23	10	_	1989	40	
Hilton Singer Island Oceanfront												
Resort, Florida	_	3	10	11	3	21	24	9		1986	40	
Park Ridge, New Jersey	_	_	20	9	_	29	29	8	_	1987	40	
Rocky Hill, Connecticut	_	_	17	5		22	22	10		1991	40	
South Bend, Indiana	_	_	8	9	_	17	17	7	_	1981	40	
Downers Grove Suites, Illinois	_	2	14	4	2	18	20	7	_	1989	40	
Newport Beach Bay view Suites,												
California	_	6	14	5	6	19	25	6	_	1975	40	
Scottsdale Old Town Suites,												
Arizona	_	3	20	5	3	25	28	7	_	1988	40	
Tampa Airport, Florida	_	_	9	17	_	26	26	18	_	2000	40	
Sheraton Braintree Hotel,												
Massachusetts	_	2	5	1	2	6	8	2	_	1962	40	
Sheraton Denver, Colorado	_	_	15	7	_	22	22	20	_	1973	40	
Sheraton Needham Hotel,												
Massachusetts		5	27	2	5	29	34	2		1986	40	
Total hotels:	1,436	1,557	8,434	3,107	1,613	11,485	13,098	3,065				
Other properties, each less than 5%												
of total			5	12		17	17	10		various	40	
TOTAL	\$1,436	\$1,557	\$ 8,439	\$ 3,119	\$1,613	\$ 11,502	\$13,115	\$ 3,075				

<sup>(1)</sup> Each hotel is operated as a Marriott-brand hotel unless otherwise indicated by its name.